

SOME RESULTS ON FIXED POINTS

DING XIEPING (丁协平)

(Sichuan Teacher's College)

Abstract

In this paper, we first show several fixed point and or common fixed point theorems for point-valued and set-valued contractive type mappings in compact metric spaces, which satisfy very general contractive condition with strict inequality and may be discontinuous. Our theorems improve and generalize some main results in [1—4].

In the next place, we provide some new results for quite general orbitally contraction and quasi-contraction mappings. They improve, unify and extend some important results in [7—15].

§ 1. Introduction

Recently Chen and Shih^[1] have proved the following

Theorem. Let T be a self mapping on a compact metric space (X, d) , such that for all $x, y \in X$, $x \neq y$,

$$d(Tx, Ty) < \max \left\{ d(x, y), \frac{1}{2} [d(x, Tx) + d(y, Ty)], \frac{1}{2} [d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)] \right\}. \quad (1)$$

Then T has a unique fixed point in X .

The condition (1) corresponds to the definition (20) in [2]. This theorem shows that the continuity of T is not necessary for the mapping defined by (20) in [2], provided that one adds the hypothesis of compactness to X .

Kasahara and Rhoades^[3] generalized the theorem of [1] to a pair of mappings S and T which satisfy the definition (145) in [2]. Chen and Shih^[4] extended the Theorem 1 of [3] to a pair of set-valued mappings.

In section 2 of this paper, we shall improve and generalize some main results obtained in [1—4].

In section 3 of this paper, we shall present some new fixed point theorems for orbitally contractions and quasi-contractions, which unify and improve a number of recent results obtained in [7—15].

§ 2. Point-valued and set-valued contractive mappings

Let (X, d) be a nonempty metric space. $OL(X)$ denotes the set of all nonempty closed subsets of X . $D(A, x) = \inf\{d(y, x) : y \in A\}$ is the distance from x to $A \subset X$. $H(\cdot, \cdot)$ denotes the Hausdorff distance on $OL(X)$ deduced by d .

A mapping $T: X \rightarrow X$ is said to be generalized point-valued contractive, if for all $x, y \in X$, $x \neq y$,

$$d(Tx, Ty) < \max\{d(x, y), d(x, Ty), d(y, Tx)\}, \\ \frac{1}{2} [d(x, Tx) + d(y, Ty)], \frac{1}{2} [d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)]\}. \quad (2)$$

A mapping $F: X \rightarrow OL(X)$ is said to be generalized set-valued contractive, if for all $x, y \in X$, $x \neq y$,

$$H(Fx, Fy) < \max\{d(x, y), D(Fy, x), D(Fy, y)\}, \\ \frac{1}{2} [D(Fx, x) + D(Fy, y)], \frac{1}{2} [D(Fy, x) + D(Fx, y)]\}. \quad (3)$$

Remark 1. Clearly, any mapping satisfying condition (1) is a generalized point-valued contractive mapping. The following example shows that a generalized point-valued contractive mapping need not satisfy condition (1).

*Example** Let $X = \{(0, 2), (-1, 0), (0, 0), (1, 0)\}$. d denotes the usual metric in $\mathbb{R}^2$. Then (X, d) is a compact metric space. Let $T(0, 2) = (-1, 0)$, $T(-1, 0) = T(0, 0) = T(1, 0) = (1, 0)$. It is easy to check that T is a generalized contractive mapping and T doesn't satisfy condition (1).

Theorem 1. Let (X, d) be a nonempty compact metric space. $F: X \rightarrow OL(X)$ is a generalized set-valued contractive mapping. Then F has a fixed point in X .

Proof Consider the nonnegative function $D(Fx, x)$ on X . Let $\{x_n\}$ be a minimizing sequence for $D(Fx, x)$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D(Fx_n, x_n) = r = \inf\{D(Fx, x) : x \in X\}.$$

Since Fx_n is compact, there exists $y_n \in Fx_n$ such that $d(y_n, x_n) = D(Fx_n, x_n)$. Since X is compact, we may assume that $\{y_n\}$ converges to a point $u \in X$. Let $A = \{n : x_n = u, n \in N\}$, where N denotes the set of all positive integers. If A is an infinite set, obviously u is a fixed point of F in X . If A is finite, we can assume $x_n \neq u$ for all $n \in N$.

