

Remarks on the Global Existence for Incompressible Navier-Stokes Equations*

Sheng WANG¹ Zexian ZHANG¹ Yi ZHOU²

Abstract In this article, the authors use the special structure of helicity for the three-dimensional incompressible Navier-Stokes equations to construct a family of finite energy smooth solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations which critical norms can be arbitrarily large.

Keywords Navier-Stokes equations, Helicity, Global existence, Critical norm
2000 MR Subject Classification 17B40, 17B50

1 Introduction

Whether the solutions to three-dimensional incompressible Navier-Stokes equations (NSE for short) can develop finite time singularities from regular initial data remains a question of central importance in the theory of partial differential equations. This problem is also called the Millennium Prize problems by Clay Mathematics Institute. The only known coercive a priori estimate is the Leray-Hopf energy estimate which implies that the three-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations are supercritical with respect to its natural scalings. The latter may capture the essence of difficulties of this long standing open problem.

Here, we recall the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations in three dimensions are

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + u \cdot \nabla u + \nabla p = \Delta u, \\ \nabla \cdot u = 0, \end{cases} \quad (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^3, \quad (\text{NSE})$$

where u is the velocity field of the fluid, p is the scalar pressure. To solve the NSE in $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^3$, one assumes that the initial datum

$$u(0, x) = u_0(x)$$

is divergence-free and possesses certain regularity.

As well-known, if (u, p) solves NSE, so does (u^λ, p^λ) for any $\lambda > 0$, where

$$u^\lambda(t, x) = \lambda u(\lambda^2 t, \lambda x), \quad p^\lambda(t, x) = \lambda^2 p(\lambda^2 t, \lambda x). \quad (1.1)$$

Manuscript received December 5, 2023. Revised February 23, 2024.

¹Shanghai Center for Mathematical Sciences, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, China.

E-mail: 19110840011@fudan.edu.cn 23110840019@m.fudan.edu.cn

²School of Mathematics Science, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, China.

E-mail: yizhou@fudan.edu.cn

*This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 12171097), the Key Laboratory of Mathematics for Nonlinear Sciences (Fudan University), the Ministry of Education of China, Shanghai Key Laboratory for Contemporary Applied Mathematics and Shanghai Science and Technology Program (No. 21JC1400600).

From above scalings, we usually assign each x_i a positive dimension 1, t a positive dimension 2, u a negative dimension -1 and p a negative dimension -2 .

In fact, the known a priori Leray-Hopf energy estimate satisfied by classical solutions of NSE is as follows

$$\sup_{t>0} \|u(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2} \leq \|u_0\|_{L^2}, \quad \int_0^\infty \|\nabla u(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2} dt \leq \|u_0\|_{L^2}^2. \tag{1.2}$$

By the standard dimensional analysis, we show that all energy norms in (1.2) have positive dimensions, and thus the Navier-Stokes equations are supercritical with respect to the natural scalings (1.1).

In addition, under the natural scalings (1.1), we know the critical space as follows

$$\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3) \hookrightarrow L^3(\mathbb{R}^3) \hookrightarrow \dot{B}_{p,\infty}^{-1+\frac{3}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^3) \hookrightarrow BMO^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^3) \hookrightarrow \dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^3), \tag{1.3}$$

where $p \geq 3$. And the existence of global-in-time smooth solutions arising from small initial data in this functional spaces has been established up to $BMO^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ (some details can be seen in [2, 4–7, 10]). All these results are obtained by looking at fixed points of the functional

$$u = e^{t\Delta} u_0 - \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\Delta} \mathbb{P}(u \cdot \nabla u) ds, \tag{1.4}$$

which is an integral reformulation of the differential problem of NSE, where $e^{t\Delta}$ denotes the heat kernel and \mathbb{P} is the projection on the divergence-free vector field subspace. It is important to point out that the space $BMO^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is actually the largest scaling invariant critical space for the Navier-Stokes equations. However, the Navier-Stokes equations are ill-posed in $\dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ as shown in [1].

For the three-dimensional incompressible Navier-Stokes equations, the most important quantity is the vorticity

$$\omega := \nabla \times u. \tag{1.5}$$

Applying the curl operator for NSE, we can eliminate nonlocal term pressure p to obtain the equations for vorticity

$$\partial_t \omega + u \cdot \nabla \omega - \omega \cdot \nabla u = \Delta \omega. \tag{1.6}$$

From (1.2), we know that the energy is supercritical, but we can find a quantity called helicity

$$H(u) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u \cdot \omega dx + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \omega dx ds$$

being critical and conserved. Here, we recall some structure of helicity developed in the paper of [8].

