# LINEAR GROUP OVER A CLASS OF RING R

YUAN BINGCHENG (袁秉成)\*

#### Abstract

Let *R* be a commutative ring<sup>[1]</sup> with identical element 1 and maximal ideal  $M_i$  where  $i \in N$  and *N* is an ordered indicatrix set. Let the mapping

$$f: R \to \prod_{i \in N} R/M_i$$

be a ring homomorphism from R onto  $\prod_{i \in N} R/M_i$ , where  $\prod_{i \in N} R/M_i$  is the direct product of residual fields  $R/M_i$ . In this paper, it is proved that if  $A \in GL_n(R)$ , then  $A = BH_1 \cdots H_{k-1}$ , where res B = 1 and  $H_1, \cdots, H_{k-1}$  are the symmetries. Furthermore, the bound of the positive integer number K is investigated. In particular, the author gives the smallest number l(A)of symmetric factors in the products which expresses the elements of  $G_n = \{A \in GL_n(R) \mid \det A = \pm 1\}$ . Consequently, the l(A) problems discussed in [2, 3, 4] are special cases of this paper.

## § 1. Introduction

In 1975, H. Radjavi<sup>[2]</sup> showed that  $l(A) \leq 2n-1$ , if R = F is a field and  $A \in G_{n}$ . He also conjectured that  $l(A) \geq 2n-2$ . In 1978, F. S. Cater<sup>[3]</sup> showed that this conjecture is false and proved that  $l(A) \leq n+2$ . He conjectured furthermore that  $l(A) \geq n+1$ . However in 1979, D. Ž. Djoković and J. Malzan<sup>[4]</sup>, negatived the above conjecture again and solved the problem on the field F thoroughly.

In this paper, we extend the results in [4] to a class of ring R, give the symmetry generation theorem for  $GL_n(R)$  and the method of constructing symmetric factors. The method of this paper also can be applied to the discussion for the length of generation of  $GL_n(R)$  by transvections. In particular, the Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 in our paper still hold if symmetries are replaced by transvections. Since local ring is included in ring R in our paper, as a matter of fact, this paper has given the lower bound of the length of generation by transvections. This question has never been solved over local ring before now.

### §2. Main results

Let  $A \in GL_n(R)$  and  $F_A$  be the set of all submodules in  $R^n$  that contain the

Manuscript received July 31, 1982. Revised December 31, 1982.

<sup>\*</sup> Department of Mathematics, Northeast Normal University, Changchun, China.

Vol. 6 Ser. B

columns of I-A.  $S_A$  is the submodule of  $F_A$ , containing the least number of generators.  $m(S_A)$  denotes the least number of the vectors of  $S_A$  which generate  $S_A$ .  $m_T(A)$  denotes the least number of the column vectors of  $I-TAT^{-1}$  which generate  $I-TAT^{-1}$  (where  $T \in GL_n(R)$ ). We define the residual number of A as follows

 $\operatorname{res} A = \min\{m_T(A) | T \in GL_n(R)\}.$ 

If R is a field, we have

 $m(S_A) = \operatorname{res} A = \operatorname{rank}(I - A)$ .

We know that the homomorphism of group  $f_i: GL_n(R) \rightarrow GL_n(R/M_i)$  will be induced by homomorphism  $f_i: R \rightarrow R/M_i$ .

An element  $A \in GL_n(R)$  is called a symmetry if res A=1 with detA = -1. Assume that  $A \in GL_n(R)$  can be expressed as  $A = BH_1 \cdots H_{k-1}$ , where  $H'_i s$  are symmetries and res B=1. Then we write the least number K of the factors in the preceding expression as  $K = \delta(A) \cdot E_{ij}(b)$  denotes the  $n \times n$  matrix with b in the (i, j) position and o's everywhere else.

If  $A \in GL_n(R)$  and resA = r, there exists  $T \in GL_n(R)$  such that

$$TAT^{-1} = \left( \frac{D^{(r)}}{* *} |_{T^{(n-r)}} \right).$$
(1)

(1) is called the normalization of A.