Now suppose $D(Fu, u) > r$. Then there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $D(Fu, u) > r + 5\varepsilon$. We can choose n so that

$$d(y_n, u) < \varepsilon \text{ and } D(Fx_n, x_n) < r + \varepsilon,$$

* I wish to thank Zhao Hanbin for supplying this example.

and hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} D(Fx_n, u) &\leq d(y_n, u) < \varepsilon, \\ d(x_n, u) &\leq d(x_n, y_n) + d(y_n, u) < D(Fx_n, x_n) + \varepsilon < r + 2\varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$D(Fu, x_n) \leq D(Fu, u) + d(x_n, u) < r + 2\varepsilon + D(Fu, u).$$

It follows from (3) that

$$\begin{aligned} D(Fu, u) &\leq D(Fx_n, u) + H(Fu, Fx_n) \\ &< \varepsilon + \max \left\{ d(u, x_n), D(Fx_n, u), D(Fx_n, x_n), \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{1}{2} [D(Fu, u) + D(Fx_n, x_n)], \frac{1}{2} [D(Fx_n, u) + D(Fu, x_n)] \right\} \\ &< \varepsilon + \max \left\{ r + 2\varepsilon, \varepsilon, r + \varepsilon, \frac{1}{2} [r + \varepsilon + D(Fu, u)], \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{1}{2} [r + 3\varepsilon + D(Fu, u)] \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

which yields $D(Fu, u) < r + 5\varepsilon$, a contradiction. Thus $D(Fu, u) \leq r$.

Now suppose $u \notin Fu$. By the compactness of Fu , there exists $z \in Fu$ such that

$$0 < D(Fu, u) = d(z, u).$$

It follows from (3) that

$$\begin{aligned} D(Fz, z) &\leq H(Fz, Fu) \\ &< \max \left\{ d(u, z), D(Fu, z), D(Fu, u), \frac{1}{2} [D(Fu, u) + D(Fz, z)], \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{1}{2} [D(Fz, u) + D(Fu, z)] \right\} \\ &\leq \max \left\{ D(Fu, u), 0, D(Fu, u), \frac{1}{2} [D(Fu, u) + D(Fz, z)] \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

which yields $r \leq D(Fz, z) < D(Fu, u) \leq r$, a contradiction. Therefore $u \in Fu$, i. e. u is a fixed point of F in X .

When F is a generalized point-valued contractive mapping in Theorem 1, we obtain the following.

Corollary 1. Let (X, d) be a nonempty compact metric space. T is a generalized point-valued contractive mapping on X . Then T has a unique fixed point u in X .

Remark 2. Obviously, the theorem of [1] is a special case of Corollary 1, and the example shows that Corollary 1 is a proper generalization.

The following theorem is Theorem 5 in [4]. Here, we shall give a simpler proof.

Theorem 2. Let (X, d) be a nonempty compact metric space. F and G are set-valued mappings of X into $CL(X)$ such that for all $x, y \in X$ with $x \neq y$,

$$\begin{aligned} H(Fx, Gy) &< \max \left\{ d(x, y), \frac{1}{2} [D(Fx, x) + D(Gy, y)], \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{1}{2} [D(Gy, x) + D(Fx, y)] \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Then F or G has a fixed point in X .

Proof Consider nonnegative function $D(Fx, x)$ on X . Let $\{x_n\}$ is a minimizing sequence for $D(Fx, x)$:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D(Fx_n, x_n) = r = \inf\{D(Fx, x) : x \in X\}.$$

By the compactness of Fx_n , there exists $y_n \in Fx_n$ such that $d(y_n, x_n) = D(Fx_n, x_n)$. Since X is compact, we can assume $\{y_n\} \rightarrow u \in X$. If $A = \{n : x_n = u, n \in N\}$ is infinite, then $u \in Fu$. If A is finite, then we may assume $x_n \neq u$ for all $n \in N$. As in the proof of Theorem 1, we shows easily $D(Gu, u) \leq r$.

Now suppose $u \notin Gu$. By the compactness of Gu , there exists $z \in Gu$ such that $0 < D(Gu, u) = d(z, u)$ and it follows from (5) that

$$\begin{aligned} D(Fz, z) &\leq H(Fz, Gu) \\ &< \max\left\{d(z, u), \frac{1}{2} [D(Fz, z) + D(Gu, u)], \frac{1}{2} [D(Gu, z) + D(Fz, u)]\right\} \\ &\leq \max\left\{D(Gu, u), \frac{1}{2} [D(Fz, z) + D(Gu, u)]\right\}, \end{aligned}$$

which yields $r \leq D(Fz, z) < D(Gu, u) \leq r$, a contradiction. Hence $u \in Gu$, i. e. u is a fixed point of G in X .

Remark 3. Theorem 2 improves a lot of the known results. (e. g. see [4]).

