HIGHER COMMUTATORS OF PSEUDO-DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS

QIAN TAO(钱 涛)*

Abstract

In this paper the following result is established: For a_i , $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^K)$, $i=1, \dots, n$, and

$$T(a, f)(x) = \omega(x, D) \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} P_{m_i}(a_i, x, \cdot) f(\cdot) \right),$$

it holds that

$$||T(a, f)||_q \le C ||f||_{p_0} \prod_{i=1}^n ||\nabla^{m_i} a_i||_{p_i},$$

where $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$, $q^{-1} = p_0^{-1} + \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^{-1} \in (0, 1)$, $\forall i, p_i \in (1, \infty)$ or $\forall i, p_i = \infty, p_0 \in (1, \infty)$, for an integer $m_i \geqslant 0$,

$$P_{m_i}(a_i, x, y) = a_i(x) - \sum_{|\beta| < m_i} \frac{a_i^{(\beta)}(y)}{\beta!} \cdot (x - y)^{\beta},$$

 $\omega(x, \xi)$ is a classical symbol of order |m|, $m=(m_1, \dots, m_n)$, $|m|=m_1+\dots+m_n$, m_i are nonnegative integers. Besides, a representation theorem is given.

The methods used here closely follow those developed by Coifman, R. and Meyer, Y. in [5] and by Cohen, J. in [3].

§ 1. A Representation Theorem

(1.1). Let $g \in C^m(\mathbb{R}^K)$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, and \mathbb{Z} denote the set of nonnegative integers. We define the remainder operator of Taylor series ⁽³⁾

$$R_{-\alpha}^{m}g(\xi)=g(\xi-\alpha)-\sum_{|\beta|< m}\frac{g^{(\beta)}(\xi)}{\beta!}(-\alpha)^{\beta},$$

where $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_K)$, $\beta_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\beta_! = \beta_1! \dots \beta_K!$, $|\beta| = \beta_1 + \dots + \beta_K$, $g^{(\beta)} = \partial^{\beta} g$, ξ , $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^K$. If $m = (m_1, \dots, m_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in (\mathbb{R}^K)^n$, $|m| = m_1 + \dots + m_n$, $g \in C^{|m|}(\mathbb{R}^K)$, then the n-fold composition of remainder operator of Trylor series an be introduced as

$$R^{(m)}_{(-\alpha)}g(\xi)=R^{m_1}_{\alpha-1}\circ\cdots\circ R^{m_n}_{-\alpha_n}g(\xi).$$

Denote by $S^l(R^K \times R^K)$ the class of the symbols of order 1: $S^l(R^K \times R^K) = \{\omega \in C^{\infty}(R^K \times R^K) : \{\partial_{\xi}^{\beta} \partial_{x}^{\alpha} \omega(x, \xi) \mid \leq C_{\alpha,\beta} (1 + |\xi|)^{\ell - |\beta|} \}, \ l \in R^1.$

Manuscript received April 14, 1983.

^{*} Department of Mathematics, Beijing University, Beijing, China.

The following theorem is established:

Theorem 1. Let $\omega(x, \xi) \in S^{|m|}(R^K \times R^K)$ and for every fixed $x \in R^k$, $\omega(x, \cdot) \in C_0^{\infty}(R^K)$. Denote

$$L(x, y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{jy\xi} \omega(x, \xi) d\xi.$$

Then for f, $a_i \in \mathcal{S}(R^K)$, we have

$$\begin{split} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \prod_{i=1}^n P_{m_i}(a_i, x, y) L(x, x-y) f(y) dy \\ & = C \int_{(\mathbb{R}^K)^{n+1}} e^{ix\xi} R_{(-\alpha)}^{(n)} \omega(x, \xi) \hat{a}(\alpha) \hat{f}(\xi - [\alpha]) d\alpha d\xi, \end{split}$$

where $\hat{a}(\alpha) = \hat{a}_1(\alpha_1) \cdots \hat{a}_n(\alpha_n)$, $[\alpha] = \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n$, $d\alpha = d\alpha_1 \cdots d\alpha_n$, and C is a constant.

Proof Using the following multiple index notation

$$\mathscr{G} = \{J \subset \{1, \cdots, n\} \colon J = (j_1, \cdots, j_t), \ 1 \leqslant j_1 < \cdots < j_t \leqslant n\},$$
 $J' = \{1, \cdots, n\} \setminus J,$
 $|J| = \text{number of elements in } J,$
 $k_J = (k_{j_1}, \cdots, k_{j_t}), \ k_{j_i} = (k_{j_i}^1, \cdots, k_{j_i}^K) \in Z^K,$
 $k_J! = k_{i_1}! \cdots k_{j_t}!, \ [k_J] = k_{j_1} + \cdots + k_{j_t}, \ |k_{j_i}| = k_{j_i}^1 + \cdots + k_{j_{i,j}}^K,$
 $N_J = \{k_J \colon J \in \mathscr{G}, \ 0 \leqslant k_{j_i} \leqslant m_{j_i} - 1\},$
 $(-\alpha_J)^{K_J} = (-\alpha_{i_1})^{k_{j_1}} \cdots (-\alpha_{i_t})^{k_{j_t}}.$

we have

$$R_{(-\alpha)}^{(m)}g(\xi) = \sum_{J \in \mathcal{G}} \sum_{k_I \in N_J} \frac{(-1)^{|J_{\mathcal{G}}|}g^{([l_{\mathcal{G}}])}(\xi - \sum_{j \in J'} \alpha_j)}{k_J!} (-\alpha_J)^{K_J}.$$
 (1.1.1)

