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#### Abstract

In this paper, the author characterizes the subgroups of a finite metacyclic group $K$ by building a one to one correspondence between certain 3-tuples $(k, l, \beta) \in \mathbb{N}^{3}$ and all the subgroups of $K$. The results are applied to compute some subgroups of $K$ as well as to study the structure and the number of $p$-subgroups of $K$, where $p$ is a fixed prime number. In addition, the author gets a factorization of $K$, and then studies the metacyclic $p$-groups, gives a different classification, and describes the characteristic subgroups of a given metacyclic $p$-group when $p \geq 3$. A "reciprocity" relation on enumeration of subgroups of a metacyclic group is also given.
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## 1 Introduction

For a fixed finite group $K, K$ is metacyclic if and only if $\exists A \triangleleft K$, such that both $A$ and $K / A$ are cyclic. Hölder started studying the metacyclic groups rather early (around 1890s). He showed that a finite metacyclic group can be represented by two generators and three relations (Hölder theorem, see [9, 19]). Basmaji [2] gave a necessary and sufficient condition to determine whether two fixed metacyclic groups are isomorphic (see [11]). His work is based on the Hölder theorem. Afterwards, there are several classifications of the metacyclic $p$-groups (see $[3,7,9$, 11-15, 17-18]). Sim [16] classified the metacyclic groups of odd order, and Hempel [9] classified all the metacyclic groups. In both [9] and [16], the metacyclic group $K$ was characterized by a certain kind of 8 -tuples of odd positive integers $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \epsilon, \zeta, \theta, \kappa)$.

Similar to [2], our discussion is based on the Hölder theorem. This makes it easier to compute as well as enables us to use the arithmetic method (mainly congruence in $\mathbb{Z}$ ) to study the given group.

Now let $K$ be a finite metacyclic group. By Hölder theorem, we can assume that

$$
K=\left\langle\tau, \eta \mid \tau^{n}=1, \eta^{m}=\tau^{g}, \eta \tau \eta^{-1}=\tau^{h}\right\rangle,
$$

where $(m, n, g, h) \in \mathbb{N}^{4}, g<n, h<n, n|g(h-1), n|\left(h^{m}-1\right)$. Let $T=\{A \mid A \leq K\}$.

[^0]Consider the subset $\Gamma$ of $\mathbb{N}^{3}$ and the map $\Psi$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Gamma=\left\{(k, l, \beta)|l| n, l|k, k| m l, \beta<\frac{n}{l}, \beta\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\frac{k}{l-1}} h^{\frac{m l j}{k}}\right) \equiv-g\left(\bmod \frac{n}{l}\right)\right\}, \\
& \Psi: \Gamma \rightarrow T\left(\forall(k, l, \beta) \in \Gamma: \Psi(k, l, \beta)=\left\langle\tau^{\beta} \eta^{\frac{m l}{k}}, \tau^{\left.\frac{n}{\top}\right\rangle}\right\rangle .\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

We show in Theorem 3.2 that $\Psi$ is a one to one correspondence from $\Gamma$ to $T$. Using $\Psi$, we study the construction of the subgroups. In Theorem 3.3, for any $A \leq K$, we give a necessary and sufficient condition to determine whether $A \triangleleft K$, and when $A \triangleleft K$, we give the structure of $K / A$. We then compute several subgroups of $K$, including the upper and lower central series of $K$, the Carter subgroup $C$, the Fitting subgroup $F(K)$ and the Frattini subgroup $\Phi(K)$. We show that $K$ is the semidirect product of $K_{\infty}$ and its Carter subgroup $C$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
K=K_{\infty} C, \quad K_{\infty} \cap C=\left\{1_{K}\right\} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K_{\infty}$ is the intersection of every term in the lower central series. Conversely, for any $B \leq K$, if $B$ is nilpotent and $B K_{\infty}=K$, then $B$ is a Carter subgroup of $K$. The $p$-subgroups of $K$ (where $p$ is a prime number) are also studied, and results on counting the number of the $p$-subgroups and the structure of the Sylow $p$-group of $K$ are given.

Two fundamental theorems of this note are proved in Section 3 (Theorems 3.2 and 3.3), and subgroups of $K$ are studied in Section 4.

In Section 5, we study the metacyclic $p$-groups. By setting an isomorphism invariant for any metacyclic group $K$ (Definition 5.1), we give a different classification for metacyclic $p$-groups. Section 6 and Section 7 are applications of the results we obtain. In Section 6, we consider the problem that for a given metacyclic group $K$, when for any $k \in \mathbb{N}, k| | K \mid$, the number of subgroups of order $k$ and the number of subgroups of index $k$ are the same. Finally, in Section 7 , we find all the characteristic subgroups of a given metacyclic $p$-group $G$, where $p$ is an odd prime number.

## 2 Some Notations

In this section, we give some notations we need.
First, we provide the notation of "Hölder-tuple", which we use throughout the paper, and it also leads to the idea of Theorem 3.2.

Definition 2.1 Consider the tuple $((m, n, g, h), K, T, \Gamma, \Psi)$. We say $((m, n, g, h), K, T, \Gamma, \Psi)$ is a Hölder-tuple if and only if the next four conditions hold.
(1) $(m, n, g, h) \in \mathbb{N}^{4}, m \geq 1, n \geq 1, g<n, h<n, n|g(h-1), n|\left(h^{m}-1\right)$.
(2) $K=\left\langle\tau, \eta \mid \tau^{n}=1, \eta^{m}=\tau^{g}, \eta \tau \eta^{-1}=\tau^{h}\right\rangle$.
(3) $T=\{A \mid A \leq K\}$, and $\Gamma$ is the following subset of $\mathbb{N}^{3}$,

$$
\Gamma=\left\{(k, l, \beta)|l| n, l|k, k| m l, \beta<\frac{n}{l}, \beta\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\frac{k}{l}-1} h^{\frac{m l j}{k}}\right) \equiv-g\left(\bmod \frac{n}{l}\right)\right\} .
$$

(4) $\Psi$ is the map from $\Gamma$ to $T$ defined as follows,

$$
\Psi: \Gamma \rightarrow T\left(\forall(k, l, \beta) \in \Gamma: \Psi(k, l, \beta)=\left\langle\tau^{\beta} \eta^{\frac{m l}{k}}, \tau^{\frac{n}{l}}\right\rangle\right) .
$$

Throughout the paper, we denote $\Omega$ as the following subset of $\mathbb{N}^{4}$ :

$$
\Omega=\left\{(m, n, g, h)|m \geq 1, n \geq 1, g<n, h<n, n| g(h-1), n \mid\left(h^{m}-1\right)\right\} .
$$

For any $(m, n, g, h) \in \Omega$ and any group $K$, we say $K \cong(m, n, g, h)$ if and only if $K \cong\langle\tau, \eta|$ $\left.\tau^{n}=1, \eta^{m}=\tau^{g}, \eta \tau \eta^{-1}=\tau^{h}\right\rangle$. And for any two 4 -tuples $(m, n, g, h)$ and $(\widetilde{m}, \widetilde{n}, \widetilde{g}, \widetilde{h})$ in $\Omega$, we write $(m, n, g, h) \cong(\widetilde{m}, \widetilde{n}, \widetilde{g}, \widetilde{h})$ if and only if the following isomorphism relation between groups holds:

$$
\left\langle\tau, \eta \mid \tau^{n}=1, \eta^{m}=\tau^{g}, \eta \tau \eta^{-1}=\tau^{h}\right\rangle \cong\left\langle u, v \mid u^{\widetilde{n}}=1, v^{\widetilde{m}}=u^{\widetilde{g}}, v u v^{-1}=u^{\widetilde{h}}\right\rangle .
$$

For any prime number $p$ and $a \in \mathbb{Z}, a \neq 0$, denote $O(p, a)$ as the largest nonnegative integer $\gamma$ satisfying $p^{\gamma} \mid a$. Let $O(p, 0)=+\infty$ for convenience. For $(b, c) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}, \operatorname{gcd}(b, c)=1$, we write $\operatorname{ord}(c, b)$ as the smallest positive integer $\alpha \geq 1$ where $b^{\alpha} \equiv 1(\bmod c)$. For $(b, c) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}, c \neq 0$, let the notation $\left\lfloor\frac{b}{c}\right\rfloor$ denote the largest integer $\beta$ satisfying $\beta \leq \frac{b}{c}$, and let $b \% c$ denote the only integer $\lambda \in\{0,1, \cdots,|c|-1\}$ where $b \equiv \lambda(\bmod c)$. For fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 2$, let $U_{(n)}$ denote the set $\{a \mid a \in \mathbb{N}, a \leq n, \operatorname{gcd}(a, n)=1\}$, and let $\odot_{(n)}$ denote the operation on $\mathbb{Z}$ defined as follows:

$$
\forall(b, c) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}: b \odot_{(n)} c=(b c) \% n
$$

Therefore $\left(U_{(n)}, \odot_{(n)}\right)$ is an Abelian group with unit 1. $\forall a \in U_{(n)}$, denote $\langle a\rangle_{(n)}$ as the subgroup of $\left(U_{(n)}, \odot_{(n)}\right)$ generated by $\{a\}$.

Fix $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $X(a)$ denote the set of all the prime factors of $a$.
Let $K$ be a group, $\forall(a, b) \in K \times K$, denote the commutator of $(a, b):[a, b]=a b a^{-1} b^{-1}$. And for any $k \in \mathbb{N}, k \geq 3, \forall\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{k}\right) \in K^{k}$, define the commutator of $\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{k}\right)$ by induction: $\left[x_{1}, \cdots, x_{k}\right]=\left[x_{1},\left[x_{2}, \cdots, x_{k}\right]\right]$. We write $K_{\infty}=\bigcap_{s \in \mathbb{N}, s \geq 2}[\underbrace{K, \cdots, K}_{s}], Z_{\infty}(K)=$ $\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} Z_{i}(K)$, where $\left\{1_{K}\right\}=Z_{0}(K)$, and $\forall i \in \mathbb{N}, Z_{i+1}(K) / Z_{i}(K)=Z\left(K / Z_{i}^{s}(K)\right)$. Following the notations in [8] and [10], the Fitting subgroup of $K$ is denoted as $F(K)$ and the Frattini subgroup of $K$ is denoted as $\Phi(K)$. If $K$ is finite, we write $\exp (K)=\operatorname{lcm}(o(a) \mid a \in K)$.

Finally, we mention that for a finite metacyclic group $K, K$ is said to be split if and only if $\exists(m, n, 0, h) \in \Omega$, such that $K \cong(m, n, 0, h)$.

## 3 Characterization of the Subgroups

In this section, we state and prove our fundamental theorem (Theorems 3.2-3.3).
First, we state the following Hölder theorem (see [9, 19]), which is used throughout the paper. We state it in a relatively specific way, in order to tell more details.

Theorem 3.1 (Hölder) (1) Let $G$ be a finite metacyclic group, $A \leq G$, where $A \triangleleft G$, both $A$ and $G / A$ are cyclic, then $\exists\left(m_{1}, n_{1}, g_{1}, h_{1}\right) \in \Omega$, such that $n_{1}=|A|, m_{1}=|G / A|, G \cong$ $\left(m_{1}, n_{1}, g_{1}, h_{1}\right)$.
(2) Fix $(m, n, g, h) \in \Omega$, let $K=\left\langle\tau, \eta \mid \tau^{n}=1, \eta^{m}=\tau^{g}, \eta \tau \eta^{-1}=\tau^{h}\right\rangle$, then the following statements hold.
(2.1) $o(\tau)=n,\langle\tau\rangle \triangleleft K, \forall j \in\{1, \cdots, m-1\}: \eta^{j} \notin\langle\tau\rangle$.
(2.2) $K /\langle\tau\rangle=\langle\eta\langle\tau\rangle\rangle,|K /\langle\tau\rangle|=m, K$ is metacyclic and $|K|=m n$.
(2.3) $\forall(a, b),(c, d) \in\{0,1, \cdots, n-1\} \times\{0,1, \cdots, m-1\}$, we have:

$$
\tau^{a} \eta^{b}=\tau^{c} \eta^{d} \Rightarrow(a, b)=(c, d)
$$

Hence $K=\left\{\tau^{i} \eta^{j} \mid(i, j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}, i<n, j<m\right\}$.
The following two lemmas are basic and used throughout the paper.
Lemma 3.1 Fix $(m, n, g, h) \in \mathbb{Z}^{4}, m \geq 1, n \geq 1$. Let $G$ be a group, and fix $(\tau, \eta) \in$ $G \times G$, such that $o(\tau)=n, \eta^{m}=\tau^{g}, \eta \tau \eta^{-1}=\tau^{h}$, then
(1) $g(h-1) \equiv 0(\bmod n), h^{m} \equiv 1(\bmod n),(m, n, g \% n, h \% n) \in \Omega$.
(2) Fix $(a, b) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{N},(e, f) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{N}, k \in \mathbb{N}, k \geq 1$.
(2.1) $\left(\tau^{a} \eta^{b}\right)\left(\tau^{e} \eta^{f}\right)=\tau^{a+e h^{b}} \eta^{b+f},\left(\tau^{a} \eta^{b}\right)^{k}=\tau^{a\left(\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} h^{b i}\right)} \eta^{b k}$.
(2.2) $\left(\tau^{a} \eta^{b}\right)\left(\tau^{e} \eta^{f}\right)=\left(\tau^{e} \eta^{f}\right)\left(\tau^{a} \eta^{b}\right) \Leftrightarrow e\left(h^{b}-1\right) \equiv a\left(h^{f}-1\right)(\bmod n)$.
(2.3) $[\underbrace{\tau^{a} \eta^{b}, \cdots, \tau^{a} \eta^{b}}_{k}, \tau^{e} \eta^{f}]=\tau^{e\left(h^{b}-1\right)^{k}-a\left(h^{f}-1\right)\left(h^{b}-1\right)^{k-1}}$.

Lemma 3.2 Let $((m, n, g, h), K, T, \Gamma, \Psi)$ be a Hölder-tuple.
(1) Fix $(k, l, \beta) \in \Gamma$, then $\Psi(k, l, \beta) \cong\left(\frac{k}{l}, l, \frac{\left(\beta\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\frac{k}{t}-1} h^{\frac{m l i}{k}}\right)+g\right) \% n}{\frac{n^{n}}{n}}, h^{\frac{m l}{k}} \% l\right) \in \Omega$. Moreover, $|\Psi(k, l, \beta)|=k,|\Psi(k, l, \beta) \cap\langle\tau\rangle|=l, \Psi(k, l, \beta) \cap\langle\tau\rangle=\left\langle\tau^{\frac{n}{\tau}}\right\rangle$.
(2) Fix $(\rho, \delta, \varsigma) \in \Gamma$, and $(e, f) \in \mathbb{N} \times\{0,1, \cdots, m\}$, then

$$
\tau^{e} \eta^{f} \in \Psi(\rho, \delta, \varsigma) \Leftrightarrow m \delta \mid f \rho, e \equiv \varsigma\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\frac{\rho f}{m s}-1} h^{\frac{m \delta j}{\rho}}\right)\left(\bmod \frac{n}{\delta}\right)
$$

(3) Fix $(c, d) \in \mathbb{N}^{2}, c|n, c| g, d \mid m$, then $\left(\frac{m n}{c d}, \frac{n}{c}, 0\right) \in \Gamma, \Psi\left(\frac{m n}{c d}, \frac{n}{c}, 0\right)=\left\langle\tau^{c}, \eta^{d}\right\rangle$. Moreover, $\forall(a, b) \in \mathbb{N}^{2}:\left(\tau^{a} \eta^{b}\right) \in\left\langle\tau^{c}, \eta^{d}\right\rangle \Leftrightarrow c|a, d| b$.

