

ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOR OF SOLUTIONS TO SECOND-ORDER NONLINEAR DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

LIANG ZHONGCHAO (梁中超)* CHEN SHAOZHU (陈绍著)*

Abstract

In this paper the authors study the oscillation and the asymptotic behavior of solutions to the second-order nonlinear differential equations

$$\ddot{x} \pm X(t, x, \dot{x}) = 0 \quad (X_{\pm})$$

and give necessary and sufficient conditions for Equation (X_{+}) to have a bounded nonoscillatory solution or to be oscillatory. There are four classes of solutions to Equation (X_{-}) with different asymptotic behavior. For each class of solution, the necessary or sufficient condition of the existence is obtained.

§ 1. Introduction

In this paper we study the oscillatory and asymptotic behavior of solutions to the second-order nonlinear differential equations

$$\ddot{x} \pm X(t, x, \dot{x}) = 0, \quad (X_{\pm})$$

where the function $X(t, x, y): [0, \infty) \times R^2 \rightarrow R$, $R = (-\infty, \infty)$, is continuous and satisfies the following condition:

(A) There exist continuous functions $a_i(t)$, $f_i(x)$ and $g_i(y)$, $i=1, 2$, such that

$$a_1(t)f_1(x)g_1(y) \leq X(t, x, y) \leq a_2(t)f_2(x)g_2(y),$$

where $a_i(t) \geq 0$ and $a_i(t) \neq 0$ on any infinite subinterval of $[0, \infty)$; $xf_i(x) > 0$ for $x \neq 0$; $g_i(y) > 0$, $i=1, 2$.

A solution of Equations $(X_{\pm})$ is called a proper solution if it is defined on a half-line $[t_0, \infty)$ for some $t_0 \geq 0$. A proper solution is said to be oscillatory if it has arbitrarily large zeros on $[t_0, \infty)$; otherwise it is said to be nonoscillatory. Throughout this paper, we are concerned only with proper solutions. In what follows, for simplicity, by a solution we mean a proper solution.

In section 2 we give the necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of a nonoscillatory solution and for the oscillation of all solutions of Equation (X_{+}) .

Manuscript received April 8, 1983. Revised August 3, 1984.

* Department of Mathematics Shandong College of Oceanology, Qingdao, China.

** Department of Mathematics, Shandong University, Jinan, China.

These results renew the Atkinson's Theorem^[1] and its generalizations^[4,6,8]. A sufficiency theorem for Equation (X_+) to be oscillatory is also proved.

For Equation (X_-) , section 3 is devoted to establishing necessary and/or sufficient conditions for the existence of each of the only four types of solutions with different asymptotic behavior. The results improve some of the results given in [2, 3, 5].

§ 2. The Oscillation of Solutions of Equation (X_+)

Before preceeding we shall require some lemmas, the proofs of which are omitted.

Lemma 1. Every nonoscillatory solution $x(t)$ of Equation (X_+) and its first derivative $\dot{x}(t)$ are eventually monotone, and $\dot{x}(t)$ tends to a finite limit as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Lemma 2. The integral $\int_0^\infty ta_1(t)dt$ converges if and only if the integral $\int_0^\infty ta_2(t)dt$ does.

We begin by presenting necessary and sufficient conditions of existence of a nonoscillatory bounded solution for Equation (X_+) .

Theorem 1. There exists a nonoscillatory bounded solution of Equation (X_+) if and only if

$$\int_0^\infty ta_1(t)dt < \infty. \quad (1)$$

Proof Necessity. Let $x(t)$ be an eventually positive bounded solution of Equation (X_+) . Choose $t_1 \geq 0$ so large that $x(t) > 0$ and $\dot{x}(t) > 0$ for $t \geq t_1$. By Lemma 1 $\dot{x}(t)$ is nonincreasing for $t \geq t_1$, $\dot{x}_\infty = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \dot{x}(t) = 0$, and $x(t)$ is increasing for $t \geq t_1$, $x_\infty = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) > 0$. So

$$0 < x(t_1) \leq x(t) < x_\infty, \quad 0 < \dot{x}(t) \leq \dot{x}(t_1) \quad (2)$$

for $t \geq t_1$. Integrating Equation (X_+) from s to $t \geq s \geq t_1$ and letting $t \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$\dot{x}(s) = \int_s^\infty X(\tau, x(\tau), \dot{x}(\tau))d\tau. \quad (3)$$