Theorem 3. Let (X, d) be a nonempty compact metric space without isolated points. $F, G: X \rightarrow OL(X)$ satisfy (5). If F or G is continuous from X to $OL(X)$, then F and G have a common fixed point in X .

Proof Without loss of generality, we may assume that F is continuous. It is easy to prove that $D(Fx, x)$ is a nonnegative continuous function on X . By the compactness of X , there exists $u \in X$ such that

$$D(Fu, u) \leq D(Fx, x), \forall x \in X.$$

If $u \notin Fu$, by the compactness of Fu there exists $v \in Fu$ such that

$$0 < D(Fu, u) = d(v, u).$$

By (5) we have

$$\begin{aligned} D(Gv, v) &\leq H(Gv, Fu) = H(Fu, Gv) \\ &< \max\left\{d(u, v), \frac{1}{2} [D(Fu, u) + D(Gv, v)], \frac{1}{2} [D(Gv, u) + D(Fu, v)]\right\} \\ &\leq \max\left\{D(Fu, u), \frac{1}{2} [D(Fu, u) + D(Gv, v)]\right\}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies $D(Gv, v) < D(Fu, u)$.

If $v \notin Gv$, then using the same argument as in the above proof there exists $w \in Gv$ such that

$$D(Fu, u) \leq D(Fw, w) < D(Gv, v) < D(Fu, u).$$

This is a contradiction. Hence either $u \in Fu$ or $v \in Gv$.

1° If $u \in Fu$, there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in $X \setminus \{u\}$ converging to u since u is

not isolated. By the continuity of F we have

$$D(Fx_n, u) \leq H(Fx_n, Fu) \rightarrow 0, n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence for any given $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists n such that

$$d(x_n, u) < \varepsilon \text{ and } D(Fx_n, u) < \varepsilon.$$

From (5) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} D(Gu, u) &\leq D(Fx_n, u) + H(Fx_n, Gu) \\ &< \varepsilon + \max \left\{ d(x_n, u), \frac{1}{2} [D(Fx_n, x_n) + D(Gu, u)], \frac{1}{2} [D(Gu, x_n) + D(Fx_n, u)] \right\} \\ &< \varepsilon + \max \left\{ \varepsilon, \frac{1}{2} [2\varepsilon + D(Gu, u)] \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies $D(Gu, u) < 4\varepsilon$. Since ε is arbitrary, $D(Gu, u) = 0$, i. e. $u \in Gu$, and so u is a common fixed point of F and G in X .

2° If $v \in Gv$, there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in $X \setminus \{v\}$ converging to v since v is not isolated. By the continuity of F we have

$$H(Fx_n, Fv) \rightarrow 0, n \rightarrow \infty.$$

By (5) we have

$$\begin{aligned} D(Fx_n, v) &\leq H(Fx_n, Gv) \\ &< \max \left\{ d(x_n, v), \frac{1}{2} [D(Fx_n, x_n) + D(Gv, v)], \frac{1}{2} [D(Gv, x_n) + D(Fx_n, v)] \right\} \\ &\leq \max \left\{ d(x_n, v), \frac{1}{2} [D(Fx_n, v) + d(x_n, v)] \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

which yields $D(Fx_n, v) < d(x_n, v) \rightarrow 0, n \rightarrow \infty$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} D(Fv, v) &\leq D(Fx_n, v) + H(Fx_n, Fv) \\ &< d(x_n, v) + H(Fx_n, Fv) \rightarrow 0, n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $D(Fv, v) = 0$, i. e. $v \in Fv$ and so v is a common fixed point of F and G in X .

Corollary 2. Let (X, d) be a nonempty compact metric space. $S, T: X \rightarrow X$ are point-valued self mappings on X . If for all $x, y \in X$ with $x \neq y$

$$d(Sx, Ty) < \max \left\{ d(x, y), \frac{1}{2} [d(x, Sx) + d(y, Ty)], \frac{1}{2} [d(x, Ty) + d(y, Sx)] \right\} \quad (6)$$

and either S or T is continuous, then each of S and T has a unique fixed point and these two points coincide.

Proof By Corollary 2 S and T have a common fixed point u in X . The uniqueness of u easily follows from (6).

Remark 4. Corollary 2 is Theorem 2 in [3], But it seems to us that the proof in [3] is not yet complete, since one can't deduce a contradiction from $d(TSu, Su) < d(Su, u)$ and so can't obtain $Su = u$.

In the following, we discuss the iteration of approximating fixed point.