With the notation

$$\hat{a}_{J}(\alpha) = \hat{a}_{j_1}(\alpha_{j_1}) \cdots \hat{a}_{j_t}(\alpha_{j_t}),$$
 $a_{J}^{(le_J)}(x) = -\frac{(le_{j_1})}{j_1}(x) \cdots a_{J_t}^{(le_{J_t})}(x),$
 $(a_{J}^{(le_J)})^{\wedge}_{J}(\alpha) = (a_{J_1}^{(le_J)})^{\wedge}(\alpha_{j}) \cdots (a_{J_t}^{(le_{J_t})})^{\wedge}(\alpha_{j_t}), d\alpha_{J} = d\alpha_{j_1} \cdots d\alpha_{J_t},$

and setting $g(\xi) = \omega(x, \xi) \ln(1.1.1)$, we have

$$\begin{split} &\int_{(R^K)^{n+1}} e^{i\omega\xi} R_{(-\alpha)}^{(m)} \omega(x,\,\xi) \hat{a}(\alpha) \hat{f}(\xi - [\alpha]) d\alpha \, d\xi \\ &= \sum_{J \in \mathscr{G}} \sum_{K_J \in N_J} (-1)^{|J|} \int_{(R^K)^{n+1}} e^{i\omega\xi} \, \frac{\omega^{([k_J])}(x,\,\xi - \sum_{j \in J'} \alpha_j) \, (-\alpha)^{k_J}}{K_J!} \hat{a}(\alpha) \hat{f}(\xi - [\alpha]) d\alpha \, d\xi \\ &= \sum_{J \in \mathscr{G}} \sum_{k_J \in N_J} (-1)^{|J|} \int_{(R^K)} e^{i\omega\xi} \int_{(R^K)} e^{i\omega\xi} \omega^{([k_J])}(x,\,\xi - \sum_{j \in J'} \alpha_j) \hat{a}_{J'}(\alpha) d\alpha_{J'}) \\ &\cdot \left(\int_{(R^K)^{|J|}} (-1)^{|[k_J]|} \left(\frac{1}{k_J!} \, \frac{(a^{(k_J)})_J^{\wedge}(\alpha) \hat{f}(\xi - \sum_{j \in J} \alpha_j - \sum_{j \in J'} \alpha_j)}{i^{|[k_J]|}} \right) d\alpha_J \right) d\xi. \end{split}$$

Integrating in $d\alpha_I$ the inner integral equals

$$C\left(\frac{a_J^{(k_J)} \cdot f}{k_J!}\right)^{\wedge} (\xi - \sum_{j \in J'} \alpha_j).$$

Integrating next in $d\alpha_{J'}$, and then using the following equation

$$\omega^{(k_J)}(x,\cdot)C(-\alpha)^{\lfloor [k_J]\rfloor}((\cdot)^{\lfloor k_J\rfloor}L(x,\cdot))^{\wedge},$$

we deduce

$$\begin{split} &\int_{(R^{E})^{n+1}} e^{jx\xi} R_{(-\alpha)}^{(m)} \omega(x,\xi) \hat{a}(\alpha) \hat{f}(\xi - [\alpha]) d\alpha d\xi \\ &= C \sum_{J \in \mathcal{B}} \sum_{k_{J} \in N_{J}} \frac{(-1)^{|J| + |[k_{J}]|}}{i^{|[k_{J}]|}} \left(\frac{\omega^{([k_{J}])}(x, \cdot) (a_{J}^{(k_{J})} \cdot f)^{\wedge}(\cdot)}{k_{J}!} \right)^{\vee} (x) a_{J'}(x) \\ &= C \sum_{J \in \mathcal{B}} \sum_{k_{J} \in N_{J}} \frac{(-1)^{|J| + |[k_{J}]|}}{i^{|[k_{J}]|}} a_{J'}(x) (-i)^{|[k_{J}]|} \\ &\cdot \int_{R^{E}} \frac{(x-y)^{[k_{J}]} L(x, x-y)}{k_{J}!} (a_{J}^{(k_{J})}(y) f(y) dy \\ &= C \int_{R^{E}} \left(\sum_{J \in \mathcal{B}} \sum_{k \in N_{J}} \frac{(-1)^{|J|} a_{J}^{(k_{J})}(y) a_{J'}(x)}{k_{J}!} (x-y)^{[k_{J}]} \right) L(x, x-y) f(y) dy \\ &= C \int_{R^{E}} \prod_{i=1}^{n} P_{m_{i}}(a_{i}, x, y) L(x, x-y) f(y) dy. \end{split}$$

The proof is thus finished.

Remark. If $m_1 = \cdots = m_n = 1$, we have

$$\int_{(R^x)^{n+1}} e^{ix\xi} \Delta_{-\alpha_1} \circ \cdots \circ \Delta_{-\alpha_n} \hat{a}(\alpha) \hat{f}(\xi - [\alpha]) d\alpha d\xi$$

$$= C \int_{R^x} \prod_{i=1}^n (a_i(x) - a_i(y)) L(x, x - y) f(y) dy$$

$$= C [a_n, \dots, [a_1, \omega(x, D)] \dots] f(x)$$

which is the *n*th commutator of $\omega(x, D)$, where $a_i(f)(x) = (a_i f)(x)$. Therefore we can extend the notation of commutator and call the operator in the theorem a commutator of oader |m| (see [3]).

§ 2. The Boundedness of Higher Commutators The First Case: $\forall i, p_i \in (1, \infty)$

For a symbol $\sigma(x, \alpha, \xi)$, we denote

$$T_{\sigma}(\alpha, f)(x) = \int_{(\mathbb{R}^{K})^{n+1}} e^{jx\xi} \sigma(x, \alpha, \xi) \hat{a}(\alpha) \hat{f}(\xi - [\alpha]) d\alpha d\xi.$$

The main result of this paper is as follows.

Theorem 2. Let $\omega \in S^{|m|}(R^K \times R^K)$, $q^{-1} = p_0^{-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i^{-1} \in (0, 1)$, $p_0 \in (1, \infty)$, p_i satisfy one of the following two conditions: (i) $\forall i, p_i \in (1 \infty)$; (ii) $\forall i, p_i = \infty$. Then for a_i , $f \in \mathcal{S}(R^K)$, we have

$$||T_{R_{i-i}^{(m)},\omega}(a, f)||_{q} \leq C||f||_{p_{0}} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{n} ||\nabla^{m_{i}}a_{i}||_{p_{i}},$$

where $C = C(K, n, m, C_{\alpha,\beta}, p_0, p_i)$ is a constant, $\|\nabla^{m_i} a_i\|_{p_i} = \sum_{|\beta| = m_i} \|\partial^{\beta} a_i\|_{p_i}$.

