

OSCILLATORY AND ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIORS OF FIRST ORDER FUNCTIONAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

RUAN JIONG (阮炯)*

Abstract

In this paper the author discusses the following first order functional differential equations:

$$x'(t) + \int_a^b p(t, \xi) x[g(t, \xi)] d\sigma(\xi) = 0, \quad (1)$$

$$x'(t) + \int_a^b f(t, \xi, x[g(t, \xi)]) d\sigma(\xi) = 0. \quad (2)$$

Some sufficient conditions of oscillation and nonoscillation are obtained, and two asymptotic properties and their criteria are given. These criteria are better than those in [1, 2], and can be used to the following equations:

$$x'(t) + \sum_{i=1}^n p_i(t) x[g_i(t)] = 0, \quad (3)$$

$$x'(t) + \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(t, x[g_i(t)]) = 0. \quad (4)$$

§ 1. Introduction

In this paper we consider oscillatory and asymptotic behaviors for the following first order functional differential equations:

$$x'(t) + \int_a^b p(t, \xi) x[g(t, \xi)] d\sigma(\xi) = 0 \quad (b > a), \quad (1)$$

$$x'(t) + \int_a^b f(t, \xi, x[g(t, \xi)]) d\sigma(\xi) = 0 \quad (b > a). \quad (2)$$

We first make the following assumptions:

(R₁) $g(t, \xi) \leq t$, $\xi \in [a, b]$, $\mathbf{R}^* = [t_0, +\infty)$, $g: \mathbf{R}^* \times [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^+$ is continuous, $g(t, \xi)$ is a nondecreasing function with respect to t and ξ , respectively.

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \min_{\xi \in [a, b]} \{g(t, \xi)\} = +\infty.$$

Moreover, there exists a continuous function $\varphi: \mathbf{R}^* \times [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^+$ such that

$$\varphi(\varphi(t, \xi)\xi) = g(t, \xi),$$

$\varphi(t, \xi)$ is a nondecreasing function with respect to t and ξ respectively.

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \min_{\xi \in [a, b]} \{\varphi(t, \xi)\} = +\infty, \quad t \geq \varphi(t, \xi) \geq g(t, \xi);$$

(R₂) $p: \mathbf{R}^* \times [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^+$ is continuous;

(R₃) $\sigma: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is a nondecreasing function;

(R₄) The nonlinear function $f(t, \xi, v)$ in (2) satisfies "the bounded sublinear" condition: if $|v| \leq c_0$ ($c_0 > 0$), then

$$|f(t, \xi, v)| \geq p(t, \xi) |v|.$$

Furthermore, suppose

$$f(t, \xi, 0) = 0; \quad f(t, \xi, v)v > 0 \quad (v \neq 0);$$

(R₅) The integral in (1) or (2) is a Stieltjes integral.

Recently studies on oscillatory and asymptotic behaviors for functional differential equations as (1) or (2) are noticed, for example, David L. Lovelady^[3] considered oscillatory and asymptotic behaviors for second order functional differential equations which are analogous to (1) or (2).

In §2 we first consider the following first order functional differential inequalities:

$$x'(t) + \int_a^b p(t, \xi) x[g(t, \xi)] d\sigma(\xi) \leq 0, \quad (3)$$

$$x'(t) + \int_a^b p(t, \xi) x[g(t, \xi)] d\sigma(\xi) \geq 0 \quad (4)$$

and establish some sufficient conditions for (3), (4) having no ultimate positive solution (ultimate negative solution). Since the integral in (1) or (2) is a Stieltjes integral, we easily know that (1) or (2) contains the following kinds of equations:

$$x'(t) + \sum_{i=1}^n p_i(t) x[g_i(t)] = 0, \quad (5)$$

$$x'(t) + \sum_{i=1}^n p_i(t) f_i(x[g_i(t)]) = 0, \quad (6)$$

where $p_i(t)$ is nonnegative and not identically zero in any subinterval $[t_1, \infty)$ of $[t_0, \infty)$. There exists $\varphi_i(t)$ which satisfies

$$\varphi_i(\varphi_i(t)) = g_i(t), \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \varphi_i(t) = +\infty, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

So results of this paper generalize and modify the corresponding results in [1].