Proof (1) Write

$$
\vartheta=\frac{\left(\beta\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\frac{k}{\tau}-1} h^{\frac{m l j}{k}}\right)+g\right) \% n}{\frac{n}{l}} .
$$

Since $(k, l, \beta) \in \Gamma$, hence $\vartheta \in \mathbb{Z}$. By (2.1) of Theorem 3.1, $\forall w \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq w<\frac{k}{l}:\left(\tau^{\beta} \eta^{\frac{m l}{k}}\right)^{w} \notin\langle\tau\rangle$. Consider $\left(\tau^{\frac{n}{l}}, \tau^{\beta} \eta^{\frac{m l}{k}}\right)$ and $\left(\frac{k}{l}, l, \vartheta, h^{\frac{m l}{k}} \% l\right) \in \mathbb{N}^{4}$. By Lemma 3.1 (2), we have:

$$
o\left(\tau^{\frac{n}{\tau}}\right)=l, \quad\left(\tau^{\beta} \eta^{\frac{m l}{k}}\right)^{\frac{k}{\tau}}=\left(\tau^{\frac{n}{\tau}}\right)^{\vartheta}, \quad\left(\tau^{\beta} \eta^{\frac{m l}{k}}\right) \tau^{\frac{n}{\tau}}\left(\tau^{\beta} \eta^{\frac{m l}{k}}\right)^{-1}=\left(\tau^{\frac{n}{\tau}}\right)^{\left(h^{\frac{m l}{k}} \% l\right) .}
$$

Hence $|\Psi(k, l, \beta)|=k$, and by Lemma 3.1, the rest follows.
$(2) \Leftarrow$ Write $\mu=\frac{f \rho}{m \delta}$. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$, where

$$
\frac{n \lambda}{\delta}=e-\varsigma\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\frac{\rho f}{m \delta}-1} h^{\frac{m \delta j}{\rho}}\right)
$$

By Lemma 3.1 (2), we have $\tau^{e} \eta^{f}=\tau^{\frac{n \lambda}{\delta}}\left(\tau^{\varsigma} \eta^{\frac{m \delta}{\rho}}\right)^{\mu} \in \Psi(\rho, \delta, \varsigma)$.
$\Rightarrow \operatorname{By}(1), \exists\left(\lambda_{1}, \mu_{1}\right) \in \mathbb{Z} \times\left\{1, \cdots, \frac{\rho}{\delta}\right\}$, such that $\tau^{e} \eta^{f}=\tau^{\frac{n \lambda_{1}}{\delta}}\left(\tau^{\varsigma} \eta^{\frac{m \delta}{\rho}}\right)^{\mu_{1}}$. If $f=0$, then $\tau^{e} \in \Psi(\rho, \delta, \varsigma) \cap\langle\tau\rangle=\left\langle\tau^{\frac{n}{\delta}}\right\rangle$, and $\left.\frac{n}{\delta} \right\rvert\, e$. If $f \neq 0$, by Lemma $3.1(2), f \equiv \frac{m \delta \mu_{1}}{\rho}(\bmod m)$, $1 \leq f \leq m, 1 \leq \frac{m \delta \mu_{1}}{\rho} \leq m$, thus $f=\frac{m \delta \mu_{1}}{\rho}$, $\mu_{1}=\frac{\rho f}{m \delta}$. Since $o(\tau)=n$, we deduce that

$$
e \equiv \varsigma\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\frac{\rho f}{m>}-1} h^{\frac{m \delta j}{\rho}}\right)\left(\bmod \frac{n}{\delta}\right)
$$

(3) This follows from (2) and the fact $\tau^{a} \eta^{b}=\tau^{a+\left\lfloor\frac{b}{m}\right\rfloor g} \eta^{b \% m}$.

Now we state and prove our two fundamental theorems.
Theorem 3.2 Let $((m, n, g, h), K, T, \Gamma, \Psi)$ be a Hölder-tuple.
(1) Fix $(b, a, \alpha) \in \Gamma,(f, e, \gamma) \in \Gamma$, then

$$
\Psi(b, a, \alpha) \subseteq \Psi(f, e, \gamma) \Leftrightarrow a|e, \quad b e| a f, \quad \alpha \equiv \gamma\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\frac{a f}{b e-}-1} h^{\frac{m e j}{f}}\right)\left(\bmod \frac{n}{e}\right)
$$

(2) $\Psi$ is a one to one correspondence from $\Gamma$ to $T$.

Proof (1) This follows immediately from Lemma 3.2 (2).
(2) (1) already implies that $\Psi$ is injective, and it remains to show $\Psi[\Gamma]=T$. To show $\Psi[\Gamma]=T$, we fix $H \leq K$. Let $\rho=|H|, \delta=|H \cap\langle\tau\rangle|$. Hence we get

$$
\delta|\rho, \quad \delta| n, \quad H \cap\langle\tau\rangle=\left\langle\tau^{\frac{n}{\delta}}\right\rangle, \quad|H / H \cap\langle\tau\rangle|=|H\langle\tau\rangle /\langle\tau\rangle|=\frac{\rho}{\delta}, \left.\quad \frac{\rho}{\delta} \right\rvert\, m
$$

Since $K /\langle\tau\rangle$ is cyclic and $|K /\langle\tau\rangle|=m$, we have $\left(\langle\tau\rangle \eta^{\frac{m \delta}{\rho}}\right) \in H\langle\tau\rangle /\langle\tau\rangle$. Hence $\exists v \in H,\langle\tau\rangle v=$ $\langle\tau\rangle \eta^{\frac{m \delta}{\rho}}$. Thus $\exists \pi \in \mathbb{N}$, where $v=\tau^{\pi} \eta^{\frac{m \delta}{\rho}}$. Since $\left\langle\tau^{\frac{n}{\delta}}\right\rangle=H \cap\langle\tau\rangle \triangleleft H, v \in H$, we have $v^{\frac{\rho}{\delta}} \in\left\langle\tau^{\frac{n}{\delta}}\right\rangle$. Write $\chi=\sum_{j=0}^{\frac{\rho}{\delta}-1} h^{\frac{m \delta j}{\rho}}$. By Lemma $3.1(2), \mathrm{o}\left(v^{\frac{\rho}{\delta}}=\tau^{\pi \chi+g}\right.$. Hence we get

$$
\left.\frac{n}{\delta} \right\rvert\,(\pi \chi+g), \quad\left(\rho, \delta, \pi \% \frac{n}{\delta}\right) \in \Gamma
$$

Notice that $\Psi\left(\rho, \delta, \pi \% \frac{n}{\delta}\right) \subseteq H$, and by Lemma 3.2 (1), $\left|\Psi\left(\rho, \delta, \pi \% \frac{n}{\delta}\right)\right|=\rho=|H|$, hence $H=\Psi\left(\rho, \delta, \pi \% \frac{n}{\delta}\right)$.

Theorem 3.3 Let $((m, n, g, h), K, T, \Gamma, \Psi)$ be a Hölder-tuple, fix $(\rho, \delta, \varsigma) \in \Gamma$.
(1) $\forall(c, d) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{N}:\left(\tau^{c} \eta^{d}\right) \in N_{K}(\Psi(\rho, \delta, \varsigma)) \Leftrightarrow c\left(h^{\frac{m \delta}{\rho}}-1\right) \equiv \varsigma\left(h^{d}-1\right)\left(\bmod \frac{n}{\delta}\right)$.
(2) $\Psi(\rho, \delta, \varsigma) \triangleleft K \Leftrightarrow \varsigma(h-1) \equiv 0\left(\bmod \frac{n}{\delta}\right), h^{\frac{m \delta}{\rho}} \equiv 1\left(\bmod \frac{n}{\delta}\right)$.
(3) If $\Psi(\rho, \delta, \varsigma) \triangleleft K$, then $K / \Psi(\rho, \delta, \varsigma) \cong\left(\frac{m \delta}{\rho}, \frac{n}{\delta},(-\varsigma) \% \frac{n}{\delta}, h \% \frac{n}{\delta}\right) \in \Omega$.

Proof (1) Since $\Psi$ is injective, using Lemma 3.1 (2), (1) follows from the following fact

$$
\left(\rho, \delta,\left(c\left(1-h^{\frac{m \delta}{\rho}}\right)+\varsigma h^{d}\right) \% \frac{n}{\delta}\right) \in \Gamma,
$$

together with the equation:

$$
\left(\tau^{c} \eta^{d}\right) \Psi(\rho, \delta, \varsigma)\left(\tau^{c} \eta^{d}\right)^{-1}=\Psi\left(\rho, \delta,\left(c\left(1-h^{\frac{m \delta}{\rho}}\right)+\varsigma h^{d} v\right) \% \frac{n}{\delta}\right)
$$

(2) Take $(c, d)=(1,0)$ and $(c, d)=(0,1)$ in (1), and (2) follows.
(3) Write $B=\Psi(\rho, \delta, \varsigma),(3)$ follows from Lemma 3.1 and the following relations:

$$
\tau^{\frac{n}{\delta}} B=B, \quad \eta^{\frac{m \delta}{\rho}} B=\tau^{(-\varsigma) \% \frac{n}{\delta}} B, \quad(\eta B)(\tau B)\left(\eta^{-1} B\right)=\tau^{h \% \frac{n}{\delta}} B, \quad|K / B|=\frac{m n}{\rho} .
$$

Using Theorem 3.2, we get the following Proposition 3.1 which gives a way to count the number of subgroups of a given order.

Proposition 3.1 Let $((m, n, g, h), K, T, \Gamma, \Psi)$ be a Hölder-tuple.
(1) Fix $(\rho, \delta) \in \mathbb{N}^{2}$, such that $\delta|\rho, \delta| n, \rho \mid m \delta$, let $\Upsilon$ denote the set

$$
\{B|B \leq K,|B|=\rho,|B \cap\langle\tau\rangle|=\delta\},
$$

then we have

$$
\left.\Upsilon \neq \varnothing \Leftrightarrow \operatorname{gcd}\left(\frac{n}{\delta}, \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{\rho}{\delta}-1} h^{\frac{m \delta j}{\rho}}\right) \right\rvert\, g .
$$

Moreover, once $\Upsilon \neq \varnothing$, then $|\Upsilon|=\operatorname{gcd}\left(\frac{n}{\delta}, \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{\rho}{\delta}-1} h^{\frac{m \delta j}{\rho}}\right)$.
(2) Fix $k \in \mathbb{N}, k \mid m n$. Then we have

$$
\left|\left\{C|C \leq K,|C|=k\} \left\lvert\,=\sum_{l \in \Theta} \operatorname{gcd}\left(\frac{n}{l}, \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{k}{l}-1} h^{\frac{m l j}{k}}\right)\right.\right.\right.
$$

where

$$
\Theta=\left\{l|l \in \mathbb{N}, l| n, l|k, k| m l, \left.\operatorname{gcd}\left(\frac{n}{l}, \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{k}{l}-1} h^{\frac{m l j}{k}}\right) \right\rvert\, g\right\} .
$$

Proposition 3.2 Let $((m, n, g, h), K, T, \Gamma, \Psi)$ be a Hölder-tuple, denote $\vartheta=\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} h^{i}$. Let $Y$ be the following set

$$
\{a \mid a \in\{0,1, \cdots, n-1\}, \vartheta a \equiv-g(\bmod n)\}
$$

and let $W=\left\{E \mid E \leq K, E \cap\langle\tau\rangle=\left\{1_{K}\right\},\langle\tau\rangle E=K\right\}$. Then
(1) $W \neq \varnothing \Leftrightarrow \operatorname{gcd}(n, \vartheta) \mid g$. Moreover, once $W \neq \varnothing$, then we have

$$
|W|=|Y|=\operatorname{gcd}(n, \vartheta) .
$$

(2) Assume that $\operatorname{gcd}(n, \vartheta) \mid g$. Fix $D \in W$. Then $(m, n, g, h) \cong(m, n, 0, h)$, and we have

$$
N_{K}(D)=C_{K}(D),\left|\left\{u D u^{-1} \mid u \in K\right\}\right|=\frac{n}{\operatorname{gcd}(n, h-1)} .
$$

Moreover, any two complements of $\langle\tau\rangle$ in $K$ are conjugate in $K$ if and only if the following equation holds:

$$
n=\operatorname{gcd}(n, h-1) \operatorname{gcd}(n, \vartheta) .
$$

Proof (1) Since for any $a \in\{0,1, \cdots, n-1\}:(m, 1, a) \in \Gamma \Leftrightarrow a \in Y$. By Theorem 3.2, we get $W=\left\{\Psi(m, 1, a)\left(=\left\langle\tau^{a} \eta\right\rangle\right) \mid a \in Y\right\}$, and (1) follows.
(2) By (1), $Y \neq \varnothing$. Fix $a \in Y$, consider $\left(\tau, \tau^{a} \eta\right) \in K \times K$, we get

$$
K=\left\langle\tau, \tau^{a} \eta\right\rangle, \quad o(\tau)=n, \quad o\left(\tau^{a} \eta\right)=m, \quad\left(\tau^{a} \eta\right) \tau\left(\tau^{a} \eta\right)^{-1}=\tau^{h}, \quad\left\langle\tau^{a} \eta\right\rangle \cap\langle\tau\rangle=\left\{1_{K}\right\} .
$$

Hence $(m, n, g, h) \cong K \cong(m, n, 0, h)$. Now fix $D \in W$, by (1), $\exists!a \in Y, D=\Psi(m, 1, a)$. For any $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{N}^{2}$, by Lemma 3.1 (2) and Theorem 3.3 (1), we have

$$
\left(\tau^{\alpha} \eta^{\beta}\right) \in N_{K}(D) \Leftrightarrow \alpha(h-1) \equiv a\left(h^{\beta}-1\right)(\bmod n) \Leftrightarrow\left(\tau^{\alpha} \eta^{\beta}\right) \in C_{K}(D)
$$

This implies $C_{K}(D)=N_{K}(D)$. Now we compute $\left|C_{K}(D)\right|$. By the previous discussion and Theorem 3.1 (2), we deduce that

$$
\left|C_{K}(D)\right|=\left|\left\{(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{N}^{2} \mid 0 \leq \alpha<n, 0 \leq \beta<m, \alpha(h-1) \equiv a\left(h^{\beta}-1\right)(\bmod n)\right\}\right| .
$$

Since for any $\beta \in\{0,1, \cdots, m-1\}$, we have $\operatorname{gcd}(n, h-1) \mid a\left(h^{\beta}-1\right)$, and

$$
\left|\left\{\alpha \mid \alpha \in\{0,1, \cdots, n-1\}, \alpha(h-1) \equiv a\left(h^{\beta}-1\right)(\bmod n)\right\}\right|=\operatorname{gcd}(n, h-1),
$$

it follows that $\left|C_{K}(D)\right|=m \cdot \operatorname{gcd}(n, h-1)$. Since $C_{K}(D)=N_{K}(D)$, we get $N_{K}(D)=m$. $\operatorname{gcd}(n, h-1)$, and $\left|\left\{u D u^{-1} \mid u \in K\right\}\right|=\frac{n}{\operatorname{gcd}(n, h-1)}$. Now the last part follows from the fact $|W|=\operatorname{gcd}(n, \vartheta)$.

