Boundedness of Iterated Spherical Average on α -Modulation Spaces^{*}

Qiang HUANG¹ Xiaomei WU²

Abstract For the iteration of spherical average $(A_1)^N$ and the Laplace operator Δ , we consider the boundedness of the operator $\Delta(A_1)^N$ on the α -modulation spaces $M_{p,q}^{s,\alpha}$. The authors obtain some sufficient and necessary conditions to ensure the boundedness on the α -modulation spaces. The main theorems significantly improve some known results.

Keywords Spherical average, α-Modulation spaces, Bessel functions **2000 MR Subject Classification** 42B35, 42B15, 41A17

1 Introduction

Let \mathbb{S}^{n-1} be the unit sphere in the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n , $n \geq 2$. We define the average operator of functions f on the unit sphere as

$$A_1(f)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} f(x-y') \mathrm{d}\sigma(y'),$$

where $d\sigma(y')$ is the normalized surface Lebesgue measure.

This operator has a profound background in harmonic analysis, dating back to early 1970's (see [21–22]). About 120 years ago, Pearson (see [18]) first used it to study random walks in high dimensional spaces. An N-steps uniform walk in \mathbb{R}^n starts at the origin and consists of N independent steps of length 1, each of which is taken into a uniformly random direction. The probability density function $p_N(\frac{n-2}{2}, x)$ of such a random walk is the Fourier inverse of $(A_1)^N$ (see [4]), where $(A_1)^N$ denotes the N iteration of A_1 .

The operator A_1 also plays a significant role in the approximation theory (see [1]). In order to obtain some equivalent forms of the K-functional in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ spaces, Belinsky, Dai and Ditzian [1] studied the iterates $\Delta(A_1)^N$ for positive integers N, where Δ is the Laplacian. They obtained the following result.

Theorem A (see [1]) Let $1 \le p \le \infty$, $n \ge 2$ and $N > \frac{2(n+2)}{n-1}$. The inequality $\|\Delta(A_1)^N(f)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}$

holds for all $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Manuscript received November 9, 2019. Revised March 30, 2021.

¹Department of Mathematics, Zhejiang Normal University, Jinhua 321004, Zhejiang, China.

E-mail: huangqiang0704@163.com.

²Corresponding author. College of Data Science, Jiaxing University, Jiaxing 314001, Zhejiang, China. E-mail: wuxm@zjnu.cn.

^{*}This work was supported by the Science Foundation of Zhejiang Education Department (No. Y201738640) and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 11801518, 11671362).

Naturally, we may ask that what is the smallest positive integer N to guarantee the inequality

$$\|\Delta(A_1)^N(f)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Fan and Zhao in [7] answered this question by using the well known estimates of wave operators (see [16, 19]). Recently, Fan, Lou and Wang [6] obtained the sufficient and necessary conditions for the smallest positive integer N, which completely solved the above question. We state their theorem as follows.

Theorem B (see [6]) Let $n \neq 3, 5$, and N be positive integers. The inequality

 $\|\Delta(A_1)^N(f)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}$

holds if and only if $N > \frac{n+3}{n-1}$.

Let n = 3, 5, and N be positive integers. The inequality

$$\|\Delta(A_1)^N(f)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

holds if and only if $N \ge \frac{n+3}{n-1}$.

Later, in [13], Huang extended the boundedness of $\Delta(A_1)^N$ on the modulation spaces $M_{p,q}^s$ on full ranges of $1 \leq p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2 \leq \infty$ and $s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. They obtained the sufficiency and necessity for the boundedness of $\Delta(A_1)^N$ from $M_{p_1,q_1}^{s_1}$ to $M_{p_2,q_2}^{s_2}$. Moreover, he found the smallest iterate step N which ensures that $\Delta(A_1)^N$ is bounded on modulation spaces $M_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $(p,q,s) \in [1,+\infty) \times [1,+\infty) \times \mathbb{R}$ is $\frac{4}{n-1}$, which is smaller than that in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ spaces (see Theorem B). Precisely, the related result is stated as follows.

Theorem C (see [13]) Let $\sigma = 2 - \frac{n-1}{2}N$ and $1 \le p_i, q_i \le \infty$, $s_i \in \mathbb{R}$ for i = 1, 2. When $q_1 \le q_2$, the iterated spherical average $\Delta(A_1)^N$ is bounded from $M_{p_1,q_1}^{s_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $M_{p_2,q_2}^{s_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if and only if

$$p_1 \le p_2, \quad s_1 \ge s_2 + \sigma.$$

When $q_1 > q_2$, the iterated spherical average $\Delta(A_1)^N$ is bounded from $M^{s_1}_{p_1,q_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $M^{s_2}_{p_2,q_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if and only if

$$p_1 \le p_2, \quad s_1 + \frac{n}{q_1} > s_2 + \frac{n}{q_2} + \sigma.$$

The modulation space $M_{p,q}^s$ was introduced by Feichtinger [8] in order to measure smoothness of a function or distribution in a way different from L^p spaces. Nowadays, spaces $M_{p,q}^s$ are recognized as a useful tool for studying functional analysis, pseudo-differential operators and certain Cauchy problems of nonlinear partial differential equations (see [2, 5, 11, 15, 17, 20, 23, 24]). In addition, Gröbner in his unpublished thesis (see [9]) extended modulation space to α modulation space $M_{p,q}^{s,\alpha}$ by using the α -decomposition on the frequency space. Their definitions will be represented in Section 2. Here we first point out one of their significant properties: The parameter $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ determines a segmentation of the frequency spaces. When $\alpha = 0$, $M_{p,q}^{s,0}$ is equivalent to the classical modulation space $M_{p,q}^s$. When $\alpha \to 1$, $M_{p,q}^{s,1}$ is considered equivalent to the classical modulation space. Hence, it is very important to study some analysis

Ģ

and PDE's problems in α -modulation space. Among numerous research papers, the reader may refer to [3, 10, 12, 14, 26] and the references therein.

Motivated by the above works, in this paper, we consider the boundedness of $\Delta(A_1)^N$ on α -modulation spaces and give some sufficient and necessary conditions on the boundedness of $\Delta(A_1)^N$ from $M^{s_1,\alpha}_{p_1,q_1}$ to $M^{s_2,\alpha}_{p_2,q_2}$. We state our main results as follows.

Theorem 1.1 Let $\sigma = 2 - \frac{n-1}{2}N + \frac{n\alpha}{2}$ and $1 \le p_i, q_i \le \infty$, $s_i \in \mathbb{R}$ for $i = 1, 2, \alpha \in [0, 1)$. When

$$q_1 \le q_2, \quad p_1 \le p_2, \quad s_1 - \frac{n\alpha}{p_1} \ge s_2 - \frac{n\alpha}{p_2} + \sigma$$

or

$$q_1 > q_2$$
, $p_1 \le p_2$, $s_1 - \frac{n\alpha}{p_1} + \frac{n(1-\alpha)}{q_1} > s_2 - \frac{n\alpha}{p_2} + \frac{n(1-\alpha)}{q_2} + \sigma_1$

the iterated spherical average $\Delta(A_1)^N$ is bounded from $M^{s_1,\alpha}_{p_1,q_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $M^{s_2,\alpha}_{p_2,q_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Theorem 1.2 Let $1 \leq p_i, q_i \leq \infty, s_i \in \mathbb{R}$ for $i = 1, 2, \alpha \in [0, 1)$. If the iterated spherical average $\Delta(A_1)^N$ is bounded from $M_{p_1,q_1}^{s_1,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $M_{p_2,q_2}^{s_2,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then the following conditions must hold

$$p_1 \le p_2, \quad s_1 \ge s_2 + 2 - \frac{n-1}{2}N, \quad when \ q_1 \le q_2$$

or

$$p_1 \le p_2$$
, $s_1 + \frac{n(1-\alpha)}{q_1} > s_2 + \frac{n(1-\alpha)}{q_2} + 2 - \frac{n-1}{2}N$, when $q_1 > q_2$.

