

NORMAL EXTENSIONS OF OPERATORS TO KREIN SPACES

WU JINGBO (伍镜波)*

Abstract

In this paper, it is proved that every bounded linear operator on a Hilbert space has a normal extension to a Krein space. Two criteria for J -subnormality are given. In particular, in order that T be subnormal, it suffices that $\exp(-\bar{\lambda}T^*)\exp(\lambda T)$ be a positive definite operator function on a bounded infinite subset of complex plane. This improves the condition of Bram [4]. Also it is proved that the local spectral subspaces are closed for J -subnormal operators.

In [1] we introduced the concept of J -subnormality. A bounded linear operator T on a Hilbert space H is called a J -subnormal operator of order n if on some Π_n -Pontrjagin space Π containing H , there exists a bounded J -normal operator $\tilde{T}$ such that $\tilde{T}f = Tf$ for every f in H and Π is spanned by the vectors of the form $\tilde{T}^{*k}f$, where $f \in H$ and $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Here the Π_n -Pontrjagin space is a Krein space with negative rank $n^{[2]}$. The J -subnormality of order 0 is equivalent to subnormality. In the first part of the paper, we prove that every bounded linear operator on a Hilbert space has a normal extension to a Krein space. As an application, we obtain two criteria for J -subnormality. In the second part of the paper, we investigate the local spectral theory for J -subnormal operators.

Throughout the paper, by an operator we mean a bounded linear transformation acting on a space. The inner product on a Hilbert space is denoted by $(\cdot, \cdot)$ and the indefinite inner product on a Krein space is denoted by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$.

Theorem 1. *If T is an operator on a Hilbert space H , then there is a Krein space K containing H such that $\langle f, g \rangle = (f, g)$ for all f and g in H and a normal operator $\tilde{T}$ on K such that $\tilde{T}f = Tf$ for all f in H and K is spanned by the vectors of the form $\tilde{T}^{*k}f$, where $f \in H$ and $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. In brief, every operator has a minimal normal extension to a Krein space.*

Proof Without loss of generality, we may assume that $0 < \|T\| < 1$.

Let $\bar{H} = \bigoplus_0^\infty H$. Define $\bar{T}$ on $\bar{H}$ by $(\bar{T}\bar{x})_j = \sum_{i=0}^\infty T^{*i}T^j x_i$, where $\bar{x} = \{x_i\}_{i=0}^\infty \in \bar{H}$. We have

$$\|\bar{T}\bar{x}\|^2 = \sum_{j=0}^\infty \left\| \sum_{i=0}^\infty T^{*i}T^j x_i \right\|^2 \leq \sum_{j=0}^\infty \left(\sum_{i=0}^\infty \|T^{i+j} x_i\| \right)^2 \leq \|\bar{x}\|^2 / (1 - \|T^2\|^2)$$

and

$$(\bar{T}\bar{x}, \bar{x}) = \sum_{i,j=0}^\infty (T^{*i}T^j x_i, x_j) = (\bar{x}, \bar{T}\bar{x}).$$

Hence $\bar{T}$ is a bounded symmetric operator on $\bar{H}$.

Let K_0 be the set of all sequences of the form $f = \{f_k\}_{k=0}^\infty$, where

$$f_k = \sum_{j=0}^\infty T^{*j}T^k x_j, \quad \bar{x} = \{x_j\}_{j=0}^\infty \in \bar{H}.$$

Define

$$\langle f, g \rangle = (\bar{T}\bar{x}, \bar{y}), \quad [f, g] = (|\bar{T}| \bar{x}, \bar{y}) \quad (1)$$

for $f = \{f_k\}_{k=0}^\infty$ and $g = \{g_k\}_{k=0}^\infty$ in K_0 , where $g_k = \sum_{j=0}^\infty T^{*j}T^k y_j$, $\bar{y} = \{y_j\}_{j=0}^\infty \in \bar{H}$. Hence

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \sum_{j=0}^\infty (f_j, y_j) = \sum_{i,j=0}^\infty (T^j x_i, T^i y_j). \quad (2)$$