For further discussion, we now state some lemmas and basic constructions of metacyclic groups.

Lemma 3.3 (see [2]) Let $p$ be a prime number, $r \in \mathbb{Z}, p \mid(r-1), m \in \mathbb{N}, m \geq 1$.
(1) If $p \geq 3$ or $p=2,4 \mid(r-1)$, then $O\left(p, r^{m}-1\right)=O(p, r-1)+O(p, m)$.
(2) If $p=2,4|(r-3), 2| m$, then $O\left(2, r^{m}-1\right)=O(2, r+1)+O(2, m)$.

Lemma 3.4 Fix $(k, n, r) \in \mathbb{Z}^{3}, n \geq 1, k|n, k|\left(r^{n}-1\right)$, then $k \mid\left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} r^{j}\right)$.
Proof Write $\mu=\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} r^{j}$. We have $r^{n}-1=(r-1) \mu$. Fix $p \in X(k)$, we now show that $\mathrm{O}(p, k) \leq O(p, \mu)$. Notice that $k|n, k|\left(r^{n}-1\right)$, hence $O(p, k) \leq O(p, n), O(p, k) \leq O\left(p, r^{n}-1\right)$. Next, we consider the following three cases.
(i) If $p \nmid(r-1)$, then $O(p, \mu)=O\left(p, r^{n}-1\right)$, it follows that $O(p, k) \leq O(p, \mu)$.
(ii) If $(p \mid(r-1), p \geq 3)$ or $(p=2,4 \mid(r-1))$, by Lemma 3.3 (1), we deduce that

$$
O\left(p, r^{n}-1\right)=O(p, r-1)+O(p, n)=O(p, r-1)+O(p, \mu)
$$

It follows that $O(p, \mu)=O(p, n)$, and $O(p, k) \leq O(p, \mu)$.
(iii) If $p=2,4 \mid(r-3)$, then $O(p, r-1)=1, O(p, r+1) \geq 2$. Notice that $p \mid k$, we have $p \mid n$. By Lemma 3.3 (2), we deduce that

$$
O(p, n) \leq O\left(p, r^{n}-1\right)-2, O(p, \mu)=O\left(p, r^{n}-1\right)-1 .
$$

It follows that $O(p, k) \leq O(p, n)<O(p, \mu)$.

By case (i)-case (iii). We deduce that $O(p, k) \leq O(p, \mu)$. Finally, since $p \in X(k)$ is arbitrary, we have $k \mid \mu$.

The next two lemmas provide the numerical results we need in the discussion, and they may be regarded as corollaries of Lemma 3.3.

Lemma 3.5 Let $p$ be a prime number, $p \geq 3,(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{Z}^{3}$, where

$$
a \neq \pm 1, \quad p \nmid a, \quad b \neq 1, \quad b \equiv 1(\bmod 4), \quad c \neq-1, \quad c \equiv 3(\bmod 4),
$$

and fix $k \in \mathbb{N}$.
(1) If $k \geq O(2, b-1)$, then $\operatorname{ord}\left(2^{k}, b\right)=2^{k-O(2, b-1)}$.
(2) If $k \geq O(2, c+1)+1$, then $\operatorname{ord}\left(2^{k}, c\right)=2^{k-O(2, c+1)}$.
(3) If $k \geq O\left(p, a^{\operatorname{ord}(p, a)}-1\right)$, then $\frac{\operatorname{ord}\left(p^{k}, a\right)}{\operatorname{ord}(p, a)}=p^{k-O\left(p, a^{\operatorname{ard}(p, a)}-1\right)}$.

Lemma 3.6 (see [2]) (1) Let $p$ be a prime number, $p \geq 3$, fix $k \in \mathbb{N}, k \geq 1$, then $\left(U_{\left(p^{k}\right)}, \odot_{\left(p^{k}\right)}\right)$ is cyclic, and $\forall b \in U_{\left(p^{k}\right)}$, if $b \geq 2, p \mid(b-1)$, then $\langle b\rangle_{\left(p^{k}\right)}=\left\langle 1+p^{O(p, b-1)}\right\rangle_{\left(p^{k}\right)}$.
(2) Fix $m \in \mathbb{N}, m \geq 4, \pi \in U_{\left(2^{m}\right)}$.
(2.1) If $\pi \equiv 1(\bmod 4), \pi \neq 1$, then $\langle\pi\rangle_{\left(2^{m}\right)}=\left\langle 1+2^{O(2, \pi-1)}\right\rangle_{\left(2^{m}\right)}$.
(2.2) If $\pi \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$, then $\langle\pi\rangle_{\left(2^{m}\right)}=\left\langle 2^{O(2, \pi+1)}-1\right\rangle_{\left(2^{m}\right)}$.

Proposition 3.3 Let $((m, n, g, h), K, T, \Gamma, \Psi)$ be a Hölder-tuple. Then the following statements hold.
(1) $\exp (K)=\frac{m n}{\operatorname{gcd}(g, m, n)}$.
(2) Assume that $n \geq 2$, then $K$ is Abelian if and only if $h=1$, and $K$ is cyclic if and only if $h=1, \operatorname{gcd}(g, m, n)=1$.
(3) Fix $(a, b) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{N}$, then

$$
o\left(\tau^{a} \eta^{b}\right)=\frac{m n}{\operatorname{gcd}(b, m) \operatorname{gcd}\left(n, a\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\frac{m}{\operatorname{gcd}(b, m)}-1} h^{b i}\right)+\frac{g b}{\operatorname{gcd}(m, b)}\right)} .
$$

Proof Write $\lambda=\frac{m n}{\operatorname{gcd}(g, m, n)}$. Since

$$
\operatorname{lcm}(o(\tau), o(\eta))=\operatorname{lcm}\left(n, \frac{m n}{\operatorname{gcd}(g, n)}\right)=\lambda
$$

hence $\lambda \mid \exp (K)$. Now fix $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{N}^{2}$, by Lemma $3.1(2),\left(\tau^{\alpha} \eta^{\beta}\right)^{\lambda}=\tau^{\alpha\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\lambda-1} h^{\beta i}\right)} \eta^{\beta \lambda}$. Since $n\left|\left(h^{\beta \lambda}-1\right), n\right| \lambda$, by Lemma 3.4, $n \mid \sum_{i=0}^{\lambda-1} h^{\beta i}$. Thus $\left(\tau^{\alpha} \eta^{\beta}\right)^{\lambda}=1_{K}$. This implies $\exp (K) \mid \lambda$. Thus $\exp (K)=\lambda$. The rest (2)-(3) is proved by using Theorem 3.1, Lemma 3.1 and (1), we omit the details.

Using Theorems 3.2-3.3 and Proposition 3.3, we get the following proposition, in which more details are given.

Proposition 3.4 Let $((m, n, g, h), K, T, \Gamma, \Psi)$ be a Hölder-tuple.
(1) Fix $(\rho, \delta, \varsigma) \in \Gamma$, denote

$$
\operatorname{gcd}\left(\frac{\rho}{\delta}, \delta, \frac{\delta\left(\varsigma\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\frac{\rho}{\rho}-1} h^{\frac{m \delta_{j}}{\rho}}\right)+\right)}{n}\right)=\theta
$$

(1.1) $\exp (\Psi(\rho, \delta, \varsigma))=\frac{\rho}{\theta}$.
(1.2) $\Psi(\rho, \delta, \varsigma)$ is Abelian $\Leftrightarrow h^{\frac{m \delta}{\rho}} \equiv 1(\bmod \delta)$.
(1.3) $\Psi(\rho, \delta, \varsigma)$ is cyclic $\Leftrightarrow h^{\frac{m \delta}{\rho}} \equiv 1(\bmod \delta), \theta=1$.
(2) Fix $(\rho, \delta, \varsigma) \in \Gamma$, assume that $\Psi(\rho, \delta, \varsigma) \triangleleft K$.
(2.1) $\exp (K / \Psi(\rho, \delta, \varsigma))=\frac{m n}{\rho \operatorname{gcd}\left(\frac{m \delta}{\rho}, \frac{n}{\delta}, \varsigma\right)}$.
(2.2) $K / \Psi(\rho, \delta, \varsigma)$ is cyclic $\Leftrightarrow h \equiv 1\left(\bmod \frac{n}{\delta}\right), \operatorname{gcd}\left(\frac{m \delta}{\rho}, \frac{n}{\delta}, \varsigma\right)=1$.
(3) $[K, K]=\left\langle\tau^{h-1}\right\rangle=\left\langle\tau^{\operatorname{gcd}(n, h-1)}\right\rangle, \exp (K /[K, K])=\frac{m \operatorname{gcd}(n, h-1)}{\operatorname{gcd}(g, m, n, h-1)}$.

Lemma 3.7 (see [2]) Fix $(m, n, t, r) \in \Omega,(c, d) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$, such that $(m, n, c, d) \in \Omega,\langle r\rangle_{(n)}=$ $\langle d\rangle_{(n)}, \operatorname{gcd}(t, n)=\operatorname{gcd}(c, n)$, then $(m, n, t, r) \cong(m, n, c, d)$.

Using Proposition 3.2 (2) Lemmas 3.3 and 3.5-3.7, we have the following lemma on the metacyclic $p$-groups.

Lemma 3.8 Let $p$ be a prime number, fix $(l, k) \in \mathbb{N}^{2}, l \geq 1, k \geq 1$, let $b=\min (l, k)$, fix $(t, r) \in \mathbb{N}^{2}$, such that $\left(p^{l}, p^{k}, t, r\right) \in \Omega$. Then
(1) Assume $p \geq 3$ or $p=2, r \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$. Then $O(p, r-1)+l \geq k$, and
(1.1) if $r=1, p^{b} \mid t$, then $\left(p^{l}, p^{k}, t, r\right) \cong\left(p^{l}, p^{k}, 0,1\right)$;
(1.2) if $r \neq 1, p^{b} \mid t$, then $\left(p^{l}, p^{k}, t, r\right) \cong\left(p^{l}, p^{k}, 0,1+p^{O(p, r-1)}\right)$;
(1.3) if $r=1, p^{b} \nmid t$, then $\left(p^{l}, p^{k}, t, r\right) \cong\left(p^{l}, p^{k}, p^{O(p, t)}, 1\right)$;
(1.4) if $r \neq 1, p^{b} \nmid t$, then $\left(p^{l}, p^{k}, t, r\right) \cong\left(p^{l}, p^{k}, p^{O(p, t)}, 1+p^{O(p, r-1)}\right)$.
(2) Assume $p=2, r \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$, then $O(2, r+1)+l \geq k, t \in\left\{0,2^{k-1}\right\}$. Moreover, $\left(2^{l}, 2^{k}, t, r\right) \cong\left(2^{l}, 2^{k}, t, 2^{O(2, r+1)}-1\right)$.

Now we can apply Theorems 3.2-3.3 on split metacyclic p-groups. Here a simpler form of this kind of groups is given.

Proposition 3.5 Let $p$ be a prime number, $(l, k, s) \in \mathbb{N}^{3}$, where

$$
l \geq 1, \quad k \geq 2, \quad 1 \leq s<k, \quad s+l \geq k .
$$

Let $\left(\left(p^{l}, p^{k}, 0,1+p^{s}\right), K, T, \Gamma, \Psi\right)$ be a Hölder-tuple, and let $\Gamma^{*}$ denote the following set

$$
\left\{(a, b, c) \mid(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{N}^{3}, b \leq a, b \leq k, a \leq b+l, 0 \leq c<p^{\min (a, k)-b}\right\} .
$$

If $p=2$, then assume $k \geq 3, s \geq 2$. Define the map $\Psi^{*}: \Gamma^{*} \rightarrow T$, where

$$
\forall(a, b, c) \in \Gamma^{*}: \Psi^{*}(a, b, c)=\left\langle\tau^{c \times p^{k-\min (a, k)}} \eta^{p^{p+b-a}}, \tau^{p^{k-b}}\right\rangle .
$$

Then
(1) $\Psi^{*}$ is a one to one correspondence from $\Gamma^{*}$ to $T$.
(2) Fix $(f, e, d) \in \Gamma^{*}$, then we have

$$
\Psi^{*}(f, e, d) \triangleleft K \Leftrightarrow f-2 e \leq l+s-k, \quad \min (f, k)-e-O(p, d) \leq s .
$$

Proof Define the map $\varphi: \Gamma^{*} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{3}$, where

$$
\forall(a, b, c) \in \Gamma^{*}: \varphi(a, b, c)=\left(p^{a}, p^{b}, c \cdot p^{k-\min (a, k)}\right) .
$$

Using Lemma 3.3, we get $\varphi\left[\Gamma^{*}\right]=\Gamma, \varphi$ is injective, and $\Psi^{*}=\Psi \circ \varphi$. Now (1) follows from Theorem 3.2, and (2) follows from Theorem 3.3 (2) and Lemma 3.3.

## 4 The Upper and Lower Central Series, $\Phi(\boldsymbol{K}), \boldsymbol{F}(\boldsymbol{K})$, the Carter Subgroup $C$ and the $p$-Subgroups

In this section, we compute and characterize some subgroups of a finite metacyclic group $K$.

First, we write the upper and lower central series for $K$. Using induction when necessary, the next lemma follows from Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 3.2.

