

Weighted Compact Commutator of Bilinear Fourier Multiplier Operator*

Guoen HU¹

Abstract Let T_σ be the bilinear Fourier multiplier operator with associated multiplier σ satisfying the Sobolev regularity that $\sup_{\kappa \in \mathbb{Z}} \|\sigma_\kappa\|_{W^s(\mathbb{R}^{2n})} < \infty$ for some $s \in (n, 2n]$. In this paper, it is proved that the commutator generated by T_σ and $\text{CMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ functions is a compact operator from $L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n, w_1) \times L^{p_2}(\mathbb{R}^n, w_2)$ to $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \nu_{\vec{w}})$ for appropriate indices $p_1, p_2, p \in (1, \infty)$ with $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2}$ and weights w_1, w_2 such that $\vec{w} = (w_1, w_2) \in A_{\vec{p}/\vec{t}}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$.

Keywords Bilinear Fourier multiplier, Commutator, Bi(sub)linear maximal operator, Compact operator

2000 MR Subject Classification 42B15, 47B07, 42B25

1 Introduction

As it is well known, the study of bilinear Fourier multiplier operator was originated by Coifman and Meyer. Let $\sigma \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$. Define the bilinear Fourier multiplier operator T_σ by

$$T_\sigma(f_1, f_2)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \exp(2\pi i x(\xi_1 + \xi_2)) \sigma(\xi_1, \xi_2) \mathcal{F}f_1(\xi_1) \mathcal{F}f_2(\xi_2) d\xi_1 d\xi_2 \quad (1.1)$$

for $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where and in the following, $\mathcal{F}f$ denotes the Fourier transform of f . Coifman and Meyer [6] proved that if $\sigma \in C^s(\mathbb{R}^{2n} \setminus \{0\})$ satisfies

$$|\partial_{\xi_1}^{\alpha_1} \partial_{\xi_2}^{\alpha_2} \sigma(\xi_1, \xi_2)| \leq C_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} (|\xi_1| + |\xi_2|)^{-(|\alpha_1| + |\alpha_2|)} \quad (1.2)$$

for all $|\alpha_1| + |\alpha_2| \leq s$ with $s \geq 4n + 1$, then T_σ is bounded from $L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times L^{p_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $1 < p_1, p_2, p < \infty$ with $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2}$. For the case of $s \geq 2n + 1$, Kenig-Stein [18] and Grafakos-Torres [12] improved Coifman and Meyer's multiplier theorem to the indices $\frac{1}{2} \leq p \leq 1$ by the multilinear Calderón-Zygmund operator theory. In the last several years, considerable attention has been paid to the behavior on function spaces for T_σ when the multiplier satisfies certain Sobolev regularity condition. A significant progress in this area was obtained by Tomita. Let $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ satisfy

$$\begin{cases} \text{supp } \Phi \subset \left\{ (\xi_1, \xi_2) : \frac{1}{2} \leq |\xi_1| + |\xi_2| \leq 2 \right\}; \\ \sum_{\kappa \in \mathbb{Z}} \Phi(2^{-\kappa} \xi_1, 2^{-\kappa} \xi_2) = 1 \quad \text{for all } (\xi_1, \xi_2) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} \setminus \{0\}. \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

Manuscript received October 11, 2014. Revised October 29, 2015.

¹Department of Applied Mathematics, Zhengzhou Information Science and Technology Institute, Zhengzhou 450001, China. E-mail: guoenxx@163.com

*This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11371370).

For $\kappa \in \mathbb{Z}$, set

$$\sigma_\kappa(\xi_1, \xi_2) = \Phi(\xi_1, \xi_2)\sigma(2^\kappa \xi_1, 2^\kappa \xi_2) \tag{1.4}$$

and

$$\|\sigma_\kappa\|_{W^s(\mathbb{R}^{2n})} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} (1 + |\xi_1|^2 + |\xi_2|^2)^s |\mathcal{F}\sigma_\kappa(\xi_1, \xi_2)|^2 d\xi_1 d\xi_2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Tomita [21] proved that if σ satisfies the Sobolev regularity that

$$\sup_{\kappa \in \mathbb{Z}} \|\sigma_\kappa\|_{W^s(\mathbb{R}^{2n})} < \infty \tag{1.5}$$

for some $s \in (n, 2n]$, then T_σ is bounded from $L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times L^{p_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ provided that $p_1, p_2 \in (1, \infty)$ and $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2}$. Grafakos and Si [11] considered the mapping properties from $L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times L^{p_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for T_σ when σ satisfies (1.5) and $p_1, p_2 \in (\frac{2n}{s}, \infty)$, then T is bounded from $L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times L^{p_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2}$. Miyachi and Tomita [20] considered the problem to find minimal smoothness condition for bilinear Fourier multiplier. Let

$$\|\sigma_\kappa\|_{W^{s_1, s_2}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \langle \xi_1 \rangle^{2s_1} \langle \xi_2 \rangle^{2s_2} |\mathcal{F}\sigma_\kappa(\xi_1, \xi_2)|^2 d\xi_1 d\xi_2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where $\langle \xi_k \rangle := (1 + |\xi_k|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Miyachi and Tomita [20] proved that if

$$\sup_{\kappa \in \mathbb{Z}} \|\sigma_\kappa\|_{W^{s_1, s_2}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})} < \infty \tag{1.6}$$

for some $s_1, s_2 \in (\frac{n}{2}, n]$, then T_σ is bounded from $L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times L^{p_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for any $p_1, p_2 \in (1, \infty)$ and $p \geq \frac{2}{3}$ with $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2}$. Moreover, they also gave minimal smoothness condition for which T_σ is bounded from $H^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times H^{p_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

The weighted estimates for the operator T_σ are also of great interest. As it is well known, when σ satisfies (1.2) for some $s \geq 2n + 1$, then T_σ is a standard bilinear Calderón-Zygmund operator, and then by the weighted estimates with multiple weights for bilinear Calderón-Zygmund operators, which was established by Lerner et al. [19], we know that for any $p_1, p_2 \in [1, \infty)$ and $p \in (0, \infty)$ with $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2}$, and weights w_1, w_2 such that $\vec{w} = (w_1, w_2) \in A_{\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ (for the definition of $A_{\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$, see Definition 1.1 below),

$$\|T_\sigma(f_1, f_2)\|_{L^{p, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^n, \nu_{\vec{w}})} \lesssim \prod_{k=1}^2 \|f_k\|_{L^{p_k}(\mathbb{R}^n, w_k)},$$

where and in the following, for indices p_1, p_2 , we set $\vec{p} = (p_1, p_2)$ and $p \in (0, \infty)$ such that $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2}$. By developing the ideas used in [19], Bui and Duong [4] established the weighted estimates with multiple weights for T_σ when σ satisfies (1.2) for some $s \in (n, 2n]$. To consider the weighted estimates for T_σ when σ satisfies (1.5), Jiao [17] introduced the following class of multiple weights.

Definition 1.1 *Let $m \geq 1$ be an integer, w_1, \dots, w_m be weights, $p_1, \dots, p_m, p \in (0, \infty)$ with $\frac{1}{p} = \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{1}{p_k}$, $r_k \in (0, p_k]$ ($1 \leq k \leq m$) and $\vec{r} = (r_1, \dots, r_m)$. Set $\vec{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_m)$,*

$\vec{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_m)$ and $\nu_{\vec{w}} = \prod_{k=1}^m w_k^{\frac{p}{r_k}}$. We say that $\vec{w} \in A_{\vec{p}/\vec{r}}(\mathbb{R}^{mn})$ if

$$\sup_{B \subset \mathbb{R}^n} \left(\frac{1}{|B|} \int_B \nu_{\vec{w}}(x) \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \prod_{k=1}^m \left(\frac{1}{|B|} \int_B w_k^{\frac{-1}{r_k - 1}}(x) \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{r_k} - \frac{1}{p_k}} < \infty,$$

where and in the following, when $p_k = r_k$, $\left(\frac{1}{|B|} \int_B w_k^{\frac{-1}{r_k - 1}}(x) \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{r_k} - \frac{1}{p_k}}$ is understood as $\left(\inf_{x \in B} w_k \right)^{-\frac{1}{p_k}}$.

When $r_1 = \dots = r_m = 1$, $A_{\vec{p}/\vec{r}}(\mathbb{R}^{mn})$ is just the weight class $A_{\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^{mn})$ introduced by Lerner et al. [19]. By some kernel estimates of the operator T_σ , Jiao proved that for $t_1, t_2 \in [1, 2)$ such that $\frac{1}{t_1} + \frac{1}{t_2} = \frac{s}{n}$, $p_k \in (t_k, \infty)$ with $k = 1, 2$, and w_1, w_2 such that $\vec{w} \in A_{\vec{p}/\vec{t}}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$, then T_σ is bounded from $L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n, w_1) \times L^{p_2}(\mathbb{R}^n, w_2)$ to $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \nu_{\vec{w}})$. For the weighted estimates with A_p weights when σ satisfies the regularity (1.6) (see [8, 15]), here and in the following, for $p \in [1, \infty)$, $A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denotes the weight function class Muckenhoupt, and $A_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) = \bigcup_{p \geq 1} A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

The commutator of the multiplier operator T_σ has been considered by many authors. Let T_σ be the multiplier operator defined by (1.1), $b_1, b_2 \in \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\vec{b} = (b_1, b_2)$. Define the commutator of \vec{b} and T_σ by

$$T_{\sigma, \vec{b}}(f_1, f_2)(x) = \sum_{k=1}^2 [b_k, T_\sigma]_k(f_1, f_2)(x) \tag{1.7}$$

with

$$[b_1, T_\sigma]_1(f_1, f_2)(x) = b_1(x)T_\sigma(f_1, f_2)(x) - T_\sigma(b_1 f_1, f_2)(x) \tag{1.8}$$

and

$$[b_2, T_\sigma]_2(f_1, f_2)(x) = b_2(x)T_\sigma(f_1, f_2)(x) - T_\sigma(f_1, b_2 f_2)(x). \tag{1.9}$$

Bui and Duong [4] established the weighted estimates with multiple weights for $T_{\sigma, \vec{b}}$ when σ satisfies (1.2) for $s \in (n, 2n]$. Hu and Yi [16] considered the behavior on $L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times L^{p_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $T_{\sigma, \vec{b}}$ when σ satisfies (1.6) for $s_1, s_2 \in (\frac{n}{2}, n]$, and showed that $T_{\sigma, \vec{b}}$ enjoys the same $L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times L^{p_2}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ mapping properties as that of the operator T_σ . Fairly recently, Hu [14] considered the compactness of $T_{\sigma, \vec{b}}$, and proved that if $b_1, b_2 \in \text{CMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, σ satisfies (1.6) for some $s_1, s_2 \in (\frac{n}{2}, n]$, then for $p_k \in (n/s_k, \infty)$ ($k = 1, 2$) and $p \in [1, \infty)$ with $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2}$, $T_{\sigma, \vec{b}}$ is a compact operator from $L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times L^{p_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where and in the following, $\text{CMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denotes the closure of $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in the $\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ topology, which coincide with the space of functions of vanishing mean oscillation (see [3, 7] for details). Zhou and Li [22] considered the weighted compactness with A_p weights for $T_{\sigma, \vec{b}}$. By combining the ideas used in [2, 14], Zhou and Li showed that if $b_1, b_2 \in \text{CMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and σ satisfies (1.6) for some $s_1, s_2 \in (\frac{n}{2}, n]$, then for $p_k \in (n/s_k, \infty)$ ($k = 1, 2$), $p \in [1, \infty)$ with $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2}$, and $w_k \in A_{p_k s_k/n}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $T_{\sigma, \vec{b}}$ is a compact operator from $L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n, w_1) \times L^{p_2}(\mathbb{R}^n, w_2)$ to $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \nu_{\vec{w}})$.