Integrating (3) from t_1 to $t \geq t_1$ and using (A), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) - x(t_1) &\geq \int_{t_1}^t (\tau - t_1) X(\tau, x(\tau), \dot{x}(\tau))d\tau \\ &\geq \int_{t_1}^t (\tau - t_1) a_1(\tau) f_1(x(\tau)) g_1(\dot{x}(\tau))d\tau \\ &\geq m_1 n_1 \int_{t_1}^t (\tau - t_1) a_1(\tau) d\tau, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where $m_1 = \min_{x(t_1) \leq x \leq x_\infty} f_1(x) > 0$, $n_1 = \min_{0 < y \leq \dot{x}(t_1)} g_1(y) > 0$.

By (2) and (4)

$$\int_{t_1}^t (\tau - t_1) a_1(\tau) d\tau \geq \frac{x_\infty}{m_1 n_1} \quad (5)$$

for $t \geq t_1$. It is easy to show that (5) implies (1).

When $x(t)$ is an eventually negative bounded solution, it can be shown by a similar argument that $\int_0^\infty t a_2(t) dt < \infty$. Then (1) holds by Lemma 2. So the necessity part of the proof is complete.

Sufficiency. Since (1) implies $\int_0^\infty t a_2(t) dt < \infty$, we can choose $t_1 \geq 0$ so large that

$$M_2 N_2 \int_{t_1}^\infty t a_2(t) dt \leq \frac{1}{2}, \quad (6)$$

where $M_2 = \max_{\frac{1}{2} \leq x \leq 1} f_2(x) > 0$, $N_2 = \max_{0 \leq y \leq \frac{1}{2}} g_2(y) > 0$.

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a set of all continuous functions $z(\cdot) = (x(\cdot), y(\cdot)): [t_1, \infty) \rightarrow R^2$. We regard $\mathcal{F}$ as a Fréchet space with the topology generated by uniform convergence on any compact subinterval of $[t_1, \infty)$. Let

$$B = \left\{ z \in \mathcal{F} : \frac{1}{2} \leq x(t) \leq 1, 0 \leq y(t) \leq \frac{1}{2}, t \in [t_1, \infty) \right\}.$$

B is a closed, convex subset of $\mathcal{F}$. For any $z \in B$, define the operator $T: B \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ by the relation $Tz = (Tx, Ty)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} (Tx)(t) &= 1 - \int_t^\infty (s-t) X(s, x(s), y(s)) ds, \quad t \geq t_1, \\ (Ty)(t) &= \int_t^\infty X(s, x(s), y(s)) ds, \quad t \geq t_1. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

It can be shown that $TB \subseteq B$, T is continuous and TB is precompact by the topology mentioned above. by Schauder-Tychonov's theorem, there is a $z \in B$ such that $z = Tz$. So

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &= 1 - \int_t^\infty (s-t) X(s, x(s), y(s)) ds, \quad t \geq t_1, \\ y(t) &= \int_t^\infty X(s, x(s), y(s)) ds, \quad t \geq t_1. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Obviously, the function $x(t)$ is an eventually positive bounded solution of Equation (X_+) . The theorem is proved.

To study the oscillation of all solutions to Equation (X_+) , we need the following definition. A function $X(t, x, y)$ is said to be locally superlinear in x at $|x| = \infty$, if there is a large $\alpha > 0$ such that $f_1(x)$ is nondecreasing for $x \geq \alpha$, $f_2(x)$ is nondecreasing for $x \leq -\alpha$, and

$$\int_\alpha^\infty \frac{dx}{f_1(x)} < \infty, \quad \int_{-\alpha}^{-\infty} \frac{dx}{f_2(x)} < \infty. \quad (9)$$

Theorem 2. Suppose $X(t, x, y)$ is locally superlinear in x at $|x| = \infty$, then all solutions to Equation (X_+) are oscillatory if and only if

$$\int_0^{\infty} t a_1(t) dt = \infty. \quad (10)$$

Proof By Lemma 2, the necessity follows from the sufficiency of Theorem 1. To prove the sufficiency, let $x(t)$ be an eventually positive solution to Equation (X_+) . By Theorem 1, $x(t)$ must be unbounded. Consequently, $x(t)$ is eventually increasing and $x_{\infty} = \infty$. There is a $t_1 \geq 0$ such that $x(t_1) = \alpha$, $x(t)$ is increasing and $\dot{x}(t)$ is nonincreasing for $t \geq t_1$. So

$$0 < \alpha \leq x(t), \quad 0 \leq \dot{x}_{\infty} \leq \dot{x}(t) \leq \dot{x}(t_1) \quad (11)$$

for $t_1 \leq t$. Integrating Equation (X_+) from s to t , $t_1 \leq s \leq t$, yields

$$\dot{x}(s) - \dot{x}(t) = \int_s^t X(\tau, x(\tau), \dot{x}(\tau)) d\tau. \quad (12)$$