Lemma 4.1 Let $((m, n, g, h), K, T, \Gamma, \Psi)$ be a Hölder-tuple. Fix $(k, l, \beta) \in \Gamma,(\rho, \delta, \varsigma) \in$ $\Gamma$, then
(1) $[\Psi(k, l, \beta), K]=\left\langle\tau^{\operatorname{gcd}\left(h^{\frac{m l}{k}}-1, \beta(h-1), \frac{n(h-1)}{l}\right)}\right\rangle$.
(2) $\left.[\Psi(k, l, \beta), K] \subseteq \Psi(\rho, \delta, \varsigma) \Leftrightarrow \frac{n}{\delta} \right\rvert\, \operatorname{gcd}\left(h^{\frac{m l}{k}}-1, \beta(h-1), \frac{n(h-1)}{l}\right)$.
(3) $\forall(s, b) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z}, w \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
w \geq 2:[\underbrace{\left\langle\tau^{b}, \eta^{s}\right\rangle, \cdots,\left\langle\tau^{b}, \eta^{s}\right\rangle}_{w}]=\left\langle\tau^{\left.b\left(h^{s}-1\right)^{w-1}\right\rangle .}\right.
$$

Theorem 4.1 Let $((m, n, g, h), K, T, \Gamma, \Psi)$ be a Hölder-tuple. Denote

$$
\mu=\prod_{p \in X(n) \cap X(h-1)} p^{O(p, n)},
$$

and let $\nu=\frac{n}{\mu}$. Fix $s \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $\forall p \in X(n) \cap X(h-1):(s-1) O(p, h-1) \geq O(p, n)$. Then the lower central series of $K$ are

$$
K \supseteq\left\langle\tau^{h-1}\right\rangle \supseteq \cdots \supseteq\left\langle\tau^{(h-1)^{\lambda}}\right\rangle \supseteq \cdots \supseteq[\underbrace{K, \cdots, K}_{s}]=\left\langle\tau^{\mu}\right\rangle,
$$

and the upper central series of $K$ are

$$
\left\{1_{K}\right\} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq\left\langle\tau^{\frac{n}{\operatorname{gcd}\left(n,(h-1)^{\lambda}\right)}}, \eta^{\operatorname{ord}\left(\frac{n}{\operatorname{gcd}\left(n,(h-1)^{\lambda-1}\right)}, h\right)}\right\rangle \subseteq \cdots \subseteq Z_{s}(K)=\left\langle\tau^{\nu}, \eta^{\operatorname{ord}(\nu, h)}\right\rangle
$$

Moreover, $K$ is nilpotent $\Leftrightarrow X(n) \subseteq X(h-1)$.
Proof First, $\forall w \in \mathbb{N}, w \geq 1$, by Lemma 4.1 (3) $[\underbrace{K, \cdots, K}_{w+1}]=\left\langle\tau^{(h-1)^{w}}\right\rangle=\left\langle\tau^{\operatorname{gcd}\left(n,(h-1)^{w}\right)}\right\rangle$. Now we prove the following Claim 1 by induction.

Claim $1 \forall w \in \mathbb{N}, w \geq 1$

$$
Z_{w}(K)=\left\langle\tau^{\frac{n}{\operatorname{gcd}\left(n,(h-1)^{w}\right)}}, \eta^{\operatorname{ord}\left(\frac{n}{\operatorname{gcd}\left(n,(h-1)^{w-1}\right)}, h\right)}\right\rangle
$$

Proof of Claim 1 By (2.2) of Lemma 3.1,

$$
Z(K)=\left\langle\tau^{\frac{n}{\operatorname{gcd}(n, h-1)}}, \eta^{\operatorname{ord}(n, h)}\right\rangle .
$$

Now fix $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$, assume Claim 1 holds for $\lambda$. Write

$$
f=\operatorname{gcd}\left(n,(h-1)^{\lambda-1}\right), \quad \pi=\operatorname{gcd}\left(n,(h-1)^{\lambda}\right), \quad \theta=\operatorname{gcd}\left(n,(h-1)^{\lambda+1}\right) .
$$

Using Lemma 3.2, we have

$$
Z_{\lambda}(K)=\Psi\left(\frac{m \pi}{\operatorname{ord}\left(\frac{n}{f}, h\right)}, \pi, 0\right) .
$$

By Lemma 4.1 (2), $\forall(k, l, \beta) \in \Gamma$, we have

$$
\Psi(k, l, \beta) \subseteq Z_{\lambda+1}(K) \Leftrightarrow l\left|\theta, \frac{n}{\theta}\right||\beta, k| \frac{m l}{\operatorname{ord}\left(\frac{n}{\pi}, h\right)} .
$$

By Theorem 3.2,

$$
\left|Z_{\lambda+1}(K)\right| \leq \frac{m \theta}{\operatorname{ord}\left(\frac{n}{\pi}, h\right)} .
$$

Again by Lemma 3.2 and the previous discussion, we get

$$
\left(\frac{m \theta}{\operatorname{ord}\left(\frac{n}{\pi}, h\right)}, \theta, 0\right) \in \Gamma, \quad \Psi\left(\frac{m \theta}{\operatorname{ord}\left(\frac{n}{\pi}, h\right)}, \theta, 0\right) \subseteq Z_{\lambda+1}(K) .
$$

This implies

$$
Z_{\lambda+1}(K)=\Psi\left(\frac{m \theta}{\operatorname{ord}\left(\frac{n}{\pi}, h\right)}, \theta, 0\right)
$$

The induction is completed. And the theorem follows immediately.
Now we turn to the Carter subgroup of a finite metacyclic group $K$. Recall that for any group $G$ and $A \leq G$, by [5], we say $A$ is a Carter subgroup of $G$, if and only if $A$ is nilpotent and $N_{G}(A)=A$. It is well known that any finite solvable group $G$ contains a Carter subgroup $C$, and any two Carter subgroups of $G$ are conjugate in $G$. Furthermore, the identity $G=C G_{\infty}$ always holds (see [5]).

For a finite metacyclic group $K$, we can say more about its Carter subgroup, and the following is our main result on the Carter subgroup of $K$.

Theorem 4.2 Let $K$ be a finite metacyclic group, fix $C \leq K$, then
(1) if $C$ is a Carter subgroup of $K$, then $C \cap K_{\infty}=\left\{1_{K}\right\}, K=C K_{\infty}$;
(2) $C$ is a Carter subgroup of $K \Leftrightarrow K=C K_{\infty}$ and $C$ is nilpotent.

Using Theorem 3.2, Theorem 4.2 follows from the next proposition.
Proposition 4.1 Let $((m, n, g, h), K, T, \Gamma, \Psi)$ be a Hölder-tuple. Denote

$$
\mu=\prod_{p \in X(n) \cap X(h-1)} p^{O(p, n)}
$$

and let $\nu=\frac{n}{\mu}$. Fix $(k, l, \beta) \in \Gamma, \gamma \in \mathbb{N}, \gamma<\nu$.
(1) If $\Psi(k, l, \beta) K_{\infty}=K, \Psi(k, l, \beta)$ is nilpotent, then $l=\mu, k=m \mu$.
(2) $(m \mu, \mu, \gamma) \in \Gamma, \Psi(m \mu, \mu, \gamma)$ is a Carter subgroup of $K$. Moreover, we have

$$
\Psi(m \mu, \mu, \gamma) \cap K_{\infty}=\left\{1_{K}\right\}, \quad \Psi(m \mu, \mu, \gamma) K_{\infty}=K
$$

Proof (1) By Lemma 3.2 (1), we have

$$
\Psi(k, l, \beta) \cap K_{\infty}=\left\langle\tau^{\frac{n}{l}}\right\rangle \cap\left\langle\tau^{\mu}\right\rangle=\left\langle\tau^{\frac{n}{\operatorname{gcd}(l, \nu)}}\right\rangle,
$$

and it follows that

$$
m n=\left|\Psi(k, l, \beta) K_{\infty}\right|=\frac{k \nu}{\operatorname{gcd}(l, \nu)}=\frac{k \operatorname{lcm}(l, \nu)}{l}
$$

Since $\frac{k}{l}|m, \operatorname{lcm}(l, \nu)| n$, we have $\frac{k}{l}=m, \operatorname{lcm}(l, \nu)=n, \mu \mid l$. Next, by Lemma 3.2, $\exists \theta_{1} \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\Psi(k, l, \beta) \cong\left(m, l, \theta_{1}, h \% l\right)$, by Theorem 4.1, $X(l) \subseteq X((h \% l)-1)$. Thus $X(l) \subseteq X(h-1), l \mid n$, and this implies $l \mid \mu$. Hence $\mu=l, k=m l=m \mu$.
(2) First, we have $(m \mu, \mu, \gamma) \in \Gamma, \Psi(m \mu, \mu, \gamma)=\left\langle\tau^{\gamma} \eta, \tau^{\nu}\right\rangle$. Write $B=\Psi(m \mu, \mu, \gamma)$. By Lemma 3.2, $\exists \theta_{2} \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $B \cong\left(m, \mu, \theta_{2}, h \% \mu\right)$. Since $X(\mu) \subseteq X((h \% \mu)-1)$, by Theorem 4.1, $B$ is nilpotent. Next, fix $(e, f) \in \mathbb{N}^{2}$, where $\left(\tau^{e} \eta^{f}\right) \in N_{K}(B)$. By Theorem 3.3, we have

$$
\gamma\left(h^{f}-1\right) \equiv e(h-1)(\bmod \nu) .
$$

Notice that $\operatorname{gcd}(h-1, \nu)=1$, together with Lemma 3.2, we have

$$
\gamma\left(\sum_{j=0}^{f-1} h^{j}\right) \equiv e(\bmod \nu), \quad\left(\tau^{e} \eta^{f}\right) \in B .
$$

This implies $B=N_{K}(B)$. Finally, we deduce that

$$
B \cap K_{\infty}=\left\langle\tau^{\nu}\right\rangle \cap\left\langle\tau^{\mu}\right\rangle=\left\langle\tau^{\nu \mu}\right\rangle=\left\langle\tau^{n}\right\rangle=\left\{1_{K}\right\}
$$

and $\left|B K_{\infty}\right|=m \mu \nu=|K|, B K_{\infty}=K$.
Now we describe the Frattini subgroup $\Phi(K)$. The next lemma follows from Theorem 3.2 and the fact that every metacyclic group is supersolvable.

Lemma 4.2 Let $((m, n, g, h), K, T, \Gamma, \Psi)$ be a Hölder-tuple, then
(1) $\forall C \leq K: C$ is a proper maximal subgroup of $K$ and $\langle\tau\rangle \subseteq C$ if and only if $\exists s \in$ $X(m)$, such that $C=\left\langle\tau, \eta^{s}\right\rangle$.
(2) $\forall B \leq K: B$ is a proper maximal subgroup of $K$ and $\langle\tau\rangle \nsubseteq B$ if and only if $\exists q \in$ $X(n), \exists \beta \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $\left(\frac{m n}{q}, \frac{n}{q}, \beta\right) \in \Gamma, B=\Psi\left(\frac{m n}{q}, \frac{n}{q}, \beta\right)$.

Theorem 4.3 Let $((m, n, g, h), K, T, \Gamma, \Psi)$ be a Hölder-tuple, and let $\left(V_{1}, V_{2}, V_{3}, V_{4}, V_{5}\right)$ denote the following 5 -tuple:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& ((X(n)-X(g)) \cap X(m), \quad X(n)-X(g m), \quad(X(n) \cap X(g))-X(h-1), \\
& (X(n) \cap X(g) \cap X(h-1))-X(m), \quad X(n) \cap X(g) \cap X(h-1) \cap X(m)) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Denote

$$
v_{1}=\prod_{p \in X(n) \cap X(g)} p, \quad \forall i \in\{2,3,4,5\}: v_{i}=\prod_{p \in V_{i}} p
$$

and denote

$$
v_{6}=\prod_{p \in X(m)} p, \quad \varphi=\operatorname{lcm}\left(\operatorname{ord}\left(v_{3}, h\right), v_{6}\right) .
$$

Then $\exists!(\theta, \lambda) \in \mathbb{N}^{2}$, where $(\theta, \lambda) \in\left\{0,1, \cdots, v_{2}-1\right\} \times\left\{0,1, \cdots, v_{1} v_{2}-1\right\}$, and

$$
\theta\left(\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} h^{j}\right) \equiv-g\left(\bmod v_{2}\right), \quad \lambda \equiv \theta\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\varphi-1} h^{i}\right)\left(\bmod v_{2}\right), \quad \lambda \equiv 0\left(\bmod v_{1}\right) .
$$

Moreover, $\left(\frac{m n}{\varphi v_{1} v_{2}}, \frac{n}{v_{1} v_{2}}, \lambda\right) \in \Gamma, \Phi(K)=\Psi\left(\frac{m n}{\varphi v_{1} v_{2}}, \frac{n}{v_{1} v_{2}}, \lambda\right)=\left\langle\tau^{\lambda} \eta^{\varphi}, \tau^{v_{1} v_{2}}\right\rangle$.

Proof The existence and uniqueness of $(\theta, \lambda)$ follow from $\operatorname{gcd}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} h^{j}, v_{2}\right)=1$ and the Chinese remainder theorem. Also notice that $\left(\frac{m n}{\varphi v_{1} v_{2}}, \frac{n}{v_{1} v_{2}}, \lambda\right) \in \Gamma,\left(\frac{m n}{v_{2}}, \frac{n}{v_{2}}, \theta\right) \in \Gamma,\left(V_{1}, V_{2}, V_{3}, V_{4}, V_{5}\right)$ is a partition of $X(n)$. We compute $\Phi(K)$ by presenting a series of facts, all of which follow from Lemma 3.2.
(1) $\forall p \in V_{1}, \forall \beta \in \mathbb{N}:\left(\frac{m n}{p}, \frac{n}{p}, \beta\right) \notin \Gamma$.
(2) Fix $p \in V_{2}$, then $\forall \beta \in \mathbb{N}, \beta<p:\left(\frac{m n}{p}, \frac{n}{p}, \beta\right) \in \Gamma \Leftrightarrow \beta=\theta \% p$.
(3) $\bigcap_{p \in V_{2}} \Psi\left(\frac{m n}{p}, \frac{n}{p}, \theta \% p\right)=\left\langle\tau^{\theta} \eta, \tau^{v_{(2)}}\right\rangle,\left\langle\tau^{\theta} \eta, \tau^{v_{2}}\right\rangle \triangleleft K$.
(4) Fix $p \in V_{4}, \beta \in \mathbb{N}, 0 \leq \beta<p$, then: $\left(\frac{m n}{p}, \frac{n}{p}, \beta\right) \in \Gamma \Leftrightarrow \beta=0$.
(5) Using Lemma 3.2, we get $\bigcap_{p \in V_{4}} \Psi\left(\frac{m n}{p}, \frac{n}{p}, 0\right)=\left\langle\tau^{v_{4}}, \eta\right\rangle$.
(6) Fix $s \in V_{5}$, then $\forall \gamma \in\{0,1, \cdots, s-1\}:\left(\frac{m n}{s}, \frac{n}{s}, \gamma\right) \in \Gamma$. And we have

$$
\bigcap_{\gamma=0}^{s-1} \Psi\left(\frac{m n}{s}, \frac{n}{s}, \gamma\right)=\left\langle\tau^{s}, \eta^{s}\right\rangle
$$

(7) Using Lemma 3.2, we get $\bigcap_{s \in V_{5}}\left\langle\tau^{s}, \eta^{s}\right\rangle=\left\langle\tau^{v_{5}}, \eta^{v_{5}}\right\rangle$.
(8) Fix $q \in V_{3}$, then $\forall \gamma \in\{0,1, \cdots, q-1\}:\left(\frac{m n}{q}, \frac{n}{q}, \gamma\right) \in \Gamma$. And we have

$$
\bigcap_{\gamma=0}^{q-1} \Psi\left(\frac{m n}{q}, \frac{n}{q}, \gamma\right)=\left\langle\tau^{q}, \eta^{\operatorname{ord}(q, h)}\right\rangle .
$$

(9) Using Lemma 3.2, we get $\bigcap_{q \in V_{3}}\left\langle\tau^{q}, \eta^{\operatorname{ord}(q, h)}\right\rangle=\left\langle\tau^{v_{3}}, \eta^{\operatorname{ord}\left(v_{3}, h\right)}\right\rangle$.
(10) By lemma 4.2 (1), and (1)-(9), we get

$$
\Phi(K)=\left\langle\tau, \eta^{v_{6}}\right\rangle \cap\left\langle\tau^{v_{4}}, \eta\right\rangle \cap\left\langle\tau^{v_{5}}, \eta^{v_{5}}\right\rangle \cap\left\langle\tau^{v_{3}}, \eta^{\operatorname{ord}\left(v_{3}, h\right)}\right\rangle \cap\left\langle\tau^{\theta} \eta, \tau^{v_{2}}\right\rangle .
$$

And by Lemma 3.2, $\Phi(K)=\left\langle\tau^{v_{1}}, \eta^{\varphi}\right\rangle \cap\left\langle\tau^{\theta} \eta, \tau^{v_{2}}\right\rangle=\left\langle\tau^{\lambda} \eta^{\varphi}, \tau^{v_{1} v_{2}}\right\rangle$.
Now we compute $F(K)$ for a finite metacyclic group $K$.
Proposition 4.2 Let $((m, n, g, h), K, T, \Gamma, \Psi)$ be a Hölder-tuple. Denote $\omega=\prod_{p \in X(n)} p$. Then $F(K)=\left\langle\tau, \eta^{\operatorname{ord}(\omega, h)}\right\rangle$, and moreover, $\forall y \in K$,
(1) $y \in F(K) \Leftrightarrow \exists l \in \mathbb{N}, l \geq 1$, such that $\forall x \in K:[\underbrace{y, \cdots, y}_{l}, x]=1_{K}$.
(2) $y \in Z_{\infty}(K) \Leftrightarrow \exists l \in \mathbb{N}, l \geq 1$, such that $\forall x \in K:[\underbrace{x, \cdots, x}_{l}, y]=1_{K}$.