Noting

$$\langle \nabla \times u, v \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} = \langle u, \nabla \times v \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}, \tag{1.7}$$

we know that the curl operator is a symmetric operator. So its spectral is real. If $\nabla \cdot u = 0$, its zero spectrum projection is zero. Let u_+ be the projection to positive spectrum, u_- be the projection to negative spectrum, then

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \times u_+ &= \Lambda u_+, \\ \nabla \times u_- &= -\Lambda u_-, \end{aligned}$$

where $\Lambda = \sqrt{-\Delta}$ and $u = u_+ + u_-$.

To study the regularity of three-dimensional incompressible Navier-Stokes equations, we define the following energy

$$E_c(u(t)) := \frac{1}{2} \|\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} u(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \int_0^t \|\nabla \Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} u(s)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 ds, \tag{1.8}$$

which is dimension 0 respect to Navier-Stokes scalings (1.1). So this energy is also called critical energy.

Since u_+ and u_- are strongly orthogonal to each other, we know

$$E_c(u(t)) = E_c(u_+(t)) + E_c(u_-(t)), \tag{1.9}$$

and from the helicity conservation law, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} E_c(u_+) = \frac{d}{dt} E_c(u_-). \tag{1.10}$$

For more details about the helicity structure, we refer the readers to [8].

We focus on the $\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ -regularity for the NSE. The aim of this paper is to gain a suitable improvement of this classical result. We construct a class of initial data, such that critical norm can be arbitrary large, and we can obtain the solutions with global regularity.

We now claim our main theorem.

Theorem 1.1 *Consider the Cauchy problem of NSE. Suppose that*

$$\|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq M, \tag{1.11}$$

where M can be arbitrarily large. There exists a small constant $\varepsilon_0(M)$ such that, if

$$\varepsilon = \|\Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} \omega_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 - \frac{\langle \Lambda^{-1} \omega_0, u_0 \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2}{\|\Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2} < \varepsilon_0(M), \tag{1.12}$$

then there exists a global regular solution of NSE, where $\omega_0 = \nabla \times u_0$ and $\Lambda = \sqrt{-\Delta}$.

Remark 1.1 Particularly, in the case

$$\begin{aligned} u_0 &= Mv_0, \\ \|\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} v_0\|_{L^2} &\leq 1, \quad \text{supp } \widehat{v}_0 \subseteq \{x \mid 1 - \delta \leq |x| \leq 1 + \delta\}, \\ \nabla \times v_0 &= \Lambda v_0, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\varepsilon = \frac{\|\Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \|\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 - \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^4}{\|\Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= M^2 \frac{\|\Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}v_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \|\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}v_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 - \|v_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^4}{\|\Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}v_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2} \\
 &\leq M^2 [(1-\delta)^{-1} - (1+\delta)^{-2}] \|\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}v_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \\
 &\lesssim M^2 \frac{\delta(3+\delta)}{(1-\delta)(1+\delta)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now choose δ sufficiently small such that $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0(M)$, then there exists a global regular solution by Theorem 1.1. This give a simple and directly proof of the similar result of [8] and also of [9].

2 Preliminaries

We conclude the introduction by giving some notations which will be used throughout this paper. We always use $X \lesssim Y$ to denote $X \leq CY$ for some constant $C > 0$. Similarly, $X \lesssim_u Y$ indicates that there exists a constant $C := C(u)$ depending on u such that $X \leq C(u)Y$. We also use the notation $X \sim Y$ to denote $X \lesssim Y \lesssim X$.