Remark 1.1 When $\alpha = 0$, we can see that the above results are sharp and coincide with that in modulation spaces which was obtained in [13]. But for the case $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, our results are not sharp for some technical problems. Essentially, these difficulties are due to two properties of Bessel function. The first one is that $V_{\delta}(r)$ and $\frac{d}{dr}V_{\delta}(r)$ share the same upper bound as $r \to \infty$. The other fact is that the first term of asymptotic expansion of the Bessel function is $\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi r}\cos(r-\frac{\delta\pi}{2})}$, which exists root in every interval $[2k\pi, 2(k+1)\pi]$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is somewhat routine with the help of Bernstein's multiplier theorem. However the proof of Theorem 1.2 is quite involved. Based on the structure of $M_{p,q}^{s,\alpha}$ and the asymptotic form of the Fourier transform of $\Delta(A_1)^N$, we construct a sequence of functions $\{f_{k_i,\lambda}\}$ to achieve the necessary conditions.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we will introduce some preliminary knowledge which includes some properties of α -modulation spaces and some useful lemmas. The proofs of main results will be presented in Section 3.

Throughout this paper, we use the inequality $A \leq B$ to mean that there is a positive number C independent of all main variables such that $A \leq CB$, and use the notation $A \simeq B$ to mean $A \leq B$ and $B \leq A$.

2 Preliminaries and Lemmas

In this section, we give the definition and discuss some basic properties of α -modulation spaces. Also, we will state some estimates and lemmas which will be used in our proofs.

Definition 2.1 (α -Modulation Space) Let ρ be a nonnegative smooth radial bump function supported in B(0,2), satisfying $\rho(\xi) = 1$ for $|\xi| < 1$ and $\rho(\xi) = 0$ for $|\xi| \ge 2$. For any $k = (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, we set

$$\rho_k^{\alpha}(\xi) = \rho\left(\frac{\xi - \langle k \rangle^{\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}}k}{\langle k \rangle^{\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}}}\right)$$

and

$$\eta_k^{\alpha} = \frac{\rho_k^{\alpha}(\xi)}{\sum\limits_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \rho_l^{\alpha}(\xi)}.$$

We define the ball

$$B_k^r := \{ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : |\xi - \langle k \rangle^{\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}} k | < r \langle k \rangle^{\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}} \}.$$

It is easy to check that $\{\eta_k^{\alpha}\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n}$ satisfy

$$\begin{split} \sup \eta_k^{\alpha} \subset B_k^2, \\ \eta_k^{\alpha}(\xi) &= 1, \quad \forall \xi \in B_k^1, \\ \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \eta_k^{\alpha}(\xi) &\equiv 1, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \end{split}$$

and

$$|\partial^{\gamma}\eta_{k}^{\alpha}(\xi)| \leq C_{|\gamma|}\langle k \rangle^{-\frac{\alpha|\gamma|}{1-\alpha}}, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \ \gamma \in \mathbb{N}^{n}.$$

$$(2.1)$$

This type of decomposition on frequency space is called α -decomposition which is a generalization of the uniform decomposition and the dyadic decomposition. Corresponding to the above sequence $\{\eta_k^{\alpha}\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n}$, we can construct an operator sequence $\{\Box_k^{\alpha}\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n}$ by

$$\Box_k^{\alpha} = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \eta_k^{\alpha} \mathcal{F},$$

where \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{F}^{-1} denote the standard Fourier transform, and inverse Fourier transform respectively. For $\alpha \in [0,1), 0 < p,q \leq \infty, s \in \mathbb{R}$, using this α -decomposition, we define α -modulation space as

$$M_{p,q}^{s,\alpha} = \{ f \in \mathcal{S}' : \|f\|_{M_{p,q}^{s,\alpha}} < \infty \},\$$

where

$$\|f\|_{M^{s,\alpha}_{p,q}} = \Big(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \langle k \rangle^{\frac{sq}{1-\alpha}} \|\Box_k^{\alpha} f\|_{L^p}^q \Big)^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

and $\langle k \rangle = \sqrt{1 + |k|^2}$. See [12] for details.

We now list some basic properties about α -modulation spaces.

Proposition 2.1 (Almost Orthogonality) (see [12]) For any $k \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, we define

$$\Lambda_k^{\alpha} = \{ l \in \mathbb{Z}^n : \operatorname{supp} \eta_l^{\alpha} \cap \operatorname{supp} \eta_k^{\alpha} \neq \emptyset \}.$$

Then the cardinality of Λ_k^{α} is uniformly finite for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}^n$.

Proposition 2.2 (Isomorphism) (see [12]) Let $0 < p, q \le \infty, s, \tau \in \mathbb{R}$.

$$J_{\sigma} = (I - \Delta)^{\frac{\tau}{2}} : M_{p,q}^{s,\alpha} \to M_{p,q}^{s-\tau,\alpha}$$

is an isomorphic mapping, where I is the identity mapping and Δ is the Laplacian.

Proposition 2.3 (Embedding) (see [12]) Suppose that $0 < p_1 \le p_2 \le \infty$, $0 < q_1, q_2 \le \infty$ and if

$$q_1 \le q_2, \quad s_1 - \frac{n\alpha}{p} \ge s_2 - \frac{n\alpha}{p_2}$$

or

$$q_1 > q_2$$
, $s_1 - \frac{\alpha n}{p_1} + \frac{(1-\alpha)n}{q_1} > s_2 - \frac{\alpha n}{p_2} + \frac{(1-\alpha)n}{q_2}$

we have

$$M_{p_1,q_1}^{s_1,\alpha} \subset M_{p_2,q_2}^{s_2,\alpha}.$$

The Fourier multiplier m(D) is a linear operator whose action on a test function f is formally defined by

$$\widehat{m(D)f}(\xi) = m(\xi)\widehat{f}(\xi).$$

The function $m(\xi)$ is called the symbol or multiplier of m(D). Up to a constant multiple, m(D) is a convolution operator with the kernel. In the sense of distribution, it is defined as

$$K(x) = (m(\cdot))^{\vee}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} m(\xi) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\xi \cdot x} \mathrm{d}\xi.$$

By the Young inequality, if $||m^{\vee}|| \in L^1$ and $f \in L^p$, then we have

$$||m(D)f||_{L^p} \leq ||m^{\vee}||_{L^1} ||f||_{L^p}$$

for any $1 \le p \le \infty$. We will use the following Bernstein multiplier theorem to estimate $||m^{\vee}||_{L^1}$.

Lemma 2.1 (Bernstein's multiplier Theorem) (see [25]) Assume that $0 and <math>\partial^{\gamma} m(\xi) \in L^2$ for all multi-indices γ with $|\gamma| \leq \left[n\left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2}\right)\right] + 1$. We have

$$||m^{\vee}||_{L^p} \preceq \sum_{|\gamma| \leq [n(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2})] + 1} ||\partial^{\gamma}m||_{L^2}.$$

By checking the Fourier transform (see [22]), we have that

$$\mathcal{F}(\Delta(A_1)^N f)(\xi) \simeq |\xi|^2 (V_{\frac{n-2}{2}}(|\xi|))^N \widehat{f}(\xi),$$

where

$$V_{\delta}(r) = \frac{J_{\delta}(r)}{r^{\delta}}$$

and $J_{\delta}(r)$ is the Bessel function of order δ which is defined as

$$J_{\delta}(r) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} e^{ir\sin\theta} e^{-i\delta\theta} d\theta$$

Here we state some basic properties about the Bessel function which will be used in our proofs.