Obviously, if A is similar to matrix B i. e.  $A \otimes B$ , then we have  $\delta(A) = \delta(B)$ . Therefore, we will use the same notation for both similarity and equality.

**Lemma 1.** For any  $m \in N$ , there exists an element  $K \in \bigcap_{j < m} M_j$  but  $K \notin \bigcup_{j > m} M_j$ .

**Proof** It is sufficient that take

 $K=f^{-1}(0, 0, \dots, 0, 1+M_m, \dots).$ 

**Lemma 2.** Let  $D^{(r)} \in GL_r(R)$ , and let  $f_i D^{(r)} \neq aI$ ,  $\forall a \in R/M_i$  and  $\forall i \in N$ . Then, there exists an element  $T \in GL_r(R)$  such that the element at the position (r', r-1) of  $TD^{(r)}T^{-1}$  is 1.

Proof Let  $D^{(r)} = (a_{ij}), i, j = 1, 2, \dots, r$ .

1) If  $a_{r,r-1}$  is a unit, we take  $T = I + E_{r-1,r-1}(a_{r,r-1}-1)$ .

2) Now let us assume that  $a_{r,r-1}$  is not a unit. Then, for any  $t \in N$ , from  $f_t D^{(r)} \neq aI \ \forall a \in R/M_t$ , there exists  $T_t \in GL_r(R/M_t)$  over field  $R/M_t$ , such that the element of  $T_t(f_t D^{(r)})T_t^{-1}$  at the position (r, r-1) is 1. Since the mapping f is a surjection from R onto  $\prod_{i \in N} R/M_i$ , there exists  $T \in GL_r(R)$  such that  $f_t T = T_t$ ,  $\forall t \in N$ . Therefore, the element of  $TD^{(r)}T^{-1}$  at the position (r, r-1) is a unit. This amount to the case of 1).

**Lemma 3.** Let  $A \in GL_n(R)$ , and let  $A = B_1 \cdots B_k$ , where res  $B_i = 1$ .  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . Then  $m(S_A) \leq k$ . *Proof* 1) Let us first prove that  $m(S_A) = m(S_{TAT^{-1}})$  for any  $A, T \in GL_n(R)$ . Let  $d_1, d_2, \dots, d_t$  be the least group of generators of  $S_A$ . Then

$$I - A = (d_1, d_2, \dots, d_t) \begin{pmatrix} r_{11} \cdots r_{1n} \\ \cdots \\ r_{t1} \cdots r_{tn} \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} d_{i} = \begin{pmatrix} d_{1i} \\ d_{2i} \\ \vdots \\ d_{ni} \end{pmatrix}, \ i = 1, \ 2, \ \cdots, \ t. \\ \\ I - TAT^{i-1} = T(I - A)T^{i-1} = T(d_{1}, \ d_{2}, \ \cdots, \ d_{t}) \begin{pmatrix} r_{11} \cdots r_{1n} \\ \cdots \cdots \\ r_{t1} \cdots r_{tn} \end{pmatrix} T^{i-1} \\ \\ = (r_{1}, \ r_{2}, \ \cdots, \ r_{t}) \begin{pmatrix} r'_{11} \cdots r'_{1n} \\ \cdots \cdots \\ r'_{t1} \cdots r'_{tn} \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Formula (\*) implies that  $r_1, r_2, \dots, r_t$  can generate the column vectors of  $I-TAT^{-1}$ . Hereby  $m(S_{TAT^{-1}}) \leq t = m(S_A)$ .