Let $\psi(\xi)$ be a radial smooth function supported in the ball $\{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^3 : |\xi| \leq \frac{11}{10}\}$ and equal to 1 on the ball $\{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^3 : |\xi| \leq 1\}$. For each number $N > 0$, we define the Fourier multipliers

$$\begin{aligned}
 \widehat{P_{\leq N}g}(\xi) &:= \psi\left(\frac{\xi}{N}\right)\widehat{g}(\xi), \\
 \widehat{P_{> N}g}(\xi) &:= \left(1 - \psi\left(\frac{\xi}{N}\right)\right)\widehat{g}(\xi), \\
 \widehat{P_Ng}(\xi) &:= \left(\psi\left(\frac{\xi}{N}\right) - \psi\left(\frac{2\xi}{N}\right)\right)\widehat{g}(\xi)
 \end{aligned}$$

and similarly define $P_{< N}$ and $P_{\geq N}$. We also define

$$P_{M < \cdot \leq N} := P_{\leq N} - P_{\leq M} = \sum_{M < N' \leq N} P_{N'}$$

whenever $M < N$. We usually use this multipliers when M and N are dyadic numbers.

As some applications of the Littlewood-Paley theory, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1 *Suppose that $a(D)$ is s -order pseudo-differential operator satisfying $\widehat{a}(\mu \cdot) = \mu^s \widehat{a}(\cdot)$. Then we have*

$$\|[a(D), u]f\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|\nabla u\|_{L^3} \|\Lambda^s f\|_{L^2}, \tag{2.1}$$

where $\Lambda = \sqrt{-\Delta}$.

Proof By Littlewood-Paley theory, we know

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|[a(D), u]f\|_{L^2}^2 &\lesssim \sum_{\mu} \|[a(D), P_{\leq C^{-1}\mu}u]P_{\mu}f\|_{L^2}^2 + \sum_{C^{-1}\mu \leq \sigma, \sigma \sim \sigma'} \|[a(D), P_{\sigma'}u]P_{\sigma}f\|_{L^2}^2 \\
 &\quad + \sum_{\mu} \|[a(D), P_{\mu}u]P_{\leq C^{-1}\mu}f\|_{L^2}^2 \\
 &=: I_1 + I_2 + I_3,
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

where C is a large fixed constant. We only estimate I_1 , the rest terms can be estimated similarly.

From the frequency support property, we see

$$[a(D), P_{\leq C^{-1}\mu}u]P_\mu f \sim [P_{\leq C\mu}a(D), P_{\leq C^{-1}\mu}u]P_\mu f.$$

We use the notation χ to denote the kernel of $P_{\leq C\mu}a(D)$, and $\widehat{a}(\xi)\chi_1\left(\frac{\xi}{\mu}\right)$ to denote the Fourier transform of $P_{\leq C\mu}a(D)$.

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & [P_{\leq C\mu}a(D), P_{\leq C^{-1}\mu}u]P_\mu f = P_{\leq C\mu}a(D)(P_{\leq C^{-1}\mu}uP_\mu f) - P_{\leq C^{-1}\mu}uP_{\leq C\mu}a(D)P_\mu f \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \chi(x-y)P_{\leq C^{-1}\mu}u(y)P_\mu f(y)dy - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} P_{\leq C^{-1}\mu}u(x)\chi(x-y)P_\mu f(y)dy \\ &= \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \chi(x-y)(x-y) \cdot P_{\leq C^{-1}\mu}\nabla u(sx+(1-s)y)P_\mu f(y)dyds \\ &\lesssim \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |z\chi(z)||P_{\leq C^{-1}\mu}\nabla u(x+(s-1)z)||P_\mu f(x-z)|dzds. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

By Minkowski inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|[a(D), P_{\leq C^{-1}\mu}u]P_\mu f\|_{L^2}^2 &\lesssim \|z\chi(z)\|_{L^1}^2 \|P_{\leq C^{-1}\mu}\nabla u\|_{L^3}^2 \|P_\mu f\|_{L^6}^2 \\ &\lesssim \|z\chi(z)\|_{L^1}^2 \|P_{\leq C^{-1}\mu}\nabla u\|_{L^3}^2 \|P_\mu \nabla f\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

In fact,

$$\chi(z) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\widehat{a}(\xi)\chi_1\left(\frac{\xi}{\mu}\right)\right) = \mu^s \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\widehat{a}\left(\frac{\xi}{\mu}\right)\chi_1\left(\frac{\xi}{\mu}\right)\right) := \mu^s \mu^3 \widetilde{\chi}(\mu z).$$

Therefore,

$$\|z\chi(z)\|_{L^1} = \mu^{s-1}\mu^3 \|\mu z \widetilde{\chi}(\mu z)\|_{L^1} \lesssim \mu^{s-1}. \quad (2.5)$$

Combining above, we obtain

$$I_1 \lesssim \|\nabla u\|_{L^3}^2 \|\Lambda^s f\|_{L^2}^2. \quad (2.6)$$

3 Proof of the Main Results

Proof Let λ be a constant depending only on the initial data which is to be determined. We will use a bootstrap argument to prove (1.1).