Lemma 2.2 (see [22]) For any $\delta > -\frac{1}{2}$, we have

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}V_{\delta}(r)}{\mathrm{d}r} = -rV_{\delta+1}(r),\tag{2.2}$$

$$V_{\delta}(r) = O(1), \quad if \ |r| \le 1.$$
 (2.3)

Lemma 2.3 (see [22]) Let r > 1 and $\delta > -\frac{1}{2}$. For any positive integer L and $r \in [1, \infty)$, we have

$$J_{\delta}(r) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi r}} \cos\left(r - \frac{\delta\pi}{2} - \frac{\pi}{4}\right) + \sum_{j=1}^{L} a_j e^{ir} r^{-\frac{1}{2}-j} + \sum_{j=1}^{L} b_j e^{-ir} r^{-\frac{1}{2}-j} + E(r),$$

where a_j and b_j are constants for all j, and E(r) is a C^{∞} function satisfying

$$|E^{(k)}(r)| \leq r^{-\frac{1}{2}-L-1}$$

for any $k = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$.

3 Proofs of Main Results

Now we show the proof of Theorem 1.1. By the definition of α -modulation spaces, we need to estimate $\|\Box_k^{\alpha} \Delta(A_1)^N f\|_{L^{p_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$. First, we obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1 Let $1 \le p_2 \le \infty$ and $\sigma = 2 - \frac{n-1}{2}N + \frac{n\alpha}{2}$. Then

$$\|\Box_k^{\alpha}\Delta(A_1)^N f\|_{L^{p_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \langle k \rangle^{\frac{\sigma}{1-\alpha}} \|\Box_k^{\alpha} f\|_{L^{p_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Proof For any $k \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, $\Box_k^{\alpha} \Delta(A_1)^N f$ is a Fourier multiplier $m_k^{\alpha}(D)(f) = \Omega_k^{\alpha}(x) * f$, where

$$\Omega_k^{\alpha}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \eta_k^{\alpha}(\xi) |\xi|^2 (V_{\frac{n-2}{2}}(|\xi|))^N \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\xi \cdot x} \mathrm{d}\xi.$$
(3.1)

By the almost orthogonality of α -decomposition (Proposition 2.1), there exists an integer $k_0(n)$ which depends only on n, such that $\eta_l^{\alpha}(\xi)\eta_k^{\alpha}(\xi) = 0$ when $|l-k| \ge k_0(n)$. By the definition of α -decomposition, we have

$$\sum_{k\in Z^n} \Box_k^{\alpha} = I,$$

where I is the identity operator. Then, Young's inequality and Minkowski's inequality yield

$$\begin{split} \|\Box_k^{\alpha} \Delta(A_1)^N f\|_{L^{p_2}} &\leq \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^n, |l-k| \leq k_0(n)} \|\Box_l^{\alpha} \Delta(A_1)^N \Box_k^{\alpha} f\|_{L^{p_2}} \\ &\leq \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^n, |l-k| \leq k_0(n)} \|(\eta_l^{\alpha}(\xi)|\xi|^2 (V_{\frac{n-2}{2}}(|\xi|))^N)^{\vee}\|_{L^1} \|\Box_k^{\alpha} f\|_{L^{p_2}}. \end{split}$$

Thus, we only need to estimate

$$\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^n, |l-k| \le k_0(n)} \| (\eta_l^{\alpha}(\xi) |\xi|^2 (V_{\frac{n-2}{2}}(|\xi|))^N)^{\vee} \|_{L^1}$$

for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. By Proposition 2.1, it suffices to estimate

$$\|(\eta_l^{\alpha}(\xi)|\xi|^2(V_{\frac{n-2}{2}}(|\xi|))^N)^{\vee}\|_{L^1} = \|\Omega_l^{\alpha}(x)\|_{L^1}$$

for $\langle l \rangle \simeq \langle k \rangle$.

When |k| < 100, by (2.3) in Lemma 2.2 and $\operatorname{supp} \eta_l^{\alpha}(\xi)$, we have that $|\Omega_l^{\alpha}(x)| \leq 1$ for |x| < 100.

On the other hand, when |k| < 100 and $|x| \ge 100$, without loss of generality, we may assume $|x_1| \ge \frac{|x|}{n}$. By the derivative formula of $V_{\delta}(r)$ (see (2.2) in Lemma 2.2) and taking integration by part on ξ_1 variable in (3.1), we obtain that

$$|\Omega_l^{\alpha}(x)| \leq \frac{1}{|x_1|^{n+1}} \leq \frac{1}{|x|^{n+1}}$$

for $|x| \ge 100$. This estimate implies that $\|\Omega_l^{\alpha}(x)\|_{L^1} \le 1$ when |k| < 100, since $\langle l \rangle \simeq \langle k \rangle$.

Next, we consider the case $|k| \ge 100$. Choosing L = 1 in Lemma 2.3, we have the following asymptotic form of $V_{\delta}(r)$

$$V_{\delta}(r) = r^{-\delta - \frac{1}{2}} \left(\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \cos\left(r - \frac{\delta\pi}{2} - \frac{\pi}{4}\right) \right) + O(r^{-\delta - \frac{3}{2}})$$

for |r| > 1.

By the definition of $\{\eta_k^{\alpha}\}$ and noticing that $\alpha \in [0, 1)$, we have that

$$|\xi| \sim \langle k \rangle^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}$$

for $\xi \in \operatorname{supp} \eta_k^{\alpha}$. Therefore, when |k| > 100 and $\langle l \rangle \simeq \langle k \rangle$, we have

$$|V_{\delta}(|\xi|)^{N}| \leq \langle l \rangle^{\frac{(-\delta - \frac{1}{2})N}{1 - \alpha}} \simeq \langle k \rangle^{\frac{(-\delta - \frac{1}{2})N}{1 - \alpha}}$$

for $\xi \in \text{supp} \eta_l^{\alpha}(\xi)$. Now, by the chain rule and the derivative formula of $V_{\delta}(r)$ (see (2.2) in Lemma 2.2), we obtain

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_i} (V_{\delta}(|\xi|))^N = -N(V_{\delta}(|\xi|))^{N-1} |\xi| \cdot V_{\delta+1}(|\xi|) \cdot \frac{\xi_i}{|\xi|} \\ = -N(V_{\delta}(|\xi|))^{N-1} V_{\delta+1}(|\xi|) \cdot \xi_i.$$

By the asymptotic form of $V_{\delta}(r)$, we obtain that

$$\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi_i}(V_{\delta}(|\xi|))^N\right| \leq |\xi|^{(-\delta - \frac{1}{2})(N-1)}|\xi|^{-\delta - \frac{3}{2}}|\xi| \leq \langle l \rangle^{\frac{(-\delta - \frac{1}{2})N}{1-\alpha}} \simeq \langle k \rangle^{\frac{(-\delta - \frac{1}{2})N}{1-\alpha}}$$

for $\xi \in \operatorname{supp} \eta_l^{\alpha}(\xi)$.

