

ALMOST SURE CONVERGENCE OF NONPARAMETRIC REGRESSION ESTIMATES

CHEN XIRU (陈希孺)*

Abstract

Let $(X, Y), (X_i, Y_i), i=1, \dots, n$, be iid. $R^d \times R^1$ -valued random vectors with $E(|Y|) < \infty$ and $m(x) = E(Y|X=x)$ be the regression function. Select the weight functions $W_{ni}(x) = W_{ni}(x; X_1, \dots, X_n)$, and use $m_n(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n W_{ni}(x) Y_i$ as an estimator of $m(x)$. This paper shows that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m_n(X) = m(X)$, a. s., under weaker conditions.

§ 1. The main result

Let $(X, Y), (X_i, Y_i), i=1, \dots, n$, be iid. $R^d \times R^1$ -valued random vectors with $E(|Y|) < \infty$. A much-studied method (see [1]) for estimating the regression function

$$m(x) = E(Y|X=x)$$

is as follows: Select the weight functions $W_{ni}(x) = W_{ni}(x; X_1, \dots, X_n), i=1, \dots, n$, and use

$$m_n(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n W_{ni}(x) Y_i$$

as an estimator of $m(x)$.

The purpose of this paper is to prove a result concerning the a. s. convergence of m_n . To begin with, for each fixed $x \in R^d$, define the ranks R_1, \dots, R_n by

$$\|X_{R_1} - x\| \leq \|X_{R_2} - x\| \leq \dots \leq \|X_{R_n} - x\|$$

with ties broken by comparing indices. Choose an integer k_n for each n such that

$$1 \leq k \leq n, k/n \rightarrow 0, \log n/k \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad (1)$$

and define

$$O(\varepsilon) = \sup_n \{ \max_i (\sum_i' W_{ni}: \text{the number of terms contained in } \sum_i' \text{ does not exceed } k\varepsilon) \}. \quad (2)$$

Following is the main result of this paper.

Theorem 1. *Suppos that $k = k_n$ satisfies (1), Y is bounded, and*

Manuscript received January 3, 1983.

* Department of Mathematics, China University of Science and Technology, Hefei, China.

$$W_{ni}(X) \geq 0, \sum_{i=1}^n W_{ni}(X) = 1, \text{ a. s.} \quad (3)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^k W_{nR_i}^2(X) = o\left(\frac{1}{\log n}\right), \text{ a. s.} \quad (4)$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=k+1}^n W_{nR_i}(X) = 0, \text{ a. s.} \quad (5)$$

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} O(\varepsilon) = 0, \text{ a. s.} \quad (6)$$

Then we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m_n(X) = m(X), \text{ a. s.} \quad (7)$$

This result gives an improvement of a result by Devroye (See [2], Th. 4). See also the Remark 2 at the end of this paper).

Remark 1. The proof, to be given below, applies to the case where (3) is weakened to

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^n W_{ni}(X) = 1, \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^n |W_{ni}(X)| < \infty, \text{ a. s.}, \quad (3')$$

but, in (5) and the definition of $O(\varepsilon)$, $W_{nR_i}(x)$ should be replaced by $|W_{nR_i}(x)|$.

§ 2. First part of the proof

Write

$$\begin{aligned} m_n(X) - m(X) &= \sum_{i=1}^n W_{ni}(X)(Y_i - m(X_i)) + \sum_{i=1}^n W_{ni}(X)(m(X_i) - m(X)) \\ &\triangleq J_{1n}(X) + J_{2n}(X) + J_{3n}(X), \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where

$$J_{1n}(X) = \sum_{i=1}^k W_{nR_i}(X)(Y_{R_i} - m(X_{R_i})), \quad (9)$$

$$J_{2n}(X) = \sum_{i=1}^k W_{nR_i}(X)(m(X_{R_i}) - m(X)), \quad (10)$$

$$J_{3n}(X) = \sum_{i=k+1}^n W_{nR_i}(X)(Y_{R_i} - m(X)). \quad (11)$$

Further,

$$|Y| \leq M < \infty, \quad M \text{ is a constant.} \quad (12)$$

By (5), (11), (12), we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J_{3n}(X) = 0, \text{ a. s.} \quad (13)$$