The main purpose of this paper is to consider the weighted compactness of $T_{\sigma, \vec{b}}$ with multiple weights. We will show that if σ satisfies (1.5) and $b_1, b_2 \in \text{CMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then for appropriate

indices $p_1, p_2, p \in (1, \infty)$ with $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2}$, and weights w_1, w_2 such that $\vec{w} = (w_1, w_2) \in A_{\vec{p}/\vec{t}}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$, $T_{\sigma, \vec{b}}$ is compact from $L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n, w_1) \times L^{p_2}(\mathbb{R}^n, w_2)$ to $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \nu_{\vec{w}})$. Our main result in this paper can be stated as follows.

Theorem 1.1 *Let σ be a multiplier satisfying (1.5) for some $s \in (n, 2n]$ and T_σ be the operator defined by (1.1). Let $t_1, t_2 \in [1, 2)$ such that $\frac{1}{t_1} + \frac{1}{t_2} = \frac{s}{n}$, $b_1, b_2 \in \text{CMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then for $p_k \in (t_k, \infty)$ with $k = 1, 2$, $p \in (1, \infty)$ with $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2}$, and weights w_1, w_2 such that $\vec{w} = (w_1, w_2) \in A_{\vec{p}/\vec{t}}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ and $\nu_{\vec{w}} \in A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the commutator $T_{\sigma, \vec{b}}$ is a compact operators from $L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n, w_1) \times L^{p_2}(\mathbb{R}^n, w_2)$ to $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \nu_{\vec{w}})$.*

Remark 1.1 It is well known that, the class $A_{\vec{P}}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ with $\vec{P} = (p_1, p_2)$ is really large than the weight class $\prod_{k=1}^2 A_{p_k}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and the weighted estimates with multiple weights $A_{\vec{P}}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ are more interesting and more refined than the weighted estimates with $A_{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times A_{p_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for the bilinear Calderón-Zygmund operators (see [19]). To prove Theorem 1.1, we will employ the idea used in [2, 14]. However, the idea that controlling $T_{\sigma, \vec{b}}(f_1, f_2)$ by $\prod_{k=1}^2 M_{n/s_k} f$ which was used in [14, 22] (even if the function $\mathcal{M}_{\vec{r}}(f_1, f_2)$ with $\vec{r} = (\frac{n}{s_1}, \frac{n}{s_2})$ introduced by [17]) does not work. To overcome this difficulty, we establish some new estimates for the kernel of T_σ , and introduce a new subtle bi(sub)linear maximal operator to control $T_{\sigma, \vec{b}}$.

Throughout the article, C always denotes a positive constant that may vary from line to line but remains independent of the main variables. We use the symbol $A \lesssim B$ to denote that there exists a positive constant C such that $A \leq CB$. For any set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, χ_E denotes its characteristic function. We use $B(x, R)$ to denote a ball centered at x with radius R and $C(x, R) = B(x, R) \setminus B(x, \frac{R}{2})$. For a ball $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\lambda > 0$, we use λB to denote the ball concentric with B whose radius is λ times of B 's. For any $\gamma \in [1, \infty]$, we use γ' to denote the dual exponent of γ , namely, $\frac{1}{\gamma} + \frac{1}{\gamma'} = 1$. For a locally integrable function f , Mf denotes the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function of f , and for $\tau \in (0, \infty)$,

$$M_\tau f(x) = (M(|f|^\tau)(x))^{\frac{1}{\tau}}.$$

Let M^\sharp be the Fefferman-Stein sharp maximal operator. For $\epsilon > 0$, M_ϵ^\sharp denotes the operator defined by

$$M_\epsilon^\sharp f(x) = (M^\sharp(|f|^\epsilon)(x))^{\frac{1}{\epsilon}}.$$

2 A New Maximal Operator

To control the multilinear Calderón-Zygmund operators via the Fefferman-Stein sharp maximal operator, Lerner et al. [19] introduced the bi(sub)linear maximal operator \mathcal{M} by

$$\mathcal{M}(f_1, f_2)(x) = \sup_{B \ni x} \prod_{k=1}^2 \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |f_k(y_k)| dy_k.$$

For $r_1, r_2 \in (0, \infty)$, Jiao [17] generalized the operator \mathcal{M} , defined the maximal operator $\mathcal{M}_{\vec{r}}$ by

$$\mathcal{M}_{\vec{r}}(f_1, f_2)(x) = \sup_{B \ni x} \prod_{k=1}^2 \left(\frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |f_k(y_k)|^{r_k} dy_k \right)^{\frac{1}{r_k}},$$

and established the weighted norm inequalities with multiple weights $A_{\vec{p}/\vec{r}}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ for $\mathcal{M}_{\vec{r}}$. Let $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $r_1, r_2 \in [1, \infty)$. Define the bi(sub)linear maximal operators $\mathcal{M}_{\vec{r}, \delta}^{(1)}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{\vec{r}, \delta}^{(2)}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_{\vec{r}, \delta}^{(1)}(f_1, f_2)(x) &= \sup_{B \ni x} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{j\delta} 2^{-\frac{jn}{r_1}} \left(\frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |f_1(y)|^{r_1} dy \right)^{\frac{1}{r_1}} \\ &\quad \times \left(\frac{1}{|2^j B|} \int_{2^j B} |f_2(z)|^{r_2} dz \right)^{\frac{1}{r_2}} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_{\vec{r}, \delta}^{(2)}(f_1, f_2)(x) &= \sup_{B \ni x} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{j\delta} 2^{-\frac{jn}{r_2}} \left(\frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |f_2(z)|^{r_2} dz \right)^{\frac{1}{r_2}} \\ &\quad \times \left(\frac{1}{|2^j B|} \int_{2^j B} |f_1(y)|^{r_1} dy \right)^{\frac{1}{r_1}}, \end{aligned}$$

respectively. It is obvious that for any $\delta < 0$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $k = 1, 2$,

$$\mathcal{M}_{\vec{r}, \delta}^{(k)}(f_1, f_2)(x) \lesssim \mathcal{M}_{\vec{r}}(f_1, f_2)(x).$$

For the case of $\delta = 0$ and $r_1 = r_2 = 1$, these operators were introduced by Grafakos et al. in [9]. Although we do not know if the operator $\mathcal{M}_{\vec{r}}$ can be applied to prove Theorem 1.1, as the operator \mathcal{M} do in the proof of the weighted compactness of the commutator of multilinear Calderón-Zygmund operators (see [2]), we will see that the operator $\mathcal{M}_{\vec{r}, \delta}^{(k)}$ ($k = 1, 2$) are suitable replacement of $\mathcal{M}_{\vec{r}}$ in our argument.

As it is well known, for a weight $w \in A_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, there exists a positive constant θ , such that for any ball $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and any measurable set $E \subset B$,

$$\frac{w(E)}{w(B)} \lesssim \left(\frac{|E|}{|B|} \right)^{\theta}. \tag{2.1}$$

For a fixed $\theta \in (0, 1)$, set

$$R_{\theta} = \{w \in A_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n) : w \text{ satisfies (2.1)}\}.$$

Our result concerning the operators $\mathcal{M}_{\vec{r}, \delta}^{(k)}$ can be stated as follows.

Theorem 2.1 *Let $r_1, r_2 \in (0, \infty)$ and $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$, $p_1 \in [r_1, \infty)$ and $p_2 \in [r_2, \infty)$, $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2}$. Let w_1, w_2 be weights such that $\vec{w} \in A_{\vec{p}/\vec{r}}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ and $\nu_{\vec{w}} \in R_{\theta}$ for some θ such that $\delta < n\theta \min\{\frac{1}{p_1}, \frac{1}{p_2}\}$. Then both of the operators $\mathcal{M}_{\vec{r}, \delta}^{(1)}$, $\mathcal{M}_{\vec{r}, \delta}^{(2)}$ are bounded from $L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n, w_1) \times L^{p_2}(\mathbb{R}^n, w_2)$ to $L^{p, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^n, \nu_{\vec{w}})$. Moreover, if $p_k \in (r_k, \infty)$ with $k = 1, 2$, then these operators are bounded from $L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n, w_1) \times L^{p_2}(\mathbb{R}^n, w_2)$ to $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \nu_{\vec{w}})$.*

To prove Theorem 2.1, we need the following characterization of $A_{\vec{p}/\vec{r}}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$, which was proved in [17].

Lemma 2.1 *Let w_1, w_2 be weights, $p_1, p_2, p \in (0, \infty)$ with $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2}$, $r_k \in (0, p_k]$ ($k = 1, 2$). Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) $\vec{w} \in A_{\vec{p}/\vec{r}}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$;
- (ii) $\nu_{\vec{w}} \in A_{p/r}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and for $k = 1, 2$, $w_k^{-\frac{1}{r_k - p_k}} \in A_{p_k r_k / r(p_k - r_k)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if $r_k \neq p_k$ or $w_k^{\frac{r}{p_k}} \in A_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if $r_k = p_k$, here $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{r_1} + \frac{1}{r_2}$.