Thus, $V_{\delta}(|\xi|)^{N}$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi_{i}} \left(V_{\delta}(|\xi|)\right)^{N}$ share the same upper bound which is $\langle l \rangle^{\frac{(-\delta - \frac{1}{2})N}{1-\alpha}}$, for any $\delta > -\frac{1}{2}$ and $\xi \in \operatorname{supp} \eta_{l}^{\alpha}(\xi)$. By the fact

$$\partial^{\gamma}(|\xi|^2) \begin{cases} \preceq |\xi|^{2-|\gamma|}, & |\gamma| \leq 2, \\ = 0, & |\gamma| > 2 \end{cases}$$

and (2.1), using Bernstein's multiplier Theorem (Lemma 2.1), we can obtain that

$$\begin{split} \|\Omega_l^{\alpha}(x)\|_{L^1} &= \|(\eta_l^{\alpha}(\xi)|\xi|^2 (V_{\frac{n-2}{2}}(|\xi|))^N)^{\vee}\|_{L^1} \\ &\preceq \sum_{|\gamma| \le [\frac{n}{2}]+1} \|\partial^{\gamma}(\eta_l^{\alpha}(\xi)|\xi|^2 (V_{\frac{n-2}{2}}(|\xi|))^N)\|_{L^2} \end{split}$$

$$\leq \sum_{|\gamma| \leq [\frac{n}{2}]+1} \sum_{\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + \gamma_3 = \gamma} \|\partial^{\gamma_1} \eta_l^{\alpha}(\xi) \cdot \partial^{\gamma_2} |\xi|^2 \cdot \partial^{\gamma_3} (V_{\frac{n-2}{2}}(|\xi|))^N \|_{L^2(\operatorname{supp} \eta_l^{\alpha}(\xi))}$$

$$\leq \sum_{|\gamma| \leq [\frac{n}{2}]+1} \|\eta_l^{\alpha}(\xi) \cdot |\xi|^2 \cdot \partial^{\gamma} (V_{\frac{n-2}{2}}(|\xi|))^N \|_{L^2(\operatorname{supp} \eta_l^{\alpha}(\xi))}$$

$$\leq |l|^{\frac{2-\frac{n-1}{2}N}{1-\alpha}} \langle l \rangle^{\frac{n\alpha}{2(1-\alpha)}} \simeq \langle k \rangle^{\frac{2-\frac{n-1}{2}N+\frac{n\alpha}{2}}{1-\alpha}}$$

for $l \in \Lambda_k$.

Combining all the above estimates of $\|\Omega_l^{\alpha}(x)\|_{L^1}$, we have finished the proof of Lemma 3.1.

Next, we turn to prove Theorem 1.1. By the definition of α -modulation spaces and Lemma 3.1, we have that

$$\begin{split} \|\triangle(A_{1})^{N}f\|_{M^{s_{2},\alpha_{2}}_{p_{2},q_{2}}} \\ &= \Big(\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^{n}}\langle k\rangle^{\frac{s_{2}q_{2}}{1-\alpha}}\|\square_{k}^{\alpha}\triangle(A_{1})^{N}f\|_{L^{p_{2}}}^{q_{2}}\Big)^{\frac{1}{q_{2}}} \\ &= \Big(\sum_{|k|<100}\langle k\rangle^{\frac{s_{2}q_{2}}{1-\alpha}}\|\square_{k}^{\alpha}\triangle(A_{1})^{N}f\|_{L^{p_{2}}}^{q_{2}} + \sum_{|k|\geq100}\langle k\rangle^{\frac{s_{2}q_{2}}{1-\alpha}}\|\square_{k}^{\alpha}\triangle(A_{1})^{N}f\|_{L^{p_{2}}}^{q_{2}}\Big)^{\frac{1}{q_{2}}} \\ &\leq \Big(\sum_{|k|<100}\langle k\rangle^{\frac{s_{2}q_{2}}{1-\alpha}}\|\square_{k}^{\alpha}\triangle(A_{1})^{N}f\|_{L^{p_{2}}}^{q_{2}}\Big)^{\frac{1}{q_{2}}} + \Big(\sum_{|k|\geq100}\langle k\rangle^{\frac{s_{2}q_{2}}{1-\alpha}}\|\square_{k}^{\alpha}\triangle(A_{1})^{N}f\|_{L^{p_{2}}}^{q_{2}}\Big)^{\frac{1}{q_{2}}} \\ &\leq \Big(\sum_{|k|<100}\langle k\rangle^{\frac{s_{2}q_{2}}{1-\alpha}}\|\square_{k}^{\alpha}f\|_{L^{p_{2}}}^{q_{2}}\Big)^{\frac{1}{q_{2}}} + \Big(\sum_{|k|\geq100}\langle k\rangle^{\frac{(s_{2}+2-\frac{n-1}{2}N+\frac{n\alpha}{2})q_{2}}}\|\square_{k}^{\alpha}f\|_{L^{p_{2}}}^{q_{2}}\Big)^{\frac{1}{q_{2}}} \\ &\leq \|f\|_{M^{s_{2}+2-\frac{n-1}{2}N+\frac{n\alpha}{2}}} = \|f\|_{M^{s_{2}+\sigma}_{p_{2},q_{2}}} \,. \end{split}$$

By the embedding properties of α -modulation spaces (Proposition 2.3), we can easily obtain that

$$\|\triangle (A_1)^N f\|_{M^{s_2,\alpha_2}_{p_2,q_2}} \preceq \|f\|_{M^{s_2+\sigma,\alpha}_{p_2,q_2}} \preceq \|f\|_{M^{s_1,\alpha}_{p_1,q_1}}.$$

When

$$q_1 \le q_2, \quad p_1 \le p_2, \quad s_1 - \frac{n\alpha}{p_1} \ge s_2 - \frac{n\alpha}{p_2} + \sigma$$

or

$$q_1 > q_2$$
, $p_1 \le p_2$, $s_1 - \frac{n\alpha}{p_1} + \frac{n(1-\alpha)}{q_1} > s_2 - \frac{n\alpha}{p_2} + \frac{n(1-\alpha)}{q_2} + \sigma$.

Thus, we have completed the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Now, we turn to prove Theorem 1.2. For this purpose, we need to establish the following two lemmas (Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.3). The idea of Lemma 3.3 is derived from [13], and Lemma 3.2 has been proved in [13]. For the sake of completeness, we will show all details in the following text.

Lemma 3.2 For $j \in \mathbb{N}^+$, define

$$\Lambda_{1,j} := \{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n : |k| \in [j\pi + 0.07, (j+1)\pi - 0.07]\}$$

and

$$\Lambda_{0,j} := \{ k \in \mathbb{Z}^n : |k| \in [j\pi, (j+1)\pi] \}.$$

When j is big enough, we have

$$|\Lambda_{1,j}| \ge C(n) |\Lambda_{0,j}|,$$

where C(n) is a positive constant depending only on n.

Proof The proofs for n = 2 and n > 2 share the same idea. We only prove the case n = 2 explicitly and leave the proof of another case to the reader.

By symmetry, we only need to consider the case $\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x, y \ge 0\}$. For $j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, we define

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{I}_{x>y} &= \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |(x,y)| \in [j\pi, j\pi + 0.07], x > y \ge 0\},\\ \mathbf{I}_{x\le y} &= \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |(x,y)| \in [j\pi, j\pi + 0.07], y \ge x \ge 0\},\\ \mathbf{II} &= \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |(x,y)| \in [j\pi + 0.07, j\pi + \pi - 0.07], x, y \ge 0\},\\ \mathbf{III}_{x>y} &= \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |(x,y)| \in [j\pi + \pi - 0.07, j\pi + \pi], x > y \ge 0\} \end{split}$$

and

$$III_{x \le y} = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |(x, y)| \in [j\pi + \pi - 0.07, j\pi + \pi], y \ge x \ge 0\}.$$

Moreover, for $r, a > 0, 0 \le y \le r$, we define an auxiliary function

$$f_{r,a}(y) = \sqrt{(r+a)^2 - y^2} - \sqrt{r^2 - y^2}.$$

Taking derivative, we know that

$$f'_{r,a}(y) = y \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{r^2 - y^2}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{(r+a)^2 - y^2}} \right) \ge 0,$$

and $f_{r,a}(y)$ is a monotone increasing function.