Lemma 1.^[3, p. 683] Let (X, d) be a nonempty compact metric space. $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X . If u is the unique cluster point of $\{x_n\}$, then u is the limit point of $\{x_n\}$.

Theorem 4. Let S and T be continuous point-valued self mappings of a nonempty compact metric space (X, d) . If for all $x, y \in X$ with $x \neq y$

$$d(Sx, Ty) < \max \left\{ d(x, y), d(x, Sx), d(y, Ty), \frac{1}{2} [d(x, Ty) + d(y, Sx)] \right\}, \quad (7)$$

then (i) S and T have a unique common fixed point u .

(ii) for each $x \in X$ the sequence of iteration

$$\{x_n\} = \{x_0 = x, x_1 = Sx_0, x_2 = Tx_1, \dots, x_{2n} = Tx_{2n-1}, x_{2n+1} = Sx_{2n}, \dots\}$$

converges to u .

Proof Since X is compact, for each $x \in X$ the sequence of iteration $\{x_n\}$ has at least a cluster point u . By Theorem 3 in [5] each of the cluster points of $\{x_n\}$ is both a common fixed point of S and T . Hence u is a common fixed point of S and T . Using (7) we prove easily that u is the unique fixed point of S and T respectively and so u is the unique common fixed point of S and T .

On the other hand, since every cluster point of $\{x_n\}$ is both a common fixed point of S and T and the common fixed point u of S and T is unique, u is the unique cluster point of $\{x_n\}$. It follows from Lemma 1 that $\{x_n\}$ converges to u .

Remark 5. Obviously Theorem 4 improves and generalizes Theorem 6 of Chen and Shih in [4].

As an immediate consequence of Theorem 4, we have.

Theorem 5. Let (X, d) be a nonempty compact metric space, $\mathcal{F}$ a family of continuous point-valued mappings of X into itself. If for all distinct $S, T \in \mathcal{F}$ and for all $x, y \in X$ with $x \neq y$ (7) holds, then

(i) $\mathcal{F}$ has a unique common fixed point u in X ,

(ii) for each $x \in X$ and any distinct $S, T \in \mathcal{F}$ the sequence of iteration

$$\{x_n\} = \{x = x_0, x_1 = Sx_0, x_2 = Tx_1, \dots, x_{2n+1} = Sx_{2n}, x_{2n+2} = Tx_{2n+1}, \dots\}$$

converges to u .

§ 3. Orbitally contractions and quasi-contractions

In this section ω denotes the set of all nonnegative integers, I^+ the set of all positive integers and R^+ the set of all nonnegative real numbers.

Let T be a mapping of a nonempty metric space (X, d) into itself. For each $x \in X$

$$O_T(x, 0, \infty) = \{T^n x : n \in \omega\}$$

denotes the orbit of T at x and for all $i, j \in \omega$, $j > i$, write

$$O_T(x, i, j) = \{T^i x, T^{i+1} x, \dots, T^j x\}.$$

For any $A \subset X$, $D(A)$ denotes the diameter of A .

Lemma 2.^[13] Let $\Phi: R^+ \rightarrow R^+$ be a nondecreasing function, then the condition

$(\Phi_1) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Phi^n(t) = 0, \forall t > 0$, where Φ^n denotes the n -th iteration of Φ , implies

$(\Phi_2) \Phi(t) < t, \forall t > 0$.

Further, If Φ is upper semicontinuous from the right, then $(\Phi_1) \Leftrightarrow (\Phi_2)$.

A nondecreasing function $\Phi: R^+ \rightarrow R^+$ is said to be a contractive gauge function if Φ satisfies (Φ_1) and

$(\Phi_3) \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (t - \Phi(t)) = \infty$.

Theorem 6. Let S and T be continuous mappings of a complete metric space (X, d) into itself. Suppose for each $x \in X$ $D(O_S(x, 0, \infty) \cup O_T(x, 0, \infty)) < \infty$. If there exist $n, m: X \rightarrow I^+$ and a nondecreasing function $\Phi: R^+ \rightarrow R^+$ satisfying (Φ_1) such that for each $x \in X$

$$D(O_S(S^n(x)x, 0, \infty) \cup O_T(T^m(x)x, 0, \infty)) \leq \Phi(D(O_S(x, 0, \infty) \cup O_T(x, 0, \infty))), \quad (8)$$

then for each $x \in X$, $\{S^n x\}_{n \in \omega} \rightarrow z_1 \in X$, $\{T^m x\}_{m \in \omega} \rightarrow z_2 \in X$ and $z_1 = Sz_1, z_2 = Tz_2$. Further, if (8) is replaced by

$$\begin{aligned} & D(O_S(S^n(x)x, 0, \infty) \cup O_T(T^m(y)y, 0, \infty)) \\ & \leq \Phi(D(O_S(x, 0, \infty) \cup O_T(y, 0, \infty))), \forall x, y \in X, \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

then $z (= z_1 = z_2)$ is a unique common fixed point of S and T .