Remark 4.1 Actually, by [1], (1) and (2) hold for every finite group $G$.
Proof First, denote $\mu=\prod_{p \in X(n) \cap X(h-1)} p^{O(p, n)}, \nu=\frac{n}{\mu}$, and $\forall(\alpha, \beta) \in K^{2}, \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$, denote $\varepsilon(\alpha, k, \beta)=[\underbrace{\alpha, \cdots, \alpha}_{k}, \beta]$. Let $A=\left\langle\tau, \eta^{\operatorname{ord}(\omega, h)}\right\rangle$. Hence $A \triangleleft K$. Fix $t \in \mathbb{N}$, where $n \mid\left(h^{\operatorname{ord}(\omega, h)}-1\right)^{t}$. By Lemma $4.1(3),[\underbrace{A, \cdots, A}_{t+1}]=\left\{1_{K}\right\}$. Thus $A \subseteq F(K)$. Using (2.3) of Lemma 3.1 and straightforward computation, we have the following claim.

Claim Fix $(e, f) \in \mathbb{N}^{2}$, then
$\left(\exists l \in \mathbb{N}, l \geq 1, \quad\right.$ such that $\left.\forall x \in K: \varepsilon\left(x, l, \tau^{e} \eta^{f}\right)=1_{K}\right) \Leftrightarrow \nu|e, \operatorname{ord}(\nu, h)| f$.
$\left(\exists r \in \mathbb{N}, r \geq 1, \quad\right.$ such that $\left.\forall x \in K: \varepsilon\left(\tau^{e} \eta^{f}, r, x\right)=1_{K}\right) \Leftrightarrow \operatorname{ord}(\omega, h) \mid f$.
By Theorem 4.1, $Z_{\infty}(K)=\left\langle\tau^{\nu}, \eta^{\operatorname{ord}(\nu, h)}\right\rangle$. Notice that the " $\Rightarrow$ " part of (1) and (2) are trivial. by the above two claims, Proposition 4.2 is proved.

Now we begin to study the $p$-subgroups of a metacyclic group $K$, where $p$ is a prime number. We mainly consider three problems: counting the number of the subgroups of order $p^{a}$, where $a \in \mathbb{N}$; giving a way to judge whether the Sylow $p$-group of $K$ is normal; finding a relatively simple 4 -tuple in $\Omega$ which is isomorphism to the Sylow $p$-group of $K$.

Theorem 4.4 Let $((m, n, g, h), K, T, \Gamma, \Psi)$ be a Hölder-tuple, and $p$ a prime number where $p \mid m n$. Fix $P \leq K,|P|=p^{O(p, m n)}, \mu \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq \mu \leq O(p, m n)$. Let $\Delta$ denote the following set

$$
\left\{V\left|V \leq K,|V|=p^{\mu}\right\}\right.
$$

$\forall \pi \in \mathbb{N}, \pi \leq \min (\mu, O(p, n))$, denote $\psi(\pi)$ as the following integer:

$$
\psi(\pi)=p^{\min (\mu, O(p, n))-\pi}\left(\prod_{q \in X(n), q \neq p, O(p, \operatorname{ord}(q, h)) \geq O(p, m)+\pi+1-\mu} q^{O(q, n)}\right)
$$

(1) If $p \nmid m$, then $P=\left\langle\tau^{\frac{n}{p(p, n)}}\right\rangle,|\Delta|=1$. If $p \nmid \operatorname{gcd}(m, n)$, then $P$ is cyclic.
(2) $P \triangleleft K \Leftrightarrow \forall q \in X(n)(p \nmid \operatorname{ord}(q, h))$.
(3) Denote $f_{0}=\max (0, \mu-O(p, m), \min (\mu, O(p, n))-O(p, g))$. Assume that $p \geq 3, p \mid m$ or $p=2, p \mid m, h \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$. Then

$$
|\Delta|=\sum_{\pi=f_{0}}^{\min (\mu, O(p, n))} \psi(\pi)
$$

(4) Assume $p=2,2 \nmid n, 2 \mid m$. Then

$$
|\Delta|=\prod_{q \in X(n),} \prod_{(2, \operatorname{ord}(q, h)) \geq O(2, m)-\mu+1} q^{O(q, n)}
$$

(5) Assume $p=2,2|m, 2| n$, $4 \nmid n$. Then $2 \mid g \Rightarrow P \cong\left(2^{O(2, m)}, 2,0,1\right)$, and $2 \nmid g \Rightarrow P$ is cyclic.
(6) Assume $p \geq 3, p|m, p| n$ or $p=2,2|m, 4| n, h \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$.
(6.1) If $h^{\operatorname{ord}(p, h)} \equiv 1\left(\bmod p^{O(p, n)}\right), O(p, g) \geq \min (O(p, m), O(p, n))$, then

$$
P \cong\left(p^{O(p, m)}, p^{O(p, n)}, 0,1\right)
$$

(6.2) If $h^{\operatorname{ord}(p, h)} \equiv 1\left(\bmod p^{O(p, n)}\right), O(p, g)<\min (O(p, m), O(p, n))$, then

$$
P \cong\left(p^{O(p, m)}, p^{O(p, n)}, p^{O(p, g)}, 1\right)
$$

(6.3) If $h^{\operatorname{ord}(p, h)} \not \equiv 1\left(\bmod p^{O(p, n)}\right), O(p, g) \geq \min (O(p, m), O(p, n))$, then

$$
P \cong\left(p^{O(p, m)}, p^{O(p, n)}, 0,1+p^{O\left(p, h^{\operatorname{ord}(p, h)}-1\right)}\right)
$$

(6.4) If $h^{\operatorname{ord}(p, h)} \not \equiv 1\left(\bmod p^{O(p, n)}\right), O(p, g)<\min (O(p, m), O(p, n))$, then

$$
P \cong\left(p^{O(p, m)}, p^{O(p, n)}, p^{O(p, g)}, 1+p^{O\left(p, h^{\operatorname{ord}(p, h)}-1\right)}\right)
$$

Proof By Theorem 3.2, $\exists!\beta \in \mathbb{N}, \beta<\frac{n}{p^{O(p, n)}}$, and $\left(p^{O(p, m n)}, p^{O(p, n)}, \beta\right) \in \Gamma$,

$$
P=\Psi\left(p^{O(p, m n)}, p^{O(p, n)}, \beta\right) .
$$

Denote

$$
\vartheta=\frac{\left(\beta\left(\sum_{j=0}^{p^{O(p, m)}-1} h^{\frac{m j}{p(p, m)}}\right)+g\right) \% n}{\frac{n}{p^{O(p, n)}}} .
$$

By Theorem 3.2, $P \cong\left(p^{O(p, m)}, p^{\mathrm{O}(p, n)}, \vartheta, h^{\frac{{ }^{m}}{p(P, m)}} \% p^{O(p, n)}\right)$.
(1) Using Theorem 3.1 and the Sylow theorem, we omit the details.
(2) Using Theorem 3.3 (2), we only prove the " $\Leftarrow$ " part.
$\Leftarrow$ We deduce from Lemma 3.5.(3) that

$$
h^{\frac{m}{p O(p, m)}} \equiv 1\left(\bmod \frac{n}{p^{O(p, n)}}\right) .
$$

Since $\left(p^{O(p, m n)}, p^{O(p, n)}, \beta\right) \in \Gamma$, thus

$$
\beta p^{O(p, m)} \equiv-g\left(\bmod \frac{n}{p^{O(p, n)}}\right) .
$$

Hence $\beta(h-1) \equiv 0\left(\bmod \frac{n}{p O(p, n)}\right)$. By Theorem 3.3 (2), we get $P \triangleleft K$.
(3) Consider the set

$$
E=\left\{l|l| n, l\left|p^{\mu}, p^{\mu}\right| m l, \left.\operatorname{gcd}\left(\frac{n}{l}, \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{p^{\mu}}{l}-1} h^{\frac{m l j}{p^{\mu}}}\right) \right\rvert\, g\right\} .
$$

First, fix $\delta \in \mathbb{N}, \max (0, \mu-O(p, m)) \leq \delta \leq \min (\mu, O(p, n))$. Write

$$
\chi=\sum_{j=0}^{p^{\mu-\delta}-1} h^{\frac{m j}{p^{\mu-\delta}}} .
$$

Using lemma 3.3, we get $\operatorname{gcd}\left(\frac{n}{p^{\circ}}, \chi\right)=\psi(\delta)$, and

$$
p^{\delta} \in E \Leftrightarrow p^{\min (O(p, n), \mu)-\delta} \mid g \Leftrightarrow f_{0} \leq \delta \leq \min (\mu, O(p, n))
$$

Now by Proposition 3.1, (3) follows from the fact

$$
|\Delta|=\sum_{l \in E} \operatorname{gcd}\left(\frac{n}{l}, \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{p^{\mu}}{l}-1} h^{\frac{m l j}{p^{\mu}}}\right) .
$$

(4) The proof is similar to the proof in (3), we omit the details.
(5) Notice that $2 \mid g$ implies $\vartheta=0$, and $2 \nmid g$ implies $\vartheta=1$, the rest follows.
(6) By Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 3.8, this follows by straightforward computation, and we omit the details.

Theorem 4.4 enables us to focus on the 2-subgroups of a metacyclic group $K$. First we state a lemma, which follows from Proposition 3.3 (2) and Lemma 3.3, and is also necessary when we classify the metacyclic 2 -groups.

Lemma 4.3 Fix $(l, k) \in \mathbb{N}^{2}, l \geq 1, k \geq l+2$, then $\left(2^{l}, 2^{k}, 0,2^{k-l}-1\right) \in \Omega$, $\left(2^{l}, 2^{k}, 2^{k-1}, 2^{k-l}-1\right) \in \Omega$, and $\left(2^{l}, 2^{k}, 0,2^{k-l}-1\right) \cong\left(2^{l}, 2^{k}, 2^{k-1}, 2^{k-l}-1\right)$.

Theorem 4.5 Let $((m, n, g, h), K, T, \Gamma, \Psi)$ be a Hölder-tuple, where $2|m, 2| n, h \equiv$ $3(\bmod 4)$. Fix $Q \leq K,|Q|=2^{O(2, m n)}$, fix $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $1 \leq \nu \leq O(2, m n)$. Denote $S=\left\{U\left|U \leq K,|U|=2^{\nu}\right\} . \forall \pi \in \mathbb{N}, \pi \leq \min (\nu, O(2, n))\right.$, denote $\varpi(\pi)$ as the integer

$$
\varpi(\pi)=2^{\min (\nu, O(2, n))-\pi}\left(\prod_{q \in X(n), O(2, \operatorname{ord}(q, h)) \geq O(2, m)+\pi+1-\nu} q^{O(q, n)}\right) .
$$

(1) If $O(2, h+1)+O(2, m)=O(2, n)$, then $Q \cong\left(2^{O(2, m)}, 2^{O(2, n)}, 0,2^{O(2, h+1)}-1\right)$.
(2) Assume $O(2, h+1)+O(2, m) \geq O(2, n)+1, O(2, h+1) \leq O(2, n)-1$.
(2.1) If $O(2, g) \geq O(2, n)$, then $Q \cong\left(2^{O(2, m)}, 2^{O(2, n)}, 0,2^{O(2, h+1)}-1\right)$.
(2.2) If $O(2, g)=O(2, n)-1$, then $Q \cong\left(2^{O(2, m)}, 2^{O(2, n)}, 2^{O(2, n)-1}, 2^{O(2, h+1)}-1\right)$.
(3) Assume $O(2, h+1) \geq O(2, n)$.
(3.1) If $O(2, g) \geq O(2, n)$, then $Q \cong\left(2^{O(2, m)}, 2^{O(2, n)}, 0,2^{O(2, n)}-1\right)$.
(3.2) If $O(2, g)=O(2, n)-1$, then $Q \cong\left(2^{O(2, m)}, 2^{O(2, n)}, 2^{O(2, n)-1}, 2^{O(2, n)}-1\right)$.
(4) Assume $\nu \geq O(2, m)+1$, then

$$
|S|=\left(\sum_{\pi=\nu-O(2, m)+1}^{\min (\nu, O(2, n))} \varpi(\pi)\right)+2^{O(2, m n)-\nu}\left(\prod_{q \in X(n), 2 \mid \operatorname{ord}(q, h)} q^{O(q, n)}\right) .
$$

(5) Assume $\nu=O(2, m)$.
(5.1) If $\min \left(O(2, n), O\left(2, h^{m}-1\right)-1\right)>O(2, g)$, then $|S|=\sum_{\pi=1}^{\min (\nu, O(2, n))} \varpi(\pi)$.
(5.2) If $\min \left(O(2, n), O\left(2, h^{m}-1\right)-1\right) \leq O(2, g)$, then

$$
|S|=\left(\sum_{\pi=1}^{\min (\nu, O(2, n))} \varpi(\pi)\right)+2^{\min (O(2, n), O(2, h+1)+O(2, m)-1)}\left(\prod_{q \in X(n), 2 \mid \operatorname{ord}(q, h)} q^{O(q, n)}\right) .
$$

(6) If $\nu \leq O(2, m)-1$, then $\exists$ ! $\sigma \in\{0,1\}$, where

$$
|S|=\sum_{\pi=\sigma}^{\min (\nu, O(2, n))} \varpi(\pi) .
$$

Moreover, we have

$$
\sigma=0 \Leftrightarrow \min (\nu, O(2, n)) \leq O(2, g) .
$$

Proof Write

$$
E=\left\{l|l| n, l\left|2^{\nu}, 2^{\nu}\right| m l, \left.\operatorname{gcd}\left(\frac{n}{l}, \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{2^{\nu}}{l}-1} h^{\frac{m l j}{2^{\nu}}}\right) \right\rvert\, g\right\} .
$$

We omit the details of the proof of (1)-(3) since it is straightforward by using Lemmas 3.3 and 3.8. Now we give a claim, which follows from Lemma 3.3.