Then, for any $(x_0, y_0) \in I_{x>y}$, we have

$$|\{x: (x, y_0) \in \mathbf{I}_{x > y}\}| = f_{j\pi, 0.07}(y_0)$$

Therefore,

$$\max_{(x_0,y_0)\in I_{x>y}} f_{j\pi,0.07}(y_0) = f_{j\pi,0.07} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(j\pi + 0.07)\right)$$
$$= \sqrt{(j\pi + 0.07)^2 - \frac{1}{2}(j\pi + 0.07)^2} - \sqrt{j\pi^2 - \frac{1}{2}(j\pi + 0.07)^2}$$
$$= \frac{0.14j + 0.07^2}{\sqrt{(j\pi + 0.07)^2 - \frac{1}{2}(j\pi + 0.07)^2} + \sqrt{j\pi^2 - \frac{1}{2}(j\pi + 0.07)^2}}.$$

It is obvious to see that $\lim_{j \to +\infty} \max_{(x_0, y_0) \in I_{x>y}} f_{j\pi, 0.07}(y_0) = \frac{0.14}{\sqrt{2}} < 1$. Thus, for any $(x_0, y_0) \in I_{x>y}$, we have

$$|\{x: (x, y_0) \in \mathbf{I}_{x>y}\}| \le \max_{(x, y) \in \mathbf{I}_{x>y}} f_{j\pi, 0.07}(y) < 1,$$

when j is big enough.

On the other hand, for any $(x_0, y_0) \in II$, we have

$$|\{x: (x, y_0) \in \mathrm{II}\}| = f_{j\pi+0.07, \pi-0.14}(y_0).$$

By monotonicity of $f_{r,a}(y)$,

$$\min_{(x_0, y_0) \in II} |\{x : (x, y_0) \in II\}| = f_{j\pi + 0.07, \pi - 0.14}(0) = \pi - 0.14 > 3.$$
(3.2)

Thus, for every $(x_0, y_0) \in I_{x>y} \bigcap \mathbb{Z}^2$, we have

$$|\{(x, y_0) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : (x, y_0) \in I_{x > y}\}| = |\{(x_0, y_0)\}| = 1$$

and

$$|\{(x, y_0) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : (x, y_0) \in \mathrm{II}\}| \ge 3.$$

Combining all above analysis, we have

$$|\{(x,y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : (x,y) \in \mathrm{II}\}| \ge 3|\{(x,y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : (x,y) \in \mathrm{I}_{x>y}|$$

Now, we consider the domain $III_{x>y}$. By the same argument, for any $(x_0, y_0) \in III_{x>y}$, we have

$$\begin{split} |\{x:(x,y_0)\in \mathrm{III}_{x>y}\}| &\leq \max_{(x_0,y_0)\in \mathrm{III}_{x>y}} f_{j\pi+\pi-0.07,0.07}(y_0) \\ &= f_{j\pi+\pi-0.07,0.07}\Big(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(j\pi+\pi)\Big) \\ &= \sqrt{(j\pi+\pi)^2 - \frac{1}{2}(j\pi+\pi)^2} - \sqrt{(j\pi+\pi-0.07)^2 - \frac{1}{2}(j\pi+\pi)^2} \\ &= \frac{0.14j + 0.07(2\pi-0.07)}{\sqrt{(j\pi+\pi)^2 - \frac{1}{2}(j\pi+\pi)^2} + \sqrt{(j\pi+\pi-0.07)^2 - \frac{1}{2}(j\pi+\pi)^2}}. \end{split}$$

It is easy to see that

$$\lim_{j \to +\infty} \max_{(x_0, y_0) \in \mathrm{III}_{x>y}} f_{j\pi + \pi - 0.07, 0.07}(y_0) = \frac{0.14}{\sqrt{2}} < 1.$$

Thus, for any $(x_0, y_0) \in III_{x>y}$, we have

$$|\{x: (x, y_0) \in III_{x>y}\}| \le \max_{(x, y) \in I_{x>y}} f_{j\pi + \pi - 0.07, 0.07}(y) < 1,$$

when j is big enough. Moreover, it is obvious

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(j\pi + \pi) < j\pi + 0.07,$$

when $j \ge 3$. So, for every $(x_0, y_0) \in III_{x>y}$,

$$|\{x: (x, y_0) \in \mathrm{II}\}| = f_{j\pi+0.07, \pi-0.14}(y_0),$$

when $j \ge 3$. By (3.2), we can also obtain

$$|\{(x,y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : (x,y) \in \mathrm{II}\}| \ge 3|\{(x,y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : (x,y) \in \mathrm{III}_{x>y}|.$$

On the other hand, for $I_{y \ge x}$ and $III_{y \ge x}$, by the same method on the auxiliary function

$$g_{r,a}(x) = \sqrt{(r+a)^2 - x^2} - \sqrt{r^2 - x^2},$$

we can obtain that

$$|\{(x,y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : (x,y) \in \mathrm{II}\}| \ge 3|\{(x,y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : (x,y) \in \mathrm{I}_{x \le y}|$$

and

$$|\{(x,y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : (x,y) \in \mathrm{II}\}| \ge 3|\{(x,y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : (x,y) \in \mathrm{III}_{x \le y}|.$$

Combining all above estimates, we have

$$|\Lambda_{1,j}| \ge \frac{3}{7} |\Lambda_{0,j}|.$$

Lemma 3.3 Let $1 \le p \le \infty$. These exists a constant $\rho = \rho(n) > 0$ which only depends on n and a subsequence $\{k_j\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n$ such that

$$\|\Box_{k_j}^{\alpha} \Delta(A_1)^N g_{k_j}\|_{L^p} \simeq \langle k_j \rangle^{\frac{2-\frac{n-1}{2}N}{1-\alpha}} \|g_{k_j}\|_{L^p},$$

where $\{g_{k_j}(x)\}$ is a sequence of Schwartz functions with $\operatorname{supp} \widehat{g_{k_j}}(\xi) \subset \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : |\xi - \langle k_j \rangle^{\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}} k_j| \le \rho\}.$

Proof Let $\delta(\xi)$ be a smooth function with $\operatorname{supp} \delta(\xi) \subset \{\xi : |\xi| \leq 2\rho\}$ and $\delta(\xi) \equiv 1$ for $\xi \in \{\xi : |\xi| \leq \rho\}$. We define

$$\delta_k(\xi) = \delta(\xi - \langle k \rangle^{\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}} k), \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}^n.$$

For any g_k with $\operatorname{supp} \widehat{g_k}(\xi) \subset \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : |\xi - \langle k \rangle^{\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}} k| \le \rho\}$, we have

$$\delta_k(\xi)\widehat{g}_k(\xi) = \widehat{g}_k(\xi)$$

By the same method as in Lemma 3.1, it is easy to get

$$\begin{split} \|\Box_{k}^{\alpha}\Delta(A_{1})^{N}g_{k}\|_{L^{p}} &= \|(|\xi|^{2}(V_{\frac{n-2}{2}}(|\xi|))^{N}\eta_{k}^{\alpha}(\xi)\widehat{g_{k}}(\xi))^{\vee}\|_{L^{p}} \\ &= \|(|\xi|^{2}(V_{\frac{n-2}{2}}(|\xi|))^{N}\eta_{k}^{\alpha}(\xi)\delta_{k}(\xi)\widehat{g_{k}}(\xi))^{\vee}\|_{L^{p}} \\ &= \|(\delta_{k}(\xi)|\xi|^{2}(V_{\frac{n-2}{2}}(|\xi|))^{N})^{\vee}\|_{L^{1}}\|\Box_{k}^{\alpha}g_{k}\|_{L^{p}} \end{split}$$