Similarly, we can prove  $m(S_A) \leq m(S_{TAT^{-1}})$ . So  $m(S_A) = m(S_{TAT^{-1}})$ . 2) We prove Lemma by induction on k. The result is obvious for k=1. Suppose the result hold for k-1. Clearly

$$B_k \sim B'_k = \begin{pmatrix} * & & \\ * & 1 & \\ \vdots & \ddots & \\ * & & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

 $B = B'_1 B'_2 \cdots B'_{k-1} = \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} \cdots b_{1n} \\ \cdots \\ b_{n1} \cdots b_{nn} \end{pmatrix}.$ 

i. e. there exists  $T \in GL_n(R)$  such that  $TB_kT^{-1} = B'_k$ . So  $TAT^{-1} = TB_1T^{-1}TB_2T^{-1}\cdots TB_kT^{-1} = B'_1B'_2\cdots B'_k$ ,

where res  $B'_{i} = 1, i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . Set

Then

$$TAT^{-1} = BB'_{k} = \begin{pmatrix} * & b_{12} \cdots b_{1n} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ * & b_{n2} \cdots b_{nn} \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (\*\*)

By the assumption of induction, it follows that  $m(S_B) \leq k-1$ . By formula (\*\*), it is easy to have  $m(S_{TAT-1}) \leq k$ . From 1), we obtain  $m(S_A) \leq k$ .

**Lemma 4.** Let A be an element of  $GL_n(R)$  with  $\operatorname{res} A = r$ . If A satisfies any one of the following conditions:

1) In the normalization of A,  $f_j D^{(r)} = aI$  for some  $j \in N$ , where  $a \neq \pm 1$ .

2) Assume that in the normalization of A,  $f_j D^{(r)} = I$ ,  $\operatorname{res}(f_j A) = r$  and  $2 \notin M_j$  for some  $j \in N$ . Then  $\delta(A) \neq r$ .

(\*)

*Proof* There is no loss of generality in assuming

$$A = \left( \frac{D^{(r)}}{*} \middle|_{I^{(n-r)}} \right).$$

Suppose  $A = BH_1 \cdots H_{r-1}$ , where res B = 1 and  $H_i$   $(i=1, 2, \dots, r-1)$  is a symmetry. Then in field  $R/M_j$ ,

$$f_j A = (f_j B) (f_j H_1), \dots, (f_j H_{r-1}) = \overline{B} \overline{H}_1 \cdots \overline{H}_{r-1},$$

where  $\overline{H}_i = f_j H_i$  is a symmetry and res  $\overline{B} = \text{res } (f_j B) \leq 1$ . From assumption we have  $m(S_{f,A}) = r$ . According to Lemma 3 we have res  $\overline{B} = 1$ .

In field  $R/M_{j}$ , we consider  $I - \overline{H}_{r-1}$ . Since res  $\overline{H}_{r-1} = 1$ , we can set

$$I - \overline{H}_{r-1} = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ \vdots \\ c_n \end{pmatrix} (b_1, \dots, b_{r+1}, \dots, b_n)$$
(\*)

where  $c_i, b_j \in R/M_j$ .

(1) If the elements of the last n-r columns of  $I-\overline{H}_{r-1}$  are not all equal to zero. There is no loss of generality in assuming that the elements of the r+1 column are not all equal to zero. So  $b_{r+1} \neq 0$ . Set

$$T^{r-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & 1 & & \\ \hline \frac{-b_1}{b_{r+1}} \cdots \frac{-b_r}{b_{r+1}} & 1 & \frac{-b_{r+2}}{b_{r+1}} \cdots \frac{-b_n}{b_{r+1}} \\ & 1 & & \ddots \\ & & & \ddots \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$T(I-\overline{H}_{r-1})T^{-1}=I-T\overline{H}_{r-1}T^{-1}=\begin{pmatrix} 0 \cdots 0 & * & 0 \cdots 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 \cdots 0 & * & 0 \cdots 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore, we have



Since

$$f_{j}A = \begin{pmatrix} a \\ \ddots \\ a \\ \hline & \\ \hline & \\ \hline & \\ I^{(n-r)} \end{pmatrix},$$

We have

$$T(f_{j}A)T^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} a & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & a \\ \hline & C & I^{(n-r)} \end{pmatrix} = A_{1}.$$