Letting $t \rightarrow \infty$ in (12) and using (A), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}(s) &\geq \dot{x}(s) - \dot{x}_{\infty} = \int_s^{\infty} X(\tau, x(\tau), \dot{x}(\tau)) d\tau \\ &\geq \int_s^{\infty} a_1(\tau) f_1(x(\tau)) g_1(\dot{x}(\tau)) d\tau \\ &\geq n_1 f_1(x(s)) \int_s^{\infty} a_1(\tau) d\tau, \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

where $n_1 = \min_{0 < y \leq \dot{x}(t_1)} g_1(y) > 0$. Dividing (13) by $f_1(x(s))$ and integrating from t_1 to t , we have

$$\int_{t_1}^{x(t)} \frac{dr}{f_1(r)} \geq n_1 \int_{t_1}^t ds \int_s^{\infty} a_1(\tau) d\tau \geq n_1 \int_{t_1}^t (s - t_1) a_1(s) ds. \quad (14)$$

Let $t \rightarrow \infty$ in (14); we get $\int_{t_1}^{\infty} (s - t_1) a_1(s) ds < \infty$, which is a contradiction. The theorem is proved.

Remark 1. Theorems 1 and 2 extend and improve the well-known Atkinson's Theorem [1] and the results of [4, 6, 8]. Macki and Wong [4, Theorem 1] proved Theorem 2 in the case that $g_i(y) \equiv 1$, $f_i(x)$ are nondecreasing for $x \in R$. Wong [6, Theorems 1 and 2] discussed Theorem 1 for $X = a(t)f(x)g(y)$ with $f'(x) \geq 0$, $0 < k \leq g(y) \leq K < \infty$, and proved Theorem 2 under additional assumption $\liminf_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|f(x)|}{|x|^p} > 0$ ($p > 1$). If $g(y) \equiv 1$, we get Theorems 1 and 2 of [8]. Moreover, the proof of sufficiency part of Theorem 2 is much simpler than the one in [4].

Remark 2. The condition imposed in Theorem 2 on the monotonicity of functions $f_i(x)$ is weaker than the corresponding one in [4, 6, 7, 8]. If there exist a large positive number α and nondecreasing continuous functions $\varphi_1(x)$ on $[\alpha, \infty)$ and $\varphi_2(x)$ on $(-\infty, -\alpha]$ such that $f_1(x) \geq \varphi_1(x)$ for $x \geq \alpha$, $f_2(x) \leq \varphi_2(x)$ for $x \leq -\alpha$ and $\int_{\alpha}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{\varphi_1(x)} < \infty$, $\int_{-\infty}^{-\alpha} \frac{dx}{\varphi_2(x)} < \infty$, then the conclusion of Theorem 2 holds. This seems to be better. But, instead of $f_i(x)$ we can choose functions $\bar{f}_i(x)$ such that

$\bar{f}_1(x) = \varphi_1(x)$ for $x \geq \alpha$, $\bar{f}_2(x) = \varphi_2(x)$ for $x \leq -\alpha$ and (A) holds for $\bar{f}_i(x)$. Therefore, X is still locally superlinear in x at $|x| = \infty$. In particular, taking $\varphi_1(x) = \mu_1 x^p$, $\varphi_2(x) = \mu_2 x^p$, $\mu_1, \mu_2 > 0$, $p > 1$, we obtain a condition corresponding to condition $\liminf_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|f(x)|}{|x|^p} > 0$ used in [6, 8].

Theorem 3. *If, in addition, the following assumption*

$$(B) \quad \liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} f_1(x) > 0 \text{ and } \liminf_{x \rightarrow -\infty} f_2(x) < 0$$

is satisfied, then

$$\int_0^\infty a_1(t) dt = \infty \quad (15)$$

implies that all solutions to Equation (X₊) are oscillatory.