Proof of Theorem 2.1 We first consider the case of $p_k \in (r_k, \infty)$ with $k = 1, 2$. Since the argument for $\mathcal{M}_{\vec{r}, \delta}^{(1)}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{\vec{r}, \delta}^{(2)}$ are very similar, we only consider the operator $\mathcal{M}_{\vec{r}, \delta}^{(1)}$. We will employ the ideas used in [9]. Let $M_{\nu_{\vec{w}}}^c$ be the centered maximal operator defined by

$$M_{\nu_{\vec{w}}}^c f(x) = \sup_{B: \text{ball centered at } x} \frac{1}{\nu_{\vec{w}}(B)} \int_B |f(y)| \nu_{\vec{w}}(y) dy.$$

As it was pointed out in [9], it suffices to prove that for some $q_1, q_2 \in (0, 1)$,

$$\mathcal{M}_{\vec{r}, \delta}^{(1)}(f_1, f_2)(x) \lesssim \prod_{k=1}^2 \left\{ M_{\nu_{\vec{w}}}^c \left(\left(\frac{|f_k|^{p_k} w_k}{\nu_{\vec{w}}} \right)^{q_k} \right)(x) \right\}^{\frac{1}{q_k p_k}}. \tag{2.2}$$

For each fixed k , we know by Lemma 2.1 that $w_k^{-\frac{1}{r_k - p_k}} \in A_{p_k r_k / r(p_k - r_k)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and so there exists a positive constant $\sigma_k > 1$ such that for any ball B ,

$$\left(\frac{1}{|B|} \int_B w_k^{-\frac{\sigma_k}{r_k - p_k}}(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma_k}} \lesssim \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B w_k^{-\frac{1}{r_k - p_k}}(y) dy. \tag{2.3}$$

For $k = 1, 2$, let

$$q_k = \frac{p r_k}{p r_k + r(p_k - r_k)(1 - \frac{1}{\sigma_k})}, \quad \gamma_k = \frac{r(p_k q_k - r_k)}{r_k(p - r)(1 - q_k)}.$$

It is obvious that $\frac{p_k q_k}{r_k} > 1$, $\gamma_k > 1$, and

$$\frac{q_k \gamma_k'}{p_k q_k - r_k} = \frac{q_k r}{r(p_k q_k - r_k) - r_k(p - r)(1 - q_k)}, \tag{2.4}$$

$$\frac{q_k(p_k - r_k)}{(p_k q_k - r_k) - r_k\left(\frac{p}{r} - 1\right)(1 - q_k)} = \sigma_k. \tag{2.5}$$

An application of the Hölder inequality gives that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_B |f_1(y)|^{r_1} dy \right)^{\frac{1}{r_1}} &\lesssim \left(\int_B |f_1(y)|^{q_1 p_1} w_1^{q_1}(y) \nu_{\vec{w}}^{1 - q_1}(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{q_1 p_1}} \\ &\times \left(\int_B \left(w_1^{q_1}(y) \nu_{\vec{w}}^{1 - q_1}(y) \right)^{-\frac{1}{r_1} \frac{q_1 \gamma_1'}{p_1 q_1 - r_1}} dy \right)^{\frac{1}{r_1} - \frac{1}{q_1 p_1}} \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

and

$$\int_B \left(w_1^{q_1}(y) \nu_{\vec{w}}^{1 - q_1}(y) \right)^{-\frac{1}{r_1} \frac{q_1 \gamma_1'}{p_1 q_1 - r_1}} dy \leq \left(\int_B w_1^{-\frac{q_1 \gamma_1'}{r_1} \frac{1}{p_1 q_1 - r_1}}(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma_1}} \left(\int_B \nu_{\vec{w}}^{-\frac{1}{r_1} \frac{1}{p_1 q_1 - r_1}}(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma_1}}. \tag{2.7}$$

On the other hand, we have by the inequalities (2.3)–(2.5) that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_B w_1^{-\frac{q_1 \gamma'_1}{p_1 q_1 - 1}}(y) dy &= \int_B w_1^{-\frac{1}{\frac{p_1}{r_1} - 1} \frac{q_1(p_1 - r_1)}{(p_1 q_1 - r_1) - r_1(\frac{p}{r} - 1)(1 - q_1)}}(y) dy \\ &\lesssim |B|^{1 - \frac{q_1 \gamma'_1(p_1 - r_1)}{p_1 q_1 - r_1}} \left(\int_B w_1^{-\frac{1}{\frac{p_1}{r_1} - 1}}(y) dy \right)^{\frac{q_1 \gamma'_1(p_1 - r_1)}{p_1 q_1 - r_1}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

Note that

$$\frac{1}{\gamma_1} \left(\frac{1}{r_1} - \frac{1}{q_1 p_1} \right) + \left(1 - \frac{q_1 \gamma'_1(p_1 - r_1)}{p_1 q_1 - r_1} \right) \frac{1}{\gamma'_1} \left(\frac{1}{r_1} - \frac{1}{p_1 q_1} \right) = \frac{1}{p_1} - \frac{1}{p_1 q_1}.$$

Combining the inequalities (2.6)–(2.8) then yields

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_B |f_1(y)|^{r_1} dy \right)^{\frac{1}{r_1}} &\lesssim \left(\int_B |f_1(y)|^{p_1 q_1} w_1^{q_1}(y) \nu_{\bar{w}}^{1 - q_1}(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p_1 q_1}} \\ &\quad \times \left(\frac{1}{|B|} \int_B w_1^{-\frac{1}{\frac{p_1}{r_1} - 1}}(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{r_1} - \frac{1}{p_1}} \\ &\quad \times |B|^{\frac{1}{r_1} - \frac{1}{p_1 q_1}} \left(\frac{1}{|B|} \int_B \nu_{\bar{w}}^{-\frac{1}{r} - 1}(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma_1} \left(\frac{1}{r_1} - \frac{1}{q_1 p_1} \right)}. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that $\nu_{\bar{w}} \in A_{p/r}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Thus for each ball B ,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_B |f_1(y)|^{r_1} dy \right)^{\frac{1}{r_1}} &\lesssim \left(\frac{1}{\nu_{\bar{w}}(B)} \int_B |f_1(y)|^{p_1 q_1} w_1^{q_1}(y) \nu_{\bar{w}}^{1 - q_1}(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p_1 q_1}} \\ &\quad \times \left(\frac{\nu_{\bar{w}}(B)}{|B|} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_1}} |B|^{\frac{1}{r_1}} \left(\frac{1}{|B|} \int_B w_1^{-\frac{1}{\frac{p_1}{r_1} - 1}}(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{r_1} - \frac{1}{p_1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_{2^j B} |f_2(y)|^{r_2} dy \right)^{\frac{1}{r_2}} &\lesssim \left(\frac{1}{\nu_{\bar{w}}(2^j B)} \int_{2^j B} |f_2(z)|^{p_2 q_2} w_2^{q_2}(z) \nu_{\bar{w}}^{1 - q_2}(z) dz \right)^{\frac{1}{p_2 q_2}} \\ &\quad \times (\nu_{\bar{w}}(2^j B))^{\frac{1}{p_2}} \left(\int_{2^j B} w_2^{-\frac{1}{\frac{p_2}{r_2} - 1}}(z) dz \right)^{\frac{1}{r_2} - \frac{1}{p_2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for each fixed $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and ball B containing x ,

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{\delta j} 2^{-\frac{jn}{r_1}} \left(\frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |f_1(y)|^{r_1} dy \right)^{\frac{1}{r_1}} \left(\frac{1}{|2^j B|} \int_{2^j B} |f_2(z)|^{r_2} dz \right)^{\frac{1}{r_2}} \\ &\lesssim \prod_{k=1}^2 \left\{ M_{\nu_{\bar{w}}}^c \left(\left(\frac{|f_k|^{p_k} w_k}{\nu_{\bar{w}}} \right)^{q_k} \right) (x) \right\}^{\frac{1}{q_k p_k}} \left(\frac{\nu_{\bar{w}}(B)}{|B|} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_1}} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{\delta j} 2^{-\frac{jn}{p_1}} \left(\frac{\nu_{\bar{w}}(2^j B)}{|2^j B|} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_2}} \prod_{k=1}^2 \left(\frac{1}{|2^j B|} \int_{2^j B} w_k^{-\frac{1}{\frac{p_k}{r_k} - 1}}(y_k) dy_k \right)^{\frac{1}{r_k} - \frac{1}{p_k}}. \end{aligned}$$

This, along with the fact that $\bar{w} \in A_{\bar{p}/\bar{r}}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ and the fact that $\frac{\nu_{\bar{w}}(B)}{\nu_{\bar{w}}(2^j B)} \lesssim 2^{-jn\theta}$, leads to that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{\delta j} 2^{-\frac{jn}{r_1}} \left(\frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |f_1(y)|^{r_1} dy \right)^{\frac{1}{r_1}} \left(\frac{1}{|2^j B|} \int_{2^j B} |f_2(z)|^{r_2} dz \right)^{\frac{1}{r_2}} \\ & \lesssim \prod_{k=1}^2 \left\{ M_{\nu_{\bar{w}}}^c \left(\left(\frac{|f_k|^{p_k} w_k}{\nu_{\bar{w}}} \right)^{q_k} \right) (x) \right\}^{\frac{1}{q_k p_k}} \left(\frac{\nu_{\bar{w}}(B)}{|B|} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_1}} \times \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{\delta j} 2^{-\frac{jn}{p_1}} \left(\frac{\nu_{\bar{w}}(2^j B)}{|2^j B|} \right)^{-\frac{1}{p_1}} \\ & \lesssim \prod_{k=1}^2 \left\{ M_{\nu_{\bar{w}}}^c \left(\left(\frac{|f_k|^{p_k} w_k}{\nu_{\bar{w}}} \right)^{q_k} \right) (x) \right\}^{\frac{1}{q_k p_k}}, \end{aligned}$$

since $\delta < \frac{n\theta}{p_1}$. This establishes (2.2).

For the case of $p_k = r_k$ with $k = 1, 2$, the proof is similar to the case of $p_k \in (r_k, \infty)$ and is more simple. In fact, for each $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and ball $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ containing x , as in the proof of (2.2), we can verify that for $k = 1, 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_B |f_k(y)|^{r_k} dy \right)^{\frac{1}{r_k}} & \lesssim \left(\frac{\nu_{\bar{w}}(B)}{|B|} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_k}} |B|^{\frac{1}{r_k}} \left\{ M_{\nu_{\bar{w}}}^c \left(\left(\frac{|f_k|^{p_k} w_k}{\nu_{\bar{w}}} \right) \right) (x) \right\}^{\frac{1}{p_k}} \\ & \quad \times \left(\frac{1}{|B|} \int_B w_k^{-\frac{1}{r_k-1}}(y_k) dy_k \right)^{\frac{1}{r_k} - \frac{1}{p_k}}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\mathcal{M}_{\bar{r}, \delta}^{(1)}(f_1, f_2)(x) \lesssim \prod_{k=1}^2 \left\{ M_{\nu_{\bar{w}}}^c \left(\left(\frac{|f_k|^{p_k} w_k}{\nu_{\bar{w}}} \right) \right) (x) \right\}^{\frac{1}{p_k}}.$$

and then shows that $\mathcal{M}_{\bar{r}, \delta}^{(1)}(f_1, f_2)$ is bounded from $L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n, w_1) \times L^{p_2}(\mathbb{R}^n, w_2)$ to $L^{p, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^n, \nu_{\bar{w}})$.