But,

$$A_1 = T\overline{B}T^{-1}T\overline{H}_1T^{-1}\cdots T\overline{H}_{r-1}T^{-1} = \overline{B}'\overline{H}'_1\cdots\overline{H}'_{r-1},$$
$$A_1\overline{H}'_{r-1} = \overline{B}\overline{H}'_1\cdots\overline{H}'_{r-2}.$$

From Lemma 3 we know  $m(S_{A_1\overline{H}^{r-1}}) \leq r-1$ . But,

$$\mathcal{A}_{1}\overline{H}'_{r-1} = \begin{pmatrix} a & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & a & & \\ & & &$$

Then, if  $a \neq 1$ , it is obvious that  $m(S_{A_1H_{r-1}}) \ge r$ ; if a=1 from the hypothesis that  $\operatorname{res}(f_jA) = r$ , we have  $\operatorname{res}(A_1) = r$ . Furthermore, the *r* column vectors of *C* are linear independent. Hereby  $m(S_{A_1H_{r-1}}) \ge r$ . This contradicts  $m(S_{A_1H_{r-1}}) \le r-1$ .

(2) If all elements of the last n-r columns of  $I-\overline{H}_{r-1}$  are equal to zero. There is no loss of generality in assuming that the elements of the first column of  $I-\overline{H}_{r-1}$  are not all equal to zero. Then we have  $b_1 \neq 0$ ,  $b_{r+1} = \cdots = b_n = 0$  in the formula (\*). Put

The**n** 

$$T(I-\overline{H}_{r-1})T^{-1}=I-T\overline{H}_{r-1}T^{-1}=\begin{pmatrix} * & 0\cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ * & 0\cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

i = 1

So we can let

$$T\overline{H}_{r-1}T^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} & & & \\ * & 1 & & \\ \vdots & \ddots & & \\ * & & 1 & \\ & & & \\ \hline & * & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ &$$

$$T(f_{i}A)T^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} a \\ \ddots \\ a \\ \hline C' & I^{(n-r)} \end{pmatrix} = A_{1},$$

**(**\*\*)

$$A_{1} = TBT^{-1}T\overline{H}_{1}T^{-1}\cdots T\overline{H}_{r-1}T^{-1} = \overline{B}'\overline{H}'_{1}\cdots\overline{H}'_{r-1},$$
$$A_{1}\overline{H}'_{r-1} = \overline{B}'\overline{H}'_{1}\cdots\overline{H}'_{r-2}.$$

According to Lemma 3 we have  $m(S_{A_1 \overline{H} \neq -1}) \leq r-1$ . But

$$A_{1}\overline{H}'_{r-1} = \begin{pmatrix} -a & & \\ * & a & \\ \vdots & \ddots & \\ \vdots & a & \\ \hline & & \\ * & \\ & \vdots & C_{1} & I^{(n-r)} \end{pmatrix}.$$

i) If  $a \neq \pm 1$ ,  $m(S_{A_1 \overline{B} \neq -1}) = r$ . This contradicts  $m(S_{A_1 \overline{B} \neq -1}) \leq r-1$ . ii) If a=1 and  $2 \notin M_j$ , then

$$I - A_1 \overline{H}'_{r-1} = egin{pmatrix} 2 & & & \ -* & & \ -* & & \ -* & & \ -* & & \ -* & & \ -* & & \ -* & & \ -* & & \ -* & & \ -* & & \ -* & & \ -* & & \ -* & & \ -* & & \ -* & & \ -* & \ -* & & \ -* & \ -* & & \ -*$$

From the hypothesis of Lemma i. e.  $m(S_{f_jA}) = r$  and from 1) of Lemma 3, we obtain  $m(S_{f_jA}) = m(S_{A_1}) = r$ . Then we know that r column vectors in C' are linear independent. Since  $C' = \begin{pmatrix} *' \\ \vdots \\ *' \end{pmatrix}$ , r-1 column vectors in  $C_1$  are linear independent.