Claim 3 Fix $\delta \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\max (0, \nu-O(2, m)) \leq \delta \leq \min (\nu, O(2, n))$.
(i) If $O(2, m)+\delta-\nu \geq 1$, then

$$
\operatorname{gcd}\left(\frac{n}{2^{\delta}}, \sum_{j=0}^{2^{\nu-\delta}-1} h^{\frac{m j}{2 \nu^{-\delta}}}\right)=\varpi(\delta) .
$$

Moreover, we have

$$
2^{\delta} \in E \Leftrightarrow \min (\nu, O(2, n))-O(2, g) \leq \delta .
$$

(ii) If $O(2, m)+\delta-\nu=0$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{gcd}\left(\frac{n}{2^{\delta}}, \sum_{j=0}^{2^{\nu-\delta}-1} h^{\frac{m j}{2^{\nu-\delta}}}\right)=2^{\min (O(2, n)-\delta, O(2, h+1)+O(2, m)-1)}\left(\prod_{q \in X(n), 2 \mid \operatorname{ord}(q, h)} q^{O(q, n)}\right) . \\
& 2^{\delta} \in E \Leftrightarrow \min (O(2, n)-\delta, O(2, h+1)+O(2, m)-1) \leq O(2, g) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now (4)-(6) follows from the fact

$$
|S|=\sum_{l \in E} \operatorname{gcd}\left(\frac{n}{l}, \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{2^{\nu}}{T}-1} h^{\frac{m l j}{2^{\nu}}}\right)
$$

(see Proposition 3.1).

## 5 Nonabelian Metacyclic $\boldsymbol{p}$-Groups

In this section, we give a different classification of nonabelian metacyclic $p$-groups by using the results we obtain in the previous sections.

First, we set an isomorphism invariant for all finite metacyclic groups.
Definition 5.1 Let $((m, n, g, h), K, T, \Gamma, \Psi)$ be a Hölder-tuple, we denote $\Lambda(m, n, g, h)=$ $\max (|A| \mid A \leq K, A \triangleleft K, A$ is cyclic, $K / A$ is cyclic).

Lemma 5.1 Fix $(m, n, g, h) \in \Omega$, $(\widetilde{m}, \tilde{n}, \tilde{g}, \tilde{h}) \in \Omega$, then $n \leq \Lambda(m, n, g, h)$. Assume that $(m, n, g, h) \cong(\widetilde{m}, \widetilde{n}, \widetilde{g}, \widetilde{h})$, then $\Lambda(m, n, g, h)=\Lambda(\widetilde{m}, \widetilde{n}, \widetilde{g}, \widetilde{h}), m n=\widetilde{m} \widetilde{n}, \operatorname{mgcd}(n, h-1)=$ $\widetilde{m} \operatorname{gcd}(\widetilde{n}, \widetilde{h}-1), \operatorname{gcd}(g, m, n)=\operatorname{gcd}(\widetilde{g}, \widetilde{m}, \widetilde{n}), \operatorname{gcd}(g, m, n, h-1)=\operatorname{gcd}(\widetilde{g}, \widetilde{m}, \widetilde{n}, \widetilde{h}-1)$. Moreover, if $n=\widetilde{n}$, then $m=\widetilde{m}, \operatorname{ord}(n, h)=\operatorname{ord}(n, \widetilde{h}), \operatorname{gcd}(n, h-1)=\operatorname{gcd}(n, \widetilde{h}-1)$.

Proof Using Proposition 3.3 and Proposition 3.4 (3), we omit the details.
Theorem 5.1 Let $p$ be a prime number, fix $(l, k) \in \mathbb{N}^{2},(t, r) \in \mathbb{N}^{2}$, where

$$
l \geq 1, \quad k \geq 2, \quad\left(p^{l}, p^{k}, t, r\right) \in \Omega, \quad r \neq 1, \quad O(p, r-1)<O(p, t)
$$

If $p=2, k \geq 3$, then $\Lambda\left(p^{l}, p^{k}, t, r\right)=p^{k}$.
Proof Let $\left(\left(p^{l}, p^{k}, t, r\right), K, T, \Gamma, \Psi\right)$ be a Hölder-tuple. Fix $(\rho, \delta, \varsigma) \in \Gamma$, such that $\Psi(\rho, \delta, \varsigma) \triangleleft$ $K, \Psi(\rho, \delta, \varsigma)$ is cyclic, $K / \Psi(\rho, \delta, \varsigma)$ is cyclic. It is enough to show $\rho \leq p^{k}$. Notice that $\exists!b \in$ $\mathbb{N}, \delta=p^{b}, b \leq k, \exists!a \in \mathbb{N}, \rho=p^{a}, b \leq a$. Write $\chi=\sum_{j=0}^{\frac{\rho}{\delta}-1} r^{\frac{p^{l} \delta j}{\rho}}$. By Proposition 3.4, we have

$$
r \equiv 1\left(\bmod p^{k-b}\right), \quad r^{\frac{p^{l} \delta}{\rho}} \equiv 1(\bmod \delta), \quad \operatorname{gcd}\left(\frac{\rho}{\delta}, \delta, \frac{\delta(\varsigma \chi+t)}{p^{k}}\right)=1,
$$

thus $k-b \leq O(p, r-1)<k$, hence $b \geq 1$, and $p=2 \wedge r \equiv 3(\bmod 4) \Rightarrow b \geq 2$. Now assume $a \geq k+1$. Thus $a-b \geq 1, p \left\lvert\, \frac{\rho}{\delta}\right.$, this implies $p \nmid \frac{\delta(\varsigma \chi+t)}{p^{k}}$, hence $p^{k+1-b} \nmid(\varsigma \chi+t)$. Since $k-b \leq O(p, r-1)<O(p, t)$, thus $p^{k+1-b} \mid t$, hence $p^{k+1-b} \nmid \chi$. But by Lemma 3.3, we have:

$$
O(p, \chi)=O\left(p, \frac{\rho}{\delta}\right)=a-b \geq k+1-b
$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore $a \leq k$, and the result follows.
Proposition 5.1 Let $p$ be a prime number, $f i x(l, k) \in \mathbb{N}^{2},(\xi, w) \in \mathbb{N}^{2}$, where

$$
l \geq 1, \quad k \geq 2, \quad \xi \leq l, \quad 1 \leq \xi \leq w<k, \quad \xi+w \geq k
$$

If $p=2, l \geq 2, k \geq 3, w \geq 2$, then $\left(p^{l}, p^{k}, p^{\xi}, 1+p^{w}\right) \cong\left(p^{\xi}, p^{l+k-\xi}, 0,1+p^{l+w-\xi}\right)$.
Proof Let $K=\left\langle x, y \mid x^{p^{k}}=1, y^{p^{l}}=x^{p^{\xi}}, y x y^{-1}=x^{1+p^{w}}\right\rangle$. Consider $(y, x) \in K \times K$. Since $\xi \leq w$, we get

$$
o(y)=p^{l+k-\xi}, x^{p^{\xi}}=y^{p^{l}}, x y x^{-1}=y^{p^{l+k-\xi}-p^{l+w-\xi}+1},
$$

hence $K \cong\left(p^{\xi}, p^{l+k-\xi}, p^{l}, p^{l+k-\xi}-p^{l+w-\xi}+1\right) \in \Omega$. Notice that $\xi \leq l$, by Lemma 3.8 (1.2), we get $K \cong\left(p^{\xi}, p^{l+k-\xi}, 0,1+p^{l+w-\xi}\right)$.

Now we are ready to give the classification of the nonabelian metacyclic $p$-groups when $p$ is an odd prime number.

Theorem 5.2 Let $p$ be an odd prime number, consider the set $\operatorname{Met}(p)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Met}(p)= & \left\{\left(p^{a}, p^{b}, 0,1+p^{c}\right) \mid(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{N}^{3}, a \geq 1, b \geq 2,1 \leq c<b, a+c \geq b\right\} \cup \\
& \left\{\left(p^{\alpha}, p^{\beta}, p^{\gamma}, 1+p^{\theta}\right) \mid(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \theta) \in \mathbb{N}^{4}, \theta+\gamma \geq \beta, 1 \leq \theta<\gamma<\min (\alpha, \beta)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $\forall G$ is a nonabelian metacyclic $p$-group, $\exists!\vec{\omega} \in \operatorname{Met}(p), G \cong \vec{\omega}$.
Proof First, by Lemma 3.3, we get $\operatorname{Met}(p) \subseteq \Omega$, we prove in two steps.
(1) Let $G$ be a nonabelian metacyclic $p$-group, then $\exists \vec{\omega} \in \operatorname{Met}(p), G \cong \vec{\omega}$.

Proof of (1) By Theorem 3.1, since $G$ is nonabelian, $\exists(l, k) \in \mathbb{N}^{2}, \exists(t, r) \in \mathbb{N}^{2}$, where $l \geq 1, k \geq 2, r \neq 1, G \cong\left(p^{l}, p^{k}, t, r\right) \in \Omega$. We discuss in three cases.

Case 1 Assume $\min (l, k) \leq O(p, t)$. Hence $p^{\min (l, k)} \mid t$, by Lemma 3.8, we get

$$
G \cong\left(p^{l}, p^{k}, t, r\right) \cong\left(p^{l}, p^{k}, 0,1+p^{O(p, r-1)}\right) \in \operatorname{Met}(p)
$$

Case 2 Assume $\min (l, k)>O(p, t) \geq O(p, r-1)+1$. By Lemma 3.8, we get

$$
G \cong\left(p^{l}, p^{k}, t, r\right) \cong\left(p^{l}, p^{k}, p^{O(p, t)}, 1+p^{O(p, r-1)}\right) \in \operatorname{Met}(p)
$$

Case 3 Assume $\min (l, k)>O(p, t), O(p, r-1) \geq O(p, t)$. By Lemma 3.8 and Proposition 5.1, we get

$$
G \cong\left(p^{l}, p^{k}, p^{O(p, t)}, 1+p^{O(p, r-1)}\right) \cong\left(p^{O(p, t)}, p^{l+k-O(p, t)}, 0,1+p^{l+O(p, r-1)-O(p, t)}\right) \in \operatorname{Met}(p) .
$$

And (1) is proved.
(2) Any two distinct 4-tuples in $\operatorname{Met}(p)$ are not isomorphism.

Proof of (2) Using Lemma 5.1 and Theorem 5.1, we omit the details.
Now we turn to the nonabelian metacyclic 2-groups, and the following lemma is well-known.
Lemma 5.2 (see $[8,10])(1)(2,4,0,3) \not \equiv(2,4,2,3)$.
(2) Let $A$ be a nonabelian group where $|A|=8$, then $\exists e \in\{0,2\}$, such that $A \cong(2,4, e, 3)$.
(3) Fix $k \in \mathbb{N}, k \geq 3$. Let $Y$ denote the following set

$$
\left\{\left(2,2^{k}, 0,2^{k-1} \pm 1\right)\right\} \cup\left\{\left(2,2^{k}, t, 2^{k}-1\right) \mid t \in\left\{0,2^{k-1}\right\}\right\} .
$$

Then $\forall(t, r) \in \mathbb{N}^{2}$, where $\left(2,2^{k}, t, r\right) \in \Omega, r \neq 1, \exists!\vec{\omega} \in Y$, such that $\left(2,2^{k}, t, r\right) \cong \vec{\omega}$.

Proposition 5.2 Fix $l \in \mathbb{N}, l \geq 2$, then $\left(2^{l}, 4,2,3\right) \cong\left(2,2^{l+1}, 0,1+2^{l}\right)$, and $\Lambda\left(2^{l}, 4,0,3\right)=$ 4.

Proof Let $G=\left\langle x, y \mid x^{4}=1, y^{2^{l}}=x^{2}, y x y^{-1}=x^{3}\right\rangle$. Consider $(y, x) \in G \times G$, we get

$$
o(y)=2^{l+1}, \quad x^{2}=y^{2^{l}}, \quad x y x^{-1}=y^{2^{l}+1} .
$$

By Lemma 3.8 (1.2), we have

$$
G \cong\left(2^{l}, 4,2,3\right) \cong\left(2,2^{l+1}, 2^{l}, 2^{l}+1\right) \cong\left(2,2^{l+1}, 0,2^{l}+1\right) .
$$

And similar to the proof of Theorem 5.1, we get $\Lambda\left(2^{l}, 4,0,3\right)=4$.
Proposition 5.3 Consider the following set $\operatorname{Met}_{(1)}(2)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Met}_{(1)}(2)= & \left\{\left(2^{a}, 2^{b}, 0,1+2^{c}\right) \mid(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{N}^{3}, a \geq 1, b \geq 3,2 \leq c<b, a+c \geq b\right\} \cup \\
& \left\{\left(2^{\alpha}, 2^{\beta}, 2^{\gamma}, 1+2^{\theta}\right) \mid(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \theta) \in \mathbb{N}^{4}, \theta+\gamma \geq \beta, 2 \leq \theta<\gamma<\min (\alpha, \beta)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $\forall(l, k) \in \mathbb{N}^{2}, \forall(t, r) \in \mathbb{N}^{2}$, where

$$
l \geq 1, \quad k \geq 3, \quad r \neq 1, \quad r \equiv 1(\bmod 4), \quad\left(2^{l}, 2^{k}, t, r\right) \in \Omega,
$$

$\exists!\vec{\omega} \in \operatorname{Met}_{(1)}(2)$, such that $\left(2^{l}, 2^{k}, t, r\right) \cong \vec{\omega}$.
Proof This is similar to the proof of Theorem 5.2, we omit the details.
The following lemma follows from Lemma 5.1 and Theorem 5.1.
Lemma 5.3 Fix $(l, k) \in \mathbb{N}^{2},(t, r) \in \mathbb{N}^{2}$, where

$$
l \geq 1, \quad k \geq 3, \quad r \neq 1, \quad\left(2^{l}, 2^{k}, t, r\right) \in \Omega .
$$

Similarly, Fix $(a, b) \in \mathbb{N}^{2},(c, d) \in \mathbb{N}^{2}$, where

$$
a \geq 1, \quad b \geq 3, \quad d \equiv 3(\bmod 4), \quad\left(2^{a}, 2^{b}, c, d\right) \in \Omega .
$$

(1) If $r \equiv 3(\bmod 4),\left(2^{l}, 2^{k}, t, r\right) \cong\left(2^{a}, 2^{b}, c, d\right)$, then $a=l, b=k$, and
(1.1) if $O(2, r+1) \leq k-2$, then $O(2, r+1)=O(2, d+1)$;
(1.2) if $O(2, r+1) \geq k-1$, then $r \in\left\{2^{k-1}-1,2^{k}-1\right\}, d \in\left\{2^{k-1}-1,2^{k}-1\right\}$.
(2) If $r \equiv 1(\bmod 4), O(2, r-1)<O(2, t)$, then $\left(2^{l}, 2^{k}, t, r\right) \not \neq\left(2^{a}, 2^{b}, c, d\right)$.