We first assume that

$$\|\omega - \lambda u\|_{\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \int_0^t \|\omega - \lambda u\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 d\tau \leq \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \text{where } \omega = \nabla \times u. \quad (3.1)$$

If the bootstrap assumption holds, we can prove the theorem as follows. From the identity

$$u \cdot \nabla u = \omega \times u + \nabla \frac{|u|^2}{2},$$

we have

$$\partial_t u + \omega \times u + \nabla \left(p + \frac{|u|^2}{2}\right) - \Delta u = 0, \quad (3.2)$$

which leads to

$$\partial_t u + (\omega - \lambda u) \times u + \nabla \left(p + \frac{|u|^2}{2} \right) - \Delta u = 0.$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\langle \Lambda u, \partial_t u + (\omega - \lambda u) \times u - \Delta u \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} = 0.$$

Making direct energy estimate, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \|\nabla \Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \\ & \leq \|\Lambda u\|_{L^3(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|u\|_{L^3(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|\omega - \lambda u\|_{L^3(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\ & \lesssim \|\Lambda u\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} (\omega - \lambda u)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \|\Lambda u\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + C \|\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \|\nabla \Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} (\omega - \lambda u)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \|\Lambda u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + C \|\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \|\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} (\omega - \lambda u)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating in t and using the Young inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \int_0^t \|\nabla \Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 d\tau \\ & \lesssim \|\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + C \int_0^t \|\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \|\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} (\omega - \lambda u)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 d\tau. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Thus, by bootstrap assumption (3.1) and Gronwall's inequality,

$$\|\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \int_0^t \|\Lambda^{\frac{3}{2}} u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 d\tau \leq e^{C\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}} M \leq 2M, \tag{3.4}$$

if $\varepsilon < \frac{\ln^2 2}{C^2}$.

Now, we prove the bootstrap assumption. We recall the equations for vorticity ω and velocity u

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \omega + u \cdot \nabla \omega - \omega \cdot \nabla u &= \Delta \omega, \\ \partial_t u + u \cdot \nabla u + \nabla p &= \Delta u. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_t (\omega - \lambda u) + u \cdot \nabla (\omega - \lambda u) - \Delta (\omega - \lambda u) \\ &= \omega \cdot \nabla u - \lambda \nabla p \\ &= (\omega - \lambda u) \cdot \nabla u + \lambda u \cdot \nabla u - \lambda \nabla p \\ &= (\omega - \lambda u) \cdot \nabla u + \omega \times \lambda u + \lambda \nabla \left(\frac{|u|^2}{2} - p \right) \\ &= (\omega - \lambda u) \cdot \nabla u + \omega \times (\lambda u - \omega) + \lambda \nabla \left(\frac{|u|^2}{2} - p \right). \end{aligned}$$

Taking inner product with $\Lambda^{-1}(\omega - \lambda u)$ and making an intergration by parts, we have energy estimate as follows:

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\omega - \lambda u)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \|\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} (\omega - \lambda u)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= -\langle \Lambda^{-1}(\omega - \lambda u), u \cdot \nabla(\omega - \lambda u) \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \langle \Lambda^{-1}(\omega - \lambda u), (\omega - \lambda u) \cdot \nabla u \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\
 &\quad + \langle \Lambda^{-1}(\omega - \lambda u), \omega \times (\lambda u - \omega) \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Noting that

$$\langle \Lambda^{-1}(\omega - \lambda u), u \cdot \nabla(\omega - \lambda u) \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} = \langle \Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\omega - \lambda u), [\Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}, u \cdot \nabla](\omega - \lambda u) \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \tag{3.5}$$