Hence  $m(S_{A_1H \not \to 1}) = r$  by formula (\*\*). This contradicts  $m(S_{A_1H \not \to 1}) \leq r-1$ . So  $\delta(A) \neq r$ .

**Theorem 1.** Let A be an element of  $GL_n(R)$  with  $\operatorname{res} A = r$  and  $m(S_A) = t$ . In the normalization of A, if  $f_j D^{(r)} \neq aI \quad \forall a \in R/M_j$  and  $\forall j \in N$ , then

$$A = BH_1 \cdots H_{q-1},$$

where  $q \leq r$ ,  $\operatorname{res} B = 1$ ,  $H_i(i = 1, \dots, q-1)$  is a symmetry and  $t \leq \delta(A) \leq r$ . In particular, we have  $\delta(A) = t$ , if there exists some  $i \in N$  such that  $\operatorname{res}(f_i A) = r$ .

**Proof** We use induction on  $r = \operatorname{res} A$ . If r = 1, there is nothing to prove. So we assume that the results hold for all A with res  $A \leq r-1$ .

Since res A = r, there is no loss of generality in assuming that

$$A = \left( \frac{D^{(r)}}{*} \middle|_{I^{(n-r)}} \right).$$

By Lemma 2, there exists  $T^{(r)} \in GL_r(R)$  such that 1 lies at the position (r, r-1) of  $T^{(r)}D^{(r)}T^{(r)-1} = D_1^{(r)}$ . Set

$$T = \left(\frac{T^{(r)}}{I}\right).$$

Then

$$TAT^{-1} = \left( \begin{array}{c} D_{1}^{(r)} \\ * \\ \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} * & \cdots & a_{1,r-1} & * \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ * & \cdots & a_{r-1,r-1} & * \\ * & \cdots & 1 & * \\ \hline * & \cdots & a_{r+1,r-1} & * \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ * & \cdots & a_{n,r-1} & * \end{array} \right) = A_{1_{0}}$$

$$T_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -a'_{1,r-1} \\ \vdots \\ 1 & -a'_{r-1,r-1} \\ 1 \\ -a'_{r+1,r-1} \\ \vdots \\ -a'_{n,r-1} \\ I^{(n-r)} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

 $T_{1}A_{1}T_{1}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \begin{array}{cccc} a_{11}\cdots a_{1,r-2} & 0 & a_{1r} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{r1}\cdots a_{r,r-2} & 1 & a_{rr} \\ \hline \\ & & & \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \\ & & &$ 

Set

$$H'_{q-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & \\ & 1 & 0 & 0 & \\ & 0 & 0 & 1 & \\ & 0 & 1 & 0 & \\ & & & & I^{(n-r)} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We have

$$A_{2}H'_{q-1} = \begin{pmatrix} * \cdots * \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ * \cdots * & 1 \\ \hline \\ & \ddots & * \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ & & \ddots & * \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ & & & I^{(n-r)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} D_{1}^{(r-1)} \\ \hline \\ & & & \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ & & & & I^{(n-r+1)} \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$D_1^{(r-1)} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} \cdots a_{1,r-2} & a_{1r} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{r-1,1} \cdots a_{r-1,r-2} & a_{r-1,r} \end{pmatrix}.$$

i) If  $f_j D_1^{(r-1)} \neq aI$ ,  $\forall j \in N$  and  $\forall a \in R/M_j$  by the assumption of induction, it follows that

where

 $A_{2}H'_{q-1} = B'H'_{1}\cdots H'_{q-2},$  $q-1 \leq \operatorname{res}(A_{2}H'_{q-1}) \leq r-1.$ 

So

$$A_2 = B'H'_1 \cdots H'_{q-2}H'_{q-1}.$$

Since  $A_2 = T_1 \text{TAT}^{-1}T_1^{-1}$ , putting  $T_2^{-1} = T_1 T$ , we have

$$A = T_2 B' T_2^{-1} T_2 H_1' T_2^{-1} \cdots T_2 H_{q-1}' T_2^{-1} = B H_1 \cdots H_{q-1}$$

where resB=1, H, is a symmetry,  $q \leqslant r$ . So  $t \leqslant \delta(A) \leqslant r$  by Lemma 3.