In §3 we establish some sufficient conditions for (1) having nonoscillatory solutions and we point out that asymptotic behaviors of nonoscillatory solutions to (1) or (2) belong only to one of types A_0 and A_1 . Also we give some sufficient conditions for (1) or (2) having a solution of the type A_0 or A_1 respectively.

In §4 we give some examples.

If assumptions (R₁) and (R₂) are respectively modified by the following:

(R'₁) $g(t, \xi) \geq t$ ($t \geq t_1, \xi \in [a, b]$). There exists a continuous function $\psi(t, \xi)$

from $\mathbf{R}^* \times [a, b]$ into \mathbf{R}^* , which satisfies $\psi(\psi(t), \xi) = g(t, \xi)$, $t \leq \psi(t, \xi) \leq g(t, \xi)$ ($t \geq t_0$, $\xi \in [a, b]$),

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \min_{\xi \in [a, b]} \{g(t, \xi)\} = +\infty;$$

(R'_2) $\mathbf{R}^{**} = (-\infty, t_0]$, $p: \mathbf{R}^* \times [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{**}$; i. e. (1) and (2) are functional differential equations with advanced argument. We can use the methods of this paper to obtain analogous results which will be considered in a forthcoming paper.

§ 2. Oscillation Criteria

Set $P(s) = \int_a^b p(s, \xi) d\sigma(\xi)$.

$$(H_1) \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{g(t, b)}^t P(s) ds > \frac{1}{e};$$

$$(H_2) \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{g(t, b)}^t P(s) ds > 0.$$

Theorem 1. Suppose that (H_1) , (H_2) hold.

Then there is not any ultimate positive solution to (3).

Proof If conditions (H_1) , (H_2) are satisfied and there exists an ultimate positive solution to (3), $x(t) > 0$ ($t \geq t_1$), then $x'(t) \leq 0$ ($t \geq t_1$) and there exists $t_2 \geq t_1$ such that $x[g(t, \xi)] \geq x(t)$ ($t \geq t_2$, $\xi \in [a, b]$). We have

$$\ln x(t) - \ln x[g(t, b)] + \int_{g(t, b)}^t \left[\int_a^b p(s, \xi) \frac{x[g(s, \xi)]}{x(s)} d\sigma(\xi) \right] ds \leq 0.$$

Set $W(t) = \frac{x[g(t, a)]}{x(t)}$. It is easy to see that $W(t) \geq 1$,

$$\ln W(t) \geq \int_{g(t, b)}^t W(s) \left[\int_a^b p(s, \xi) d\sigma(\xi) \right] ds = \int_{g(t, b)}^t W(s) P(s) ds$$

Set $l = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} W(t)$. The existence of l is assured by $W(t) \geq 1$.

(1) In the case of $l < +\infty$. There exists $t_n \rightarrow +\infty$ such that $W(t_n) \rightarrow l$ ($n \rightarrow +\infty$).

It is easy to see that

$$\ln W(t_n) \geq W(\xi_n) \int_{g(t_n, b)}^{t_n} P(s) ds, \quad \xi_n \in [g(t_n, b), t_n], \quad n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

$$\ln l = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \ln W(t_n) \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \left[W(\xi_n) \int_{g(t_n, b)}^{t_n} P(s) ds \right]$$

$$\geq \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} W(\xi_n) \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{g(t_n, b)}^{t_n} P(s) ds,$$

$$\frac{\ln l}{l} \geq \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{g(t, b)}^t P(s) ds.$$

By $\max_{l \geq 1} \frac{\ln l}{l} = \frac{1}{e}$, we have

$$\frac{1}{e} \geq \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{g(t, b)}^t P(s) ds.$$

This is a contradiction.