Proof For any fixed $x \in X$ let $D(O_S(x, 0, \infty) \cup O_T(x, 0, \infty)) = M$. Consider the following subsequences of $\{S^n x\}_{n \in \omega}$ and $\{T^m x\}_{m \in \omega}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \{x_m^S\} &= \{x_0 = x, x_1^S = S^{n(x_0)}x_0, \dots, x_{m+1}^S = S^{n(x_m^S)}x_m^S, \dots\} \\ \{x_m^T\} &= \{x_0 = x, x_1^T = T^{m(x_0)}x_0, \dots, x_{m+1}^T = T^{m(x_m^T)}x_m^T, \dots\}. \end{aligned}$$

By (8) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & D(O_S(x_m^S, 0, \infty) \cup O_T(x_m^T, 0, \infty)) \\ & \leq \Phi(D(O_S(x_{m-1}^S, 0, \infty) \cup O_T(x_{m-1}^T, 0, \infty))) \\ & \vdots \\ & \leq \Phi^m(D(O_S(x, 0, \infty) \cup O_T(x, 0, \infty))) \\ & = \Phi^m(M). \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Putting $m \rightarrow \infty$ in (10) we obtain

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} D(O_S(x_m^S, 0, \infty) \cup O_T(x_m^T, 0, \infty)) = 0. \quad (11)$$

Since $x_m^S \in \{S^n x\}_{n \in \omega}$, $x_m^T \in \{T^m x\}_{m \in \omega}$, $\forall m \in \omega$. Therefore (11) implies that $\{S^n x\}_{n \in \omega}$ and $\{T^m x\}_{m \in \omega}$ are both Cauchy sequences, and so $\{S^n x\}_{n \in \omega} \rightarrow z_1 \in X$, $\{T^m x\}_{m \in \omega} \rightarrow z_2 \in X$. It follows from the continuity of S and T that $z_1 = Sz_1$ and $z_2 = Tz_2$.

Now suppose (9) holds. Since $(9) \Rightarrow (8)$, the conclusion as above is true. Assume $z_1 \neq z_2$. Using (9) and Lemma 2 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d(z_1, z_2) &= D(O_S(S^{n(z_1)}z_1, 0, \infty) \cup O_T(T^{m(z_2)}z_2, 0, \infty)) \\ &\leq \Phi(D(O_S(z_1, 0, \infty) \cup O_T(z_2, 0, \infty))) \\ &= \Phi(d(z_1, z_2)) < d(z_1, z_2), \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. Hence $z (= z_1 = z_2)$ is a common fixed point of S and T . Similarly,

we can prove that z is the unique fixed point of S and T respectively. Thus z is a unique common fixed point of S and T .

Corollary 3. Let S and T be continuous mappings of a complete metric space (X, d) into itself. Suppose there exist $n, m: X \rightarrow I^+$ and a contractive gauge function Φ such that for all $x \in X$ and for all $r \in I^+, r \geq \max\{n(x), m(x)\}$

$$\begin{aligned} & D(O_S(S^{n(x)}x, 0, r) \cup (O_T(T^{m(x)}x, 0, r))) \\ & \leq \Phi(D(O_S(x, 0, r) \cup O_T(x, 0, r))). \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Then for each $x \in X$, $\{S^n x\}_{n \in \omega} \rightarrow z_1$, $\{T^n x\}_{n \in \omega} \rightarrow z_2$, $Sz_1 = z_2$ and $Tz_2 = z_2$. Further, if (12) is replaced by

$$\begin{aligned} & D(O_S(S^{n(x)}x, 0, r) \cup O_T(T^{m(y)}y, 0, r)) \\ & \leq \Phi(D(O_S(x, 0, r) \cup O_T(y, 0, r))), \quad \forall x, y \in X; r \geq \max\{n(x), m(y)\}, \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

then $z (= z_1 = z_2)$ is a unique common fixed point of S and T .