ii) Assume that  $f_j D_1^{(r-1)} = b_j I$   $b_j \in R/M_j$ ,  $\forall j \in N_1$  and  $f_i D_1^{(r-1)} \neq b_i I$   $\forall b_i \in R/M_i$ ,  $\forall i \in N_2$ , where  $N_1 \cup N_2 = N$ ,  $N_1 \cap N_2 = \emptyset$ . Then, we replace  $H'_{q-1}$  by

$$H_{q-1}'' = \begin{pmatrix} & 1 & & \\ & 1 & & \\ & -k & 0 & 1 \\ & & k & 1 & 0 \\ & & & & I^{(n-r)} \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $k \in \bigcap_{i \in N_2} M_i$ ,  $k \notin \bigcup_{i \in N_1} M_i$ . It follows that

If  $i \in N_2$ , we have

$$f_i(H''_{q-1}) = f_i(H'_{q-1}) = H'_{q-1}$$

Hereby, we may deduce

$$f_i(A_2H''_{q-1}) = f_i(A_2H'_{q-1})_{o}$$

Therefore

$$f_i D_2^{(r-1)} = f_i D_1^{(r-1)} \neq b_i I, \ \forall b_i \in R/M_i.$$

Assume that  $i \in N_1$ . Since  $a_{r-1,r-2} + ka_{r-1,r}$  lies at the position (r-1, r-2) of  $\mathcal{D}_2^{(r-1)}$ , and  $a_{r-1,r}$  lies at the diagonal of  $\mathcal{D}_1^{(r-1)}$ , we have

$$f_i(a_{r-1,r-2}+ka_{r-1,r})=f_i(ka_{r-1,r})\neq 0$$

Hence

$$f_i D_2^{(r-1)} \neq b_i I$$
,  $\forall i \in N$ ,  $\forall b_i \in R/M_i$ .

This amount to the case of i).

If  $res(f_iA) = r$ , obviously, we have t = r. Hence  $\delta(A) = t$ .

**Theorem 2.** Assume that  $A \in GL_n(R)$ ,  $m(S_A) = t$  and  $\operatorname{res} A = r$ . We have  $A = BH_1$  $\cdots H_q$  in the normalization of A, if  $f_j D^{(r)} = b_j I$  for some  $j \in N$ , where  $\operatorname{res} B = 1$ ,  $H_i$  is a symmetry,  $q \leq r$  and  $t \leq \delta(A) \leq r+1$ . In particular, if  $b_j \neq \pm 1$  or  $b_j = 1$  but  $\operatorname{res}(f_j A)$ = r and  $2 \notin M_j$ , we have  $\delta(A) = r+1$ .

**Proof** There is no loss of generality in assuming that

 $A = \left( \frac{D^{(r)}}{*} \middle|_{I^{(n-r)}} \right),$  $D^{(r)} = \left( \begin{array}{c} a_{11} \cdots a_{1r} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{r1} \cdots a_{rr} \end{array} \right).$ 

where

42

Set



Then

$$AH_q = \left(\frac{|D_1|}{*} | \frac{1}{I^{(n-r)}}\right).$$

(1) If  $f_j D_1^{(r)} \neq b_j I$ ,  $\forall j \in N$ ,  $\forall b_j \in R/M_j$ , then  $AH_q = BH_1 \cdots H_{q-1}$  by Theorem 1, where res B=1,  $H_i$  is a symmetry,  $q \leq res(AH_q) \leq r$ . So  $A = BH_1 \cdots H_q$  and  $t \leq \delta(A) \leq r+1$  by Lemma 3.