(1) In the case of $l = +\infty$. From (3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) - x[\varphi(t, b)] + \int_{\varphi(t, b)}^t \int_a^b p(s, \xi) x[g(t, \xi)] d\sigma(\xi) ds &\leq 0, \\ x(t) - x[\varphi(t, b)] + x[g(t, b)] \int_{\varphi(t, b)}^t P(s) ds &\leq 0, \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{x[\varphi(t, b)]}{x(t)} &\geq 1 + \left[\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{x[g(t, b)]}{x(t)} \right] \left[\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\varphi(t, b)}^t P(s) ds \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

By (H_1) and $l = +\infty$, we obtain

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{x[\varphi(t, b)]}{x(t)} = +\infty.$$

From (7), we also can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{x(t)}{x[\varphi(t, b)]} - 1 + \left[\frac{x[g(t, b)]}{x[\varphi(t, b)]} \right] \left[\int_{\varphi(t, b)}^t P(s) ds \right] &\leq 0, \\ \left\{ \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{x[g(t, b)]}{x[\varphi(t, b)]} \right\} \cdot \left\{ \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\varphi(t, b)}^t P(s) ds \right\} &\leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Using (H_2) and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{x[g(t, b)]}{x[\varphi(t, b)]} = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{x[\varphi(\varphi(t, b), b)]}{x[\varphi(t, b)]} \geq \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{x[\varphi(\eta, b)]}{x(\eta)} = +\infty,$$

We can obtain

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\varphi(t, b)}^t P(s) ds > 0.$$

This is a contradiction. So there is not any ultimate positive solution to (3).

Theorem 1 is proved.

We can easily obtain the following results:

Theorem 2. Suppose (H_1) , (H_2) hold. Then there is not any ultimate negative solution to (4).

Theorem 3. Suppose (H_1) , (H_2) hold. Then all solutions to (1) are oscillatory.

For equation (5) we suppose

$$\begin{aligned} g_1(t) &\leq g_2(t) \leq \dots \leq g_n(t) \leq t, \\ \varphi_1(t) &\leq \varphi_2(t) \leq \dots \leq \varphi_n(t), \\ \varphi_i(\varphi_i(t)) &= g_i(t), \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} g_i(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \varphi_i(t) = \infty, i = 1, 2, \dots, n. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Set

$$(H'_1) \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{g_n(t)}^t p_i(s) ds > \frac{1}{e},$$

$$(H'_2) \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\varphi_n(t)}^t p_i(s) ds > 0.$$

Corollary 1. Suppose (H'_1) , (H'_2) hold. Then all solutions to (5) are oscillatory.

Theorem 4. Suppose that f in (2) is "bounded sublinear" and (H'_1) , (H'_2) hold.

Then all solutions to (2) are oscillatory.

For equation (6) we suppose (8) hold and

$$\begin{aligned} f_i(0) &= 0, \quad |f_i(x)| \geq \beta |x| > 0 \quad (|x| \leq c_0), \\ x f_i(x) &> 0 \quad (x \neq 0), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Corollary 2. Suppose (H'_1) , (H'_2) hold. Then all solutions to (6) are oscillatory.

§ 3. Types of Asymptotic Behaviors of Nonoscillatory Solutions and their Criteria

In what follows we remove the assumption that $g(t, \xi)$ is a nondecreasing function with respect to ξ .

Theorem 5. A sufficient condition for (1) having a nonoscillatory solution is that $\exists T^* \geq t_1$ such that

$$\int_{g(t, \xi)}^t P(s) ds \leq \frac{1}{e} \text{ for } \xi \in [\alpha, \beta], \quad t \geq T^*. \quad (10)$$

Proof 1. We establish the integral equation

$$\lambda(t) = - \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} p(t, \xi) \exp \left(- \int_{g(t, \xi)}^t \lambda(s) ds \right) d\sigma(\xi). \quad (11)$$

We shall prove that there exists a solution to (11). From (1) we have

$$[\ln |x(t)|]' + \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} p(t, \xi) \frac{x[g(t, \xi)]}{x(t)} d\sigma(\xi) = 0 \quad (t \geq t_2). \quad (12)$$

It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{t_2}^t \lambda(s) ds &= \ln |x(t)|, \\ x(t) &= \pm \exp \left[\int_{t_2}^t \lambda(s) ds \right]. \end{aligned}$$

2. We make a sequence:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_0(t) &= -eP(t), \\ \lambda_1(t) &= - \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} p(t, \xi) \cdot \exp \left(\int_{g(t, \xi)}^t -\lambda_0(s) ds \right) d\sigma(\xi), \\ &\dots, \\ \lambda_n(t) &= - \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} p(t, \xi) \exp \left(\int_{g(t, \xi)}^t -\lambda_{n-1}(s) ds \right) d\sigma(\xi). \end{aligned}$$