To deal with J_{1n} , we need a result proved in [3]:

Lemma 0. Let z_1, \dots, z_n be independent variables with mean zero, and a_1, \dots, a_n be constants such that $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 = 1$. Then

$$E\left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i z_i\right)^{2s} \leq 3^s (2s-1)!! \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} E z_i^{2s}, \quad s=1, 2, \dots \quad (14)$$

For simplicity, we assume that (3) — (6) hold for all values of (X, X_1, X_2, \dots) . For given $X=x$, $X_i=x_i$, $i=1, 2, \dots$, the conditional distribution of $J_{1n}(X)$ is the same as that of $\sum_{i=1}^k c_i z_i$, where z_1, \dots, z_k are independent variables each bounded by $2M$ and with mean zero, c_1, \dots, c_k are constants which, according to (4), satisfy

$$d_n \triangleq \sum_{i=1}^k c_i^2 = \sum_{i=1}^k W_{nR_i}(x) = o\left(\frac{1}{\log n}\right). \quad (15)$$

Writing $T_n = \sum_{i=1}^k c_i z_i / \sqrt{d_n}$, by (14) we have, for fixed $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} P\left(\left|\sum_{i=1}^k c_i z_i\right| \geq \varepsilon\right) &= P(|T_n| \geq \varepsilon / \sqrt{d_n}) = \exp(-\varepsilon^2/d_n) E(e^{T_n^2}) \\ &= \exp(-\varepsilon^2/d_n) \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{s!} E T_n^{2s} \\ &\leq \exp(-\varepsilon^2/d_n) \left[1 + \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{s!} 3^s (2s-1)!! (2M)^{2s}\right] \\ &\leq \exp(-\varepsilon^2/d_n) \left[1 + \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} (24M^2)^s\right]. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Suppose first that $M \leq 1/5$, then by (15), (16), we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P\left(\left|\sum_{i=1}^k c_i z_i\right| \geq \varepsilon\right) \leq 25 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \exp(-\varepsilon^2/d_n) < \infty.$$

Hence we have proved that for any fixed $X=x$, $X_i=x_i$, $i=1, 2, \dots$, the assertion (note that J_{1n} depends also on X_i, Y_i , $i=1, \dots, n$)

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J_{1n}(x; x_1, Y_1, \dots, x_n, Y_n) = 0, \text{ a. s.}$$

is true. This in turn proves that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J_{1n}(X; X_1, Y_1, \dots, X_n, Y_n) = 0, \text{ a. s.} \quad (17)$$

Replacing by $Y/(5M)$ (and Y_i by $Y_i/(5M)$ accordingly) in case $M > 1/5$, we see that (17) is true for any M .

§ 3. Second part of the proof

We shall put $C_{ki} = W_{nR_i}(x)$ for simplicity of writing.

Lemma 1. Let ξ be binomial variable with parameters n and p . Then for $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$P(|\xi/n - p| \geq \varepsilon) \leq 2 \exp(-n\varepsilon^2/(2p + \varepsilon)).$$

For the proof, see Hoeffding [4].

Lemma 2. Let F be a probability measure on the class B^r of all Borel sets in R^r , $A \in B^r$ and $F(A) > 0$. Denote by $S(x, \rho)$ the open or closed sphere centered at x and with radius ρ , and

$$\tilde{A} = \{x: x \in A, \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} F(S(x, \rho) \cap A)/F(S(x, \rho)) = 1\}.$$

Then $F(A - \tilde{A}) = 0$.

For the Proof, see [5], p. 189.