In this section, we prove the theorem for the first kind of indexes: $\forall i, p_i \in$ (1, ∞). Introduce the following notation:

$$\begin{split} \|\nabla^m a\|_p &= \prod_{i=1}^n \|\nabla^{m_i} a_i\|_{p_i}, \text{ where } p = (p_1, \ \cdots, \ p_n); \\ M(m) &= \{\sigma(x, \ \alpha, \ \xi) \in C^{\infty}(R^K \times (R^K)^n \times R^K) : \|T_{\sigma}(a, \ f)_q\| \leqslant C\|f\|_{p_0} \|\nabla^m a\|_{p_0}, \\ C &= C(K, \ n, \ m, \ \sigma, \ p_0, \ p) \text{ is a constant} \}. \end{split}$$

To prove $R_{(-\alpha)}^{(m)}\omega(x,\xi)\in M(m)$ we use the induction on |m|. The induction hypothesis is that: For $\overline{m}=(\overline{m}_1, \dots, \overline{m}_{\overline{n}})$, $0\leqslant |\overline{m}|<|m|$, $0\leqslant \overline{n}\leqslant n$ and $\overline{\omega}\in S^{|\overline{m}|}(R^{\underline{n}}\times R^{\underline{n}})$ we have $R_{(-\overline{\alpha})}^{(\overline{m})}\overline{\omega}(x,\xi)\in M(\overline{m})$.

First we make the following observation.

Denote $J = \{i: 1 \le i \le n, m_i = 0\}$ and $J' = \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus J$. There exists

$$R_{(-\alpha_J)}^{(m)}\omega(x, \xi) = R_{(-\alpha_J)}^{(m_J)}\omega(x, \xi - \sum_{i \in J} \alpha_i),$$

and hence

$$T^{R_{\ell}^{(m)}\omega(x,\xi)}(a,f)(x) = Ca_J(x)T^{R_{\ell}^{(m)}j_{\ell}^{\prime}\omega(x,\xi)}(a_{J^{\prime}},f)(x).$$

So we can restrict ourself to the case: $\forall i, m_i \ge 1$. And, from the above equation it follows that for |m| = 0, $R_{(-\alpha)}^{(m)} \omega(x, \xi) \in M(m)$.

(2.2). The plan of the proof: A partition of unity of space

$$(R^{K})^{n+1} = \{(\alpha_{1}, \dots, \alpha_{n}, \xi) : \alpha_{i} \in R^{K}, \xi \in R^{K}\}$$

permits us to decompose $R^{\binom{m}{2}}_{(-\alpha)}\omega(x,\xi)$ into a finite sum. There are two posibilities for the terms:

- (a) The terms supported in $\{(\alpha, \xi): |\xi| > C|\alpha|\}$ lead to a kind of symbol of order O. The estimate is obtained then by using the Coifman-Meyer's theorem ([5], Theorem 1, see(2.7), (2.8) below);
- (b) The terms supported in $\{(\alpha, \xi): |\xi| \le C|\alpha|\}$ lead to a subtle analysis for which a special interpolation teorem due to Coifman and Meyer is needed ([5], proportion 3, see (2.9) Lemma 5).

For the technical reasons we proceed first with some primal partitions in order to choose the biggest coordinates of the vectors α_i , $\xi(\text{see}(2.3), (2.4))$.

(2.3). Suppose
$$\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^K)$$
 and $\varphi(\xi) = 1$ for $|\xi| \leq n+1$. Writing $\omega(x, \xi) = \omega_1(x, \xi) + \omega_2(x, \xi)$,

where

$$\omega_1(x, \xi) = \varphi(\xi)\omega(x, \xi),$$

we can restrict ourself to the case $R^{(m)}_{(-\alpha)}\omega_2(x,\,\xi)\in M(m)$. In fact, let

$$\omega_1(x, \, \xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^x} L_1(x, \, y) e^{-iy\xi} dy$$

as a result of regularity of ω_1 , $\sup_{x \in R^x} |L_1(x, y)|$ is rapidly decreasing at infinity. From Theorem 1 and the equation

$$P_{m_i}(a_i, x, x-y) = \sum_{|\alpha|=m_i} \frac{m_i}{\alpha!} (y')^{\alpha} \int_0^{|y|} r^{m_i-1} a_i^{(\alpha)}(x-ry') dr, \qquad (2.3.1)$$

where $y' = \frac{y}{|y|}$, and using Minkowski's and Hölder's inequalities, we get

$$R_{(-\alpha)}^{(m)}\omega_1(x,\xi)\in M(m)$$
.

(2.4) Choose $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_K \in C^{\infty}(R^K \setminus \{0\})$ such that \forall_j, φ_j is homogeneous of degree 0, $1 = \varphi_1 + \dots + \varphi_K$ on $R^K \setminus \{0\}$ and

$$\varphi_{j}(\xi) \neq 0 \Longrightarrow |\xi_{j}| \gg \frac{1}{2} \sup(|\xi_{1}|, \dots, |\xi_{K}|).$$

Since $|\xi| \le n+1 \Rightarrow \omega(x, \xi) = 0$, we can write

$$\omega(x,\,\xi)=\varphi_1(\xi)\omega(x,\,\xi)+\cdots+\varphi_K(\xi)\omega(x,\,\xi)$$

and $\varphi_j(\xi)\omega(x,\,\xi)=\xi_j\tau_j(x,\,\xi)$, where $\tau_j(x,\,\xi)\in S^{|m|-1}\left(R^K\times R^K\right)$.

The following lemma is established.

Lemma 1. Under the induction hypothesis shown in (2.1), for $\tau \in S^{|m|-1}(R^K \times R^K)$ we have

$$R_{(-\alpha)}^{(m)}(\xi_j\tau(x,\,\xi))-\xi_jR_{(-\alpha)}^{(m)}\tau(x,\,\xi)\in M(m).$$

Proof Keeping in mind $m_i \ge 1$, and letting F(t) = G(t)H(t), $0 \le t \le 1$, $G(t) = \xi_i - t\alpha_{n,j}$, $H(t) = \tau(x, \xi - t\alpha_n)$, we have

$$\begin{split} R_{-\alpha_n}^{m_n}(\xi_j \tau(x,\,\xi)) &= F(1) - F(0) - F'(0) - \dots - \frac{1}{(m_n - 1)!} \, F^{(m_n - 1)}(0) \\ &= G(0) \, (H(1) - H(0) - \dots - \frac{1}{(m_n - 1)!} \, H^{(m_n - 1)}(0)) \\ &+ G'(0) \, (H(1) - H(0) - \dots - \frac{1}{(m_n - 2)!} \, H^{(m_n - 2)}(0)) \\ &= \xi_j R_{-\alpha_n}^{m_n} \tau(x,\,\xi) - \alpha_{n,j} R_{-\alpha_n}^{m_n - 1} \tau(x,\,\xi). \end{split}$$