If $f=0$, then $|\bar{T}|\bar{x} = \bar{T}\bar{x} = 0$. It is easy to see that the values $\langle f, g \rangle$ and $[f, g]$ are uniquely determined by f and g . If $[f, f] = 0$, then $|\bar{T}|\bar{x} = 0$, and so $f=0$. Thus K_0 is a pre-Hilbert space with inner product $[\cdot, \cdot]$. Let K be the completion of K_0 . Define $\bar{H}_- = \ker \bar{T}^+$ and $\bar{H}_+ = \bar{H} \ominus \bar{H}_-$. Let K_-^0 and K_+^0 be sets of all sequences of forms $f^- = \{f_k^-\}_{k=0}^\infty$ and $f^+ = \{f_k^+\}_{k=0}^\infty$, respectively, where

$$f_k^- = \sum_{j=0}^\infty T^{*j}T^k x_j^-, \quad x^- = \{x_j^-\}_{j=0}^\infty \in \bar{H}_-$$

and

$$f_k^+ = \sum_{j=0}^\infty T^{*j}T^k x_j^+, \quad x^+ = \{x_j^+\}_{j=0}^\infty \in \bar{H}_+.$$

Let K_- and K_+ be the completions of K_-^0 and K_+^0 , respectively. For

$$f^- = \{f_k^-\}_{k=0}^\infty \in K_-^0 \quad \text{and} \quad f^+ = \{f_k^+\}_{k=0}^\infty \in K_+^0,$$

it follows from (1) that

$$[f^-, f^+] = (|\bar{T}| \bar{x}^-, \bar{x}^+) = (\bar{T}^- \bar{x}^-, \bar{x}^+) = (\bar{x}^-, \bar{T}^- \bar{x}^+) = 0.$$

Thus $K_+ \perp K_-$, and so $K = K_+ \oplus K_-$ (with respect to $[\cdot, \cdot]$). Let P_+ and P_- be the orthogonal projections (with respect to $[\cdot, \cdot]$) of K onto K_+ and K_- , respectively. Denote $J = P_+ - P_-$. For $f, g \in K_0$, it follows from (1) that

$$\langle f, g \rangle = [Jf, g].$$

Then K may be considered to be a Krein space with indefinite inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$.

It is clear that $K_+ \oplus K_-$ be a fundamental decomposition of K .

The space H may be embedded as a subspace in K . In fact, we define

$$f_x = \{T^k x\}_{k=0}^\infty$$

for all $x \in H$ and note that $\langle f_x, f_y \rangle = (x, y)$ for all $x, y \in H$. For $f = \{f_k\}_{k=0}^\infty \in K_0$,

define $\tilde{T}f = \{f_{k+1}\}_{k=0}^\infty$. It follows that $f_{Tx} = \tilde{T}f_x$ for $x \in H$.

We are going now to show that the operator T is bounded in K_0 . Define $\bar{S}$ on $\bar{H}$ by $(\bar{S}\bar{x})_j = Tx_j$, where $\bar{x} = \{x_j\}_{j=0}^\infty \in \bar{H}$. We have

$$\|\bar{T}\bar{S}\bar{x}\|^2 = \sum_{j=0}^\infty \left\| \sum_{i=0}^\infty T^{*i}T^{j+1}x_i \right\|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^\infty \left\| \sum_{i=0}^\infty T^{*i}T^jx_i \right\|^2 \leq \|\bar{T}\bar{x}\|^2.$$

It follows that

$$\|\bar{S}^*|\bar{T}|\bar{S}\bar{x}\| \leq \|T\| \cdot \| |\bar{T}|\bar{S}\bar{x} \| = \|T\| \cdot \|T\bar{S}\bar{x}\| \leq \|T\| \cdot \|\bar{T}\bar{x}\| = \|T\| \cdot \| |\bar{T}|\bar{x} \|.$$

By Heinz's inequality^[8], we have

$$(\bar{S}^*|\bar{T}|\bar{S}\bar{x}, \bar{x}) \leq \|T\| (|\bar{T}|\bar{x}, \bar{x}).$$

For $f \in K_0$, this implies that

$$[\tilde{T}f, \tilde{T}f] = (|\bar{T}|\bar{S}\bar{x}, \bar{S}\bar{x}) \leq \|T\| (|\bar{T}|\bar{x}, \bar{x}) = \|T\| [f, f]. \quad (3)$$

Thus $\tilde{T}$ may be considered to be a bounded operator on K .