Now turn to the proof of $J_{2n}(x) \rightarrow 0$, a. s. For any $\rho > 0$, denote by S_ρ , \bar{S}_ρ and \bar{S}_ρ^* the open, closed and the surface of the sphere with radius ρ and centered at x , respectively. Let N be a natural number, and

$$B_{Ni} = \left(x: \frac{i-1}{N} \leq m(x) < \frac{i}{N} \right), \quad i=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots,$$

$$\tilde{B}_{Ni} = \{x: x \in B_{Ni}, \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} F(S_\rho \cap B_{Ni}) / F(S_\rho) = 1\}.$$

According to Lemma 2, $F(B_{Ni} - \tilde{B}_{Ni}) = 0$, $i=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$. Hence, on writing

$$\tilde{B}_N = \bigcup_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{B}_{Ni}, \quad \tilde{B} = \bigcap_{N=1}^{\infty} \tilde{B}_N,$$

we have $F(\tilde{B}_N) = 1$ for $N=1, 2, \dots$, thus $F(\tilde{B}) = 1$. Further, by Lemma 2, we also have $\lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} F(\bar{S}_\rho \cap B_{Ni}) / F(\bar{S}_\rho) = 1$.

Further, if $\rho' \rightarrow 0$ along a sequence such that $F(S_{\rho'}^*) / F(S_{\rho'}) \geq \alpha > 0$ for some fixed $\alpha > 0$, then $\lim_{\rho' \rightarrow 0} F(S_{\rho'}^* \cap B_{Ni}) / F(S_{\rho'}^*) = 1$ for $x \in \tilde{B}_{Ni}$.

Define $\tilde{C} = \{x: F(S_\rho) > 0 \text{ for any } \rho > 0\}$, which is the support of F . We have $F(\tilde{C}) = 1$. Finally, putting $A = \tilde{B} \cap \tilde{C}$, we have $F(A) = 1$.

Now fix arbitrarily $x \in A$ and proceed to show that $J_{2n}(x) \rightarrow 0$, a. s. First consider the case $F(\{x\}) > 0$. In this case, by the Law of Large Numbers and the definition of $J_{2n}(x)$, it is easily seen that

$$P(J_{2n}(x) = 0 \text{ for } n \text{ sufficiently large}) = 1.$$

Therefore, for such x , $J_{2n}(x) \rightarrow 0$ a. s. is trivially true.

Next assume that $F(\{x\}) = 0$. For fixed n , find $\rho_n > 0$ (in the following ρ_n will be simplified to ρ) such that

$$F(S_\rho) \leq k/n, \quad F(\bar{S}_\rho) \geq k/n.$$

From $F(\{x\}) = 0$, $x \in \tilde{C}$ and $k/n \rightarrow 0$, we see that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho = 0$. Further, Since $x \in \tilde{B}$, for any positive integer N there exists i_N such that

$$x \in \tilde{B}_{Ni_N}, \quad N=1, 2, \dots.$$

We shall fix N in the following discussion. Given $0 < \eta < 1$, consider separately three cases for n :

$$1. \quad k/n \geq F(S_\rho) \geq (1-\eta)k/n.$$

From $x \in \tilde{B}_{Ni_N}$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho = 0$, we see that for n sufficiently large

$$\begin{aligned} p_n &= F(S_\rho \cap B_{Ni_N}) \geq (1-\eta^2)k/n, \\ p_n^* &= F(S_\rho \cap B_{Ni_N}^c) \leq \eta k/n. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Define

$$\begin{aligned} D_n &= \{X_1, \dots, X_n\} \cap S_\rho \cap B_{Ni_N}, \quad Q_n = \#(D_n), \\ D_n^* &= \{X_1, \dots, X_n\} \cap S_\rho \cap B_{Ni_N}^c, \quad Q_n^* = \#(D_n^*). \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

According to Lemma 1, and noticing (18), one gets

$$\begin{aligned} P(|Q_n/n - p_n| \geq \eta p_n) &\leq 2 \exp[-n\eta^2 p_n^2 / (2p + \eta p_n)] \\ &\leq 2 \exp[-k(1-\eta)^2 \eta^2 / (2+\eta)], \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

$$P(|Q_n^*/n - p_n^*| \geq \eta p_n) \leq 2 \exp[-k(1-\eta)^2 \eta^2 / (2+\eta)]. \quad (21)$$