Proof Let solution $x(t) > 0$ eventually. A parallel argument is valid for the case that $x(t) < 0$ eventually. By Lemma 1, $x(t)$ satisfies (11) and is increasing for $t \geq t_1$. Since (B) holds, there is a positive number m_1 such that $f_1(x(t)) \geq m_1$ for $t \geq t_1$. Thus

$$\dot{x}(t_1) - \dot{x}(t) = \int_{t_1}^t X(\tau, x(\tau), \dot{x}(\tau)) d\tau \geq m_1 n_1 \int_{t_1}^t a_1(\tau) d\tau, \quad (16)$$

where $n_1 = \min_{0 < y < \dot{x}(t_1)} g_1(y) > 0$. Let $t \rightarrow \infty$ in (16); we have $\int_{t_1}^\infty a_1(t) dt \leq \frac{1}{m_1 n_1} [\dot{x}(t_1) - \dot{x}_\infty] < \infty$. This proves the theorem.

Remark 3. Wong [7] got a sufficient condition $\int^\infty a(t) dt = \infty$ for the equation $x''(t) + a(t)f(x(t))g(x'(t)) = 0$ to be oscillatory, where $g(x') > 0$, $f'(x) \geq 0$, $xf(x) > 0$ for $x \neq 0$, and $a(t)$ may become negative for some t .

§ 3. The Asymptotic Behavior of Solutions of Equation (X₋)

It is well known that every solution to Equation (X₋) is eventually monotone. So either

- I. $\dot{x}_\infty = 0$, $x_\infty = 0$;
- II. $\dot{x}_\infty = 0$, $x_\infty = c_1 \neq 0$;
- III. $\dot{x}_\infty = \pm c_2^2 \neq 0$, $x_\infty = \pm \infty$;

or

- IV. $\dot{x}_\infty = \pm \infty$, $x_\infty = \pm \infty$.

A solution is said to be of I-type, II-type, III-type and IV-type, if it behaves as above respectively.

We first present some results concerned with bounded solutions of Equation (X₋).

Theorem 4. *There exists a II-type solution to Equation (X-) if and only if*

$$\int_0^\infty ta_1(t)dt < \infty. \quad (17)$$

Proof Necessity. Suppose $x(t)$ is a II-type solution with $x_\infty > 0$. One argues similarly for the case $x_\infty < 0$. It is easy to see that $x(t) > 0$, $\dot{x}(t) < 0$ for $t \geq 0$, and

$$0 < x_\infty < x(t) \leq x_0 = x(0), \quad \dot{x}(0) = \dot{x}_0 \leq \dot{x}(t) < 0 \quad (18)$$

for $t \geq 0$. Since $\dot{x}_\infty = 0$, we have

$$\dot{x}(t) = - \int_t^\infty X(\tau, x(\tau), \dot{x}(\tau)) d\tau, \quad t \geq 0 \quad (19)$$

and for any $t \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &= x_0 - \int_0^t ds \int_s^\infty X(\tau, x(\tau), \dot{x}(\tau)) d\tau \\ &\leq x_0 - \int_1^t \tau a_1(\tau) f_1(x(\tau)) g_1(\dot{x}(\tau)) d\tau \\ &\leq x_0 - m_1 n_1 \int_0^t \tau a_1(\tau) d\tau, \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

where $m_1 = \min_{x_\infty \leq x \leq x_0} f_1(x) > 0$, $n_1 = \min_{\dot{x}_0 \leq y \leq 0} g_1(y) > 0$. So

$$\int_0^t \tau a_1(\tau) d\tau \leq \frac{x_0 - x_\infty}{m_1 n_1}$$

for any $t \geq 0$. This implies (17).

Sufficiency The proof is similar to the one of sufficiency part of Theorem 1. So we give only a sketch of the proof. By Lemma 2 we can take $t_1 \geq 0$ large enough such that

$$\int_{t_1}^\infty ta_2(t)dt \leq \frac{1}{2M_2N_2}, \quad (21)$$

where $M_2 = \max_{1 \leq x \leq 2} f_2(x) > 0$, $N_2 = \max_{-\frac{1}{2} \leq y \leq 0} g_2(y) > 0$. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a Fréchet space defined as

in the proof of Theorem 1. Let

$$E = \left\{ z \in \mathcal{F} : 1 \leq x(t) \leq 2, \quad -\frac{1}{2} \leq y(t) \leq 1, \quad t \geq t_1 \right\}.$$