3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

Let $\sigma \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ and $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ satisfy (1.3). For $\kappa \in \mathbb{Z}$, define

$$\tilde{\sigma}_\kappa(\xi_1, \xi_2) = \Phi(2^{-\kappa}\xi_1, 2^{-\kappa}\xi_2)\sigma(\xi_1, \xi_2).$$

Then $\tilde{\sigma}_\kappa(\xi_1, \xi_2) = \sigma_\kappa(2^{-\kappa}\xi_1, 2^{-\kappa}\xi_2)$ and

$$\mathcal{F}^{-1}\tilde{\sigma}_\kappa(\xi_1, \xi_2) = 2^{2\kappa n}\mathcal{F}^{-1}\sigma_\kappa(2^\kappa\xi_1, 2^\kappa\xi_2),$$

where $\mathcal{F}^{-1}f$ denotes the inverse Fourier transform of f . For a positive integer N , let

$$\sigma^N(\xi_1, \xi_2) = \sum_{|\kappa| \leq N} \tilde{\sigma}_\kappa(\xi_1, \xi_2), \quad K^N(x; y_1, y_2) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\sigma^N(x - y_1, x - y_2).$$

For an integer k with $1 \leq k \leq m$ and $x, y_1, y_2, x' \in \mathbb{R}^n$, let

$$W^N(x, x'; y_1, y_2) = K^N(x; y_1, y_2) - K^N(x'; y_1, y_2).$$

Lemma 3.1 *Let $q_1, q_2 \in [2, \infty)$, and $s_1, s_2 \geq 0$. Then*

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\mathcal{F}^{-1}\sigma_\kappa(\xi_1, \xi_2)|^{q_1} \langle \xi_1 \rangle^{s_1} d\xi_1 \right)^{\frac{q_2}{q_1}} \langle \xi_2 \rangle^{s_2} d\xi_2 \right)^{\frac{1}{q_2}} \lesssim \|\sigma_\kappa\|_{W^{\frac{s_1}{q_1}, \frac{s_2}{q_2}}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})}.$$

For the proof of Lemma 3.1, see Appendix A in [8].

Lemma 3.2 *Let σ be a bilinear multiplier satisfying (1.5) for some $s \in [0, \infty)$, $r_1, r_2 \in (1, 2]$ and $\gamma \in (0, s]$. Then for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $R > 0$,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{R \leq |x-y_1| < 2R} |\mathcal{F}^{-1} \tilde{\sigma}_\kappa(x-y_1, x-y_2)| |f_1(y_1) f_2(y_2)| dy_1 dy_2 \\ & \lesssim (2^\kappa R)^{-\gamma + \frac{n}{r_1} + \frac{n}{r_2}} (\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{r}, -\gamma + \frac{n}{r_1} + \frac{n}{r_2}}^{(1)}(f_1, f_2)(x) + \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{r}}(f_1, f_2)(x)) \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{|x-y_2| \geq R} \int_{|x-y_1| < R} |\mathcal{F}^{-1} \tilde{\sigma}_\kappa(x-y_1, x-y_2)| |f_1(y_1) f_2(y_2)| dy_1 dy_2 \\ & \lesssim (2^\kappa R)^{-\gamma + \frac{n}{r_1} + \frac{n}{r_2}} \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{r}, -\gamma + \frac{n}{r_1} + \frac{n}{r_2}}^{(1)}(f_1, f_2)(x). \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Furthermore, if $\gamma \in (0, s]$ and $-\gamma + \frac{n}{r_1} + \frac{n}{r_2} + 1 > 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{|x-y_1| < R} |x-y_1| |\mathcal{F}^{-1} \tilde{\sigma}_\kappa(x-y_1, x-y_2)| |f_1(y_1) f_2(y_2)| dy_1 dy_2 \\ & \lesssim (2^\kappa R)^{-\gamma + \frac{n}{r_1} + \frac{n}{r_2}} R (\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{r}, -\gamma + \frac{n}{r_1} + \frac{n}{r_2}}^{(1)}(f_1, f_2)(x) + \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{r}}(f_1, f_2)(x)). \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Proof By the Hölder inequality and Lemma 3.1, we have that for each $l \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{|x-y_2| < 2^{l-1}R} \int_{C(x, 2^l R)} |\mathcal{F}^{-1} \tilde{\sigma}_\kappa(x-y_1, x-y_2)| |f_1(y_1) f_2(y_2)| dy_1 dy_2 \\ & \lesssim (2^\kappa 2^l R)^{-\gamma} \left(\int_{|x-y_2| < 2^{l-1}R} \left(\int_{C(x, 2^l R)} |\mathcal{F}^{-1} \tilde{\sigma}_\kappa(x-y_1, x-y_2)|^{r'_1} \right. \right. \\ & \quad \times \langle 2^\kappa(x-y_1) \rangle^{\gamma r'_1} dy_1 \Big)^{\frac{r'_2}{r'_1}} \prod_{k=1}^2 \left(\int_{B(x, 2^l R)} |f_k(y_k)|^{r_k} dy_k \right)^{\frac{1}{r_k}} \\ & \lesssim 2^{\kappa(-\gamma + \frac{n}{r_1} + \frac{n}{r_2})} (2^l R)^{-\gamma} \prod_{k=1}^2 \left(\int_{B(x, 2^l R)} |f_k(y_k)|^{r_k} dy_k \right)^{\frac{1}{r_k}} \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{C(x, 2^j 2^{l-1} R)} \int_{B(x, 2^l R)} |\mathcal{F}^{-1} \tilde{\sigma}_\kappa(x-y_1, x-y_2)| |f_1(y_1) f_2(y_2)| dy_1 dy_2 \\ & \lesssim (2^j 2^\kappa 2^l R)^{-\gamma} \left(\int_{C(x, 2^j 2^{l-1} R)} \left(\int_{B(x, 2^l R)} |\mathcal{F}^{-1} \tilde{\sigma}_\kappa(x-y, x-z)|^{r'_1} dy \right)^{\frac{r'_2}{r'_1}} \right. \\ & \quad \times \langle 2^\kappa(x-z) \rangle^{\gamma r'_2} dz \Big)^{\frac{1}{r_2}} \left(\int_{B(x, 2^l R)} |f_1(y)|^{r_1} dy \right)^{\frac{1}{r_1}} \left(\int_{B(x, 2^j 2^l R)} |f_2(z)|^{r_2} dz \right)^{\frac{1}{r_2}} \\ & \lesssim (2^{j+\kappa+l} R)^{-\gamma} 2^{\kappa(\frac{n}{r_1} + \frac{n}{r_2})} \left(\int_{B(x, 2^l R)} |f_1(y)|^{r_1} dy \right)^{\frac{1}{r_1}} \\ & \quad \times \left(\int_{B(x, 2^j 2^l R)} |f_2(w)|^{r_2} dw \right)^{\frac{1}{r_2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{C(x, 2^l R)} |\mathcal{F}^{-1} \tilde{\sigma}_\kappa(x - y_1, x - y_2)| |f_1(y_1) f_2(y_2)| dy_1 dy_2 \\ & \lesssim (2^\kappa 2^l R)^{-\gamma + \frac{n}{r_1} + \frac{n}{r_2}} (\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{r}, -\gamma + \frac{n}{r_1} + \frac{n}{r_2}}^{(1)}(f_1, f_2)(x) + \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{r}}(f_1, f_2)(x)), \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

which gives (3.1) directly. We can also obtain from (3.5) (with $l = 0$) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{|x-y_2| \geq R} \int_{|x-y_1| < R} |\mathcal{F}^{-1} \tilde{\sigma}_\kappa(x - y_1, x - y_2)| |f_1(y_1) f_2(y_2)| dy_1 dy_2 \\ & \lesssim 2^{\kappa(-\gamma + \frac{n}{r_1} + \frac{n}{r_2})} R^{-\gamma} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{-j\gamma} \left(\int_{B(x, R)} |f_1(z)|^{r_1} dz \right)^{\frac{1}{r_1}} \times \left(\int_{B(x, 2^j R)} |f_2(w)|^{r_2} dw \right)^{\frac{1}{r_2}} \\ & \lesssim (2^\kappa R)^{-\gamma + \frac{n}{r_1} + \frac{n}{r_2}} \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{r}, -\gamma + \frac{n}{r_1} + \frac{n}{r_2}}^{(1)}(f_1, f_2)(x). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, (3.6) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{|x-y_1| < R} |x - y_1| |\mathcal{F}^{-1} \tilde{\sigma}_\kappa(x - y_1, x - y_2)| |f_1(y_1) f_2(y_2)| dy_1 dy_2 \\ & \leq \sum_{l=-\infty}^{-1} 2^l R \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{C(x, 2^l R)} |\mathcal{F}^{-1} \tilde{\sigma}_\kappa(x - y_1, x - y_2)| |f_1(y_1) f_2(y_2)| dy_1 dy_2 \\ & \lesssim (2^\kappa R)^{-\gamma + \frac{n}{r_1} + \frac{n}{r_2}} R (\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{r}, -\gamma + \frac{n}{r_1} + \frac{n}{r_2}}^{(1)}(f_1, f_2)(x) + \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{r}}(f_1, f_2)(x)), \end{aligned}$$

since $-\gamma + \frac{n}{r_1} + \frac{n}{r_2} + 1 > 0$. This completes the proof of Lemma 3.2.

Remark 3.1 Let σ be a bilinear multiplier satisfying (1.5) for some $s \in [0, \infty)$, $r_1, r_2 \in (1, 2]$ and $\gamma \in (0, s]$. As in the proof of (3.2), we can verify that, for each $R > 0$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ with $|x - y| < R$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{|y-y_2| \geq R} \int_{|y-y_1| < R} |\mathcal{F}^{-1} \tilde{\sigma}_\kappa(y - y_1, y - y_2)| |f_1(y_1) f_2(y_2)| dy_1 dy_2 \\ & \lesssim (2^\kappa R)^{-\gamma + \frac{n}{r_1} + \frac{n}{r_2}} \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{r}, -\gamma + \frac{n}{r_1} + \frac{n}{r_2}}^{(1)}(f_1, f_2)(x). \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

Lemma 3.3 Let σ be a bilinear multiplier satisfying (1.5) for some $s \in [0, \infty)$, $r_1, r_2 \in (1, 2]$ and $\gamma \in (0, s]$. For $R > 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $|x| > 4R$, set

$$V_{\kappa, 0}^R(x) = \int_{|y_2| \leq |x|} \int_{|y_1| \leq R} |\mathcal{F}^{-1} \tilde{\sigma}_\kappa(x - y_1, x - y_2)| |f_1(y_1) f_2(y_2)| dy_1 dy_2$$

and

$$V_{\kappa, l}^R(x) = \int_{2^{l-1}|x| < |y_2| \leq 2^l|x|} \int_{|y_1| \leq R} |\mathcal{F}^{-1} \tilde{\sigma}_\kappa(x - y_1, x - y_2)| |f_1(y_1) f_2(y_2)| dy_1 dy_2$$

for positive integer l . Then for any weights w_1, w_2 and $p_k \in (r_k, \infty)$ with $k = 1, 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} V_{\kappa, 0}^R(x) & \lesssim |x|^{-\gamma} 2^{\kappa(-\gamma + \frac{n}{r_1} + \frac{n}{r_2})} \prod_{k=1}^2 \|f_k\|_{L^{p_k}(\mathbb{R}^n, w_k)} \\ & \quad \times \left(\int_{B(0, R)} w_1^{-\frac{1}{p_1-1}}(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{r_1} - \frac{1}{p_1}} \left(\int_{B(0, |x|)} w_2^{-\frac{1}{p_2-1}}(z) dz \right)^{\frac{1}{r_2} - \frac{1}{p_2}} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$V_{\kappa,l}^R(x) \lesssim (2^l|x|)^{-\gamma} 2^{\kappa(-\gamma+\frac{n}{r_1}+\frac{n}{r_2})} \prod_{k=1}^2 \|f_k\|_{L^{p_k}(\mathbb{R}^n, w_k)} \\ \times \left(\int_{B(0,R)} w_1^{-\frac{1}{r_1}-\frac{1}{p_1}}(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{r_1}-\frac{1}{p_1}} \left(\int_{B(0,2^l|x|)} w_2^{-\frac{1}{r_2}-\frac{1}{p_2}}(z) dz \right)^{\frac{1}{r_2}-\frac{1}{p_2}}.$$