Using the induction, we can prove that $\lambda_n(t)$ is a nondecreasing sequence and $-eP(t) \leq \lambda_n(t) \leq 0$. By (10) we have

$$\lambda_1(t) \geq -e \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} p(t, \xi) d\sigma(\xi) = \lambda_0(t).$$

Suppose $\lambda_{n-1}(t) \geq \lambda_{n-2}(t) \geq \dots \geq \lambda_1(t) \geq \lambda_0(t)$. Then

$$\lambda_n(t) \geq - \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} p(t, \xi) \exp \left(- \int_{g(t, \xi)}^t \lambda_{n-2}(s) ds \right) d\sigma(\xi) = \lambda_{n-1}(t).$$

3. Set

$$I(\lambda_n) = \int_{g(t, \xi)}^t \lambda_n(s) ds.$$

Using Fatou Lemma, we see that there exists $\lambda(t)$ such that $\lambda_n(t) \rightarrow \lambda(t)$ ($n \rightarrow +\infty$) and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{g(t, \xi)}^t \lambda_n(s) ds = \int_{g(t, \xi)}^t \lambda(s) ds.$$

Hence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_a^b p(t, \xi) \exp \left(\int_{g(t, \xi)}^t -\lambda_n(s) ds \right) d\sigma(\xi) = \int_a^b p(t, \xi) \exp \left(\int_{g(t, \xi)}^t -\lambda(s) ds \right) d\sigma(\xi).$$

So $\lambda(t)$ is a solution to (11).

4. Set $x(t) = \exp \left(\int_{t_2}^t \lambda(s) ds \right)$. We have

$$\frac{x'(t)}{x(t)} = \lambda(t).$$

It is easy to see that $x(t)$ satisfies (1) and $x(t) > 0$. Then $x(t)$ is a nonoscillatory solution to (1). Theorem 5 is proved.

Remark. In that proof of Theorem 5 we set $\lambda_0(t) = -eP(t) < 0$, because $\lambda(t) \leq 0$ from (11), (12). It is easy to see that

$$\lambda_n(t) < 0, \quad -eP(t) \leq \lambda(t) \leq 0.$$

Any solution $x(t)$ to (1) must satisfy $x(t)x'(t) \leq 0$. So we take

$$x(t) = \exp \left(\int_{t_2}^t \lambda(s) ds \right).$$

Theorem 6. If f in (2) only satisfies $f(t, \xi, v)v > 0$ ($v \neq 0$), $f(t, \xi, 0) = 0$, then any nonoscillatory solution to (2) belongs to one of the following types:

$$A_0: x(t) \rightarrow 0 \quad (t \rightarrow +\infty), \quad (13)$$

$$A_1: x(t) \rightarrow c \neq 0 \quad (t \rightarrow +\infty). \quad (14)$$

Proof By $x(t)x'(t) < 0$ we know that $x(t)$ must have an asymptotic behavior.

It is easy to see that $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} x(t) = \infty$ is not true. Theorem 6 is proved.

Theorem 7. A sufficient condition for (1) having a nonoscillatory solution of type A_0 is that (10) and

$$\int^{+\infty} P(s) ds = +\infty \quad (15)$$

are true.

A sufficient condition for (1) having a nonoscillatory solution of type A_1 is that

$$0 < \int^{+\infty} P(s) ds < +\infty. \quad (16)$$

Proof 1. If (10) is satisfied, then there exists a nonoscillatory solution $x(t)$ to (1). By (11) we have

$$\lambda(t) = - \int_a^b p(t, \xi) \exp \left[- \int_{g(t, \xi)}^t \lambda(s) ds \right] d\sigma(\xi) \leq - \int_a^b p(t, \xi) d\sigma(\xi) = -P(t).$$

By (9) and $-eP(t) \leq \lambda(t) \leq 0$ we obtain

$$\int_{t_1}^{+\infty} \lambda(s) ds = -\infty.$$

So $x(t) = \exp\left(\int_{t_1}^t \lambda(s) ds\right) \rightarrow 0$ ($t \rightarrow +\infty$).