by Hölder inequality, Sobolev embedding and Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\langle \Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\omega - \lambda u), [\Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}, u \cdot \nabla](\omega - \lambda u) \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\
 &\lesssim \|\Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\omega - \lambda u)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|[\Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}, u \cdot \nabla](\omega - \lambda u)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\
 &\lesssim \|\Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\omega - \lambda u)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}\omega\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}(\omega - \lambda u)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Similarly, we also obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\langle \Lambda^{-1}(\omega - \lambda u), (\omega - \lambda u) \cdot \nabla u \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\
 &\lesssim \|\Lambda^{-1}(\omega - \lambda u)\|_{L^3(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|(\omega - \lambda u)\|_{L^3(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|\nabla u\|_{L^3(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\
 &\lesssim \|\Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\omega - \lambda u)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}(\omega - \lambda u)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}\omega\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\langle \Lambda^{-1}(\omega - \lambda u), \omega \times (\lambda u - \omega) \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\
 &\lesssim \|\Lambda^{-1}(\omega - \lambda u)\|_{L^3(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|\omega\|_{L^3(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|(\omega - \lambda u)\|_{L^3(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\
 &\lesssim \|\Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\omega - \lambda u)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}\omega\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}(\omega - \lambda u)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Combining (3.6)–(3.8) and using Hölder inequality and Young inequality, we obtain the energy estimates as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\|\Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\omega - \lambda u)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \int_0^t \|\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}(\omega - \lambda u)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 d\tau \\
 &\lesssim \|\Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\omega_0 - \lambda u_0)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \\
 &\quad + \left(\int_0^t \|\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}\omega\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 d\tau \|\Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\omega - \lambda u)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_0^t \|\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}(\omega - \lambda u)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 &\lesssim \|\Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\omega_0 - \lambda u_0)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \|\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}\omega\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \|\Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\omega - \lambda u)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 d\tau + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \|\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}(\omega - \lambda u)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 d\tau.
 \end{aligned}$$

Noting the fact

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|\Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\omega_0 - \lambda u_0)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 &= \|\Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}\omega_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 - 2\lambda \langle \Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}\omega_0, \Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}u_0 \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\
 &\quad + \lambda^2 \|\Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2,
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

we choose λ to minimize (3.9), which is

$$\lambda = \frac{\langle \Lambda^{-1}\omega_0, u_0 \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}}{\|\Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2}.$$

Therefore,

$$\|\Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\omega_0 - \lambda u_0)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 = \|\Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}\omega_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 - \frac{\langle \Lambda^{-1}\omega_0, u_0 \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2}{\|\Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2}.$$

Thus, by Gronwall's inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\omega - \lambda u)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \int_0^t \|\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}(\omega - \lambda u)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 d\tau &\lesssim \varepsilon \exp\left(\int_0^t \|\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}\omega\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 d\tau\right) \\ &\lesssim e^{CM}\varepsilon. \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

Now, we take $\varepsilon_0(M) = \min\{\frac{\ln^2 2}{C^2}, \frac{1}{4}e^{-4CM}\}$, then (3.10) improves (3.1). By continuous induction we finish our proof.

Declarations

Conflicts of interest The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

- [1] Bourgain, J. and Pavlović, N., Ill-posedness of the Navier-Stokes equations in a critical space in 3D, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **255**, 2008, 2233–2247.
- [2] Cannone, M., Meyer, Y. and Planchon, F., Solutions auto-similarities to the des équations de Navier-Stokes, in *Séminaire sur les Équations aux Dérivées Partielles*, École Polytech, 1993–1994.
- [3] Fefferman, C. L., <http://www.claymath.org/millennium/Navier-Stokes-Equations/>, Accessed 1 May, 2000.
- [4] Fujita, H. and Kato, T., On the Navier-Stokes initial value problem I, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, **16**, 1964, 269–315.
- [5] Giga, Y. and Miyakawa, T., Solutions in L^r of the Navier-Stokes initial value problem, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, **89**, 1985, 267–281.
- [6] Kato, T., Strong L^p -solutions of the Navier-Stokes equation in \mathbb{R}^m , *Math. Z.*, **187**, 1984, 471–480.
- [7] Koch, H. and Tataru, D., Well-posedness for the Navier-Stokes equations, *Adv. Math.*, **157**, 2001, 22–35.
- [8] Lei, Z., Lin, F. H. and Zhou, Y., Structure of helicity and global solutions of incompressible Navier-Stokes equation, *Arch. Rational. Mech. Anal.*, **218**, 2015, 1417–1430.
- [9] Lin, Y. R., Zhang, H. L. and Zhou, Y., Global smooth solutions of MHD equations with large data, arXiv:1512.00646.
- [10] Robinson, J. C., Rodrigo, J. L. and Sadowski, W., *The Three-Dimensional Navier-Stokes Equations*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2016.