Proof Note that when $|y_1| \leq R$ and $|x| > 2R$, $|x - y_1| \geq \frac{|x|}{2}$. As in the proof of Lemma 3.2, we obtain by Lemma 3.1 and the Hölder inequality,

$$V_{\kappa,0}^R(x) \lesssim \left(\int_{|y_2| \leq |x|} \left(\int_{|x-y_1| \geq \frac{|x|}{2}} |\mathcal{F}^{-1}\tilde{\sigma}_\kappa(x-y_1, x-y_2)|^{r'_1} dy_1 \right)^{\frac{r'_2}{r_1}} dy_2 \right)^{\frac{1}{r_2}} \\ \times \left(\int_{B(0,R)} |f_1(y)|^{r_1} dy \right)^{\frac{1}{r_1}} \left(\int_{B(0,|x|)} |f_2(z)|^{r_2} dz \right)^{\frac{1}{r_2}} \\ \lesssim (2^\kappa|x|)^{-\gamma} 2^{\kappa(\frac{n}{r_1}+\frac{n}{r_2})} \left(\int_{B(0,R)} |f_1(y_1)|^{r_1} dy \right)^{\frac{1}{r_1}} \times \left(\int_{B(0,|x|)} |f_2(z)|^{r_2} dz \right)^{\frac{1}{r_2}} \\ \lesssim |x|^{-\gamma} 2^{\kappa(-\gamma+\frac{n}{r_1}+\frac{n}{r_2})} \prod_{k=1}^2 \|f_k\|_{L^{p_k}(\mathbb{R}^n, w_k)} \\ \times \left(\int_{B(0,R)} w_1^{-\frac{1}{r_1}-\frac{1}{p_1}}(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{r_1}-\frac{1}{p_1}} \left(\int_{B(0,|x|)} w_2^{-\frac{1}{r_2}-\frac{1}{p_2}}(z) dz \right)^{\frac{1}{r_2}-\frac{1}{p_2}}.$$

Similarly, for $l \geq 1$, we have that

$$V_{\kappa,l}^R(x) \lesssim \left(\int_{C(0,2^l|x|)} \left(\int_{|y_1| \leq R} |\mathcal{F}^{-1}\tilde{\sigma}_\kappa(x-y_1, x-y_2)|^{r'_1} dy_1 \right)^{\frac{r'_2}{r_1}} dy_2 \right)^{\frac{1}{r_2}} \\ \times \left(\int_{B(0,R)} |f_1(y)|^{r_1} dy \right)^{\frac{1}{r_1}} \left(\int_{B(0,|x|)} |f_2(z)|^{r_2} dz \right)^{\frac{1}{r_2}} \\ \lesssim |2^l x|^{-\gamma} 2^{\kappa(-\gamma+\frac{n}{r_1}+\frac{n}{r_2})} \prod_{k=1}^2 \|f_k\|_{L^{p_k}(\mathbb{R}^n, w_k)} \\ \times \left(\int_{B(0,R)} w_1^{-\frac{1}{r_1}-\frac{1}{p_1}}(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{r_1}-\frac{1}{p_1}} \left(\int_{B(0,|2^l x|)} w_2^{-\frac{1}{r_2}-\frac{1}{p_2}}(z) dz \right)^{\frac{1}{r_2}-\frac{1}{p_2}}.$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 3.3.

Lemma 3.4 Let σ be a multiplier which satisfies (1.5), $r_1, r_2 \in (1, 2]$ such that $s \in (\frac{n}{r_1} + \frac{n}{r_2}, \frac{n}{r_1} + \frac{n}{r_2} + 1)$. Then for each $R > 0$, $x, x' \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $|x - x'| < \frac{R}{4}$, nonnegative integers j_1, j_2 with $j^* = \max\{j_1, j_2\} \geq 2$,

$$\left(\int_{S_{j_2}(B(x,R))} \left(\int_{S_{j_1}(B(x,R))} |W^N(x, x'; y_1, y_2)|^{r'_1} dy_1 \right)^{\frac{r'_2}{r_1}} dy_2 \right)^{\frac{1}{r_2}} \lesssim \frac{|x - x'|^{s-\frac{n}{r_1}-\frac{n}{r_2}}}{|2^{j^*} B|^{\frac{s}{n}}}.$$

Proof We employ some estimates in [17]. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $j^* = j_1$. For $l \in \mathbb{Z}$, set

$$W_l(x, x'; y_1, y_2) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\tilde{\sigma}_l(x - y_1, x - y_2) - \mathcal{F}^{-1}\tilde{\sigma}_l(x' - y_1, x' - y_2)$$

and

$$J_{l;j_1,j_2} = \left(\int_{S_{j_2}(B(x,R))} \left(\int_{S_{j_1}(B(x,R))} |W_l(x,x';y_1,y_2)|^{r'_1} dy_1 \right)^{\frac{r'_2}{r'_1}} dy_2 \right)^{\frac{1}{r'_2}}.$$

It was pointed out in [17] that

$$J_{l;j_1,j_2} \lesssim (2^{j_1}R)^{-s} 2^{-l(s-\frac{n}{r_1}-\frac{n}{r_2})}.$$

On the other hand, by the proof of the inequality (3.6) in [17], we know that

$$J_{l;j_1,j_2} \lesssim 2^l |x-x'| (2^{j_1}R)^{-s} 2^{-l(s-\frac{n}{r_1}-\frac{n}{r_2})}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_{S_{j_2}(B(x,R))} \left(\int_{S_{j_1}(B(x,R))} |W^N(x,x';y_1,y_2)|^{r'_1} dy_1 \right)^{\frac{r'_2}{r'_1}} dy_2 \right)^{\frac{1}{r'_2}} \\ & \lesssim \sum_{l: 2^l|x-x'| < 1} J_{l;j_1,j_2} + \sum_{l: 2^l|x-x'| \geq 1} J_{l;j_1,j_2} \lesssim \frac{|x-x'|^{s-\frac{n}{r_1}-\frac{n}{r_2}}}{|2^{j^*}B|^{\frac{s}{n}}}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 3.4.

Lemma 3.5 *Let σ be a multiplier which satisfies (1.5) for some $s \in (n, 2n]$, $t_1, t_2 \in [1, 2]$ such that $\frac{1}{t_1} + \frac{1}{t_2} = \frac{s}{n}$. Let $p_k \in (t_k, \infty)$ for $k = 1, 2$ and w_1, w_2 be weights such that $\vec{w} \in A_{\vec{p}/\vec{t}}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$. Then for $b_1, b_2 \in \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,*

$$\|T_{\sigma,\vec{b}}(f_1, f_2)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \nu_{\vec{w}})} \lesssim \sum_{j=1}^2 \|b_j\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \prod_{k=1}^2 \|f_k\|_{L^{p_k}(\mathbb{R}^n, w_k)}.$$

Proof The proof here is fairly standard (see [4, 17]). For each fixed positive integer N , let $T_{\sigma,N}$ be the bilinear operator with kernel K^N in the sense that

$$T_{\sigma,N}(f_1, f_2)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} K^N(x; y_1, y_2) f_1(y_1) f_2(y_2) dy_1 dy_2. \tag{3.8}$$

Let $b_1, b_2 \in \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $[b_1, T_{\sigma,N}]_1$ and $[b_2, T_{\sigma,N}]_2$ be the commutator of $T_{\sigma,N}$ as in (1.8) and (1.9) respectively. As in the proof of Theorem 3.1 in [17], we can prove that if $r_1, r_2 \in (1, 2]$ such that $\frac{s}{n} > \frac{1}{r_1} + \frac{1}{r_2}$, then for $\epsilon \in (0, t)$ with $\frac{1}{t} = \frac{1}{t_1} + \frac{1}{t_2}$,

$$M_\epsilon^\sharp([b_k, T_{\sigma,N}]_k(f_1, f_2))(x) \lesssim \|b_k\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)} (\mathcal{M}_{\vec{r}}(f_1, f_2)(x) + M_t(T_{\sigma,N}(f_1, f_2))(x)).$$

Now let $p_k \in (t_k, \infty)$, w_1, w_2 be weights such that $\vec{w} \in A_{\vec{p}/\vec{t}}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$. We can choose $\delta \in (0, 1)$ which is close to 1, such that $\vec{w} \in A_{\delta\vec{p}/\vec{t}}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ and $r_k = \frac{t_k}{\delta} < p_k$ for $k = 1, 2$. Recall that by Lemma 2.2, $\vec{w} \in A_{\vec{p}/\vec{t}}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ implies that $\nu_{\vec{w}} \in A_{p/t}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. It then follows that for $k = 1, 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \| [b_k, T_{\sigma,N}]_k(f_1, f_2) \|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \nu_{\vec{w}})} & \lesssim \|b_k\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)} (\|M_t(T_{\sigma,N}(f_1, f_2))\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \nu_{\vec{w}})} \\ & \quad + \|\mathcal{M}_{\vec{r}}(f_1, f_2)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \nu_{\vec{w}})}) \\ & \lesssim \|b_k\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \prod_{k=1}^2 \|f_k\|_{L^{p_k}(\mathbb{R}^n, w_k)}, \end{aligned}$$

if $b_1, b_2 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Note that for $b_1, b_2 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} [b_k, T_{\sigma, N}]_k(f_1, f_2)(x) = [b_k, T_\sigma]_k(f_1, f_2)(x)$$

holds for almost everywhere $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Thus, by the Fatou lemma, for $k = 1, 2$, $b_1, b_2 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\|[b_k, T_\sigma]_k(f_1, f_2)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \nu_{\vec{w}})} \lesssim \|b_k\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \prod_{k=1}^2 \|f_k\|_{L^{p_k}(\mathbb{R}^n, w_k)}.$$

This, via a standard argument leads to our desired conclusion.