Lemma 5.4 (see [9]) $\operatorname{Fix}(l, k) \in \mathbb{N}^{2}, s \in \mathbb{N}$, where

$$
l \geq 2, \quad k \geq 3, \quad 2 \leq s \leq k, \quad s+l \geq k+1
$$

then $\left(2^{l}, 2^{k}, 0,2^{s}-1\right) \nsubseteq\left(2^{l}, 2^{k}, 2^{k-1}, 2^{s}-1\right)$.
Proof Let
$K=\left\langle\tau, \eta \mid \tau^{2^{k}}=1, \eta^{2^{l}}=1, \eta \tau \eta^{-1}=\tau^{2^{s}-1}\right\rangle, \quad L=\left\langle x, y \mid x^{2^{k}}=1, y^{2^{l}}=x^{2^{k-1}}, y x y^{-1}=x^{2^{s}-1}\right\rangle$.
Fix $(a, b) \in \mathbb{N}^{2}, 2 \nmid b$. By Lemma 3.3 and Proposition $3.3(3)$, we deduce that $o\left(\tau^{a} \eta^{b}\right)=$ $2^{l}, o\left(x^{a} y^{b}\right)=2^{l+1}$. Hence

$$
\left|\left\{\omega \mid \omega \in K, o(\omega)=2^{l}\right\}\right| \geq 2^{l+k-1}, \quad\left|\left\{\sigma \mid \sigma \in L, o(\sigma)=2^{l+1}\right\}\right| \geq 2^{l+k-1}
$$

It follows that $K \nsupseteq L$.
Lemma 5.5 Fix $(l, k) \in \mathbb{N}^{2}, l \geq 2, k \geq 3$, then $\left(2^{l}, 2^{k}, 0,2^{k-1}-1\right) \nsubseteq\left(2^{l}, 2^{k}, 0,2^{k}-1\right)$, and $\left(2^{l}, 2^{k}, 2^{k-1}, 2^{k-1}-1\right) \cong\left(2^{l}, 2^{k}, 2^{k-1}, 2^{k}-1\right)$.

Proof First, let $K=\left\langle\tau, \eta \mid \tau^{2^{k}}=1, \eta^{2^{l}}=1, \eta \tau \eta^{-1}=\tau^{2^{k-1}-1}\right\rangle$. Now fix any $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \theta) \in$ $\mathbb{N}^{4}$, such that $\left(\tau^{\alpha} \eta^{\beta}\right)\left(\tau^{\gamma} \eta^{\theta}\right)\left(\tau^{\alpha} \eta^{\beta}\right)^{-1}=\left(\tau^{\gamma} \eta^{\theta}\right)^{2^{k}-1}$. By (2.3) of Theorem 3.1 and (2.1) of Lemma 3.1, we get $2 \mid \gamma$, and $2^{l-1} \mid \theta$. Using Proposition 3.3.(3), we get $o\left(\tau^{\gamma} \eta^{\theta}\right) \mid 2^{k-1}$. Hence $K \nsupseteq\left(2^{l}, 2^{k}, 0,2^{k}-1\right)$.

Next, let $G=\left\langle x, y \mid x^{2^{k}}=1, y^{2^{l}}=x^{2^{k-1}}, y x y^{-1}=x^{2^{k-1}-1}\right\rangle$. Consider $\left(x y^{2^{l-1}}, y\right) \in G \times G$. Using Lemma 3.1 and Proposition 3.3.(3), we get

$$
G=\left\langle x y^{2^{l-1}}, \quad y\right\rangle, \quad \mathrm{o}\left(x y^{2^{l-1}}\right)=2^{k}, \quad y^{2^{l}}=\left(x y^{2^{l-1}}\right)^{2^{k-1}}, y\left(x y^{2^{l-1}}\right) y^{-1}=\left(x y^{2^{l-1}}\right)^{2^{k}-1}
$$

It follows that

$$
\left(2^{l}, 2^{k}, 2^{k-1}, 2^{k-1}-1\right) \cong G \cong\left(2^{l}, 2^{k}, 2^{k-1}, 2^{k}-1\right)
$$

By Lemma 3.8 (2) and all the previous results in this section, we get the following classification for nonabelian metacyclic 2 -groups with order greater than 8 .

Theorem 5.3 Consider the following set $\operatorname{Met}(2)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Met}(2)= & \left\{\left(2^{a}, 2^{b}, 0,1+2^{c}\right) \mid(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{N}^{3}, a \geq 1, b \geq 3,2 \leq c<b, a+c \geq b\right\} \\
& \cup\left\{\left(2^{\alpha}, 2^{\beta}, 2^{\gamma}, 1+2^{\theta}\right) \mid(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \theta) \in \mathbb{N}^{4}, \theta+\gamma \geq \beta, 2 \leq \theta<\gamma<\min (\alpha, \beta)\right\} \\
& \cup\left\{\left(2^{l}, 2^{k}, 0,2^{s}-1\right) \mid(l, k, s) \in \mathbb{N}^{3}, l \geq 2, k \geq 3,2 \leq s \leq k, s+l \geq k\right\} \\
& \cup\left\{\left(2^{\widetilde{l}}, 2^{\widetilde{k}}, 2^{\widetilde{k}-1}, 2^{\widetilde{s}}-1\right) \mid(\widetilde{l}, \widetilde{k}, \widetilde{s}) \in \mathbb{N}^{3}, \widetilde{l} \geq 2, \widetilde{k} \geq 3,2 \leq \widetilde{s}<\widetilde{k}, \widetilde{s}+\widetilde{l}>\widetilde{k}\right\} \\
& \cup\left\{\left(2,2^{k}, 0,2^{k-1}-1\right) \mid k \in \mathbb{N}, k \geq 3\right\} \cup\left\{\left(2,2^{k}, 0,2^{k}-1\right) \mid k \in \mathbb{N}, k \geq 3\right\} \\
& \cup\left\{\left(2,2^{k}, 2^{k-1}, 2^{k}-1\right) \mid k \in \mathbb{N}, k \geq 3\right\} \cup\left\{\left(2^{l}, 4,0,3\right) \mid l \in \mathbb{N}, l \geq 2\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $\forall G$ is a nonabelian metacyclic 2 -group, $|G| \geq 16, \exists \vec{\omega} \in \operatorname{Met}(2)$, such that $G \cong \vec{\omega}$. Moreover, any two distinct 4-tuples in $\operatorname{Met}(2)$ are not isomorphism.

## 6 A "Reciprocity " Relation on Enumeration of Subgroups

Let $G$ be a finite abelian group, in [4, Theorem 7.2], Birkhoff proved that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}, n \mid$ $|G|$, the number of subgroups of order $n$ in $G$ is equal to the number of subgroups of index $n$ (i.e., of order $\frac{|G|}{n}$ ) in $G$. In this section, we consider the analog for a finite metacyclic group $K$.

For convenience, in this section, for a finite group $G$, we say $G$ has property $\mathbf{P}$, if and only if for any $n \in \mathbb{N}, n| | G \mid$, the number of subgroups of order $n$ in $G$ is equal to the number of subgroups of order $\frac{|G|}{n}$ in $G$.

Also for convenience, in this section, let $\Theta$ denote the following set

$$
\left\{\left(2^{l}, 2^{k}, t, 2^{s}-1\right) \mid(l, k, s) \in \mathbb{N}^{3}, t \in\left\{0,2^{k-1}\right\}, 1 \leq l<k \leq s+l, 2 \leq s \leq k\right\} .
$$

Now we state the main result in this section.
Theorem 6.1 Let $K$ be a finite metacyclic group. If $2 \nmid|K|$, regard $\left\{1_{K}\right\}$ as the Sylow 2-group of $K$. Then $K$ has property $\mathbf{P}$ if and only if $K$ is nilpotent, and the Sylow 2-group of $K$ is not isomorphism to any 4-tuple in $\Theta$.

First, we provide some lemmas. The following two lemmas are deduced from Theorem 4.4 (3) and Theorem 4.5 (4)-(6).

Lemma 6.1 Fix $(l, k) \in \mathbb{N}^{2}, l \geq 1, k \geq 2$. Let $p$ be a prime number. Fix $(t, r) \in \mathbb{N}^{2}$, such that $\left(p^{l}, p^{k}, t, r\right) \in \Omega$. Assume $p \geq 3$ or $p=2,4 \mid(r-1)$. Let $G$ be a finite group, where $G \cong\left(p^{l}, p^{k}, t, r\right)$. Then $G$ has property $\mathbf{P}$. Actually, fix $\mu \in \mathbb{N}, \mu \leq l+k$, then

$$
\left|\left\{A\left|A \leq G,|A|=p^{\mu}\right\} \mid=\sum_{\theta=0}^{\min (k, l, \mu, k+l-\mu, O(p, t))} p^{\theta} .\right.\right.
$$

Throughout the rest of this section, we fix the following notation: let $(l, k) \in \mathbb{N}^{2},(t, r) \in \mathbb{N}^{2}$, where

$$
l \geq 1, \quad k \geq 2, \quad r \equiv 3(\bmod 4), \quad\left(2^{l}, 2^{k}, t, r\right) \in \Omega .
$$

Let $G$ be a group, where $G \cong\left(2^{l}, 2^{k}, t, r\right)$. Write $a=O(2, r+1)+l-1$.
Lemma 6.2 Fix $\mu \in \mathbb{N}, \mu \leq l+k$, let $S=\left\{A\left|A \leq G,|A|=p^{\mu}\right\}\right.$.
(1) If $\mu>l$, then $|S|=2^{\min (l, k+l-\mu)}+2^{k+l-\mu}-1$.
(2) If $\mu=l, \min (k, a)>O(2, t)$, then $|S|=2^{\min (\mu, k)}-1$.
(3) If $\mu=l, \min (k, a) \leq O(2, t)$, then $|S|=2^{\min (\mu, k)}+2^{\min (k, a)}-1$.
(4) If $\mu<l, \min (\mu, k)>O(2, t)$, then $|S|=2^{\min (\mu, k)}-1$.
(5) If $\mu<l$, $\min (\mu, k) \leq O(2, t)$, then $|S|=2^{\min (\mu, k)+1}-1$.

Corollary 6.1 Assume $k>l$. Let $S_{1}=\left\{A\left|A \leq G,|A|=p^{l}\right\}\right.$, and $S_{2}=\{A|A \leq G,|A|=$ $\left.p^{k}\right\}$. Then $\left|S_{1}\right| \neq\left|S_{2}\right|, G$ does not have property $\mathbf{P}$.

Proof By Lemma 6.2, $\exists \sigma \in\{0,1\},\left|S_{1}\right|=2^{l}+\sigma 2^{\min (k, a)}-1$, and $\left|S_{2}\right|=2^{l+1}-1$. Since $k>l, O(2, r+1) \geq 2$, hence $\min (k, a)>l,\left|S_{1}\right| \neq\left|S_{2}\right|$.

Corollary 6.2 Assume $l \geq k \geq 2$. If $t=2^{k-1}$, then assume $a \geq k$. Then $G$ has property P. Actually, fix $\mu \in \mathbb{N}, \mu \leq l+k$, let $S=\left\{A\left|A \leq G,|A|=p^{\mu}\right\}\right.$.
(1) If $\mu>l$, then $|S|=2^{k+l-\mu+1}-1$.
(2) If $k \leq \mu \leq l$, then $\left(t=0,|S|=2^{k+1}-1\right)$ or $\left(t=2^{k-1},|S|=2^{k}-1\right)$.
(3) If $\mu<k$, then $|S|=2^{\mu+1}-1$.

The following lemma, which is proved by using the property of normal Hall subgroups, gives the relationship between property $\mathbf{P}$ and direct product which we need. We state it without detailed proof.

Lemma 6.3 Fix $s \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$, let $\left(m_{1}, \cdots, m_{s}\right)$ be an s-tuple of positive integers such that $\forall 1 \leq i<j \leq s: \operatorname{gcd}\left(m_{i}, m_{j}\right)=1$. Let $G$ be a group of order $m_{1} m_{2} \cdots m_{s}$. Assume that $\forall 1 \leq \lambda \leq s$, $G$ contains a normal subgroup of order $m_{\lambda} \cdots m_{s}$, and the number of subgroups of order $m_{\lambda} \cdots m_{s}$ in $G$ is equal to the number of subgroups of order $m_{1} \cdots m_{\lambda-1}$ in $G$. Then $\forall 1 \leq i \leq s: \exists!B_{i} \triangleleft G$, where $\left|B_{i}\right|=m_{i}$. Moreover, $G$ has property $\mathbf{P}$ if and only if $\forall 1 \leq i \leq$ $s: B_{i}$ has property $\mathbf{P}$.

Now Fix $s \geq 1$, let $p_{1}, \cdots, p_{s}$ be prime numbers where $p_{1}<p_{2}<\cdots<p_{s}$, and let $\alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{s}$ be positive integers. Let $K$ be a metacyclic group of order $p_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} p_{2}^{\alpha_{2}} \cdots p_{s}^{\alpha_{s}}$. Since $K$ is supersolvable, therefore $\forall 1 \leq \lambda \leq s, K$ contains a normal subgroup of order $p_{\lambda}^{\alpha_{\lambda}} \cdots p_{s}^{\alpha_{s}}$. Now Theorem 6.1 follows from Lemma 6.3 and the previous results in this section.

## 7 Characteristic Subgroups of a Metacyclic p-Group $(p \geq 3)$

Throughout this section, let $p$ be a fixed prime number, $p \geq 3$. Using Theorem 3.2, we give a description of the characteristic subgroups of a finite metacyclic $p$-group $G$. Particularly, we show that if $G$ is split, then any characteristic subgroup of $G$ is actually closed under every element in $\operatorname{End}(G)$. For any $A \leq G$, we write $A$ char $G$, if and only if $A$ is a characteristic subgroup of $G$.

The following lemma, which is similar to [16, Lemma 2.1], is needed in our discussion.
Lemma 7.1 Fix $r \in \mathbb{Z}, r \neq 1, p \mid(r-1)$, write $O(p, r-1)=u$, then $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}$ : $r^{m}-1 \equiv m(r-1)\left(\bmod p^{2 u+O(p, m)}\right), \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} r^{i} \equiv m\left(\bmod p^{u+O(p, m)}\right)$.