Proof By the assumption of this Corollary and Theorem 6, we only need to prove that $D(O_S(x, 0, \infty) \cup O_T(x, 0, \infty)) < \infty$, $\forall x \in X$. Suppose for some $x_0 \in X$ $D(O_S(x_0, 0, \infty) \cup O_T(x_0, 0, \infty)) = M = \infty$. Let $M_r = D(O_S(x_0, 0, r) \cup O_T(x_0, 0, r))$, $\forall r \in \omega$. Clearly $\{M_r\}_{r \in \omega}$ is a nondecreasing sequence and hence

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} M_r = M = \infty. \quad (14)$$

By (12) for any $r \in I^+, r \geq \max\{n(x_0), m(x_0)\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} M_r &= D(O_S(x_0, 0, r) \cup O_T(x_0, 0, r)) \\ &\leq D(O_S(x_0, 0, n(x_0)) \cup O_T(x_0, 0, m(x_0))) \\ &\quad + D(O_S(S^{n(x_0)}x_0, 0, r) \cup O_T(T^{m(x_0)}x_0, 0, r)) \\ &\leq D(O_S(x_0, 0, n(x_0)) \cup O_T(x_0, 0, m(x_0))) + \Phi(M_r). \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

By (14), (15) and (Φ_3) we obtain

$$\infty = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} [M_r - \Phi(M_r)] \leq D(O_S(x_0, 0, n(x_0)) \cup O_T(x_0, 0, m(x_0))),$$

a contradiction. Hence we have $D(O_S(x, 0, \infty) \cup O_T(x, 0, \infty)) < \infty$, $\forall x \in X$. The conclusions of this Corollary follow from Theorem 6.

Corollary 4. Let T be a continuous mapping of a complete metric space (X, d) into itself. Suppose there exist $n: X \rightarrow I^+$ and a contractive gauge function Φ such that for each $x \in X$ and for all $r \in I^+, r \geq n(x)$,

$$D(O_T(T^{n(x)}x, 0, r)) \leq \Phi(D(O_T(x, 0, r))). \quad (16)$$

Then for each $x \in X$, $\{T^n x\}_{n \in \omega}$ converges to a fixed point z of T in X . Further, If (16) is replaced by

$$d(T^r x, T^r y) \leq \Phi(D(O_T(x, 0, r) \cup O_T(y, 0, r))) \quad (17)$$

for each $x \in X$ and for all $r \geq n(x)$, $y \in X$, then for each $x \in X$, $\{T^n x\}_{n \in \omega}$ converges to the unique fixed point z of T .

Proof Assume (16) holds. Letting $S = T$, $m(x) = n(x)$, $\forall x \in X$, in Corollary 3, we can come to the required conclusions. If (17) holds, Walter^[10, p. 24] has proved that

$D(O_T(x, 0, \infty)) < \infty, \forall x \in X$. It is easy to check that (17) implies

$$D(O_T(T^{n(x)}x, 0, \infty)) \leq \Phi(D(O_T(x, 0, \infty))), \forall x \in X.$$

By Theorem 6 with $S=T, n(x)=m(x), \forall x \in X$, we show that for each $x \in X$ $\{T^n x\}_{n \in \omega}$ converges to a fixed point z of T . The uniqueness of z easily follows from (17).

Remark 6. Theorem 6 and Corollaries 3, 4 improve and unify a number of important results in [7, 8, 9, 10, 13].

Corollary 5. Let S and T be continuous mappings of a complete metric space (X, d) into itself. Suppose for each $x \in X, D(O_S(x, 0, \infty) \cup O_T(x, 0, \infty)) < \infty$. If there exist $p, q \in I^+$ and a nondecreasing function $\Phi: R^+ \rightarrow R^+$ satisfying (Φ_1) such that for all $x, y \in X$

$$D(O_S(S^p x, 0, \infty) \cup O_T(T^q y, 0, \infty)) \leq \Phi(D(O_S(x, 0, \infty) \cup O_T(y, 0, \infty))). \quad (18)$$

Then S and T have a unique common fixed point z and for each $x \in X, \{S^n x\}_{n \in \omega}$ and $\{T^n x\}_{n \in \omega}$ both converge to z . Further, if $p=1$, then the continuity of S may be dropped.

Proof From Theorem 6 with $n(x)=p, m(x)=q, \forall x \in X$ the first conclusion of this theorem holds.