Repeating this programme up to a total of n times, we derive the formula

$$R_{(-\alpha)}^{(m)}(\xi_j\tau(x,\,\xi))=\xi_jR_{(-\alpha)}^{(m)}\tau(x,\,\xi)-\sum_{i=1}^n\alpha_{i,\,j}R_{(-\alpha)}^{(mi)}\tau(x,\,\xi)\,,$$

where $m^i = (m_1, \dots, m_i - 1, \dots, m_n)$. By denoting $a^i = (a_1, \dots, \frac{\partial a_i}{\partial x_j}, \dots, a_n)$, there exists

$$T_{\alpha_{i,j}R_{\cdot}^{(m^{i})}\tau}\left(a,f\right)\left(x\right)=(-i)T_{R_{\cdot-\alpha_{i}}^{(m^{i})}\tau}\left(a^{i},f\right)\left(x\right),$$

and then the induction hypothesis can be used to m^{i} .

Now we have to prove that $\tau \in S^{|m|-1}(R^K \times R^K) \Rightarrow \xi_j R^{(m)}_{(-\alpha)} \tau(x, \xi) \in M(m)$. By introducing the class $\overline{M}(m) = \{\sigma(x, \alpha, \xi) : \sigma(x, \alpha, \xi - [\alpha]) \in M(m)\}$ and applying the following lemma, it is reduced to proving $\xi_j R^{(m)}_{(-\alpha)} \tau(x, \xi + [\alpha]) \in \overline{M}(m)$.

Lemma 2. Under the induction hypothesis shown in(2.1), for $\tau(x, \xi) \in S^{|m|-1}(R^K \times R^K)$, we have

$$\alpha_{i,j}R_{(-\alpha)}^{(m)}\tau(x,\,\xi+[\alpha])\in\overline{M}(m).$$

Proof Without loss of generality we can suppose i=j=1. Since $m_i \ge 1$, we have

$$\begin{split} &\alpha_{1,1}R_{(-\alpha)}^{(m)}\tau(x,\,\xi+[\alpha])=\alpha_{1,1}R_{-\alpha_1}^{m_1-1}\cdots R_{-\alpha_n}^{m_n}\tau(x,\,\xi+[\alpha])\\ &-\frac{\alpha_{1,1}}{(m_1-1)!}\sum_{|\beta|=m_1-1}R_{-\alpha_2}^{m_2}\cdots R_{-\alpha_n}^{m_n}\tau^{(\beta)}(x,\,\xi+[\alpha])\,(-\alpha_1)^\beta=I_1-I_2, \end{split}$$

and then the induction hypothesis can be used to I_1 and to each term in I_2 .

Make a further partition of unity

$$\begin{split} \xi_{j}R_{(-\alpha)}^{(m)}\tau(x,\ \xi+[\alpha]) = & \left(\sum_{1}^{K}\varphi_{j}(\xi)\right) \left(\sum_{1}^{K}\varphi_{j}(\alpha_{1})\right) \cdots \left(\sum_{1}^{K}\varphi_{j}(\alpha_{n})\right) \xi_{j}R_{(-\alpha)}^{(m)}\tau(x,\ \xi+[\alpha]) \\ = & \sum_{1}\varphi_{j_{0}}(\xi)\varphi_{j_{1}}(\alpha_{1}) \cdots \varphi_{j_{n}}(\alpha_{n})\xi_{j}R_{(-\alpha)}^{(m)}\tau(x,\ \xi+[\alpha]). \end{split}$$

By symmetry we can restict ourtelf to the case $j_0 = \cdots = j_n = 1$ and prove $\pi(x, \alpha, \xi) = \varphi_1(\xi)\varphi_1(\alpha_1)\cdots\varphi_1(\alpha_n)\xi_j R_{(-\alpha)}^{(m)}\tau(x, \xi + [\alpha]) \in \overline{M}(m)$,

where $\tau \in S^{|m|-1}(R^K \times R^K)$ and $|\xi| \leq n+1 \Rightarrow \tau(x, \xi) = 0$.

(2.5) We need the following formula.

Lemma 3. Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $m \geqslant 1$. Then for $F \in C^{m-1}(\mathbb{R}^K)$ and $\alpha, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^K$,

$$R_{-\alpha}^{m}F(\xi) = \sum_{0 \leq |k| < m} \frac{(-\alpha)^{k}}{k!} \sum_{r=i_{r+1}}^{K} R_{-\alpha_{r}}^{m-|k|} F^{(k)}(\xi - \bar{\alpha}(r+1)),$$

where $k = (k_1, \dots, k_{K-1}, 0), k_i \in \mathbb{Z}, i = 1, \dots, K-1,$

$$j_{k} = \begin{cases} 0, & k = \overline{0} = (0, \dots, 0) \\ \sup\{j: k_{j} > 0\}, & k \neq \overline{0}, \end{cases}$$

$$\overline{\alpha}_j = (0, \dots, \alpha_j, 0, \dots, 0), 1 \le j \le K, \overline{\alpha}(s) = \overline{\alpha}_k + \dots + \overline{\alpha}_s, 1 \le s \le K, \text{ and } \overline{\alpha}(K+1) = 0.$$

Proof We use the induction on m. For m=1, the formula is clearly correct. Now, suppose the formula is correct for m_1 : $1 \le m_1 \le m$. We have only to prove

$$-\sum_{|k|=m} \frac{F^{(k)}(\xi)}{k!} (-\alpha)^k = I_1 + I_2, \qquad (2.5.1)$$

where

$$\begin{split} I_1 &= -\sum_{0 \leqslant |l| < m} \sum_{r=j_l+1}^K \frac{1}{l! \left(m - |l|\right)!} F^{(l+(m-|l|)\delta_r)} \left(\xi - \bar{\alpha}(r+1)\right) \left(-\alpha\right)^{l+(m-|l|\delta_r)}, \\ I_2 &= \sum_{|k| = m} \frac{(-\alpha)^k}{k!} \left(F^{(k)} (\xi - \bar{\alpha}(j_k+1)) - F^{(k)} (\xi)\right), \end{split}$$

and $\delta_r = (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$, 1 occupying the rth place.