(2) Assume that  $f_j D_1^{(r)} = b_j I$ ,  $\forall j \in N_1$ , where  $b_j \in R/M_j$  and  $f_j D_1^{(r)} \neq b_j I$ ,  $\forall b_j \in R/M_j$ and  $\forall j \in N_2$ , where  $N_1 \cup N_2 = N$ ,  $N_1 \cap N_2 = \phi$ . We replace  $H_q$  by

•	/ <sup>1</sup> ···		•		١	١
	1				- 	
$H'_q =$		k	0	1		,
		k	1	0		
		•			I <sup>(n-r)</sup>	I

where  $k \in \bigcap_{i \in N_1} M_i$ ,  $k \notin \bigcup_{i \in N_1} M_i$ . It follows that

$$AH'_{q} = \left(\frac{D_{2}^{(r)}}{*} \middle|_{I^{(n-r)}}\right)$$

and  $a_{r,r-2} - ka_{r,r-1} + ka_{rr}$  lies at the position (r, r-2) of  $D_2^{(r)}$ . From  $f_j(a_{r,r-2} - ka_{r,r-1} + ka_{rr}) = f_j(-ka_{r,r-1}) \neq 0, \ \forall j \in N_1$ 

and

$$f_j D_2^{(r)} = f_j D_1^{(r)} \neq b_j I$$
,  $\forall j \in N_2$  and  $\forall b_j \in R/M_j$ ,

we deduce that

 $f_i D_2 \neq b_i I$ ,  $\forall i \in N$  and  $\forall b_i \in R/M_i$ .

This amount to the case of (1).

If  $b_j \neq \pm 1$  or  $b_j = 1$ , res $(f_j A) = r$  and  $2 \notin M_j$ , it may be seen that r = t and  $\delta(A) = r+1$  by Lemma 4.

Now, assume that  $G_n$  denotes the subgroup generated by all the symmetries of  $GL_n(R)$ , i. e.,

$$\mathcal{F}_n = \{A \in GL_n(R) \mid \det A = \pm 1\}.$$

If  $1 \neq -1$  in R, we define g(A) for  $A \in G_n$  by

 $g(A) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{when det } A = (-1)^{\text{res}A}, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$ 

**Theorem 3.** Let A be an element of  $G_n$  with res A = r and  $m(S_A) = t$ .

(1) If  $1 \neq -1$ , we have  $t \leq l(A) \leq r+2$ ; if 1 = -1, we have  $t \leq l(A) \leq r+1$ .

(2) Let r=t. In the normalization of A, if  $f_i D \neq b_i I$ ,  $\forall i \in N$  and  $\forall b_i \in R/M_i$ , we have

$$l(A) = \begin{cases} r+g(A) & \text{if } 1 \neq -1, \\ r & \text{if } 1 = -1. \end{cases}$$

*Proof* (1) If  $1 \neq -1$ , we first point out that if detB=1 and resB=1, then B can be expressed as the product of two symmetries. Since there exists  $T \in GL_n(R)$  such that

$$TBT^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ * \\ \vdots \\ * \\ I^{(n-1)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ -* \\ \vdots \\ -* \\ I^{(n-1)} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ - \\ I^{(n-1)} \end{pmatrix} = H'_{1}H'_{2},$$

we have  $B = T^{-1}H_1'TT^{-1}H_2'T = H_1H_2$ . Let 1 = -1. If res B = 1 and det B = 1, then B is a symmetry. Therefore the result of (1) can be proved by Theorems 1, 2, and Lemma 3 immediately.

(2) From Theorem 1 we have

$$A = BH_1 \cdots H_{r-1}, \tag{(*)}$$

where  $H_i(i=1, \dots, r-1)$  is a symmetry, res B=1.

If 1 = -1, B is a symmetry. Then, from Lemma 3 we deduce l(A) = r.

Now, let us assume  $1 \neq -1$ . We proceed in two steps.

1)  $\det A = 1$ .

If r is even, then det  $A = (-1)^r$ , g(A) = 0. According to formula (\*), we have det B = -1. So B is a symmetry. By Lemma 3, we have l(A) = r + g(A).