2. If (16) is satisfied, then there exists $T \geq t_2$ such that

$$\int_T^{+\infty} P(s) ds < \varepsilon \text{ for } \varepsilon \in \left(0, \frac{1}{2e}\right).$$

Hence

$$\left| \int_{g(t,0)}^t P(s) ds \right| \leq \left| \int_T^t P(s) ds \right| + \left| \int_T^{g(t,0)} P(s) ds \right| < 2\varepsilon < \frac{1}{e}.$$

It is easy to see that there exists a nonoscillatory solution $x(t)$ to (1) and $x(t)$ satisfies

$$\exp\left(-e \int^t P(s) ds\right) \leq x(t) = \exp\left(\int^t \lambda(s) ds\right) \leq \exp\left(-\int^t P(s) ds\right).$$

By (16) we have

$$+\infty > \exp\left(-e \int^{+\infty} P(s) ds\right) > 0, \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} x(t) = c \neq 0.$$

Theorem 7 is proved.

Corollary 3. For (5) we suppose

$$g_1(t) \leq g_2(t) \leq \dots \leq g_n(t) \leq t.$$

If there exists $T^* \geq t_2$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \int_{g_i(t)}^t P_i(s) ds \leq \frac{1}{e} \quad (t \geq T^*), \quad (17)$$

then there exists a nonoscillatory solution to (5).

Definition. $f(t, \xi, u)$ in (2) is said to be a sublinear function, if

$$\frac{f(t, \xi, u_1)}{u_1} \leq \frac{f(t, \xi, u_2)}{u_2} \quad (u_1 \geq u_2 > 0), \\ \frac{f(t, \xi, v_1)}{v_1} \leq \frac{f(t, \xi, v_2)}{v_2} \quad (v_1 \leq v_2 < 0). \quad (18)$$

Theorem 8. Set

$$Q(t) = \int_a^b f(t, \xi, 1) d\sigma(\xi).$$

Suppose f in (2) is a sublinear function and there exists T_1^* such that for $t \geq T_1^*$, $c \in [a, b]$,

$$\int_{g(t,0)}^t Q(s) ds \leq \frac{1}{e}. \quad (19)$$

Then there exists a nonoscillatory solution to (2).

Proof We consider the equation

$$\lambda(t) = - \int_a^b \frac{f(t, \xi, \exp[\int_t^{g(t, \xi)} \lambda(s) ds])}{\exp[\int_{t_2}^t \lambda(s) ds]} d\sigma(\xi). \quad (20)$$

We also establish a sequence:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_0(t) &= -eQ(t), \\ \lambda_1(t) &= - \int_a^b \frac{f(t, \xi, \exp[\int_{t_2}^{g(t, \xi)} \lambda_0(s) ds])}{\exp[\int_{t_2}^t \lambda_0(s) ds]} d\sigma(\xi), \\ &\dots\dots\dots \\ \lambda_n(t) &= - \int_a^b \frac{f(t, \xi, \exp[\int_{t_2}^{g(t, \xi)} \lambda_{n-1}(s) ds])}{\exp[\int_{t_2}^t \lambda_{n-1}(s) ds]} d\sigma(\xi). \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that $\lambda_n(t)$ is a nondecreasing sequence and there exists $\lambda(t)$ such that $\lambda_n(t) \rightarrow \lambda(t) (n \rightarrow +\infty)$. So there exists a positive solution to (20) Set.

$$x(t) = \exp\left[\int_{t_2}^t \lambda(s) ds\right] > 0.$$

Then it is a nonoscillatory solution to (2). Theorem 8 is proved.

Theorem 9 Suppose f in (2) is a sublinear function and (19) is true. Then a sufficient condition for (2) having a nonoscillatory solution of type A_0 is that

$$\int_{t_2}^{+\infty} Q(s) ds = +\infty. \quad (21)$$

A sufficient condition for (2) having a nonoscillatory solution of type A_1 is that

$$0 < \int_{t_2}^{+\infty} Q(s) ds < +\infty. \quad (22)$$

Corollary 4. Suppose f in (6) is a sublinear function and $g_1(t) \leq g_2(t) \leq \dots \leq g_n(t) \leq t$. Then a sufficient condition for (6) having a nonoscillatory solution is that

$$\int_{g_1(t)}^t Q^*(s) ds \leq \frac{1}{e}, \quad (23)$$

where

$$Q^*(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i(t) f_i(1).$$

Remark. If $n=1$ in (5) and (6), then these equations are contained in (1) and (2). It is easy to see that results of corollaries 1—4 are better than [2].