Let $\tilde{T}^*$ be the adjoint of $\tilde{T}$ with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. For $f \in K_0$, we have

$$\tilde{T}^*f = \{f'_k\}_{k=0}^\infty,$$

where

$$f'_k = \sum_{j=1}^\infty T^{*j}T^kx_{j-1}.$$

It follows that

$$\tilde{T}^*\tilde{T}f = \tilde{T}^*\{f_{k+1}\}_{k=0}^\infty = \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^\infty T^{*j}T^{k+1}x_{j-1} \right\}_{k=0}^\infty = \tilde{T}\{f'_k\}_{k=0}^\infty = \tilde{T}\tilde{T}^*f.$$

Thus $\tilde{T}$ is normal with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$.

For every $f = \{f_k\}_{k=0}^\infty \in K_0$, let $f^m = \{f_k^m\}_{k=0}^\infty$, where $f_k^m = \sum_{j=0}^m T^{*j}T^kx_j$. Define $\bar{x}^m = \{x_k^m\}_{k=0}^\infty$, where $x_k^m = 0$ for $k \leq m$ and $x_k^m = x_k$ for $k > m$. Since $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|x^m\| = 0$, we have

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} [f - f^m, f - f^m] = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (|\bar{T}|\bar{x}^m, \bar{x}^m) = 0.$$

It is easy to see that $f^m = \sum_{j=0}^m \tilde{T}^{*j}f_{x_j}$. Then K is spanned by the vectors of the form $\tilde{T}^{*k}f_x$, where $x \in H$ and $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$.

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

Theorem 2. Let T be an operator on a Hilbert space H and let Ω be a bounded infinite subset of complex plane. A necessary and sufficient condition for T to be a J -subnormal operator of order n is that the Hermitian form

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n (\exp(\lambda_i T)x_i, \exp(\lambda_j T)x_j) \alpha_i \bar{\alpha}_j$$

has at most n negative squares for every choice of a finite number of $x_1, \dots, x_m \in H$ and $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m \in \Omega$ and has exactly n negative squares for the least one choice of $x_1, \dots, x_m$ and $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$. In particular, a necessary and sufficient condition for T to be subnormal is that

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n (\exp(\lambda_i T)x_i, \exp(\lambda_j T)x_j) \geq 0$$

for every choice of a finite number of $x_1, \dots, x_m \in H$ and $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m \in \Omega$.

Proof Let λ_0 be a limit point of Ω . By Theorem 1, operator T has a minimal normal extension $\tilde{T}$ to a Krein space K . For $x_1, \dots, x_m \in H$ and $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m \in \Omega$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i,j=1}^m (\exp(\lambda_i T) x_i, \exp(\lambda_j T) x_j) \alpha_i \bar{\alpha}_j &= \sum_{i,j=1}^m \langle \exp(\lambda_i \tilde{T}) x_i, \exp(\lambda_j \tilde{T}) x_j \rangle \alpha_i \bar{\alpha}_j \\ &= \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i \exp(\bar{\lambda}_i \tilde{T}^*) x_i, \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_j \exp(\bar{\lambda}_j \tilde{T}^*) x_j \right\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Let K' be the linear closed set spanned by the vectors of the form $\exp(\bar{\lambda} \tilde{T}^*) x$, where $\lambda \in \Omega$ and $x \in H$. It is clear that $\exp(\bar{\lambda}_0 \tilde{T}^*) x \in K'$ for $x \in H$. Assume that $\exp(\bar{\lambda}_0 \tilde{T}^*) \tilde{T}^{*i} x \in K'$ for $x \in H$ and $i = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$. It follows that for $x \in H$

$$\begin{aligned} \exp(\bar{\lambda}_0 \tilde{T}^*) \tilde{T}^{*k} x &= \lim_{\lambda \in \Omega, \lambda \rightarrow \lambda_0} \frac{k!}{(\bar{\lambda} - \bar{\lambda}_0)^k} \left[\exp(\bar{\lambda} \tilde{T}^*) x \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{1}{i!} (\bar{\lambda} - \bar{\lambda}_0)^i \exp(\bar{\lambda}_0 \tilde{T}^*) \tilde{T}^{*i} x \right] \end{aligned}$$

and so $\exp(\bar{\lambda}_0 \tilde{T}^*) \tilde{T}^{*k} x \in K'$. This means that $\exp(\bar{\lambda}_0 \tilde{T}^*) \tilde{T}^{*k} x \in K'$ for all $x \in H$ and $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Since the extension is minimal, this implies that $K' = K$. The desired conclusion follows from (4).