By the definition of $\delta_k(\xi)$ and Bernstein's multiplier Theorem (Lemma 2.1), we have

$$\begin{split} \| (\delta_{k}(\xi)|\xi|^{2}(V_{\frac{n-2}{2}}(|\xi|))^{N})^{\vee} \|_{L^{1}} & \leq \sum_{|\gamma| \leq [n(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2})] + 1} \| \partial^{\gamma}(\delta_{k}(\xi)|\xi|^{2}(V_{\frac{n-2}{2}}(|\xi|))^{N}) \|_{L^{2}} \\ & \leq \sum_{|\gamma| \leq [n(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2})] + 1} \sum_{\gamma_{1} + \gamma_{2} + \gamma_{3} = \gamma} \| \partial^{\gamma_{1}}\delta_{k}(\xi) \cdot \partial^{\gamma_{2}}|\xi|^{2} \cdot \partial^{\gamma_{3}}(V_{\frac{n-2}{2}}(|\xi|))^{N} \|_{L^{2}} \\ & \leq \sum_{|\gamma| \leq [n(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2})] + 1} \sum_{\gamma_{1} + \gamma_{2} = \gamma} \| |\xi|^{2} \cdot \partial^{\gamma_{1}}\delta_{k}(\xi) \cdot \partial^{\gamma_{2}}(V_{\frac{n-2}{2}}(|\xi|))^{N} \|_{L^{2}(\mathrm{supp}\,\delta_{k}(\xi))} \\ & \leq \langle k \rangle^{\frac{2 - \frac{n-1}{2}N}{1 - \alpha}} \end{split}$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. Therefore, for $\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, we have

$$\|\Box_k^{\alpha} \Delta(A_1)^N g_k\|_{L^p} \preceq \langle k \rangle^{\frac{2-\frac{n-1}{2}N}{1-\alpha}} \|\Box_k^{\alpha} g_k\|_{L^p},$$
(3.3)

when supp $\widehat{g}_k(\xi) \subset \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : |\xi - \langle k \rangle^{\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}} k| \le \rho\}.$

Thus, we only need to prove the inverse inequality. By Lemma 2.3, we have

$$V_{\frac{n-2}{2}}(r) = r^{-\frac{n-1}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \cos\left(r - \frac{n\pi}{4} + \frac{\pi}{4}\right) + O(r^{-\frac{n+1}{2}})$$
$$= r^{-\frac{n-1}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \sin\left(r - \frac{n\pi}{4} + \frac{3\pi}{4}\right) + O(r^{-\frac{n+1}{2}})$$

for |r| > 1. We consider

$$u(r) := \sin\left(r - \frac{n\pi}{4} + \frac{3\pi}{4}\right)$$

in every semiperiod $r - \frac{n\pi}{4} + \frac{3\pi}{4} \in [j\pi, (j+1)\pi], \ j = 1, 2, \cdots$. Choosing $\varepsilon_0 = \sin(0.07)$, we have

$$|u(r)| \ge \varepsilon_0$$

for $r - \frac{n\pi}{4} + \frac{3\pi}{4} \in [j\pi + 0.07, j\pi + \pi - 0.07]$, which is equivalent to

$$r \in \left[j\pi + \frac{n\pi}{4} - \frac{3\pi}{4} + 0.07, \ j\pi + \pi + \frac{n\pi}{4} - \frac{3\pi}{4} - 0.07 \right].$$

By Lemma 3.2, for every $j \in \mathbb{N}^+$, the set

$$\Lambda_{1,j} := \left\{ k \in \mathbb{Z}^n : |k| \in \left[j\pi + \frac{n\pi}{4} - \frac{3\pi}{4} + 0.07, \ j\pi + \pi + \frac{n\pi}{4} - \frac{3\pi}{4} - 0.07 \right] \right\}$$

is not empty. So, there exists a subsequence of integer $\{k_j\}$, such that $k_j \in \Lambda_{1,j}$ and

 $|u(|k_i|)| \ge \varepsilon_0.$

Moreover,

$$|u'(r)| = \left|\cos\left(r - \frac{n\pi}{4} + \frac{3\pi}{4}\right)\right| \le 1,$$

which means that

$$|u(r)| \ge \frac{\varepsilon_0}{2}$$

for

$$r \in \left[|k_j| - \frac{\varepsilon_0}{4}, |k_j| + \frac{\varepsilon_0}{4} \right]$$

and

$$|k_j| \in \left[j\pi + \frac{n\pi}{4} - \frac{3\pi}{4} + 0.07, j\pi + \pi + \frac{n\pi}{4} - \frac{3\pi}{4} - 0.07\right].$$

For the remainder $O(r^{-\frac{n+1}{2}})$ in the expansion of $V_{\frac{n-2}{2}}(r)$, it is obvious that, when r is large enough,

$$O(r^{-\frac{n+1}{2}}) \le \frac{\varepsilon_0}{4}r^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}.$$

Let $\epsilon, \rho = \frac{\varepsilon_0}{4}$. We obtain that there exist some constants $\epsilon, \rho > 0$ and a subsequence $\{k_i\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that

$$|V_{\frac{n-2}{2}}(|\xi|)| \ge \epsilon |\xi|^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}$$
(3.4)

for $\xi \in \{\xi : |\xi - \langle k_j \rangle^{\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}} k_j| \le \rho\}$ when j is large enough. Moreover the subsequence $\{k_j\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n$ satisfies

$$|k_j| \in \left[j\pi + \frac{n\pi}{4} - \frac{3\pi}{4} + 0.07, \ j\pi + \pi + \frac{n\pi}{4} - \frac{3\pi}{4} - 0.07\right],\tag{3.5}$$

when the positive integer j is large enough.

Therefore, when $\xi \in \{\xi : |\xi - \langle k_j \rangle^{\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}} k_j| \le \rho\}$ and $N \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, we have

$$|V_{\frac{n-2}{2}}(|\xi|)^{-N}| \leq |\xi|^{(\frac{n-1}{2})N} \simeq \langle k_j \rangle^{\frac{(n-1)N}{1-\alpha}}.$$

Using the chain rule and the derivative formula of $V_{\delta}(t)$,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_i} (V_{\frac{n-2}{2}}(|\xi|))^{-N} = -N(V_{\frac{n-2}{2}}(|\xi|))^{-(N+1)} V_{\frac{n-2}{2}+1}(|\xi|) \cdot \xi_i.$$

By the asymptotic form of $V_{\delta}(r)$ and (3.4), we have

$$\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi_i} (V_{\frac{n-2}{2}}(|\xi|))^{-N}\right| \leq |\xi|^{(-\frac{n-1}{2})(-N-1)} |\xi|^{-\frac{n+1}{2}} |\xi| \simeq \langle k_j \rangle^{\frac{(n-1)N}{1-\alpha}},$$

when $\xi \in \{\xi : |\xi - \langle k_j \rangle^{\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}} k_j| \le \rho\}$. As a result, $V_{\frac{n-2}{2}}(|\xi|)^{-N}$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_i}(V_{\frac{n-2}{2}}(|\xi|))^{-N}$ share the same upper bound which is $\langle k_j \rangle^{\frac{(n-1)N}{1-\alpha}}$, for $\xi \in \{\xi : |\xi - \langle k_j \rangle^{\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}} k_j| \le \rho\}$. Moreover, since $\rho = \frac{1}{4} \sin(0.07) < \frac{1}{4}$, for the definition of α -decomposition $\{\eta_k^{\alpha}\}$ (see Defini-

tion 2.1), we have that

$$\eta_{k_j}^{\alpha}(\xi)\widehat{g_{k_j}}(\xi) = \widehat{g_{k_j}}(\xi),$$

with

$$\operatorname{supp} \widehat{g_{k_j}}(\xi) \subset \{\xi : |\xi - \langle k_j \rangle^{\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}} k_j| \le \rho \}.$$