E is a closed, convex subset of $\mathcal{F}$. For any $z \in E$, define the operator $T: E \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ by the relation $Tz = (Tx, Ty)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} (Tx)(t) &= 1 + \int_t^\infty (s-t) X(s, x(s), y(s)) ds, \quad t \geq t_1, \\ (Ty)(t) &= - \int_t^\infty X(s, x(s), y(s)) ds, \quad t \geq t_1. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

Then we can show that $TE \subseteq E$, T is continuous and TE is precompact by the topology of compact convergence on $[t_1, \infty)$. So T has a fixed point $z \in E$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &= 1 + \int_t^\infty (s-t) X(s, x(s), y(s)) ds, \quad t \geq t_1, \\ y(t) &= - \int_t^\infty X(s, x(s), y(s)) ds, \quad t \geq t_1. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

Thus, we get a II-type solution $x(t)$. The proof of the theorem is complete.

Corollary. Every bounded solution to Equation (X_-) is of I-type if and only if

$$\int_0^\infty ta_1(t)dt = \infty. \quad (24)$$

To make every nontrivial bounded solution to Equation (X_-) be of II-type, we should confine the function X within necessary limits. A function $X(t, x, y)$ is said to be locally superlinear in x at $x=0$, if there is a small $\alpha > 0$ such that $f_2(x)$ is nondecreasing on $(0, \alpha)$, $f_1(x)$ is nondecreasing on $(-\alpha, 0)$, and

$$\int_0^\alpha \frac{dx}{f_2(x)} = \infty, \quad \int_0^{-\alpha} \frac{dx}{f_1(x)} = \infty. \quad (25)$$

Theorem 5. If $X(t, x, y)$ is locally superlinear in x at $x=0$, then every nontrivial bounded solution to Equation (X_-) is of II-type if and only if

$$\int_0^\infty ta_1(t)dt < \infty. \quad (26)$$

Proof. Obviously, only the sufficiency must be proved. Let $x(t)$ be a nontrivial I-type solution and let $x(t) > 0$ for $t \geq 0$. In the case $x(t) < 0$ the proof is similar. Since $\ddot{x}(t) \geq 0$ and $\dot{x}(t)$ is nondecreasing for $t \geq 0$, $\dot{x}(t)$ must be negative for $t \geq 0$ and

$$0 < x(t) \leq x_0 = x(0), \quad \dot{x}(0) = \dot{x}_0 < \dot{x}(t) < 0 \quad (27)$$

for $t \geq 0$. By Lemma 2, $\int_0^\infty ta_2(t)dt < \infty$. Since $\dot{x}_\infty = 0$, Equation (19) holds. Since $x_\infty = 0$, there is a $t_1 \geq 0$ such that $x(t_1) = \alpha$ and $0 < x(t) \leq \alpha$ for $t \geq t_1$. Using the monotonicity of $x(t)$ and the local superlinearity of X and noting that $f_2(x(t))$ is nonincreasing for $t \geq t_1$, from (19) we obtain

$$-\dot{x}(t) \leq \int_t^\infty a_2(\tau) f_2(x(\tau)) g_2(\dot{x}(\tau)) d\tau \leq N_2 f_2(x(t)) \int_t^\infty a_2(\tau) d\tau \quad (28)$$

for $t \geq t_1$, where $N_2 = \max_{\dot{x}_0 \leq y < 0} g_2(y) > 0$. Dividing (28) by $f_2(x(t))$ and integrating from t_1 to t produce

$$\int_{x(t)}^\alpha \frac{dr}{f_2(r)} \leq N_2 \int_{t_1}^t ds \int_s^\infty a_2(\tau) d\tau \leq N_2 \int_{t_1}^\infty (\tau - t_1) a_2(\tau) d\tau \quad (29)$$

for $t > t_1$. Letting $t \rightarrow \infty$ in (29) gives $\int_0^\alpha \frac{dr}{f_2(r)} < \infty$. This contradiction proves the theorem.