Remark. Setting $x_i = \exp(-\lambda_i T) y_i$, Theorem 2 gives an improvement of Theorem 2 of [1]. More precisely, in order that T be a J -subnormal operator of order n , it suffices that $\exp(-\bar{\lambda} T^*) \exp(\lambda T)$ be a quasi-positive definite operator function of order n on a bounded infinite subset of complex plane. In particular, in order that T be subnormal, it suffices that $\exp(-\bar{\lambda} T^*) \exp(\lambda T)$ be a positive definite operator function on a bounded infinite subset of complex plane. The last proposition is an improvement of a theorem of Bram^[4].

Corollary. Let T be a J -subnormal operator of order n and let S be a normal operator which commutes with T . Then $T+S$ is a J -subnormal operator of order n and TS is a J -subnormal operator of order $\leq n$.

Proof By the Fuglede Theorem, we have $S^*T = TS^*$. For complex numbers $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$ and for $x_1, \dots, x_m \in H$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i,j=1}^m (\exp(\lambda_i (T+S)) x_i, \exp(\lambda_j (T+S)) x_j) \alpha_i \bar{\alpha}_j \\ = \sum_{i,j=1}^m (\exp(\lambda_i T) \exp(\bar{\lambda}_i S^*) x_i, \exp(\lambda_j T) \exp(\bar{\lambda}_j S^*) x_j) \alpha_i \bar{\alpha}_j. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 2, $T+S$ is a J -subnormal operator of order n . For $x_{ik} \in H$, $i, j = 1, \dots, m$,

$$\sum_{i,j,k,l=0}^u ((TS)^j x_{ik}, (TS)^l x_{jl}) \alpha_{ik} \bar{\alpha}_{jl} = \sum_{i,j,k,l=0}^u (T^j S^{*j} x_{ik}, T^l S^{*l} x_{jl}) \alpha_{ik} \bar{\alpha}_{jl}.$$

By Theorem 1 of [1], TS is a J -subnormal operator of order $\leq n$.

Theorem 3. Let T be an operator on a Hilbert space H and let Ω be an unbounded

subset of complex plane with $|\lambda| > \|T\|$ for every $\lambda \in \Omega$. A necessary and sufficient condition for T to be a J -subnormal operator of order n is that the Hermitian form

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^m ((\lambda_j - T)^{-1}x_i, (\lambda_i - T)^{-1}x_j)\alpha_i\bar{\alpha}_j$$

has at most n negative squares for every choice of a finite number of $x_1, \dots, x_m \in H$ and $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m \in \Omega$ and has exactly n negative squares for the least one choice of $x_1, \dots, x_m$ and $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$. In particular, a necessary and sufficient condition for T to be subnormal is that

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^m ((\lambda_j - T)^{-1}x_i, (\lambda_i - T)^{-1}x_j) \geq 0$$

for every choice of a finite number of $x_1, \dots, x_m \in H$ and $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m \in \Omega$.