Therefore, by the definition of $\delta_k(\xi)$, Bernstein multiplier theorem (Lemma 2.1) and (3.4), we obtain that

$$\begin{split} \|g_{k_{j}}\|_{L^{p}} &= \|(\widehat{g_{k_{j}}})^{\vee}\|_{L^{p}} \\ &= \|(\eta_{k_{j}}^{\alpha}(\xi)|\xi|^{-2}(V_{\frac{n-2}{2}}(|\xi|))^{-N} \cdot |\xi|^{2}(V_{\frac{n-2}{2}}(|\xi|))^{N}\delta_{k_{j}}(\xi)\widehat{g_{k_{j}}}(\xi))^{\vee}\|_{L^{p}} \\ &\preceq \|(\delta_{k_{j}}(\xi)|\xi|^{-2}(V_{\frac{n-2}{2}}(|\xi|))^{-N})^{\vee}\|_{L^{1}}\|\square_{k_{j}}^{\alpha}\Delta(A_{1})^{N}g_{k_{j}}\|_{L^{p}} \\ &\preceq \sum_{|\gamma|\leq [n(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2})]+1} \|\partial^{\gamma}(\delta_{k_{j}}(\xi)|\xi|^{-2}(V_{\frac{n-2}{2}}(|\xi|))^{-N})\|_{L^{2}}\|\square_{k_{j}}^{\alpha}\Delta(A_{1})^{N}g_{k_{j}}\|_{L^{p}} \\ &\preceq \sum_{|\gamma|\leq [n(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2})]+1}\sum_{\gamma_{1}+\gamma_{2}+\gamma_{3}=\gamma} \|\partial^{\gamma_{1}}\delta_{k_{j}}(\xi)\cdot\partial^{\gamma_{2}}|\xi|^{-2}\cdot\partial^{\gamma_{3}}(V_{\frac{n-2}{2}}(|\xi|))^{-N}\|_{L^{2}}\|\square_{k_{j}}^{\alpha}\Delta(A_{1})^{N}g_{k_{j}}\|_{L^{p}} \\ &\preceq \sum_{|\gamma|\leq [n(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2})]+1}\sum_{\gamma_{1}+\gamma_{2}=\gamma} \||\xi|^{-2}\cdot\partial^{\gamma_{1}}\delta_{k_{j}}(\xi)\cdot\partial^{\gamma_{2}}(V_{\frac{n-2}{2}}(|\xi|))^{-N}\|_{L^{2}}\|\square_{k_{j}}^{\alpha}\Delta(A_{1})^{N}g_{k_{j}}\|_{L^{p}} \end{split}$$

$$\leq \langle k_j \rangle^{-\frac{(2-\frac{n-1}{2}N)}{1-\alpha}} \| \Box_{k_j}^{\alpha} \Delta(A_1)^N g_{k_j} \|_{L^p}$$

Combining the above estimate with (3.3), Lemma 3.2 is proved.

Now, we continue to prove Theorem 1.2. We first consider the case $q_1 \leq q_2$. Let f(x) be a nonzero Schwartz function with supp $\widehat{f}(\xi) \subset \{\xi : |\xi| < \frac{1}{2}\}$. Define

$$\widehat{f_{k_j,\lambda}}(\xi) = \widehat{f}\left(\frac{\xi - \langle k_j \rangle^{\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}} k_j}{\lambda}\right)$$
(3.6)

for $\lambda \in (0, \rho]$, where ρ and $\{k_j\}$ are defined in Lemma 3.3. By the definition of $f_{k_j,\lambda}(x)$, we have

$$\Box_{k_j}^{\alpha} f_{k_j,\lambda} = f_{k_j,\lambda} \tag{3.7}$$

and

$$\Box_i^{\alpha} f_{k_j,\lambda}(x) = 0, \quad \text{if } i \neq k_j. \tag{3.8}$$

Then, by Lemma 3.3, we have

$$\begin{split} \|\Delta(A_1)^N f_{k_j,\lambda}\|_{M^{s_2,\alpha}_{p_2,q_2}} &= \langle k_j \rangle^{\frac{s_2}{1-\alpha}} \|\Box^{\alpha}_{k_j} \Delta(A_1)^N f_{k_j,\lambda}\|_{L^{p_2}} \\ &\simeq \langle k_j \rangle^{\frac{s_2+2-\frac{n-1}{2}N}{1-\alpha}} \|f_{k_j,\lambda}\|_{L^{p_2}} \\ &\simeq \langle k_j \rangle^{\frac{s_2+2-\frac{n-1}{2}N}{1-\alpha}} \lambda^{n(1-\frac{1}{p_2})}. \end{split}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{split} \|f_{k_j,\lambda}\|_{M^{s_1,\alpha_1}_{p_1,q_1}} &= \langle k_j \rangle^{\frac{s_1}{1-\alpha}} \|\Box_{k_j}^{\alpha} f_{k_j,\lambda}\|_{L^{p_1}} \\ &\simeq \langle k_j \rangle^{\frac{s_1}{1-\alpha}} \|f_{k_j,\lambda}\|_{L^{p_1}} \\ &\simeq \langle k_j \rangle^{\frac{s_1}{1-\alpha}} \lambda^{n(1-\frac{1}{p_1})}. \end{split}$$

By the assumption that $\Delta(A_1)^N$ is bounded from $M_{p_1,q_1}^{s_1,\alpha}$ to $M_{p_2,q_2}^{s_2,\alpha}$, we have that

$$\langle k_j \rangle^{s_2+2-\frac{n-1}{2}N} \lambda^{n(1-\frac{1}{p_2})} \preceq \langle k_j \rangle^{s_1} \lambda^{n(1-\frac{1}{p_1})}$$

for all $|k_j|$ sufficiently large and $0 < \lambda \leq \rho$. Fix k_j and let $\lambda \to 0$. We have

$$\lambda^{n(1-\frac{1}{p_2})} \preceq \lambda^{n(1-\frac{1}{p_1})} \quad \text{for } 0 < \lambda \le \rho.$$

Thus, the condition $p_1 \leq p_2$ must be held. Moreover, when λ is fixed and k_j goes to infinity, we have

$$\langle k_j \rangle^{s_2+2-\frac{n-1}{2}N} \preceq \langle k_j \rangle^{s_1}, \quad \text{as } k_j \to +\infty,$$

which yields $s_2 + 2 - \frac{n-1}{2}N \le s_1$.

Next, we consider the case $q_1 > q_2$. Let M be a large positive number. Define

$$F_M(x) = \sum_{100 < |k_j| < M} a_j f_{k_j,\rho}(x),$$

where $a_j > 0$ are constants to be chosen later and $f_{k_j,\rho}(x)$ are defined in (3.6) with all k_j satisfying

$$|k_j| \in [L\pi + 0.07, L\pi + \pi - 0.07]$$

for some $L \in \mathbb{N}^+$.