If r is odd, then det  $A \neq (-1)^r$ , g(A) = 1. According to formula (\*), we obtain det B=1. But then B can be represented as a product of two symmetries. Therefore, from Lemma 3 and det A=1 we deduce l(A)=r+g(A).

2) det A = -1.

If r is even, then det  $A \neq (-1)^r$  and g(A) = 1. From formula (\*) we obtain det B=1. Then B can be expressed as a product of two symmetries. Therefore, according to Lemma 3 and det A = -1, we deduce that l(A) = r + g(A).

If r is ond, then, from formula (\*) we have det B = -1. B is a symmetry. By Lemma 3, l(A) = r. Hence, from det  $A = (-1)^r$  and g(A) = 0, we have l(A) = r + g(A).

**Theorem 4.** Let A be an element of  $G_n$  with  $\operatorname{res} A = r$  and  $m(S_A) = t$ . Then

(1) Let  $1 \neq -1$ . We have l(A) = r+2-g(A) in the normalization of A, if A satisfies any one of the following conditions:

(a) There exists a  $j \in N$  such that

 $f_j D^{(r)} = aI$  where  $a \neq \pm 1$ ;

(b) There exists a  $j \in N$  such that

 $f_j D^{(r)} = I$  and  $\operatorname{res}(f_j A) = r$  where  $2 \notin M_j$ .

(2) Let  $1 \neq -1$ . We have l(A) = r, if  $D^{(r)} = -I$ .

(3) Let 1 = -1. We have l(A) = r+1, if there exists a  $j \in N$  such that  $f_j D^{(r)} = b_j I$ where  $b_j \neq 1$ .

(4) Let 1 = -1. We have l(A) = r, if  $D^{(r)} = I$  and t = r.

**Proof** It is easy to verify that t = r.

(1) By Theorem 2, we have

$$A = BH_1 \cdots H_r (**),$$

where resB=1 and  $H_i(i=1, \dots, r)$  is a symmetry.

There are two cases:

i) det A = 1.

If r is odd, then  $\det A \neq (-1)^r$  and g(A) = 1. By formula (\*\*), we have  $\det B = -1$ , i. e., B is a symmetry. Therefore, from Lemma 4, we deduce l(A) = r+2 -g(A).

If r is even, then det  $A = (-1)^r$  and g(A) = 0. Thus, det B = 1 and B can be represented as a product of two symmetries. According to Lemma 4 and det A = 1 we have l(A) = r+2-g(A).

ii) det A = -1.

If r is odd, then det  $A = (-1)^r$  and g(A) = 0. By formula (\*\*) we have det B =1. So B can be represented as a product of two symmetries. Then according to Lemma 4 we have l(A) = r+2-g(A).

If r is even, then det  $A \neq (-1)^r$ , g(A) = 1 and det B = -1 (i. e., B is a symmetry). By Lemma 4, we obtain l(A) = r+2-g(A).

(2) If  $D^{(r)} = -I$ , then, we have



i. e., l(A) = r.

(3) According to Theorem 2 we have  $A = B H_1 \cdots H_r$ . Because of 1 = -1, B is a symmetry. Then l(A) = r+1 by Lemma 4.

(4) The proof of (4) is similar to the proof of (2).

#### References

- [1] Wang Luqun & Zhang Yongzheng, A Monthly Journal of Science, 27:12(1982), 764, (in chinese).
- [2] Radjavi, H., Decomposition of matrices into simple involutions Linear Algebra and Appl., 12 (1975), 247-255.
- [3] Cater, F. S., Products of central collineations, Linear Algebra and Appl., 19 (1978), 251-274.
- [4] Djokovic, D. Ž. and Malzan, J., Products of Reflections in the General Linear Group over a Division Ring, *Linear Algebra and Appl.*, 28 (1979), 53-62.
- [5] Zhou Fang & Li Li, A Monthly Journal of Science, 26:14(1981), 893-894, (in chinese).