Proof By Theorem 1, operator T has a minimal normal extension $\tilde{T}$ to a Krein space K . By the inequality (3), we have $\lambda \in \rho(\tilde{T})$ and $\bar{\lambda} \in \rho(\tilde{T}^*)$ for every $\lambda \in \Omega$. For $x_1, \dots, x_m \in H$ and $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m \in \Omega$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i,j=1}^m ((\lambda_j - T)^{-1}x_i, (\lambda_i - T)^{-1}x_j)\alpha_i\bar{\alpha}_j &= \sum_{i,j=1}^m \langle (\lambda_j - \tilde{T})^{-1}x_i, (\lambda_i - \tilde{T})^{-1}x_j \rangle \alpha_i\bar{\alpha}_j \\ &= \langle \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i (\bar{\lambda}_i - \tilde{T}^*)^{-1}x_i, \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_j (\lambda_j - \tilde{T})^{-1}x_j \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Let K_α be the linear closed set spanned by the vectors of the form $(\bar{\lambda} - \tilde{T}^*)^{-1}x$, where $\lambda \in \Omega$ and $x \in H$. Since $s\text{-}\lim_{\lambda \in \Omega, \lambda \rightarrow \infty} \bar{\lambda}(\bar{\lambda} - \tilde{T}^*)^{-1} = I$, we have $H \subset K'$. Assume that $\tilde{T}^{*i}x \in K'$ for $x \in H$ and $i = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$. It follows that for $x \in H$,

$$\tilde{T}^{*k}x = \lim_{\lambda \in \Omega, \lambda \rightarrow \infty} \bar{\lambda}^{k+1} [(\bar{\lambda} - \tilde{T}^*)^{-1} - \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \bar{\lambda}^{-j-1} \tilde{T}^{*j}]x$$

and so $\tilde{T}^{*k}x \in K'$. Since the extension is minimal, this means that $K' = K$. Thus the desired conclusion follows from (5).

Theorem 4. Suppose that T is a J -subnormal operator of order n . Then T has the single-valued extension property.

Proof Let T be a J -subnormal operator of order n on H . By [5], there exists a polynomial $Q(\lambda) = \prod_{k=1}^n (\lambda - \mu_k)$ such that $T|_{H_0}$ is a subnormal operator on $H_0 = [\text{ran } Q(T)]^\perp$. Suppose that $f(\lambda)$ is an H -valued function analytic on an open subset Ω of complex plane such that

$$(T - \lambda)f(\lambda) = 0, \quad \lambda \in \Omega. \quad (6)$$

Since a subnormal operator has the single-valued extension property, it follows from (6) that

$$\prod_{k=1}^n (T - \mu_k)f(\lambda) = 0, \quad \lambda \in \Omega. \quad (7)$$

From (6) and (7), we have $(\mu_n - \lambda) \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} (T - \mu_k)f(\lambda) = 0$, and so

$$\prod_{k=1}^{n-1} (T - \mu_k)f(\lambda) = 0, \quad \lambda \in \Omega.$$

Along this way, we conclude that $f(\lambda) = 0$, $\lambda \in \Omega$. This completes the proof.

Theorem 5. *Let T be a J -subnormal operator of order n on H and let x be in H . Suppose that the open subset Ω of complex plane contains no eigenvalues of T and that there is a bounded H -valued function $x(\lambda)$ analytic on Ω , such that $(T - \lambda)x(\lambda) = x$ for all $\lambda \in \Omega$. Then $x(\lambda)$ is analytic on Ω .*

Proof By [5], there is a polynomial $Q(\lambda) = \prod_{k=1}^n (\lambda - \mu_k)$ such that $T|_{H_0}$ is a subnormal operator on $H_0 = [\text{ran } Q(T)]^\perp$. The identity $(T - \lambda)x(\lambda) = x$ ($\lambda \in \Omega$) implies that $(T - \lambda)Q(T)x(\lambda) = Q(T)x$ ($\lambda \in \Omega$). Directly from a theorem of Putnam [6], it follows that $\prod_{k=1}^n (T - \mu_k)x(\lambda)$ is analytic on Ω . By the assumption, $x(\lambda)$ is weakly continuous on Ω . Since $(T - \lambda) \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} (T - \mu_k)x(\lambda)$ is constant on Ω , $(\lambda - \mu_n) \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} (T - \mu_k)x(\lambda)$ is analytic on Ω and so is $\prod_{k=1}^{n-1} (T - \mu_k)x(\lambda)$. Along this way, we conclude that $x(\lambda)$ is analytic on Ω . This completes the proof.

Let δ be a closed subset of complex plane and let T be an operator on H . We define the local spectral subspace as $\mathfrak{M}_T(\delta) = \{x \in H : \sigma(x, T) \subset \delta\}$, where $\sigma(x, T)$ is the local spectrum of T at x .