Proposition 7.1 Fix $(l, k) \in \mathbb{N}^{2}, r \in \mathbb{N}, l \geq 1, k \geq 2,\left(p^{l}, p^{k}, 0, r\right) \in \Omega$. If $r=1$, then assume $l \leq k$. Let $\left(\left(p^{l}, p^{k}, 0, r\right), K, T, \Gamma, \Psi\right)$ be a Hölder-tuple. Fix $A \leq K$, then the following three statements are equivalent to each other.
(1) $A$ char $K$.
(2) $\exists(a, b) \in \mathbb{N}^{2}$, where

$$
k-\max (l, k) \leq 2 b-a \leq k-\min (l, O(p, r-1)), \quad b \leq a, b \leq k, \quad a \leq b+l,
$$

and $A=\Psi\left(p^{a}, p^{b}, 0\right)=\left\langle\tau^{p^{k-b}}, \eta^{p^{l+b-a}}\right\rangle$.
(3) $\forall \varphi \in \operatorname{End}(K): \varphi[A] \subseteq A$.

Proof Fix $\alpha \in U_{\left(p^{k}\right)}$, where $U_{\left(p^{k}\right)}=\langle\alpha\rangle_{\left(p^{k}\right)}$. By Theorem 3.2, $\exists(a, b) \in \mathbb{N}^{2}, \exists \varsigma \in \mathbb{N}$, where

$$
b \leq a, b \leq k, a \leq b+l, \varsigma<p^{k-b},\left(p^{a}, p^{b}, \varsigma\right) \in \Gamma, A=\Psi\left(p^{a}, p^{b}, \varsigma\right)
$$

Write $w=\max (0, l-O(p, r-1)), v=\max (0, k-l)$. Consider $\left(\tau \eta^{p^{w}}, \eta\right)$. By Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 7.1, it's straightforward to verify that

$$
\left(\tau \eta^{p^{w}}\right)^{p^{k}}=1_{K}, \quad \eta\left(\tau \eta^{p^{w}}\right) \eta^{-1}=\left(\tau \eta^{p^{w}}\right)^{r} .
$$

Thus $\exists!\sigma_{1} \in \operatorname{Aut}(K)$, where

$$
\sigma_{1}(\tau)=\tau \eta^{p^{w}}, \sigma_{1}(\eta)=\eta
$$

Similarly, $\exists!\left(\sigma_{2}, \sigma_{3}\right) \in \operatorname{Aut}(K)^{2}$, where

$$
\sigma_{2}(\tau)=\tau, \sigma_{2}(\eta)=\tau^{p^{v}} \eta, \sigma_{3}(\tau)=\tau^{\alpha}, \sigma_{3}(\eta)=\eta .
$$

$(1) \Rightarrow(2)$ First, notice that

$$
\sigma_{3}\left(\tau^{\varsigma} \eta^{p^{l+b-a}}\right)\left(\tau^{\varsigma} \eta^{p^{l+b-a}}\right)^{-1}=\tau^{\varsigma(\alpha-1)} \in A
$$

it follows that $p^{k-b} \mid \varsigma(\alpha-1)$. Since $p \nmid(\alpha-1)$, $\varsigma<p^{k-b}$, hence $\varsigma=0$. Write $\mu=$ $p^{v}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{p^{l+b-a}-1} r^{i}\right)$. By Lemma 3.1, $\exists \lambda \in \mathbb{N}$, where

$$
\sigma_{1}\left(\tau^{p^{k-b}}\right)=\tau^{\lambda} \eta^{p^{w+k-b}} \in A, \sigma_{2}\left(\eta^{p^{l+b-a}}\right)=\tau^{\mu} \eta^{p^{l+b-a}} \in A
$$

By Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 3.2, we have $p^{l+b-a}\left|p^{w+k-b}, p^{k-b}\right| \mu$, hence we get

$$
k-\max (l, k) \leq 2 b-a \leq k-\min (l, O(p, r-1)) .
$$

$(2) \Rightarrow(3)$ Fix $\varphi \in \operatorname{End}(K)$, hence $\exists\left(\begin{array}{cc}\alpha & \beta \\ \pi & \theta\end{array}\right) \in \operatorname{Met}_{2}(\mathbb{N})$, where

$$
\varphi(\tau)=\tau^{\alpha} \eta^{\beta}, \varphi(\eta)=\tau^{\pi} \eta^{\theta} .
$$

Thus $\left(\tau^{\pi} \eta^{\theta}\right)^{p^{l}}=1_{K},\left[\tau^{\pi} \eta^{\theta}, \tau^{\alpha} \eta^{\beta}\right]=\left(\tau^{\alpha} \eta^{\beta}\right)^{r-1}$. By Lemmas 3.1 and 3.3, we deduce that

$$
p^{k}\left|\pi p^{l}, \quad p^{l}\right| \beta(r-1), \quad O(p, \pi) \geq v, \quad O(p, \beta) \geq u
$$

Consider $\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{N}^{2}$, where

$$
\mu_{1}=\sum_{i=0}^{p^{k-b}-1} r^{\beta i}, \quad \mu_{2}=\sum_{i=0}^{p^{l+b-a}-1} r^{\theta i}
$$

by Lemma 3.1, we have

$$
\varphi\left(\tau^{p^{k-b}}\right)=\tau^{\alpha \mu_{1}} \eta^{\beta p^{k-b}}, \quad \varphi\left(\eta^{p^{l+b-a}}\right)=\tau^{\pi \mu_{2}} \eta^{\theta p^{l+b-a}}
$$

By Lemma 3.3, we have

$$
O\left(p, \pi \mu_{2}\right) \geq k-b, \quad O\left(p, \beta p^{k-b}\right) \geq l+b-a,
$$

and by Lemma 3.2, $\varphi\left(\eta^{p^{l+b-a}}\right) \in A, \varphi\left(\tau^{p^{k-b}}\right) \in A$. Hence $\varphi[A] \subseteq A$.
Since $(3) \Rightarrow(1)$ is trivial, we've completed the proof.

Now we consider the nonsplit case. Throughout the rest of this section, we fix the following notation: let $(l, k, \varepsilon, s) \in \mathbb{N}^{4}$, where

$$
1 \leq s<\varepsilon<\min (l, k), \quad s+\varepsilon \geq k
$$

Moreover, let $\left(\left(p^{l}, p^{k}, p^{\varepsilon}, 1+p^{s}\right), K, T, \Gamma, \Psi\right)$ be a Hölder-tuple.
We need the following lemma, which is part of [6, Theorems 3.3 and 3.5]), and gives the generators of Aut ( $K$ ).

Lemma $7.2 \exists!\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \sigma_{3}, \sigma_{4}\right) \in \operatorname{Aut}(K)^{4}$, where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sigma_{1}(\tau)=\tau, \quad \sigma_{1}(\eta)=\eta^{1+p^{k-s}}, \quad \sigma_{2}(\tau)=\tau, \quad \sigma_{2}(\eta)=\tau^{p^{k-\min (l, k)}} \eta, \\
\sigma_{4}(\tau)=\tau \eta^{p^{l-s}}, \quad \sigma_{4}(\eta)=\eta^{1+p^{\varepsilon-s}}, \quad \sigma_{3}(\tau)=\tau^{1+p^{\min (l, k)-\varepsilon}} .
\end{gathered}
$$

And if $k \leq l$, then $\sigma_{3}(\eta)=\eta$, if $k>l$, then $\sigma_{3}(\eta)=\tau \eta$. Moreover, we have

$$
\operatorname{Aut}(K)=\left\langle\sigma_{1}\right\rangle\left\langle\sigma_{2}\right\rangle\left\langle\sigma_{3}\right\rangle\left\langle\sigma_{4}\right\rangle
$$

The following lemma is deduced from Theorem 3.2 and Lemma 7.1.
Lemma 7.3 Fix $A \leq K$, then $\exists!(a, b, \varsigma) \in \mathbb{N}^{3}$, such that

$$
b \leq \min (a, k), a \leq l+b, \varsigma<p^{k-b}, \varsigma p^{a} \equiv-p^{\varepsilon+b}\left(\bmod p^{k}\right)
$$

where $\left(p^{a}, p^{b}, \varsigma\right) \in \Gamma$, and $A=\Psi\left(p^{a}, p^{b}, \varsigma\right)$.
The following proposition describes the characteristic subgroups of $K$.
Proposition 7.2 Fix $(a, b, \varsigma) \in \mathbb{N}^{3}$, where

$$
b \leq \min (a, k), a \leq l+b, \varsigma<p^{k-b}, \varsigma p^{a} \equiv-p^{\varepsilon+b}\left(\bmod p^{k}\right) .
$$

Let $A=\Psi\left(p^{a}, p^{b}, \varsigma\right)=\left\langle\tau^{\varsigma} \eta^{p^{l+b-a}}, \tau^{p^{k-b}}\right\rangle$. Write $\lambda_{0}=O(p, \varsigma)$.
(1) Assume $\varsigma=0$, then $A$ char $K \Leftrightarrow k-l \leq 2 b-a \leq k-s$.
(2) Assume $\varsigma \neq 0, \lambda_{0}>\varepsilon+b-a$, then $A$ char $K$ if and only if the following two conditions hold.
(2.1) $(l<k, k-l \leq 2 b-a \leq k-s)$ or $\left(k \leq l, k-l \leq 2 b-a, \lambda_{0} \geq \varepsilon-b\right)$.
(2.2) $(b+\varepsilon \geq a+s)$ or $\left(b+\varepsilon \leq a+s, \lambda_{0} \geq k+s-\varepsilon-b\right)$.
(3) Assume $\lambda_{0}=\varepsilon+b-a$, write $\lambda_{1}=\mathrm{O}\left(p, \frac{\varsigma}{p^{\varepsilon+b-a}}+1\right)$. Then $A$ char $K$ if and only if one of the following conditions holds.
(3.1) $l<k, 0 \leq 2 b-a \leq k-s, \lambda_{1} \geq k+a-l-2 b, \lambda_{1} \geq k+a+s-2 b-2 \varepsilon$.
(3.2) $k \leq l, 2 b \geq a, \lambda_{1} \geq k+a+s-2 b-2 \varepsilon$.
(4) Assume $\lambda_{0}<\varepsilon+b-a$, then $A$ char $K$ if and only if the following two conditions hold.
(4.1) $2 b-a \leq k-s, \lambda_{0} \geq b+s-a, 2 \lambda_{0} \geq k+s-a$.
(4.2) $\left(k \leq l, \lambda_{0} \geq \varepsilon-b\right)$ or $\left(l<k, 2 b \geq a, \lambda_{0} \geq k+\varepsilon-l-b\right)$.

Proof Assume that $l<k$, and the proof for the case $k \leq l$ is similar. Let $\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \sigma_{3}, \sigma_{4}\right) \in$ Aut $(K)^{4}$, the same as in Lemma 7.2. By Lemma 7.2, we deduce that

$$
A \text { char } K \Leftrightarrow \forall j \in\{1,2,3,4\}: \sigma_{j}[A] \subseteq A
$$

Using Lemmas 3.1, 3.3 and 7.1, by straightforward computation, we deduce that

$$
\sigma_{1}[A] \subseteq A \Leftrightarrow \eta^{p^{k+l+b-a-s}} \in A, \quad \sigma_{2}[A] \subseteq A \Leftrightarrow 2 b \geq a
$$

Now assume that $2 b \geq a$, then we have

$$
\sigma_{3}[A] \subseteq A \Leftrightarrow \varsigma p^{l-\varepsilon} \equiv-p^{l+b-a}\left(\bmod p^{k-b}\right)
$$

as well as

$$
\sigma_{4}[A] \subseteq A \Leftrightarrow \eta^{p^{l+k-s-b}} \in A, \tau^{\varsigma+\frac{\varsigma(\varsigma-1)}{2} p^{l}} \eta^{\varsigma p^{l-s}+\left(1+p^{\varepsilon-s}\right) p^{l+b-a}} \in A
$$

Now assume $2 b \geq a, \varsigma p^{l-\varepsilon} \equiv-p^{l+b-a}\left(\bmod p^{k-b}\right)$, therefore $\lambda_{0} \geq \varepsilon-b$, and $p^{k-b} \left\lvert\, \frac{\varsigma(\varsigma-1)}{2} p^{l}\right.$, $k+l+b-a-s \geq k+l-s-b$. We deduce that

$$
\tau^{\varsigma+\frac{\varsigma(\varsigma-1)}{2} p^{l}} \eta^{\varsigma p^{l-s}+\left(1+p^{\varepsilon-s}\right) p^{l+b-a}} \in A \Leftrightarrow \eta^{\varsigma p^{l-s}+p^{l+b+\varepsilon-a-s}} \in A
$$

Using Lemma 3.2, assume that $\eta^{\varsigma p^{l-s}+p^{l+b+\varepsilon-a-s}} \in A$, then we deduce that $\eta^{p^{l+k-s-b}} \in A \Leftrightarrow$ $2 b-a \leq k-s$. Therefore, $A$ char $K$ if and only if

$$
0 \leq 2 b-a \leq k-s, \quad \varsigma p^{l-\varepsilon} \equiv-p^{l+b-a}\left(\bmod p^{k-b}\right), \quad \eta^{\varsigma p^{l-s}+p^{l+b+\varepsilon-a-s}} \in A
$$

(1) and (2) Assume $\lambda_{0}>\varepsilon+b-a$. Since $\varsigma p^{a} \equiv-p^{\varepsilon+b}\left(\bmod p^{k}\right)$, therefore

$$
\varepsilon+b \geq k, \eta^{\varsigma p^{l-s}+p^{l+b+\varepsilon-a-s}} \in A \Leftrightarrow \eta^{p^{l+b+\varepsilon-a-s}} \in A
$$

and

$$
\varsigma p^{l-\varepsilon} \equiv-p^{l+b-a}\left(\bmod p^{k-b}\right) \Leftrightarrow l+b-a \geq k-b \Leftrightarrow k-l \leq 2 b-a
$$

And by Lemmas 3.2-3.3, we deduce that $\eta^{p^{l+b+\varepsilon-a-s}} \in A$ if and only if $(b+\varepsilon \geq a+s)$ or $\left(b+\varepsilon \leq a+s, \lambda_{0} \geq k+s-\varepsilon-b\right)$.
(3) Assume that $\lambda_{0}=\varepsilon+b-a$, by Lemmas 3.2 (2) and 3.3, we deduce that

$$
\eta^{\varsigma p^{l-s}+p^{l+b+\varepsilon-a-s}} \in A \Leftrightarrow \eta^{p^{l+b+\varepsilon-a-s+\lambda_{1}}} \in A \Leftrightarrow \lambda_{1} \geq k+a+s-2 b-2 \varepsilon .
$$

Since $\varsigma p^{l-\varepsilon} \equiv-p^{l+b-a}\left(\bmod p^{k-b}\right) \Leftrightarrow \lambda_{1} \geq k+a-l-2 b,(3)$ is proved.
(4) Assume $\lambda_{0}<\varepsilon+b-a$. Thus we have

$$
\varsigma p^{l-\varepsilon} \equiv-p^{l+b-a}\left(\bmod p^{k-b}\right) \Leftrightarrow \lambda_{0} \geq k+\varepsilon-l-b
$$

By Lemmas 3.2 (2) and 3.3, we deduce that

$$
\eta^{\varsigma p^{l-s}+p^{l+b+\varepsilon-a-s}} \in A \Leftrightarrow \eta^{p^{\lambda_{0}+l-s}} \in A \Leftrightarrow \lambda_{0} \geq b+s-a, 2 \lambda_{0} \geq k+s-a
$$

and (4) is proved.
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