To prove (2.5.1) we take a fixed \bar{k} : $|\bar{k}| = m$ and examine that in the both sides of the equation the terms related to $F^{(\bar{k})}$ are equal. There are two cases:

(i). $(\bar{k})_K = 0$. Then in I_2 the terms related to $F^{(\bar{k})}$ exist and are

$$\frac{(-\alpha)^{\overline{k}}}{\overline{k}!}(F^{(k)}(\xi-\overline{\alpha}(j_{\overline{k}}+1))-F^{(\overline{k})}(\xi)). \tag{2.5.2}$$

To see I_1 we decompose \overline{k} in $\overline{k} = (\overline{k} - (\overline{k})_{j_{\overline{k}}}) + (\overline{k})_{j_{\overline{k}}} = \overline{l} + (m - |\overline{l}|)$ $\delta_{j_{\overline{k}}}$ and the term is

$$\frac{(-1)(-\alpha)^{\bar{k}}}{\bar{l}!(m-|\bar{l}|)!}F^{(\bar{k})}(\xi-\bar{\alpha}(j_{\bar{k}}+1)). \tag{2.5.3}$$

Since $\overline{k}! = \overline{l}! (m - |\overline{l}|)!$, by adding (2.5.2) to (2.5.3) we see the term of $F^{(\overline{k})}$ in the right hand of (2.5.1) is $-\frac{(-\alpha)^{\overline{k}}}{\overline{k}!} F^{(\overline{k})}(\xi)$, which equals the corresponding term in

the left hand of (2.5.1).

(ii). $(\bar{k})_K > 0$. Then there is no corresponding term in I_2 . To see I_1 we decompose $\bar{k} = \bar{l} + (m - |\bar{l}|) \delta_K$ and the terms of $F^{(\bar{k})}$ in the both sides equal $-\frac{(-\alpha)^{\bar{k}}}{\bar{k}!} F^{(\bar{k})}(\xi)$.

The formula permits us to write $R^{(m)}_{(-\alpha)}F(\xi)$ as

$$R_{(-\alpha)}^{(m)}F(\xi) = \sum_{\substack{0 \leqslant |k_{i}| < m_{i} \\ 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n}} \frac{(-\alpha_{1})^{k_{1}} \cdots (-\alpha_{n})^{k_{n}}}{k_{1}! \cdots k_{n}!} \sum_{\substack{j_{k_{1}}+1 \leqslant r_{i} \leqslant k \\ 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n}} R_{-\alpha_{1}, r_{1}}^{m_{1}-|k_{1}|} \circ \cdots \circ R_{-\alpha_{m}, r_{n}}^{m_{n}-|k_{n}|} F^{(k_{1}+\cdots+k_{n})}(\xi - \overline{\alpha}_{1}(r_{1}+1) - \cdots - \overline{\alpha}_{n}(r_{n}+1)).$$

$$(2.5.4)$$

With the notation

$$k = (k_1, \dots, k_n) \in (Z^K)^n, \ k! = k_1! \dots k_n!, \ \alpha^k = \alpha_1^{k_1} \dots \alpha_n^{k_n}, \ \alpha_j \in R^K,$$

$$\overline{0} \leqslant k < m \Leftrightarrow \forall j, \ 0 \leqslant k_j < m_j, \ j_k = (j_{k_1}, \dots, j_{n_n}), \ \overline{r} = (r_1, \dots, r_n),$$

$$\overline{K} = (K, \dots, K), \ j_k + 1 \leqslant \overline{r} \leqslant \overline{K} \Leftrightarrow \forall j, \ j_{k_j} + 1 \leqslant r_j \leqslant K,$$

$$(|k|) = (|k|_1, \dots, |k_n|), [k] = k_1 + \dots + k_n, \ \overline{\alpha_r} = (\overline{\alpha_1}, \dots, \overline{\alpha_n}, r_n) \in (R^k)^n,$$

$$\overline{\alpha_i}(l) = (0, \dots, 0, \alpha_{i,l}, \dots, \alpha_{l,K}) = \sum_{j=l}^K \overline{\alpha_i}, j \in R^K, \ 0 \leqslant l \leqslant K,$$

$$\overline{\alpha}(l) = \sum_{i=1}^n \overline{\alpha_i}(l_i) \in R^K, \ \overline{0} \leqslant l \leqslant \overline{K},$$

we can rewrite (2.5.4) as

$$R_{(-\alpha)}^{(m)}F(\xi) = \sum_{0 \le k < m} \sum_{j_k+1 \le \overline{r} \le \overline{k}} R_{(-\overline{\alpha}\overline{r})}^{(m)-(|k|)} \mathring{F}^{([k])} \left(\xi - \overline{\alpha}(\overline{r}+1)\right). \tag{2.5.5}$$

Using (2.5.5) to $F(\xi) = \tau(x, \xi + [\alpha])$, there follows

$$\pi(x, \alpha, \xi) = \sum_{0 \leq k \leq m} \frac{(-\alpha)^k}{k!} \sum_{\substack{i_1+1 \leq \bar{r} \leq \bar{K}}} \xi_i \tau_{k,\bar{r}}(x, \alpha, \xi) \varphi_1(\xi) \varphi_1(\alpha_1) \cdots \varphi_1(\alpha_n),$$

where

$$au_{k,\bar{r}}(x, \alpha, \xi) = R_{(-\bar{\alpha}\bar{r})}^{(m)-(|k|)} r^{([k])} (x, \xi + [\alpha] - \bar{\alpha}(\bar{r}+1)).$$
 (2.5.6)

(2.6). Let $\lambda \in C_0^{\infty}(R^1)$ and $\varepsilon \in [-10kn, 10kn] \Rightarrow \lambda(\varepsilon) = 1$. Denote

$$Q(x, \alpha, \xi) = \left(1 - \lambda \left(\frac{\xi_1}{\alpha_{1,1}}\right)\right) \cdots \left(1 - \lambda \left(\frac{\xi_1}{\alpha_{n,1}}\right)\right) \pi(x, \alpha, \xi),$$

We have to prove

$$\Omega(x, \alpha, \xi) \in \overline{M}(m), \prod_{i \in J} \lambda\left(\frac{\xi_1}{\alpha_{i,1}}\right) \pi(x, \alpha, \xi) \in \overline{M}(m),$$

where $\emptyset \neq J \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$.