Since $k/\log n \rightarrow \infty$, for any $\eta \in (0, 1)$ the right hand sides of (20), (21) are terms of convergent series. Hence by the lemma of Borel-Cantell, the following assertion is true with probability one: For n large enough

$$Q_n \geq n(1-\eta)p_n \geq k(1-\eta)^2 \geq k(1-3\eta), \quad (22)$$

$$Q_n^* \leq n(p_n^* + \eta p_n) \leq k\eta + k\eta(1-\eta)^2 \leq 2k\eta. \quad (23)$$

By the definition of R_1, \dots, R_n , in case that (22) and (23) hold, among X_{R_1}, \dots, X_{R_n} there will be at least $(1-3\eta)k$ members lying in D_n . By the meaning of $B_{N/n}$, for such X_{R_i} we have $|m(x) - m(X_{R_i})| \leq 1/N$. Hence, noticing the definition of $c(s)$ mentioned earlier, we get

$$|J_{2n}(x)| \leq 1/N + 2Mc(3\eta). \quad (24)$$

That is to say, for those n under discussion, (24) holds for large n with probability one.

$$2. \quad k/n \leq F(\bar{S}_\rho) \leq (1+\eta)k/n.$$

Modifying previous definitions of $D_n, Q_n, p_n, D_n^*, Q_n^*, p_n^*$ by changing S_ρ to \bar{S}_ρ , we get for n large enough

$$p_n \geq (1-\eta)k/n, \quad p_n^* \leq \eta(1+\eta)k/n \leq 2\eta k/n.$$

Again by Lemma 1

$$P(|Q_n|/n - p_n| \geq \eta p_n) \leq 2 \exp[-k(1-\eta)^2 \eta^2 / (2+\eta)],$$

$$P(|Q_n^*/n - p_n^*| \geq \eta p_n) \leq 2 \exp[-k(1-\eta)^2 \eta^2 / (2+\eta)].$$

An argument similar to the previous case shows that the following assertion holds with probability one: For n large enough

$$Q_n \geq (1-\eta)\eta p_n \geq k(1-\eta)^2 \geq k(1-2\eta), \quad (25)$$

$$Q_n^* \leq n(p_n^* + \eta p_n) \leq 2\eta k + \eta(1+\eta)k \leq 4\eta k. \quad (26)$$

In the same vein as the previous case, in case that (25) and (26) hold, among X_{R_1}, \dots, X_{R_n} there will be at least $(1-4\eta)k$ members lying in D_n , and we get

$$|J_{2n}(x)| \leq 1/N + 2Mc(4\eta). \quad (27)$$

$$3. \quad F(S_\rho) < (1-\eta)k/n, \quad F(\bar{S}_\rho) > (1+\eta)k/n.$$

Restore the definitions of $D_n, Q_n, p_n, Q_n^*, Q_n^*, p_n^*$ to its original form in case 1, and let

$$Z_n = \{\text{the number of } X_1, \dots, X'_n \text{ contained in } \bar{S}_\rho\}$$

and $H_{n1} = \{Z_n \geq k\}$. Using Lemma 1, one can show that

$$P(H_{n1} \text{ occurs for } n \text{ sufficiently large}) = 1. \quad (28)$$

Indeed, putting $q = F(\bar{S}_\rho) \geq (1+\eta)k/n$, by Lemma 1, we have

$$P(H_{n1}^c) \leq P(|Z_n/n - q| \geq q - k/n) \leq 2 \exp[-n(q - k/n)^2 / 3q].$$

The last expression is strictly decreasing in q . Hence

$$P(H_{n1}^c) \leq 2 \exp[-n(\eta^2 k^2 / n^2) / (3(1+\eta)k/n)] = 2 \exp[-k\eta^2 / (3(1+\eta))].$$

This proves (28). Similarly we prove that

$$P(Q_n^* \leq 2\eta k \text{ for } n \text{ sufficiently large}) = 1, \quad (29)$$

$$P(Q_n + Q_n^* \leq (1 - \eta/2)k \text{ for } n \text{ sufficiently large}) = 1. \quad (30)$$