By (3.7)–(3.8) and the almost orthogonality of $\{\eta_k^{\alpha}\}$, we have

$$\begin{split} \|\Delta(A_1)^N F_M\|_{M^{s_2,q_2}_{p_2,q_2}} &= \Big(\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n} a_j^{q_2} \langle k \rangle^{\frac{s_2q_2}{1-\alpha}} \|\Box_k^{\alpha} \Delta(A_1)^N F_M\|_{L^{p_2}}^{q_2}\Big)^{\frac{1}{q_2}} \\ &\simeq \Big(\sum_{100<|k_j|< M} a_j^{q_2} \langle k_j \rangle^{\frac{s_2q_2}{1-\alpha}} \langle k_j \rangle^{\frac{(2-\frac{n-1}{2}N)q_2}{1-\alpha}} \|f_{k_j,\rho}(x)\|_{L^{p_2}}^{q_2}\Big)^{\frac{1}{q_2}} \\ &\simeq \Big(\sum_{100<|k_j|< M} a_j^{q_2} \langle k_j \rangle^{\frac{s_2q_2+(2-\frac{n-1}{2}N)q_2}{1-\alpha}}\Big)^{\frac{1}{q_2}} \end{split}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|F_M\|_{M_{p_1,q_1}^{s_1,\alpha}} &= \Big(\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n} a_j^{q_1} \langle k \rangle^{\frac{s_1q_1}{1-\alpha}} \|\Box_k^{\alpha} F_M\|_{L^{p_1}}^{q_1} \Big)^{\frac{1}{q_1}} \\ &\simeq \Big(\sum_{100<|k_j|< M} a_j^{q_1} \langle k_j \rangle^{\frac{s_1q_1}{1-\alpha}} \|f_{j,\rho}(x)\|_{L^{p_1}}^{q_1} \Big)^{\frac{1}{q_1}} \\ &\simeq \Big(\sum_{100<|k_j|< M} a_j^{q_1} \langle k_j \rangle^{\frac{s_1q_1}{1-\alpha}} \Big)^{\frac{1}{q_1}}. \end{aligned}$$

By the assumption that $\Delta(A_1)^N$ is bounded from $M_{p_1,q_1}^{s_1,\alpha}$ to $M_{p_2,q_2}^{s_2,\alpha}$, we have

$$\left(\sum_{100<|k_j|< M} a_j^{q_2} \langle k_j \rangle^{s_2 q_2 + (2 - \frac{n-1}{2}N)q_2}\right)^{\frac{1}{q_2}} \preceq \left(\sum_{100<|k_j|< M} a_j^{q_1} \langle k_j \rangle^{s_1 q_1}\right)^{\frac{1}{q_1}}.$$

By choosing $a_j = \langle k_j \rangle^{\frac{s_1q_1 - (s_2 + (2 - \frac{n-1}{2}N))q_2}{(1-\alpha)(q_1 - q_2)}}$, we obtain

$$\Big(\sum_{100<|k_j|< M} \langle k_j \rangle^{\frac{[s_1 - (s_2 + 2 - \frac{n-1}{2}N)]q_1 q_2}{(1-\alpha)(q_2 - q_1)}}\Big)^{\frac{1}{q_2}} \preceq \Big(\sum_{100<|k_j|< M} \langle k_j \rangle^{\frac{[s_1 - (s_2 + 2 - \frac{n-1}{2}N)]q_1 q_2}{(1-\alpha)(q_2 - q_1)}}\Big)^{\frac{1}{q_1}}.$$

By the assumption $q_1 > q_2$, the above series must be convergent as $M \to +\infty$. By Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\sum_{100 < |k_j| < M} \langle k_j \rangle^{\frac{[s_1 - (s_2 + 2 - \frac{n-1}{2}N)]q_1 q_2}{(1-\alpha)(q_2 - q_1)}} \simeq \sum_{100 < |k| < M} \langle k \rangle^{\frac{[s_1 - (s_2 + 2 - \frac{n-1}{2}N)]q_1 q_2}{(1-\alpha)(q_2 - q_1)}}.$$

Therefore, it must yield

$$\frac{[s_1 - (s_2 + 2 - \frac{n-1}{2}N)]q_1q_2}{(1 - \alpha)(q_2 - q_1)} < -n,$$

which is equivalent to $s_1 + \frac{n(1-\alpha)}{q_1} > s_2 + \frac{n(1-\alpha)}{q_2} + 2 - \frac{n-1}{2}N$. Theorem 1.2 is proved.

References

- Belinsky, E., Dai, F. and Ditzian, Z., Multivariate approximating average, J. Approx. Theory, 125, 2003, 85–105.
- Bényi, A., Gröchenig, K., Okoudjou, K. A., et al., Unimodular Fourier multipliers for modulation spaces, J. Funct. Anal, 246, 2007, 366–384.
- [3] Borup, L. and Nielsen, M., Boundedness for pseudodifferential operators on multivariate α-modulation spaces, Ark Mat, 44, 2006, 241–259.
- [4] Borwein, J., Straub, A. and Vignat, C., Densities of short uniform random walks in higher dimensions, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 437, 2016, 668–707.
- [5] Chen, J. C., Fan, D. S. and Sun, L. J., Asymptotic estimates for unimodular Fourier multipliers on modulation space, *Discret. Contin. Dyn. Syst.*, **32**, 2012, 467–485.
- [6] Fan, D. S., Lou, Z. J. and Wang, Z., A note on iterated spherical average on Lebesgue spaces, Nonlinear Anal., 180, 2019, 170–183.
- [7] Fan, D. S. and Zhao, F. Y., Approximation properties of combination of multivariate averages on Hardy spaces, J. Approx. Theory, 223, 2017, 77–95.
- [8] Feichtinger, H. G., Modulation space on locally compact Abeliaan group, Technical Report, University of Vienna, Vienna, 1983.
- [9] Gröbner, P., Banachräume glatter Funktionen und Zerlegungsmethoden, Doctoral Thesis, University of Vienna, Vienna, 1992.
- [10] Guo, W. C., Fan, D. S., Wu, H. X. and Zhao G. P., Sharpness of Complex Interpolation on α-Modulation Spaces, J Fourier Anal. Appl., 22(2), 2016, 427–461.
- [11] Guo, W. C., Wu, H. X., Yang, Q. and Zhao, G. P., Characterization of inclusion relations between Wiener amalgam and some classical spaces, J. Funct. Anal., 273(1), 2017, 404–443.
- [12] Han, J. S. and Wang, B. X., α-modulation spaces (I) scaling, embedding and algebraic properties, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 66, 2014, 1315–1373.
- [13] Huang, Q., Boundedness of iterated spherical average on modulation spaces, Nonlinear Anal. 199, 2020, 1–14.
- [14] Huang, Q. and Chen, J. C., Cauchy problem for dispersive equations in α-modulation spaces, *Electron J. Differential Equations*, **158**, 2014, 1–10.
- [15] Huang, Q., Fan, D. S. and Chen, J. C., Critical exponent for evolution equations in modulation spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 443(1), 2016, 230–242.
- [16] Miyachi, A., On some singular Fourier multipliers, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math, 28, 1981, 267–315.
- [17] Miyachi, A., Nicola, F., Riveti, S., et al., Estimates for unimodular Fourier multipliers on modulation spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 137, 2009, 3869–3883.
- [18] Pearson, K., The problem of the random walk, Nature, 72(1867), 1905, 294.
- [19] Peral, J., L^p estimates for the wave equation, J. Funct. Anal. 36, 1980, 114–145.
- [20] Ruzhansky, M., Sugimoto, M. and Wang, B. X., Modulation spaces and nonlinear evolution equations, Progress in Mathematics, 301, 2012, 267–283.
- [21] Stein, E. M., Maximal functions: Spherical means, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., 73, 1976, 2174–2175.
- [22] Stein, E. M., Harmonic Analysis: Real-Variable Methods, Orthogonality and Oscillatory Integrals, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N. J., 1993.
- [23] Toft, J., Continuity properties for modulation spaces with applications to pseudo-differential calculus, I, J. Funct. Anal., 207(2), 2004, 399–429.
- [24] Wang, B. and Hudizk, H., The global Cauchy problem for NLS and NLKG with small rough data, J. Differential Equations, 232, 2007, 36–73.
- [25] Wang, B. X., Huo, Z., Hao, C. and Guo, Z., Harmonic Analysis Method for Nonlinear Evolution Equations I, Hackensack, World Scientific, N.J., 2011.
- [26] Zhao, G. P., Fan, D. S. and Guo, W. C., Fractional integral operators on α -modulation spaces, *Math. Nachr.* **289**(10), 2016, 1288–1300.