For the first assertion we need to prove that

$$\begin{split} \varOmega_{k,\bar{\tau}}(x,\ \alpha,\ \xi) &= (-\alpha)^k \Big(1 - \lambda \Big(\frac{\xi_1}{\alpha_{1,1}}\Big)\Big) \cdots \Big(1 - \lambda \Big(\frac{\xi_1}{\alpha_{n,1}}\Big)\Big) \xi_j \tau_{k,\bar{\tau}}(x,\ \alpha,\ \xi) \varphi_1(\xi) \varphi_1(\alpha_1) \\ & \cdots \varphi_1(\alpha_n) \in \overline{M}(m). \end{split}$$

(2.7). Suppose $\sigma \in C^{\infty}(R^K \times (R^K)^n)$, and for $\forall \beta \in R^K$, $\forall \alpha \in (R^K)^n$, $\exists C_{\alpha,\beta}$ such that for $\forall (x, \xi) \in R^K \times (R^K)^n$, $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$,

$$\big|D_{\mathbf{X}}^{\beta}\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha}\sigma(x,\,\xi)\,\big|\!\leqslant\!\!C_{a,\,\beta}(1\!+\!|\xi|)l^{-|\alpha|},\,l\!\in\!R^{1}.$$

Then we call σ a symbol of order l and type (1, n), denoted by $\sigma \in S^l(\mathbb{R}^K \times (\mathbb{R}^K)^n)$.

The following theorem was proved in [5].

Theorem A. If $\sigma \in S^0(\mathbb{R}^K \times (\mathbb{R}^K)^n)$ and $\forall j, p_j \in (1, \infty)$,

$$q^{-1} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i^{-1} \in (0, 1),$$

then for $f_i \in \mathcal{G}(R^K)$ and

$$T(f_1, \dots, f_n)(x) = \int_{(R^{\mathbb{Z}})^n} e^{ix^{\xi_1}} \sigma(x, \xi) \hat{f}_1(\xi_1) \dots \hat{f}_n(\xi_n) d\xi,$$

it holds that

$$||T(f_1, \dots, f_n)||_q \leqslant C \prod_{i=1}^n ||f_i||_{p_i},$$

where $C = C(K, n, C_{\alpha,\beta}, p_j)$ is a constant.

(2.8). To deal with $\Omega_{k,\bar{\tau}} \in \overline{M}(m)$, we see that for a fixed $x \in R^{R}$, $\sup \Omega_{k,\bar{\tau}} \subset \Delta = \{(\alpha, \, \xi) \colon |\xi_1| > 10Kn \cdot |\alpha_{j,1}|, \\ |\alpha_{j,1}| \geqslant \frac{1}{2} |\alpha_{j,l}|, \ |\xi_1| \geqslant \frac{1}{2} |\xi_l|, \ 1 \leqslant l \leqslant K, \ 1 \leqslant j \leqslant n \}.$

Let

$$\widetilde{\Delta} = \left\{ (\alpha, \, \xi) : |\xi_1| > \frac{1}{3n} |\xi|, \, |\xi_1| > 4n |\alpha_j|, \, 1 \le j \le n \right\}.$$

It is easy to see that $\Delta \setminus \{0\} \subset \widetilde{\Delta}$. Choose $\theta_1(\alpha, \xi) \in C^{\infty}((R^K)^{n+1} \setminus \{0\})$, homogeneous of degace 0, $\theta_1 = 1$ on $\Delta \setminus \{0\}$ and supp $\theta_1 \subset \widetilde{\Delta} \cup \{0\}$. To smooth θ_1 we choose again a $\theta_2(\alpha, \xi) \in C^{\infty}((R^K)^{n+1})$ such that $\theta_2 = 0$ if $|\alpha, \xi| < \frac{1}{2}$ and $\theta_2 = 1$ if $|\alpha, \xi| > 1$. Make $\theta = \theta_1 \cdot \theta_2$, It follows that $\theta = 1$ on the support of $\Omega_{k,\tau}$. Therefore

$$\begin{split} &\Omega_{k,\overline{\tau}}(x, \alpha, \xi) = \theta^{n+1}\Omega_{k,\overline{\tau}}(x, \alpha, \xi) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left(\theta(\alpha, \xi) \left(1 - \lambda \left(\frac{\xi_{1}}{\alpha_{i,1}}\right)\right)\right) \theta(\alpha, \xi) \xi_{j}(-\alpha)^{k} \cdot \tau_{k,\overline{\tau}}(x, \alpha, \xi)) \cdot (\varphi_{1}(\xi) \varphi_{1}(\alpha_{1}) \\ &\cdots \varphi_{1}(\alpha_{n})). \end{split}$$

We make the following observation:

If m_i , $1 \le i \le n$, and m are L^p Fourier multipliers, $1 , and <math>\sigma(x, \alpha, \xi) \in \overline{M}(m)$, then $m(\xi)m_1(\alpha_1)\cdots m_n(\alpha_n)\sigma(x, \alpha, \xi) \in \overline{M}(m)$.

Since φ_1 is an L^p Fourier multiplier ([6], Ch. VI, 3.2), we need only to prove that

(i).
$$\forall i, \theta(\alpha, \xi) \left(1 - \lambda \left(\frac{\xi_1}{\alpha_{i,1}}\right)\right)$$
 is a symbol of order 0 and type $(1, n+1)$;

(ii).
$$\frac{\theta(\alpha, \xi)\xi_{j}\tau_{k, \overline{\tau}}(x, \alpha, \xi)}{\prod\limits_{i=1}^{n}(-\alpha_{i, r_{i}})^{m_{i}-|k_{i}|}} \text{ is a symbol of order 0 and type (1, } n+1).}$$

To see (i) we make the following observation:

If $\sigma(\alpha, \xi) \in C^{\infty}((R^K)^{n+1})$ and it is homogeneous of degree O outside a neighborhood of the origin, then $\sigma(\alpha, \xi) \in S^0(R^K \times (R^K)^{n+1})$.