Define

$$H_{n2} = \{Q_n + Q_n^* \leq (1 - \eta/2)k, Z_n \geq k\},$$

then by (28), (30), it follows that

$$P(H_{n2} \text{ occurs for } n \text{ sufficiently large}) = 1. \quad (31)$$

A few words on (31): It means that for n sufficiently large, it is almost certain that there will be at most $k(1 - \eta/2)$ members of $\{X_{R_1}, \dots, X_{R_k}\}$ lying in S_ρ , but the remaining part can all be found in S_ρ^* . Further, by (29) we see that

$$\#(\{X_{R_1}, \dots, X_{R_k}\} \cap S_\rho \cap B_{N_{i_N}}^c) \leq 2\eta k.$$

Since $F(S_\rho^*) = F(\bar{S}_\rho) - F(S_\rho) \geq 2\eta k$, n and $F(S_\rho^*)/F(S_\rho) \geq 2\eta(1 - \eta)$, if we define $w_n = F(S_n^* \cap B_{N_{i_N}})/F(S_\rho^*)$ and $w'_n = F(S_\rho^* \cap B_{N_{i_N}}^c)/F(S_\rho^*)$, then $w_n \geq 1 - \eta$, $w'_n \leq \eta$ for n large enough. It is not difficult to prove that if a certain part of X_1, \dots, X_n , for example X_{i_1}, \dots, X_{i_l} , fall into S_ρ^* , then each of them will appear in $B_{N_{i_N}}$ or $B_{N_{i_N}}^c$ with probability w_n or w'_n respectively, and these events occur independently. Suppose that we need to choose l members of $\{X_1, \dots, X_n\} \cap S_\rho^*$ to cover the deficit resulting from $Q_n + Q'_n < k$, then as stated earlier, with probability one, for n sufficiently large we have $l \geq k\eta/2$, and these l members should be chosen from $\{X_1, \dots, X_n\} \cap S_\rho^*$ with possibly smallest indices. Hence by Lemma 1, for $l \geq k\eta/2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} P(\text{The number of elements falling into } S_\rho^* \cap B_{N_{i_N}}^c \text{ among the } l \text{ elements} \\ \text{mentioned above} \geq 2\eta l) \leq 2 \exp(-l\eta^2/3\eta) = 2 \exp(-l\eta/3) \\ \leq 2 \exp(-k\eta^2/6), \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

and this estimation does not depend on l for $l \geq k\eta^2/2$. Summing up (28), (29), (31), and (32), one sees that with probability one the following assertion holds: For n sufficiently large $\#(\{X_{R_1}, \dots, X_{Q_k}\} \cap B_{N_{i_N}}^c) \leq 2\eta k + 2\eta k = 4\eta k$, and when this occurs we have

$$|J_{2n}(w)| \leq 1/N + 2Mc(4\eta). \quad (33)$$

From (24), (27) and (33), we see that, with probability one,

$$|J_{2n}(w)| \leq 1/N + 2Mc(4\eta) \quad (34)$$

for n sufficiently large. Since the positive integer N and $\eta \in (0, 1)$ can be arbitrarily chosen and

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \uparrow 0} c(\varepsilon) = 0, \text{ one gets from} \quad (34)$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J_{2n}(w) = 0, \text{ a. s.} \quad (35)$$

From (35) it follows that $J_{2n}(X) \rightarrow 0$, a. s. This, combining with (8)–(11), (13) and (17), finally gives (7). The proof of Theorem 1 is concluded.

References

- [1] Stone, C. J., *Ann. Statist.*, **5** (1977), 595–645.
- [2] Devroye, L., *Ann. Statist.*, **9** (1981), 1310–1319.
- [3] Tao Bo & Cheng Ping, *Chin. Ann. of Math.*, **2**: 4 (1981), 451–461, (in Chinese).
- [4] Hoeffding, W., *J. Amer. Statist. Assoc.*, **58** (1963), 13–30.
- [5] Wheeden, R. L. & Zygmund, A., *Measure and Integral*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1977.