Now assume $p=1$ and S need not to be continuous. By the proof of Theorem 6 we see that for each $x \in X, \{T^n x\}_{n \in \omega}$ converges to a fixed point z of T . We shall show that z is also a fixed point of S . In fact, by (18) with $p=1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} D(O_S(z, 0, \infty)) &= D(O_S(Sz, 0, \infty) \cup \{z\}) \\ &= D(O_S(Sz, 0, \infty) \cup O_T(T^q z, 0, \infty)) \\ &\leq \Phi(D(O_S(z, 0, \infty) \cup O_T(z, 0, \infty))) \\ &\leq \Phi(D(O_S(z, 0, \infty))). \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

By Lemma 2, (19) implies $D(O_S(z, 0, \infty))=0$ and hence $z=Sz$. Therefore z is a common fixed point of S and T . The uniqueness of z easily follows from (18) with $p=1$.

Corollary 6. Let T be a continuous mapping of a complete metric space (X, d) into itself. Suppose for each $x \in X, D(O_T(x, 0, \infty)) < \infty$. If there exist $p, q \in I^+$ and a nondecreasing function $\Phi: R^+ \rightarrow R^+$ satisfying (Φ_1) such that for all $x, y \in X$ any one of the following conditions holds:

- (i) $D(O_T(T^p x, 0, \infty) \cup O_T(T^q y, 0, \infty)) \leq \Phi(D(O_T(x, 0, \infty) \cup O_T(y, 0, \infty)))$.
- (ii) $d(T^p x, T^q y) \leq \Phi(D(O_T(x, 0, \infty) \cup O_T(y, 0, \infty)))$,

then for each $x \in X, \{T^n x\}_{n \in \omega}$ converges to a unique fixed point z of T .

Proof If (i) holds, by Corollary 5 with $S=T$ the conclusion is true. If (ii) holds, without loss of generality we may assume $p \geq q$. For any $\xi \in X, i, j \in \omega$, letting $x=T^i \xi, y=T^{p-q+j} \xi$ in (ii), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(T^{p+i} \xi, T^{p+q+j} \xi) &\leq \Phi(D(O_T(T^i \xi, 0, \infty) \cup O_T(T^{p-q+j} \xi, 0, \infty))) \\ &\leq \Phi(D(O_T(\xi, 0, \infty))), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$D(O_T(T^p x, 0, \infty)) \leq \Phi(D(O_T(x, 0, \infty))), \quad \forall x \in X.$$

Using Theorem 6 with $S=T$, $n(x)=m(x)=p$, $\forall x \in X$, we can show that for each $x \in X$, $\{T^n x\}_{n \in \omega}$ converges to a fixed point z of T . The uniqueness of z easily follows from (ii).

Corollary 7. Let T be a continuous mapping of a complete metric space (X, d) into itself. If there exist $p, q \in I^+$ and a contractive gauge function Φ such that for all $x, y \in X$ and for all $r \geq \max\{p, q\}$ any one of the following conditions holds:

$$(i) \quad D(O_T(T^p x, 0, r) \cup O_T(T^q y, 0, r)) \leq \Phi(D(O_T(x, 0, r) \cup O_T(y, 0, r))).$$

$$(ii) \quad d(T^p x, T^q y) \leq \Phi(D(O_T(x, 0, p) \cup O_T(y, 0, q))),$$

then for each $x \in X$, $\{T^n x\}_{n \in \omega}$ converges to a unique fixed point z of T .

Proof. If (i) is true, by Corollary 3 with $S=T$, $n(x)=p$, $m(x)=q$, $\forall x \in X$ the conclusion of this corollary holds. If (ii) holds, without loss of generality we can assume $p \geq q$. For any $r \geq p$, $\xi \in X$, $0 \leq i, j \leq r-p$, letting $x=T^i \xi$, $y=T^{p-q+j} \xi$ in (ii) we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(T^{p+i} \xi, T^{p+j} \xi) &\leq \Phi(D(O_T(T^i \xi, 0, p) \cup O_T(T^{p-q+j} \xi, 0, q))) \\ &\leq \Phi(D(O_T(\xi, 0, r))), \quad \forall 0 \leq i, j \leq r-p, \end{aligned}$$

which yields

$$D(O_T(T^p x, 0, r)) \leq \Phi(D(O_T(x, 0, r))), \quad \forall x \in X.$$

By Corollary 4 with $n(x)=p$, $\forall x \in X$, we show that for each $x \in X$, $\{T^n x\}_{n \in \omega}$ converges to a fixed point z of T . The uniqueness of z easily follows from (ii).

Remark 7. Corollaries 5, 6 and 7 improve and generalize the main results in [2, 8, 11, 12].