For a positive integer N , let $\mathcal{T}_{\sigma, N}$ be the operator defined by

$$\mathcal{T}_{\sigma, N}(f_1, f_2)(x) = \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \left| \int_{\substack{\max_{1 \leq k \leq 2} |x - y_k| > \epsilon}} K^N(x; y_1, y_2) f_1(y_1) f_2(y_2) dy_1 dy_2 \right|.$$

Lemma 3.6 *Let σ be a multiplier which satisfies (1.5) for some $s \in (n, 2n]$, $r_1, r_2 \in (1, 2]$ such that $s \in (\frac{n}{r_1} + \frac{n}{r_2}, \frac{n}{r_1} + \frac{n}{r_2} + 1)$. Then for any $\gamma < \frac{n}{r_1} + \frac{n}{r_2}$, $\tau \in (0, \min\{1, r\})$ with $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{r_1} + \frac{1}{r_2}$, and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,*

$$\mathcal{T}_{\sigma, N}(f_1, f_2)(x) \lesssim M_\tau(T_{\sigma, N}(f_1, f_2))(x) + \sum_{k=1}^2 \mathcal{M}_{\frac{\tau}{r}, -\gamma + \frac{n}{r_1} + \frac{n}{r_2}}^{(k)}(f_1, f_2)(x).$$

Proof We employ the ideas used in [9, 13]. For each fixed $\epsilon > 0$, let

$$T_{\sigma, N; \epsilon}(f_1, f_2)(x) = \int_{\substack{\max_{1 \leq k \leq 2} |x - y_k| > \epsilon}} K^N(x; y_1, y_2) f_1(y_1) f_2(y_2) dy_1 dy_2$$

and

$$\tilde{T}_{\sigma, N; \epsilon}(f_1, f_2)(y, x) = \int_{\substack{\min_{1 \leq k \leq 2} |x - y_k| > \epsilon}} K^N(y; y_1, y_2) f_1(y_1) f_2(y_2) dy_1 dy_2.$$

For functions f_1 and f_2 , let

$$f_k^1(y_k) = f_k(y_k) \chi_{B(x, \epsilon)}(y_k), \quad f_k^2(y_k) = f_k(y_k) \chi_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(x, \epsilon)}(y_k), \quad k = 1, 2.$$

A trivial computation shows that for $y \in B(x, \frac{\epsilon}{2})$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{T}_{\sigma, N; \epsilon}(f_1, f_2)(x, x)| &\leq |\tilde{T}_{\sigma, N; \epsilon}(f_1, f_2)(x, x) - \tilde{T}_{\sigma, N; \epsilon}(f_1, f_2)(y, x)| \\ &\quad + |\tilde{T}_{\sigma, N; \epsilon}(f_1, f_2)(y, x)| \\ &\lesssim \int_{\substack{\min_{1 \leq k \leq 2} |x - y_k| > \epsilon}} |W^N(x, y; y_1, y_2) f_1(y_1) f_2(y_2)| dy_1 dy_2 \\ &\quad + |T_{\sigma, N}(f_1, f_2)(y) - T_{\sigma, N}(f_1^1, f_2^1)(y)| \\ &\quad + |T_{\sigma, N}(f_1^1, f_2^2)(y)| + |T_{\sigma, N}(f_1^2, f_2^1)(y)|. \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

We obtain from Lemma 3.5 that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{\min_{1 \leq k \leq 2} |x-y_k| > \epsilon} |W^N(x, y; y_1, y_2)| |f_1(y_1) f_2(y_2)| dy_1 dy_2 \\
 & \lesssim \sum_{j_1=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j_2=1}^{\infty} \left(\int_{S_{j_2}(B(x, \epsilon))} \left(\int_{S_{j_1}(B(x, \epsilon))} |W^N(x, y; y_1, y_2)|^{r'_1} dy_1 \right)^{\frac{r'_2}{r'_1}} dy_2 \right)^{\frac{1}{r'_2}} \\
 & \quad \times \prod_{k=1}^2 \left(\int_{S_{j_k}(B(x, \epsilon))} |f_k(y_k)|^{r_k} dy_k \right)^{\frac{1}{r_k}} \\
 & \lesssim \mathcal{M}_{\vec{r}}(f_1, f_2)(x).
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

On the other hand, it follows from (3.7) that for $y \in B(x, \frac{\epsilon}{2})$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |T_{\sigma, N}(f_1^1, f_2^2)(y)| + |T_{\sigma, N}(f_1^2, f_2^1)(y)| \\
 & \lesssim \sum_{|\kappa| \leq N: 2^\kappa \epsilon > 1} (2^\kappa \epsilon)^{-s + \frac{n}{r_1} + \frac{n}{r_2}} \sum_{k=1}^2 \mathcal{M}_{\vec{r}, -s + \frac{n}{r_1} + \frac{n}{r_2}}^{(k)}(f_1, f_2)(x) \\
 & \quad + \sum_{|\kappa| \leq N: 2^\kappa \epsilon \leq 1} (2^\kappa \epsilon)^{-\gamma + \frac{n}{r_1} + \frac{n}{r_2}} \sum_{k=1}^2 \mathcal{M}_{\vec{r}, -\gamma + \frac{n}{r_1} + \frac{n}{r_2}}^{(k)}(f_1, f_2)(x) \\
 & \lesssim \sum_{k=1}^2 \mathcal{M}_{\vec{r}, -\gamma + \frac{n}{r_1} + \frac{n}{r_2}}^{(k)}(f_1, f_2)(x),
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

where in the last inequality, we have invoked the estimate

$$\mathcal{M}_{\vec{r}, -s + \frac{n}{r_1} + \frac{n}{r_2}}^{(k)}(f_1, f_2)(x) \lesssim \mathcal{M}_{\vec{r}, -\gamma + \frac{n}{r_1} + \frac{n}{r_2}}^{(k)}(f_1, f_2)(x),$$

since $-s + \frac{n}{r_1} + \frac{n}{r_2} < -\gamma + \frac{n}{r_1} + \frac{n}{r_2}$. Similarly, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |T_{\sigma, N; \epsilon}(f_1, f_2)(x) - \tilde{T}_{\sigma, N; \epsilon}(f_1, f_2)(x, x)| \\
 & \lesssim \int_{\substack{\max_{1 \leq k \leq 2} |x-y_k| > \epsilon \\ \min_{1 \leq k \leq 2} |x-y_k| < \epsilon}} |K^N(x; y_1, y_2)| |f_1(y_1) f_2(y_2)| dy_1 dy_2 \\
 & \lesssim \sum_{k=1}^2 \mathcal{M}_{\vec{r}, -\gamma + \frac{n}{r_1} + \frac{n}{r_2}}^{(k)}(f_1, f_2)(x).
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

Combining the estimates (3.9)–(3.12) then leads to that for $y \in B(x, \frac{\epsilon}{2})$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 |T_{\sigma, N; \epsilon}(f_1, f_2)(x)| & \lesssim |\tilde{T}_{\sigma, N; \epsilon}(f_1, f_2)(x, x)| + \sum_{k=1}^2 \mathcal{M}_{\vec{r}, -\gamma + \frac{n}{r_1} + \frac{n}{r_2}}^{(k)}(f_1, f_2)(x) \\
 & \lesssim |T_{\sigma, N}(f_1, f_2)(y)| + |T_{\sigma, N}(f_1^1, f_2^1)(y)| + \sum_{k=1}^2 \mathcal{M}_{\vec{r}, -\gamma + \frac{n}{r_1} + \frac{n}{r_2}}^{(k)}(f_1, f_2)(x).
 \end{aligned}$$

Recall that $T_{\sigma, N}$ is bounded from $L^{r_1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times L^{r_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^{r, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see [8, 17]). Applying the argument in the proof of the Kolmogorov inequality (see also [9, 13]), tells us that for

$\tau \in (0, \min\{1, r\})$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{1}{|B(x, \frac{\epsilon}{2})|} \int_{B(x, \frac{\epsilon}{2})} |T_{\sigma, N}(f_1^1, f_2^1)(y)|^\tau dy \right)^{\frac{1}{\tau}} \\ & \lesssim \prod_{k=1}^2 \left(\frac{1}{|B(x, \epsilon)|} \int_{B(x, \epsilon)} |f_k(y_k)|^{r_k} dy_k \right)^{\frac{1}{r_k}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for each $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} |T_{\sigma, N; \epsilon}(f_1, f_2)(x)| & \lesssim \left(\frac{1}{|B(x, \frac{\epsilon}{2})|} \int_{B(x, \frac{\epsilon}{2})} |T_{\sigma, N}(f_1, f_2)(y)|^\tau dy \right)^{\frac{1}{\tau}} \\ & \quad + \left(\frac{1}{|B(x, \frac{\epsilon}{2})|} \int_{B(x, \frac{\epsilon}{2})} |T_{\sigma, N}(f_1^1, f_2^1)(y)|^\tau dy \right)^{\frac{1}{\tau}} \\ & \quad + \sum_{k=1}^2 \mathcal{M}_{\vec{r}, -\gamma + \frac{n}{r_1} + \frac{n}{r_2}}^{(k)}(f_1, f_2)(x) \\ & \lesssim M_\tau(T_{\sigma, N}(f_1, f_2))(x) + \sum_{k=1}^2 \mathcal{M}_{\vec{r}, -\gamma + \frac{n}{r_1} + \frac{n}{r_2}}^{(k)}(f_1, f_2)(x), \end{aligned}$$

which gives us the desired conclusion.

Let φ be a non-negative function in $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{3n})$, which satisfies that $\text{supp } \varphi \subset \{(x, y_1, y_2) : \max\{|x|, |y_1|, |y_2|\} < 1\}$, $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3n}} \varphi(u) du = 1$. For $\beta > 0$, let $\chi^\beta = \chi^\beta(x, y_1, y_2)$ be the characteristic function of the set $\{(x, y_1, y_2) : \max_{k=1,2} |x - y_k| \geq 3\frac{\beta}{2}\}$, and let

$$\psi^\beta(x; y_1, y_2) = \varphi_\beta * \chi^\beta(x; y_2, y_2),$$

where $\varphi_\beta(x, y_1, y_2) = (\frac{\beta}{4})^{-3n} \varphi(\frac{4x}{\beta}, \frac{4y_1}{\beta}, \frac{4y_2}{\beta})$. As it was pointed out in [2], $\psi^\beta \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{3n})$, $\|\psi^\beta\|_{L^\infty} \leq 1$, $\text{supp } \psi^\beta \subset \{(x; y_1, y_2) : \max_{k=1,2} |x - y_k| \geq \beta\}$, and $\psi^\beta(x, y_1, y_2) = 1$ if $\max_{k=1,2} |x - y_k| \geq 2\beta$. For a fixed $N \in \mathbb{N}$, let $T_{\sigma, N}^\beta$ be the bilinear operator defined by

$$T_{\sigma, N}^\beta(f_1, f_2)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \psi^\beta(x; y, z) K^N(x; y, z) f_1(y) f_2(z) dy dz. \quad (3.13)$$

As usual, for $b_1, b_2 \in \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, let $[b_1, T_{\sigma, N}^\beta]_1, [b_2, T_{\sigma, N}^\beta]_2$ be the commutators of $T_{\sigma, N}^\beta$ as in (1.8)–(1.9).