§ 4. Some Examples

Now we give some examples as applications of the results in § 2, § 3.

Example 1.

$$x'(t) + \int_{-2}^{-1} p x(t + \xi) d\xi = 0, \quad (24)$$

where $p > 0$, $g(t, \xi) = t + \xi$, we have

$$P(t) = \int_{-2}^{-1} p d\xi = p.$$

- (1) If $p > \frac{1}{e}$, then all solutions to (24) are oscillatory;
- (2) If $p \leq \frac{1}{2e}$, then there exists a nonoscillatory solution of type A_0 for (24).

Example 2.

$$x'(t) + \int_{-2}^{-1} \frac{\xi^2}{t^2} x(t + \xi) d\xi = 0, \quad (25)$$

where

$$p(t, \xi) = \frac{\xi^2}{t^2}, \quad P(t) = \frac{7}{3t^2}.$$

We have $0 < \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} P(s) ds < +\infty$, so we can see that there exists a nonoscillatory solution of type A_1 for (25).

Example 3.

$$x'(t) + \int_{-2}^{-1} p x^\gamma(t + \xi) d\xi = 0, \quad (26)$$

where $p > 0$, $0 < \gamma < 1$, γ is a ratio of two relatively prime odd numbers. It is easy to see that f is "bounded sublinear" and sublinear.

- (1) If $p > \frac{1}{e}$, then all solutions to (26) are oscillatory;
- (2) If $p \leq \frac{1}{2e}$, then there exists a nonoscillatory solution to (26) and it is of type A_0 .

Example 4.

$$x'(t) + \int_1^2 p x(k\xi t) d\xi = 0 \quad \left(p > 0, \frac{1}{2} \geq k > 0\right), \quad (27)$$

where

$$g(t, \xi) = k\xi t \leq t, \quad \varphi(t, \xi) = \sqrt{k\xi} t.$$

- (1) If $k \in \left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$, then all solutions to (27) are oscillatory.

Comment 1. The existence of function $\varphi(t, \xi)$ and the relation between $\varphi(t, \xi)$ and $g(t, \xi)$ in assumption (R_1) are seldom discussed. Here we give some examples to show it.

- (1) If $g(t, \xi) = t + \tau\xi$, $\xi \in [-1, 1]$, then $\varphi(t, \xi) = t + \frac{\tau\xi}{2}$;
- (2) If $g(t, \xi) = kt\xi$, $k \in (0, 1)$, $\xi \in [1, 2]$, then $\varphi(t, \xi) = \sqrt{k} \sqrt{\xi} t$;
- (3) If $g(t, \xi) = \sqrt[4]{t\xi}$, $\xi \in [1, 2]$, then $\varphi(t, \xi) = \sqrt{t\xi}$;
- (4) If $g(t, \xi) = k(t + \xi)$, $k \in (0, 1)$, $\xi \in (-2, -1)$, then

$$\varphi(t, \xi) = \sqrt{k} t + \frac{k}{\sqrt{k} + 1} \xi;$$

(5) If $g(t, \xi) = \ln t$, then we can not find $\varphi(t, \xi)$,

Comment 2. If the assumption that $g(t, \xi)$ in $[1, 2]$ is a nondecreasing function with ξ is not satisfied, then all results of this paper (except Theorem 1) still hold.

References

- [1] Ladas, G., Sharp Conditons for Oscillations Caused By Delays, *Appl. Anal.*, **9**: 2 (1979), 95—98.
- [2] Ladas, G. and Starroulakis, I. P., On Delay Differential Inequalities of First Order, *Funk. Ekv.*, **25**: 1 (1982).
- [3] Lovelady, D. L., Asymptotic Analysis of A Second Order Nonlinear Functional Differential Equation,, *Funk. Ekv.*, **18** (1975), 15—22.