Remark 4. If there exist nondecreasing continuous functions $\varphi_2(x)$ on $(0, \alpha)$ and $\varphi_1(x)$ on $(-\alpha, 0)$ such that $f_2(x) \leq \varphi_2(x)$ for $t \in (0, \alpha)$ and $f_1(x) \geq \varphi_1(x)$ for $t \in (-\alpha, 0)$, and $\int_0^\alpha \frac{dx}{\varphi_2(x)} = \int_0^{-\alpha} \frac{dx}{\varphi_1(x)} = \infty$, where α is a small positive number, then the conclusion of Theorem 5 is true (see Remark 2). Especially for $\varphi_1(x) = \mu_1 x$, $\varphi_2(x) = \mu_2 x$, $\mu_1, \mu_2 > 0$, we get conditions $\limsup_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{f_2(x)}{x} < \infty$ and $\limsup_{x \rightarrow 0^-} \frac{f_1(x)}{x} < \infty$ which are also available to Theorem 5, where $f_i(x)$ may not be monotone near $x=0$.

Remark 5. For $a_1(t) = a_2(t)$, $g_i(\dot{x}) = 1$, $i = 1, 2$,

$$f_1(x) = \begin{cases} a|x|^n x, & x > 0, \\ b|x|^n x, & x \leq 0, \end{cases} \quad f_2(x) = \begin{cases} b|x|^n x, & x > 0, \\ a|x|^n x, & x \leq 0, \end{cases}$$

where $n > 0$, $b \geq a > 0$, Theorem 5 and the corollary of Theorem 4 coincide with Taliaferro's Theorems [3, Theorems 4 and 5]. If, in addition, $a = b$, we get a result established by Wong [Theorem 1.1].

Notice that all nontrivial bounded solutions to Equation (X_-) are either of I-type or of II-type if function $X(t, x, y)$ is locally superlinear in x at $x = 0$. We give an example to illustrate.

Example Consider the differential equation

$$\ddot{x} = 2(t+1)^{-8/3} x^{1/3}, \quad t \geq 0. \quad (30)$$

Here, $a(t) = 2(t+1)^{-8/3}$ satisfies $\int_0^\infty ta(t)dt < \infty$ and $f(x) = x^{1/3}$ is sublinear. It follows from Theorem 4 that Equation (30) has at least one II-type solution. But Theorem 5 is false for Equation (30) which possesses $x = (t+1)^{-1}$ as a I-type solution.

We are now in a position to investigate the existence of unbounded solutions to Equation (X_-) .

Theorem 6. Suppose functions $f_1(x)$ and $f_2(x)$ are nondecreasing. Then there exists a III-type solution to Equation (X_-) if for some $\alpha > 0$ either

$$\int_0^\infty a_2(t)f_2(\alpha t)dt < \infty \quad (31)$$

or

$$\int_0^\infty a_1(t)|f_1(-\alpha t)|dt < \infty; \quad (32)$$

and only if for some $\alpha > 0$ either

$$\int_0^\infty a_1(t)f_1(\alpha t)dt < \infty \quad (33)$$

or

$$\int_0^\infty a_2(t)|f_2(-\alpha t)|dt < \infty. \quad (34)$$

Proof Let (31) hold. Take $t_1 > 0$ large enough such that

$$\int_{t_1}^\infty a_2(t)f_2(\alpha t)dt < \frac{\alpha}{2M_2}, \quad (35)$$

where $M_2 = \max_{0 < y < \alpha} g_2(y) > 0$. Let $x(t)$ be any solution to the equations

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}(t) &= \frac{\alpha}{2} + \int_{t_1}^t X(s, x(s), \dot{x}(s))ds, \quad t \geq t_1, \\ x(t_1) &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

We claim that $\dot{x}(t) < \alpha$ for $t \geq t_1$. If not, then there exists $t_2 > t_1$ such that $\dot{x}(t_2) = \alpha$ and $\frac{1}{2}\alpha \leq \dot{x}(t) < \alpha$ for $t \in [t_1, t_2]$. Therefore

$$x(t) = \int_{t_1}^t \dot{x}(s) ds \leq \alpha(t - t_1) < \alpha t. \quad (37)$$

Since $f_2(x)$ is nondecreasing, from (36) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha = \dot{x}(t_2) &= \frac{1}{2} \alpha + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} X(s, x(s), \dot{x}(s)) ds \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \alpha + M_2 \int_{t_1}^{t_2} a_2(s) f_2(\alpha s) ds < \frac{1}{2} \alpha + M_2 \frac{\alpha}{2M_2} = \alpha, \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

which is a contradiction. So $\dot{x}(t) < \alpha$ for $t \geq t_1$.

Because $\dot{x}(t)$ is nondecreasing for $t > t_1$, the limit $\dot{x}_\infty$ exists and is a finite positive number. Obviously, as a solution to Equation (X₋), $x(t)$ is also of III-type.