Lemma 3.7 *Let σ be a multiplier satisfying (1.5) for some $s \in (n, 2n]$, $T_{\sigma, N}$ and $T_{\sigma, N}^\beta$ be the operators defined by (3.8) and (3.13) respectively. Let $r_1, r_2 \in (1, 2]$ such that $s \in (\frac{n}{r_1} + \frac{n}{r_2}, \frac{n}{r_1} + \frac{n}{r_2} + 1)$. Then for any $\gamma < \frac{n}{r_1} + \frac{n}{r_2}$,*

$$|[b_j, T_{\sigma, N}]_j(f_1, f_2)(x) - [b_j, T_{\sigma, N}^\beta]_j(f_1, f_2)(x)| \lesssim \beta \mathcal{M}_{\vec{r}, -\gamma + \frac{n}{r_1} + \frac{n}{r_2}}^{(j)}(f_1, f_2)(x). \quad (3.14)$$

Proof Without loss of generality, we assume that $\|\nabla b_j\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} = 1$. We deduce from

Lemma 3.2 that

$$\begin{aligned}
& |[b_j, T_{\sigma, N}]_j(f_1, f_2)(x) - [b_j, T_{\sigma, N}^\beta]_j(f_1, f_2)(x)| \\
& \lesssim \sum_{\kappa \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\max_{k=1,2} |x-y_k| \leq 2\beta} |x-y_j| |\mathcal{F}^{-1} \tilde{\sigma}_\kappa(x-y_1, x-y_2)| |f_1(y_1) f_2(y_2)| dy_1 dy_2 \\
& \lesssim \beta \sum_{\kappa \in \mathbb{Z}: 2^\kappa \beta > 1} (2^\kappa \beta)^{-s + \frac{n}{r_1} + \frac{n}{r_2}} (\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{r}, -s + \frac{n}{r_1} + \frac{n}{r_2}}^{(j)}(f_1, f_2)(x) + \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{r}}(f_1, f_2)(x)) \\
& \quad + \beta \sum_{\kappa \in \mathbb{Z}: 2^\kappa \beta \leq 1} (2^\kappa \beta)^{-\gamma + \frac{n}{r_1} + \frac{n}{r_2}} (\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{r}, -\gamma + \frac{n}{r_1} + \frac{n}{r_2}}^{(j)}(f_1, f_2)(x) + \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{r}}(f_1, f_2)(x)) \\
& \lesssim \beta \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{r}, -\gamma + \frac{n}{r_1} + \frac{n}{r_2}}^{(j)}(f_1, f_2)(x).
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 3.7.

Lemma 3.8 *Let $r \in (1, \infty)$, $w \in A_r(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\mathcal{K} \subset L^r(\mathbb{R}^n, w)$. Suppose that*

- (i) \mathcal{K} is bounded in $L^r(\mathbb{R}^n, w)$;
- (ii) $\lim_{A \rightarrow \infty} \int_{|x| > A} |f(x)|^r w(x) dx = 0$, uniformly for $f \in \mathcal{K}$;
- (iii) $\|f(\cdot) - f(\cdot + t)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, w)} \rightarrow 0$ uniformly for $f \in \mathcal{K}$ as $|t| \rightarrow 0$.

Then \mathcal{K} is precompact in $L^r(\mathbb{R}^n, w)$.

This lemma was given in [5].

Proof of Theorem 1.1 We will employ some ideas from [2]. By Lemma 3.5, it suffices to prove that when $b_1, b_2 \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the conclusion in Theorem 1.1 is true for $T_{\sigma, \vec{b}}$. We only consider $[b_1, T_\sigma]_1$ for simplicity. Without loss of generality, we assume that $\|b_1\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|\nabla b_1\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} = 1$.

Let $t_1, t_2 \in (1, 2]$ such that $\frac{s}{n} = \frac{1}{t_1} + \frac{1}{t_2}$, $p_k \in (t_k, \infty)$ with $k = 1, 2$, w_1, w_2 be weights such that $\vec{w} \in A_{\vec{p}/\vec{t}}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$. Recalling that $\nu_{\vec{w}} \in A_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we know that $\nu_{\vec{w}} \in R_\theta$ for some $\theta \in (0, 1)$. Also, by Corollary 2.1 in [17], we can choose $\delta \in (0, 1)$ which is close to 1, such that $\vec{w} \in A_{\delta \vec{p}/\vec{t}}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ and

$$\frac{s}{n} < \frac{\delta}{t_1} + \frac{\delta}{t_2} + 1, \quad p_k > \frac{t_k}{\delta} \quad (k = 1, 2).$$

Let $\frac{1}{\tilde{t}} = \frac{1}{t_1} + \frac{1}{t_2}$ and $r_k = \frac{t_k}{\delta}$ with $k = 1, 2$. We claim that for each $\beta \in (0, 1)$ and $\epsilon > 0$,

- (a) there exists a constant $A = A(\epsilon)$ which is independent of N , f_1 and f_2 , such that

$$\left(\int_{|x| > A} |[b_1, T_{\sigma, N}^\beta]_1(f_1, f_2)(x)|^p \nu_{\vec{w}}(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \lesssim \epsilon \prod_{k=1}^2 \|f_k\|_{L^{p_k}(\mathbb{R}^n, w_k)}; \quad (3.15)$$

- (b) there exists a constant $\rho = \rho_\epsilon$ which is independent of N , f_1 and f_2 , such that for all $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $0 < |u| < \rho$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|[b_1, T_{\sigma, N}^\beta]_1(f_1, f_2)(\cdot + u) - [b_1, T_{\sigma, N}^\beta]_1(f_1, f_2)(\cdot)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \nu_{\vec{w}})} \\
& \lesssim \epsilon \prod_{k=1}^2 \|f_k\|_{L^{p_k}(\mathbb{R}^n, w_k)}. \quad (3.16)
\end{aligned}$$

If we can prove this, it then follows from the Fatou lemma that both (3.15) and (3.16) are true with $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $T_{\sigma, N}^\beta$ is replaced by T_σ^β , here T_σ^β is defined by

$$T_\sigma^\beta(f_1, f_2)(x) = \sum_{\kappa \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi^\beta(x; y, z) \mathcal{F}^{-1} \tilde{\sigma}_\kappa(x; y, z) f_1(y) f_2(z) dy dz.$$

Since $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is dense in $L^{p_k}(\mathbb{R}^n, w_k)$, we then know that (3.15) and (3.16) are true when $T_{\sigma, N}^\beta$ is replaced by T_σ^β . This, via Lemma 3.8, tells us that $[b_1, T_\sigma^\beta]_1$ is compact from $L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n, w_1) \times L^{p_2}(\mathbb{R}^n, w_2)$ to $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \nu_{\vec{w}})$. On the other hand, (3.14) together with the Fatou lemma and a familiar density argument, leads to that

$$\|[b_1, T_\sigma]_1(f_1, f_2) - [b_1, T_\sigma^\beta]_1(f_1, f_2)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \nu_{\vec{w}})} \lesssim \beta \prod_{k=1}^2 \|f_k\|_{L^{p_k}(\mathbb{R}^n, w_k)}.$$

Therefore, $[b_1, T_\sigma]_1$ is compact from $L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n, w_1) \times L^{p_2}(\mathbb{R}^n, w_2)$ to $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \nu_{\vec{w}})$.

We first prove the conclusion (a). Let $R > 0$ be large enough such that $\text{supp } b_1 \subset B(0, R)$. For every fixed $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $|x| > 2R$, set

$$U_{N,0}^R(x) = \int_{|y_2| \leq |x|} \int_{|y_1| \leq R} |K^N(x - y_1, x - y_2)| |f_1(y_1) f_2(y_2)| dy_1 dy_2$$

and

$$U_{N,l}^R(x) = \int_{2^{l-1}|x| < |y_2| \leq 2^l|x|} \int_{|y_1| \leq R} |K^N(x - y_1, x - y_2)| |f_1(y_1) f_2(y_2)| dy_1 dy_2.$$

We deduce from Lemma 3.3 that for integers $N > 0$ and $l \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} U_{N,l}^R(x) &\lesssim \sum_{\kappa: 2^\kappa R \geq 1} V_{\kappa,l}^R(x) + \sum_{\kappa: 2^\kappa R \leq 1} V_{\kappa,l}^R(x) \\ &\lesssim ((2^l|x|)^{-s} R^{s-\frac{n}{r_1}-\frac{n}{r_2}} + (2^l|x|)^{-\gamma} R^{\gamma-\frac{n}{r_1}-\frac{n}{r_2}}) \prod_{k=1}^2 \|f_k\|_{L^{p_k}(\mathbb{R}^n, w_k)} \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_{B(0,R)} w_1^{-\frac{p_1-1}{r_1}}(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{r_1}-\frac{1}{p_1}} \left(\int_{B(0,2^l|x|)} w_2^{-\frac{p_2-1}{r_2}}(z) dz \right)^{\frac{1}{r_2}-\frac{1}{p_2}}, \end{aligned}$$

if we choose $\gamma < \frac{n}{r_1} + \frac{n}{r_2}$. Let $A > 4R$. Recall that $p > 1$. It then follows directly that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\int_{2^{j-1}A < |x| \leq 2^j A} |[b_1, T_{\sigma, N}^\beta]_1(f_1, f_2)(x)|^p \nu_{\vec{w}}(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(\int_{2^{j-1}A < |x| \leq 2^j A} |U_{N,l}^R(x)|^p \nu_{\vec{w}}(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(\int_{B(0,2^j A)} \nu_{\vec{w}}(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} (2^{j+l}A)^{-s} R^{s-\frac{n}{r_1}-\frac{n}{r_2}} \prod_{k=1}^2 \|f_k\|_{L^{p_k}(\mathbb{R}^n, w_k)} \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_{B(0,R)} w_1^{-\frac{p_1-1}{r_1}}(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{r_1}-\frac{1}{p_1}} \left(\int_{B(0,2^{j+l}A)} w_2^{-\frac{p_2-1}{r_2}}(z) dz \right)^{\frac{1}{r_2}-\frac{1}{p_2}} \\ &\quad + \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(\int_{B(0,2^j A)} \nu_{\vec{w}}(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} (2^{j+l}A)^{-\gamma} R^{\gamma-\frac{n}{r_1}-\frac{n}{r_2}} \prod_{k=1}^2 \|f_k\|_{L^{p_k}(\mathbb{R}^n, w_k)} \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_{B(0,R)} w_1^{-\frac{p_1-1}{r_1}}(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{r_1}-\frac{1}{p_1}} \left(\int_{B(0,2^{j+l}A)} w_2^{-\frac{p_2-1}{r_2}}(z) dz \right)^{\frac{1}{r_2}-\frac{1}{p_2}}. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to verify that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(\int_{B(0,2^j A)} \nu_{\bar{w}}(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} (2^{j+l} A)^{-s} R^{s-\frac{n}{r_1}-\frac{n}{r_2}} \\ & \times \left(\int_{B(0,R)} w_1^{-\frac{p_1-1}{r_1}}(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{r_1}-\frac{1}{p_1}} \left(\int_{B(0,2^{l+j} A)} w_2^{-\frac{p_2-1}{r_2}}(z) dz \right)^{\frac{1}{r_2}-\frac{1}{p_2}} \\ & \lesssim R^{s-\frac{n}{r_1}-\frac{n}{r_2}} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (2^{l+j} A)^{-s+\frac{n}{r_1}+\frac{n}{r_2}} \\ & \lesssim \left(\frac{R}{A} \right)^{s-\frac{n}{r_1}-\frac{n}{r_2}} 2^{j(-s+\frac{n}{r_1}+\frac{n}{r_2})}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, noting that $w_1^{-\frac{1}{r_1-1}} \in A_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, there exists a constant $\zeta \in (0, \frac{1}{r_1} + \frac{1}{r_2})$ such that

$$\int_{B(0,R)} w_1^{-\frac{1}{r_1-1}}(y_1) dy_1 \lesssim (2^{-(j+l)} R A^{-1})^{n\zeta} \int_{B(0,2^{j+l} A)} w_1^{-\frac{1}{r_1-1}}(y_1) dy_1,$$

which, in turn, implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(\int_{B(0,2^j A)} \nu_{\bar{w}}(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} (2^{j+l} A)^{-\gamma} R^{\gamma-\frac{n}{r_1}-\frac{n}{r_2}} \\ & \times \left(\int_{B(0,R)} w_1^{-\frac{p_1-1}{r_1}}(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{r_1}-\frac{1}{p_1}} \left(\int_{B(0,2^{l+j} A)} w_2^{-\frac{p_2-1}{r_2}}(z) dz \right)^{\frac{1}{r_2}-\frac{1}{p_2}} \\ & \lesssim \left(\frac{R}{A} \right)^{\gamma+n\zeta-\frac{n}{r_1}-\frac{n}{r_2}} 2^{j(-\gamma-n\zeta+\frac{n}{r_1}+\frac{n}{r_2})}, \end{aligned}$$

if we choose

$$\gamma \in \left(-n\zeta + \frac{n}{r_1} + \frac{n}{r_2}, \frac{n}{r_1} + \frac{n}{r_2} \right).$$