Theorem 7. Let T be a selfmap of a complete metric space (X, d) satisfying:

$$(i) \quad D(O_T(x, 0, \infty)) < \infty \text{ for each } x \in X,$$

(ii) there exists a nondecreasing function $\Phi: R^+ \rightarrow R^+$ satisfying (Φ_1) such that for all $x, y \in X$

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \Phi(D(O_T(x, 0, \infty) \cup O_T(y, 0, \infty))). \quad (20)$$

Then for each $x \in X$ $\{T^n x\}_{n \in \omega}$ converges to the unique fixed point z of T .

Proof. By the proof of Theorem 6 with $S=T$, $n(x)=1$, $m(x)=2$, $\forall x \in X$, we see that for each $x \in X$ $\{T^n x\}_{n \in \omega} \rightarrow z \in X$. Then for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $N \in I^+$ such that $n \geq N$ implies $d(T^n x, z) < \varepsilon$. For any $m \in I^+$ and $n \geq N$, from (20) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} d(z, T^m z) &\leq d(z, T^{n+2} x) + d(T^m z, T^{n+2} x) \\ &\leq \varepsilon + \Phi(D(O_T(T^{m-1} z, 0, \infty) \cup O_T(T^n x, 0, \infty))) \\ &\leq \varepsilon + \Phi(\max\{2\varepsilon, D(O_T(z, 0, \infty)) + \varepsilon\}). \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

Since ε is arbitrary, (21) implies

$$\sup\{d(z, T^m z) : m \in I^+\} \leq \Phi(D(O_T(z, 0, \infty))). \quad (22)$$

On the other hand, for any $1 \leq i < j < \infty$ by (20) we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(T^i z, T^j z) &\leq \Phi(D(O_T(T^{i-1} z, 0, \infty) \cup O_T(T^{j-1} z, 0, \infty))) \\ &\leq \Phi(D(O_T(z, 0, \infty))), \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$D(O_T(Tz, 0, \infty)) \leq \Phi(D(O_T(z, 0, \infty))). \quad (23)$$

By (22) and (23) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} D(O_T(z, 0, \infty)) &= \max\{\sup\{d(z, T^m z) : m \in I^+\}, D(O_T(Tz, 0, \infty))\} \\ &\leq \Phi(D(O_T(z, 0, \infty))). \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

By Lemma 2, (24) yields $D(O_T(z, 0, \infty)) = 0$ and hence $z = Tz$. The uniqueness of z easily follows from (20).

Remark 8. From Lemma 2 we see that Theorem 7 is an extension of Hegedüs^[14] and Theorem 2 of Park and Rhoades^[15].

References

- [1] Chen, M. -P. and Shih, M. H., On generalized Contractive maps, *Math. Japonica*, **21** (1976), 281—282.
- [2] Rhoades, B. E., A Comparison of Various definitions of Contractive mappings, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **226** (1977), 257—290.
- [3] Kasahara, S. and Rhoades, B. E., Common fixed point theorems in complete metric spaces, *Math. Japonica*, **23** (1978), 227—229.
- [4] Chen, M. -P. and Shih, M. -H., Fixed point theorems for point-to-point and point-to-set maps, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **71** (1979), 516—524.
- [5] Ding, X. -P., Common fixed point theorems of Multivalued Contractive type mappings, *Sichuan Shixue Xuebao, A Special Issue of Math.*, 1981, 26—34.
- [6] Aubin, J. -P., Applied abstract analysis, John Wiley & Sons, New York, London, 1977.
- [7] Pal, T. K. and Maiti, M., Extensions of Ćirić's quasi-contractions, *Pure Appl. Math. Sci.*, **6** (1977), 17—20, (zbl. 378.54033).
- [8] Ding, X. -P., Fixed point theorems of orbitally contraction mappings, *Chin. Ann. of Math.* **4** (1981), 511—517.
- [9] Browder, B. E., Remarks on fixed point theorems of contractive type, *Nonlinear Anal., T. M. A.*, **3** (1979), 657—661.
- [10] Walter, W., Remarks on a paper by Browder about contraction, *Nonlinear Anal. T. M. A.*, **5** (1981), 21—25.
- [11] Ćirić, Lj. B., A generalization of Banach's Contraction principle, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **45** (1974), 267—273.
- [12] Fisher, B., Quasi-Contractions on metric spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **75** (1979), 321—325.
- [13] Ding, X. P., Fixed point theorems of orbitally Contraction mappings (II), *Sichuan Shixue Xuebao, A special Issue of Math.*, (1981), 10—15.
- [14] Hegedüs, M., New generalizations of Banach's Contraction principle, *Acta Sci. Math.*, **42** (1980), 87—89.
- [15] Park, S. and Rhoades, B. E., Some general fixed point theorems, *Acta Sci. Math.*, **42** (1980), 299—304.