To see(ii), first, we have the following equation

$$R_{(-\overline{\alpha_{r}})}^{(m)-(|k|)}F(\xi) = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n} (-\alpha_{j,r_{i}})^{m_{i}-|k_{i}|}}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} (m_{i}-|k_{i}|-1)!} \int_{\substack{0 \le t_{i} \le 1 \\ 1 \le i \le n}} \prod_{i=1}^{n} t_{i}^{m_{i}-|k_{i}|-1}.$$

$$\cdot F^{(2(m_{i}-|k_{i}|)\delta_{r_{i}})} \left(\xi - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \overline{\alpha_{i,r_{i}}} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} t_{i}\overline{\alpha_{i,r_{i}}}\right) dt_{1} \cdots dt_{n}, \tag{2.8.1}$$

which can be proved from (2.3.1). Let $F(\xi) = r_{k,\bar{r}}(x, \alpha, \xi)$ in (2.8.1). We need to prove that

$$\theta(\alpha, \xi) \xi_{j} \tau^{([k]+\sum(m_{i}-|k_{i}|)\delta_{r_{i}})} \left(x, \xi+[\alpha]-\overline{\alpha}(\overline{r}+1)-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \overline{\alpha}_{i,r_{i}}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_{i}\overline{\alpha}_{i,r_{i}}\right)$$

$$\in S^{0}(R^{K}\times(R^{K})^{n+1}), \qquad (2.8.2)$$

and the corresponding constants $C_{\alpha,\beta}$ are independent of $t \in [0, 1]^n$.

To see this we have, firstly

$$\tau \in S^{\lfloor m \rfloor - 1}(R^K \times R^K) \Rightarrow \tau^{(\lceil k \rceil + 2(m_i - \lfloor k_i \rfloor) \delta_{r_i})} \in S^{-1}(R^K \times R^K).$$

Since

$$\left|\overline{\alpha}(\overline{r}+1)-[\alpha]+\sum_{i=1}^n\overline{\alpha}_{i,r_i}-\sum_{i=1}^nt_i\overline{\alpha}_{i,r_i}\right|\leqslant 3(\left|\alpha_1\right|+\cdots+\left|\alpha_n\right|),$$

in the support of θ there exists

$$\left|\xi+\left[\alpha\right]-\overline{\alpha}(\overline{r}+1)-\sum_{i=1}^{n}\overline{\alpha}_{i,r_{i}}+\sum_{i=1}^{n}t_{i}\overline{\alpha}_{i,r_{i}}\right|\geqslant\left|\xi\right|-3(\left|\alpha_{1}\right|+\cdots+\left|\alpha_{n}\right|)$$

$$\geqslant\frac{1}{24m}\left|\left(\alpha,\xi\right)\right|.$$

Now it is easy to see that (2.8.2) holds.

(2.9) To deal with

$$\left(\prod_{i\in J}\lambda\left(\frac{\xi_1}{\alpha_{i,1}}\right)\right)\pi(x, \alpha, \xi)\in \overline{M}(m),$$

keeping in mind that $\frac{\xi_i}{|\xi_1|} \varphi_1(\xi)$, $\varphi_1(\alpha_i)$ are L^p . Fourier multipliers, from the observation made in (2.8) we need to prove that

$$|\xi_1| \cdot \Big(\prod_{i \in J} \lambda \Big(\frac{\xi_1}{\alpha_{i,1}}\Big)\Big) R_{(-\alpha)}^{(m)} \tau(x, \xi + [\alpha]) \in \overline{M}(m).$$

Lemma 4. If $\lambda \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^1)$ and λ is even, then for $\forall s > 0$, there is $\eta \in \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{R}^1)$ such that for $\forall t \neq 0$,

$$|t|^{\varepsilon}\lambda(t)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}|t|^{iu}\eta(u)du.$$

Proof In fact, denoting $\varphi(x) = e^{\varepsilon x} \lambda(e^x)$ we put $\eta = \varphi^{r}$. Uging the lemma

$$\begin{split} |\xi_{1}| \Big(\prod_{i \in J} \lambda \Big(\frac{\xi_{1}}{\alpha_{i,1}} \Big) \Big) R_{(-\alpha)}^{(n)} \tau(x, \xi + [\alpha]) \\ = \Big(\prod_{i \in J} |\alpha_{i,1}|^{\frac{1}{|J|}} \Big) R_{(-\alpha)}^{(n)} \tau(x, \xi + [\alpha]) \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^{J}} \prod_{i \in J} \Big(\frac{|\xi_{1}|}{|\alpha_{i,1}|} \Big)^{iu_{i}} \eta(u_{i}) du_{J}, \end{split}$$

since $|\xi_1|^{iu_i}$ and $|\alpha_{i,1}|^{-iu_i}$ are L^p Fourier multipliers and $\eta \in \mathcal{G}(R)$, it is sufficient to show that

$$(\prod_{i\in I}|lpha_{i,1}|^{rac{1}{|I|}})R^{(m)}_{(-lpha)} au(x,\;\xi+[lpha])\in \overline{M}(m).$$

The last assertion comes from Lemma 2 and the following lemma.

Lemma 5. Under the induction hypothesis shown in (2.1), for

$$au \in S^{|m|-1}(R^K \times R^K), \ 0 \leqslant t_i \leqslant 1, \ \sum_{i=1}^n t_i = 1,$$

and all the choices of $\{j_1, \dots, j_n\} \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$, we have

$$|\alpha_{1,j_1}|^{t_1}\cdots|\alpha_{n,j_n}|^{t_n}\cdot R_{(-\alpha)}^{(m)}\tau(x, \xi+[\alpha])\in \overline{M}(m).$$

The proof is similar to the one in [5], Proposition 3 except that the definition of $\omega_z(x, \alpha, \xi)$ is substituted here by

$$\omega_z(x, \alpha, \xi) = |\alpha_{1,j_1}|^{zs_1 + (1-z)t_1} \cdots |\alpha_{n,j_n}|^{zs_n + (1-z)t_n} \cdot R_{(-\alpha)}^{(m)} \tau(x, \xi + \lfloor \alpha \rfloor).$$

§ 3. The Boundedness of Higher Commutators The Second case $\forall i, p_i = \infty$

(3.1). We are going to show that in this case

$$T_{R_{(-\alpha)}^{(m)}\omega}(\alpha,f)=T(f)$$

is a Calderón-Zygmund operator ([4], Ch.IV, Definition 1), and henc it is bounded on L^p , $1 , and maps <math>L^1$ into weak L^1 ([4], Ch. IV).