Thus, for $b_1 \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have that for some constant $\eta > 0$,

$$\left(\int_{|x|>A} \left| [b_1, T_{\sigma,N}^{\beta}]_1(f_1, f_2)(x) \right|^p \nu_{\bar{w}}(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \lesssim \left(\frac{R}{A} \right)^{\eta} \prod_{k=1}^2 \|f_k\|_{L^{p_k}(\mathbb{R}^n, w_k)}.$$

This leads to the conclusion (a).

We turn our attention to conclusion (b). Let

$$\gamma \in \left(0, \frac{n}{r_1} + \frac{n}{r_2} \right).$$

Set

$$W^{N,\beta}(x+u, x; y_1, y_2) = K^{N,\beta}(x+u; y_1, y_2) - K^{N,\beta}(x; y_1, y_2),$$

and set

$$\begin{aligned} J_1^{\beta}(f_1, f_2)(x) &= (b_1(x) - b_1(x+u)) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} K^{N,\beta}(x; y, z) f_1(y) f_2(z) dy dz, \\ J_2^{\beta}(f_1, f_2)(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} W^{N,\beta}(x+u, x; y, z) (b_1(y) - b_1(x+u)) f_1(y) f_2(z) dy dz. \end{aligned}$$

As in the proof of Lemma 3.7, we obtain by Lemma 3.2 that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} K^{N,\beta}(x; y_1, y_2) f_1(y_1) f_2(y_2) dy_1 dy_2 \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \int_{\max_{k=1,2} |x-y_k| \geq 2\beta} K^N(x; y_1, y_2) f_1(y_1) f_2(y_2) dy_1 dy_2 \right| \\ & \lesssim \int_{\beta \leq \max_{k=1,2} |x-y_k| \leq 2\beta} |K^N(x; y_1, y_2)| |f_1(y_1) f_2(y_2)| dy_1 dy_2 \\ & \lesssim \mathcal{M}_{\vec{r}, -\gamma + \frac{n}{r_1} + \frac{n}{r_2}}^{(1)}(f_1, f_2)(x). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$|J_1^\beta(f_1, f_2)(x)| \lesssim |u| (\mathcal{T}_{\sigma, N}(f_1, f_2)(x) + \mathcal{M}_{\vec{r}, -\gamma + \frac{n}{r_1} + \frac{n}{r_2}}^{(1)}(f_1, f_2)(x)). \tag{3.17}$$

Note that $|\psi^\beta(x+u; y_1; y_2) - \psi^\beta(x; y_1, y_2)| \lesssim \frac{|u|}{\beta}$, and

$$\begin{aligned} |W^{N,\beta}(x+u, x; y_1, y_2)| & \leq |W^N(x+u, x; y_1, y_2)| |\psi^\beta(x+u; y_1; y_2)| \\ & \quad + |K^N(x; y_1, y_2)| |\psi^\beta(x+u; y_1; y_2) - \psi^\beta(x; y_1, y_2)|. \end{aligned}$$

Let $|u| \leq \frac{\beta}{2}$. By Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.4 and the argument used in the proof of Lemma 3.7, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} |J_2^\beta(f_1, f_2)(x)| & \lesssim \int_{\max_{k=1,2} |x-y_k| > \frac{\beta}{2}} |W^N(x+u, x; y_1, y_2) f_1(y_1) f_2(y_2)| dy_1 dy_2 \\ & \quad + \frac{|u|}{\beta} \int_{\substack{\max_{k=1,2} |x-y_k| \leq 3\beta \\ \max_{k=1,2} |x-y_k| \geq \frac{\beta}{2}}} |K^N(x; y_1, y_2) f_1(y_2) f_2(y_2)| dy_1 dy_2 \\ & \lesssim \sum_{\substack{j_1, j_2 \geq 0 \\ \max\{j_1, j_2\} \geq 1}} \left(\int_{S_{j_2}(B(x, \frac{\beta}{4}))} |W^N(x, x+u; y, z)|^{r'_1} dy \right)^{\frac{r'_2}{r_1}} dz \Big)^{\frac{1}{r_2}} \\ & \quad \times \left(\int_{S_{j_1}(B(x, \frac{\beta}{4}))} |f_1(y)|^{r_1} dy \right)^{\frac{1}{r_1}} \left(\int_{S_{j_2}(B(x, \frac{\beta}{4}))} |f_2(z)|^{r_2} dz \right)^{\frac{1}{r_2}} \\ & \quad + \frac{|u|}{\beta} \mathcal{M}_{\vec{r}, -\gamma + \frac{n}{r_1} + \frac{n}{r_2}}^{(1)}(f_1, f_2)(x) \\ & \lesssim \sum_{\max\{j_1, j_2\} \geq 1} \frac{|u|^{s - \frac{n}{r_1} - \frac{n}{r_2}}}{|2^{j^*} B(x, \frac{\beta}{4})|^{\frac{s}{n}}} \prod_{k=1}^2 \left(\int_{S_{j_k}(B(x, \frac{\beta}{4}))} |f_k(y_k)|^{r_k} dy_k \right)^{\frac{1}{r_k}} \\ & \quad + \frac{|u|}{\beta} \mathcal{M}_{\vec{r}, -\gamma + \frac{n}{r_1} + \frac{n}{r_2}}^{(1)}(f_1, f_2)(x) \\ & \lesssim \left(\frac{|u|}{\beta} \right)^\varrho \mathcal{M}_{\vec{r}, -\gamma + \frac{n}{r_1} + \frac{n}{r_2}}^{(1)}(f_1, f_2)(x) \end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

with $\varrho = \min \{1, s - \frac{n}{r_1} - \frac{n}{r_2}\}$. Note that

$$|[b_1, T_{\sigma, N}^\beta]_1(f_1, f_2)(x+u) - [b_1, T_{\sigma, N}^\beta]_1(f_1, f_2)(x)| \lesssim \sum_{k=1}^2 J_k^\beta(f_1, f_2)(x).$$

The conclusion (b) now follows from (3.17)–(3.18), Lemma 3.6 and Theorem 2.1, if we choose γ such that $0 < -\gamma + \frac{n}{r_1} + \frac{n}{r_2} < n\theta \min \left\{ \frac{1}{p_1}, \frac{1}{p_2} \right\}$.

References

- [1] Bényi, A. and Torres, R. H., Compact bilinear operators and commutators, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **141**, 2013, 3609–3621.
- [2] Benyi, A., Damián, W., Moen, K. and Torres, R., Compactness of bilinear commutator: The weighted case, *Michigan Math. J.*, **64**, 2015, 39–51.
- [3] Bourdaud, G., Lanze de Cristoforis, M. and Sickel, W., Functional calculus on BMO and related spaces, *J. Func. Anal.*, **189**, 2002, 515–538.
- [4] Bui, A. T. and Duong, X. T., Weighted norm inequalities for multilinear operators and applications to multilinear Fourier multipliers, *Bull. Sci. Math.*, **137**, 2013, 63–75.
- [5] Clop, A. and Cruz, V., Weighted estimates for Beltrami equations, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.*, **38**, 2013, 91–113.
- [6] Coifman, R. R. and Meyer, Y., Au delà des opérateurs pseudo-différentiels, *Astérisque*, **57**, 1978, 1–185.
- [7] Coifman, R. R. and Weiss, G., Extension of Hardy spaces and their use in analysis, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **83**, 1977, 569–645.
- [8] Fujita, M. and Tomita, N., Weighted norm inequalities for multilinear Fourier multipliers, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **364**, 2012, 6335–6353.
- [9] Grafakos, L., Liu, L. and Yang, D., Multiple weighted norm inequalities for maximal singular integrals with non-smooth kernels, *Proc. Royal Soc. Edinb. Ser. A*, **141**, 2011, 755–775.
- [10] Grafakos, L., Miyachi, A. and Tomita, N., On multilinear Fourier multipliers of limited smoothness, *Canad. J. Math.*, **65**, 2013, 299–330.
- [11] Grafakos, L. and Si, Z., The Hörmander multiplier theorem for multilinear operators, *J. Reine. Angew. Math.*, **668**, 2012, 133–147.
- [12] Grafakos, L. and Torres, R. H., Multilinear Calderón-Zygmund theory, *Adv. Math.*, **165**, 2002, 124–164.
- [13] Grafakos, L. and Torres, R. H., Maximal operator and weighted norm inequalities for multilinear singular integrals, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, **51**, 2002, 1261–1276.
- [14] Hu, G., The compactness of the commutator of bilinear Fourier multiplier operator, *Taiwanese J. Math.*, **18**, 2014, 661–675.
- [15] Hu, G. and Lin, C., Weighted norm inequalities for multilinear singular integral operators and applications, *Anal. Appl.*, **12**, 2014, 269–291.
- [16] Hu, G. and Yi, W., Estimates for the commutators of bilinear Fourier multiplier, *Czech. Math. J.*, **63**, 2013, 1113–1134.
- [17] Jiao, Y., A weighted norm inequality for the bilinear Fourier multiplier operator, *Math. Inequal. Appl.*, **17**, 2014, 899–912.
- [18] Kenig, C. and Stein, E. M., Multilinear estimates and fractional integral, *Math. Res. Lett.*, **6**, 1999, 1–15.
- [19] Lerner, A., Ombrossi, S., Pérez, C., et al., New maximal functions and multiple weights for the multilinear Calderón-Zygmund theory, *Adv. Math.*, **220**, 2009, 1222–1264.
- [20] Miyachi, A. and Tomita, N., Minimal smoothness conditions for bilinear Fourier multiplier, *Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana*, **29**, 2013, 495–530.
- [21] Tomita, N., A Hörmander type multiplier theorem for multilinear operator, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **259**, 2010, 2028–2044.
- [22] Zhou, J. and Li, P., Compactness of the commutator of multilinear Fourier multiplier operator on weighted Lebesgue space, *J. Funct. Spaces*, 2014, Article ID 606504. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2014/606504>