Similarly, (32) leads to the existence of an eventually negative III-type solution. So the first part of the proof is complete.

Let $x(t)$ be a III-type solution with $\dot{x}_\infty > 0$, $x_\infty = \infty$. Then there is a $t_1 > 0$ such that $x(t)$ is positive and increasing, $\dot{x}(t)$ is positive and nondecreasing for $t \geq t_1$, and

$$0 < \beta = \dot{x}(t_1) \leq \dot{x}(t) < \dot{x}_\infty \quad (39)$$

for $t \geq t_1$. If $t \geq 2t_1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &= x(t_1) + \int_{t_1}^t \dot{x}(s) ds \geq x(t_1) + \beta(t - t_1) \\ &\geq x(t_1) + \frac{1}{2} \beta t > \frac{1}{2} \beta t. \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

On the other hand, owing to condition (A) and (40) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}(t) - \dot{x}(2t_1) &= \int_{2t_1}^t X(s, x(s), \dot{x}(s)) ds \\ &\geq n_1 \int_{2t_1}^t a_1(s) f_1\left(\frac{1}{2} \beta s\right) ds \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

for $t > 2t_1$, where $n_1 = \min_{\beta < y < \dot{x}_\infty} g_1(y) > 0$. Letting $t \rightarrow \infty$ in (41) gives

$$\int_{2t_1}^{\infty} a_1(s) f_1\left(\frac{1}{2} \beta s\right) ds \leq \frac{1}{n_1} (\dot{x}_\infty - \beta). \quad (42)$$

The inequality (42) proves that (33) holds for $\alpha = \frac{1}{2} \beta$.

Similarly, a III-type solution $x(t)$ with $\dot{x}_\infty < 0$ and $x_\infty = -\infty$ leads to (34). The theorem is proved.

Corollary. Suppose $f_1(x)$ and $f_2(x)$ are nondecreasing. Then every unbounded solution to Equation (X₋) is of IV-type if for any $\alpha > 0$

$$\int_0^\infty a_i(t) |f_i((-1)^{i+1} \alpha t)| dt = \infty, \quad i = 1, 2;$$

and only if for any $\alpha > 0$,

$$\int_0^\infty a_i(t) |f_i((-1)^i \alpha t)| dt = \infty, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Remark 6. In the case $X = a(t)f(x)g(\dot{x})$ Theorem 6 says that there exists a III-type solution if and only if either $\int_0^\infty a(t)f(\alpha t)dt < \infty$ or $\int_0^\infty a(t)|f(-\alpha t)|dt < \infty$

for some $\alpha > 0$, and the corollary says that every unbounded solution is of IV-type if and only if $\int_0^\infty a(t) |f(\pm \alpha t)| dt = \infty$ for any $\alpha > 0$. Combining the latter result with the corollary of Theorem 4, we can obtain necessary and sufficient condition to ensure that every solution is either of I-type or of IV-type. This result improves Theorem 3.1 in [2] established by one of the authors of the present paper and for $f(x) = x^\lambda (\lambda > 1)$ and $g(x) \equiv 1$ coincides with Taliaferro's result [5, Theorem 2.4].

References

- [1] Atkinson, F. V., On second-order non-linear oscillations, *Pacific J. Math.*, **5**(1955), 643—647.
- [2] Zhongchao Liang, Asymptotic character of the solutions of a class of second-order nonlinear differential equations, *Shuxue Jinchuan*, **9**(1966), 251—264.
- [3] Zhongchao Liang, The asymptotic behavior of solutions of certain nonlinear differential equations of the second order, *Acta Math. Sinica*, **24**(1981), 45—54.
- [4] Macki, J. W. and Wong, J. S. W., Oscillation of solutions of second-order nonlinear differential equations, *Pacific J. Math.*, **24**(1968), 111—117.
- [5] Taliaferro, S. D., Asymptotic behavior of solutions of $y'' = \phi(t)y^\lambda$, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **66** (1978), 95—134.
- [6] Wong, J. S. W., Some properties of solutions of $w''(t) + a(t)f(u)g(u') = 0$, III, *J. SIAM Appl. Math.*, **14**: 2(1966), 209—214.
- [7] Wong, J. S. W., On two theorems of Waltman, *ibid*, no. 4, 724—728.
- [8] Wong J. S. W., A note on second order nonlinear oscillation, *SIAM Rev.*, **10**(1968), 88—91.