Theorem 6. *Let T be a J -subnormal operator of order n on H . Suppose that δ is a closed subset of complex plane. Then $\mathfrak{M}_T(\delta)$ is a closed invariant subspace for T .*

Proof It is clear that $\mathfrak{M}_T(\delta)$ is invariant under T . By [5], T has an invariant subspace H_0 with finite codimension in H such that $T|_{H_0}$ is subnormal. By Proposition 1.10 of [7], we have $\mathfrak{M}_{T|_{H_0}}(\delta) \subset \mathfrak{M}_T(\delta)$. Let $x \in H_0$. By Proposition 2.9 and Theorem 2.11 of [7], we have $\sigma(x, T|_{H_0}) = \sigma(x, T)$. This implies that

$$[\mathfrak{M}_T(\delta) \ominus \mathfrak{M}_{T|_{H_0}}(\delta)] \cap H_0 = \{0\}.$$

By Corollary I. 3.4 of [2], we have $\dim [\mathfrak{M}_T(\delta) \ominus \mathfrak{M}_{T|_{H_0}}(\delta)] < \infty$. Since $\mathfrak{M}_{T|_{H_0}}(\delta)$ is closed, so is $\mathfrak{M}_T(\delta)$. This completes the proof.

Theorem 7. *Let T be a J -subnormal operator of order n and $n > 0$. Then T^* is not dominant.*

Proof By [5], T has an invariant subspace H_0 with $0 < \text{codim } H_0 \leq n$ such that $T|_{H_0}$ is subnormal. We may assume that there does not exist another invariant subspace of T containing H_0 on which T is subnormal. Since $H_1 = H_0^\perp$ is invariant under T^* , there is an eigenvector x corresponding to the eigenvalue μ of $T^*|_{H_1}$. If T^* is dominant, then there is an M_μ such that $\|(T - \bar{\mu})x\| \leq M_\mu \|(T^* - \mu)x\|$. This implies that $Tx = \bar{\mu}x$. Let $H_2 = H_0 \oplus \{\alpha x : \alpha \in \mathbb{C}\}$. Thus H_2 is invariant under T and $T|_{H_2}$ is subnormal. This gives a contradiction and completes the proof.

Theorem 8. *Let T be a J -subnormal operator of order n . If $[T] = T^*T - TT^*$, then $\text{rank } [T]_- \leq n$, where $[T]_-$ is the negative part of $[T]$.*

Proof Since $\text{ran } [T]_- \subset \text{ran } [T]_-^{1/2}$, it is sufficient to show that $\dim \text{ran } [T]_-^{1/2} \leq$

n . If $\dim \operatorname{ran} [T]_-^{1/2} > n$, then there exist $x_1, \dots, x_{n+1} \in H$ such that

$$([T]_-^{1/2} x_i, [T]_-^{1/2} x_j) = \delta_{ij}, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n+1.$$

Define $H_- = \ker [T]_+$. Let P_- be the orthogonal projection of H onto H_- . Therefore

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{n+1} ([T]_- P_- x_i, P_- x_j) \alpha_i \bar{\alpha}_j = - \sum_{i,j=1}^{n+1} ([T]_- x_i, x_j) \alpha_i \bar{\alpha}_j = - \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} |\alpha_j|^2.$$

This is in contradiction with Theorem 4 of [1]. The proof is complete.

References

- [1] Wu Jingbo, On the J -normal extensions of operators, *Chin. Ann. Math.*, **3**: 5 (1982), 609—616 (in Chinese).
- [2] Bognar, J., Indefinite inner product spaces, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 1974.
- [3] Kato, T., Perturbation theory for linear operators, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1966.
- [4] Bram, J., Subnormal operators, *Duke Math. J.*, **22** (1955), 75—94.
- [5] Wu Jingbo, Invariant subspaces for J -subnormal operators, *Chin. Ann. Math.*, **5A**: 6 (1984), 729—732. (in Chinese)
- [6] Putnam, C. R., Ranges of normal and subnormal operators, *Mich. Math. J.*, **18** (1971), 33—36.
- [7] Erdelyi, I. and Lange, R., Spectral decompositions on Banach spaces, Lecture Notes in Math., no. 623, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1977.