For $q \in [1, \infty)$, $f \in L^q_{loc}(R^K)$ and a cube Q, we define

$$M_q(f;Q) = \left(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q |f(x)|^q dx\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

The following proposition was established ([5]).

Propositioe A. Suppose K(x, y) defined on $\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^K \times \mathbb{R}^K : x \neq y\}$ satisfies the following conditions:

(i)
$$|K(x, y)| \leq C|x-y|^{-K}$$
, (3.1.1)

(ii)
$$|\nabla_x K(x, y)| \leq C|x-y|^{-K-1},$$
 (3.1.2)

$$|\nabla_y K(x, y)| \leq C|x-y|^{-K-1},$$
 (3.1.3)

(iii) $\forall f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^K)$,

$$T(f)(x) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{|y-x| > \varepsilon} K(x, y) f(y) dy \quad \text{exists a. e..}$$
 (3.1.4)

Then T can be extended to a bounded operator on $L^2(R^K)$ if and only if there is a pair of real numbers: q, r, $1 < q \le r < \infty$, such that for every cube Q and $f \in C_0^{\infty}(R^K)$, supp $f \subset Q$, we have

$$M_q(T(f); Q) \leqslant CM_r(f; Q). \tag{3.1.5}$$

Furthermore

$$||T||_{2,2} \leqslant C(K, q, r) \cdot C,$$
 (3.1.6)

where $||T||_{2,2}$ denotes the norm of $T: L^3 \rightarrow L^2$, C is the largest constant in (3.1.1)—(3.1.3)and (3.1.5).

and

$$K_{v}(x, y) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} P_{m_{i}}(a_{i}, x, y) L_{v}(x, x-y).$$

It holds that

$$T(f)(x) = \lim_{v \to \infty} T_v(f)(x) = \lim_{v \to \infty} \int K_v(x, y) f(y) dy.$$

It is sufficient to examine (i)—(iii) and (3.1.5) for K_v and T_v with some constants independent of v. For the assertion that T is a Calderón-Zygmund operator, refer to [4], the proofs of Theorem 19 and Theorem 18.

(3.3). Suppose $\forall i$, $\|\nabla^{m_i}a_i\|_{\infty}=1$. By using (2.3.1), Leibnitz formula, the following formulas

$$\nabla_{x} P_{m_{i}}(a_{i}, x, y) = P_{m_{i}-1}(\nabla_{x} a_{i}, x, y), \qquad (3.3.1)$$

$$\nabla_{y} P_{m_{i}}(a_{i}, x, y) = \frac{-1}{(m_{i}-1)!} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} (x_{j}-y_{j}) D_{j} \right)^{m_{i}-1} \nabla a_{i}(y)$$
 (3.3.2)

and by a standard argument on the kernel corresponding to a symbol of order |m| ([4], Ch. IV), we get (3.1.1)—(3.1.3) for K_v with some constants independent of v.

Now we are going to show (3.1.5). Take a cube Q and denote by \overline{Q} the double of Q. Take $\chi \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^K)$, which equals 1 on Q, $supp \chi \subset \overline{Q}$ and

$$\|\nabla^l \chi\|_{\infty} \leqslant C_l(\operatorname{diam}(Q))^{-l}, \ l \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{3.3.3}$$

For the existence of such a χ , refer to [6], Ch. VI, 1.3. Now let

$$A_j(x) = P_{m_j}(a_j, x, x_0)\chi(x),$$

where x_0 is the center of Q. It is easy to see that for $x, y \in Q$, we have

$$P_{m_j}(A_j, x, y) = P_{m_j}(a_j, x, y).$$

Therefore, for $x \in Q$ and $f \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^K)$, supp $f \subset Q$, it follows that

$$T_{v}(f)(x) = T_{v,Q}(f)(x),$$

where

$$T_{v,Q}(f)(x) \triangleq \int_{\mathbb{R}^K} \left(\prod_{i=1}^n P_{m_i}(A_i, x, y) \right) L_v(x, x-y) f(y) dy.$$

According to the result obtained in § 2, for a choice of p, q, r such that p, q, $r \in (1, \infty)$ and $q^{-1} = np^{-1} + r^{-1}$, we have

$$\left(\int_{Q} |T_{v}(f)|^{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} = \left(\int_{Q} |T_{v,Q}(f)|^{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leqslant C \|f\|_{r} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \|\nabla^{m_{i}} A_{i}\|_{p},$$

where the constant C is independent of v.

By using Leibnitz formula, (3.3.3), (3.3.1), (2.3.1) and keeping in mind that supp $A_i \subset \overline{Q}$, $x \in \overline{Q} \Rightarrow |x-x_0| \leq \sqrt{K} \cdot \operatorname{diam}(Q)$, we have

 $\|\nabla^{m_i}A_i\|_{\infty} \leqslant C$

and therefore

 $\|\nabla^{m_i}A_i\|_{p} \leqslant C|Q|^{\frac{1}{q}}.$

So we finally obtain

i, e., (3.1.5), and the constant C is independent of v.

References

- [1] Baishansky, B. M. and Coifman, R., On Singular Integrals, Proc, Sympos. Pure Math., vol. X, Amer. Math. Soc., Provindence, R., I., (1967), 1—17.
- [2] Calderón, A. P., Commutators of Singular Integrals, Proc. Nat. Acar. Sci., U. S. A., 53 (1963), 1092-1099.
- [3] Cohen, J., Multilinear Singular Integrals, Studia Math., T. LXVIII, (1980), 261-280.

in an an reign in a second and the filter of a second of the filter of t

at tento to fing to the state of the state o

and work of the control of the work of a control of

16 (10 gt (10) gt (4);

And the second of the second o

LAND CHARLEST HOUSE

apakta jako patra Marana a gelak berbara

- [4] Coifman, R. et Meyer, Y., Au delà des opérateurs Pseudo-Differentiels, Astérisque no 57.
- [5] Coifman, R. et Meyer, Y., Commutateurs d' Integrables Singulières, Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grennoble, 28: 3(1978), 177—202.

To Pignage Ball Contract with the recognition of the recognition and the care of the fact that the

har propagations of a sprintful colling

was a ser topic of the industrial beautiful to the second of the second

[6] Stein, E. M. Singular Integrals And Diffrentiability Properties of Functions, Princeton 1970.