

# ISOPARAMETRIC FINITE ELEMENT METHODS FOR NONLINEAR DIRICHLET PROBLEM

LI LIKANG (李立康)\*

## Abstract

In this paper, the author solves the nonlinear Dirichlet problem with nonhomogeneous boundary condition by use of the isoparametric finite element method, and obtains the optimal error estimate.

## §1. Introduction

We consider the nonlinear Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} -\nabla \cdot (a(x, u) \nabla u) = f(x), & x \in \Omega, \\ u = g(x), & x \in I, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where  $x = (x_1, x_2)$ , and  $\Omega$  is a bounded open subset of  $R^2$ , its boundary  $I$  is sufficiently smooth. In [1] and [2] Douglas and Dupont obtained an approximate solution of (1.1) by F. E. M. with penalty. In § 4 of [2] Douglas also obtained an approximate solution of (1.1) by F. E. M. without penalty, but the finite element space is a finite dimensional subspace of  $W_2^2(\Omega) \cap C^1(\Omega)$ . In this paper we obtain an approximate solution of (1.1) by the isoparametric finite element method. Moreover, the finite element space is a finite dimensional subspace of  $C^0(\Omega)$ . The method in this paper is a straightforward generalization of a method in [3] to the nonlinear case. In § 2 we shall give triangulation and some inequalities. In § 3 we shall prove existence of an approximate solution. In § 4 we shall evaluate the error  $\|u^* - \tilde{u}_h^*\|_{1,\Omega}$  and  $\|u^* - \tilde{u}_h^*\|_{0,\Omega}$ , where  $u^*$  denotes the solution of (1.1) and  $\tilde{u}_h^*$  denotes the approximate solution with numerical integration being taken into account. For simplicity, we shall not evaluate the error  $\|u^* - u_h^*\|_{1,\Omega}$  and the error  $\|u^* - u_h^*\|_{0,\Omega}$ , where  $u_h^*$  denotes the approximate solution without taking into account numerical integration.

In this paper  $C$  denotes a generic constant with possibly different values in different contexts.

For  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$  and  $n$ , a nonnegative integer, let  $W^{n,p}(K)$  be a Sobolev space.

Manuscript received May 31, 1983.

\* Department of Mathematics, Fudan University, Shanghai, China.

Let  $\|\cdot\|_{n,p,K}$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{n,p,K}$  be the norm and the seminorm on  $W^{n,p}(K)$  respectively. When  $p=2$ , we write  $H^n(K) = W^{n,2}(K)$ ,  $\|\cdot\|_{n,K} = \|\cdot\|_{n,2,K}$ ,  $|\cdot|_{n,K} = |\cdot|_{n,2,K}$ .

## § 2. Triangulation and Some Inequalities

For simplicity, we only discuss the isoparametric 2-simplex of type 2. Such a finite element is discussed in [3]. Here we briefly describe them. Let  $\hat{K}$  be a reference finite element of an isoparametric family. We take  $\hat{K}$  as the triangle  $\triangle \hat{O}\hat{A}\hat{B}$ , which is described in Fig. 1 in [3]. Let  $K_{1Bi}$  ( $i=1, \dots, m$ ) be all boundary elements and  $K_{1Ii}$  ( $i=m+1, \dots, m+m_0$ ) be all interior elements, where  $K_{1Bi}$  consists of  $OB$  and  $AB$  and arc  $OA$  on  $\Gamma_h$  as shown in Fig. 1 in [3]. For simplicity we denote  $K_{1i} = K_{1Bi}$  ( $i=1, \dots, m$ ) and  $K_{2i} = K_{1Ii}$  ( $i=m+1, \dots, m+m_0$ ), and we have  $\Omega_h = \bigcup_{i=1}^{m+m_0} K_{1i}$ . In general,  $\Omega_h$  is not equal to  $\Omega$ . In this paper we take  $K_{2Bi}$  ( $i=1, \dots, m$ ) as boundary elements, where  $K_{2Bi}$  consists of segments  $OB$  and  $AB$  and arc  $OEA$  on  $\Gamma$ , as shown in Fig. 1 in [3]. We denote by  $K_{2Ii}$  ( $i=m+1, \dots, m+m_0$ ) the interior elements which equal to  $K_{1Ii}$ . We also denote  $K_{2i} = K_{2Bi}$  ( $i=1, \dots, m$ ) and  $K_{2i} = K_{2Ii}$  ( $i=m+1, \dots, m+m_0$ ). We have  $\bar{\Omega} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{m+m_0} K_{2i}$ . Let  $h_{K_{1i}} = \text{diam}(K_{1i})$ ,  $h = \max_{1 \leq i \leq m+m_0} h_{K_{1i}}$ . We assume that triangulations satisfy the regular condition in [4]. We know<sup>[4]</sup> that there exists an isoparametric mapping  $F_{K_i}: \hat{K} \rightarrow K_{1i}$  ( $i=1, \dots, m+m_0$ ). Let  $\hat{K}^0$  be a bounded open convex subset containing  $\hat{K}$ . We also know<sup>[3]</sup> that the inverse mapping of  $F_{K_i}$  on  $\hat{K}^0$  exists for  $h < h_0$ , where  $h_0$  is sufficiently small. Let  $K_i = F_{K_i}(\hat{K}^0)$ . We have  $K_i \supset K_{2i}$ . Let  $G_{1j} = K_{1j} \setminus K_{2j}$ ,  $G_{2j} = K_{2j} \setminus K_{1j}$ ,  $G_j = G_{1j} \cup G_{2j}$  ( $j=1, \dots, m$ ),  $\tilde{G}_1 = \bigcup_{j=1}^m G_{1j}$ ,  $\tilde{G}_2 = \bigcup_{j=1}^m G_{2j}$ ,  $\tilde{G} = \tilde{G}_1 \cup \tilde{G}_2$ . Let  $B_j$  ( $j=1, \dots, m_1$ ) and  $I_j$  ( $j=m_1+1, \dots, m_1+m_2$ ) represent boundary nodes and interior nodes respectively. We denote by  $\hat{P}_2(\hat{K}^0)$  the space of all polynomials of degree  $\leq 2$  on  $\hat{K}^0$ . We define

$$\begin{aligned} V_h &= \{w_h(x_1, x_2) \mid w_h(x_1, x_2) \in C^0(\bar{\Omega}), w_h(x_1, x_2) \\ &= \hat{w}_{hi}(\hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2) \cdot F_{K_i}^{-1} \text{ on } K_{2i}, \text{ where } \hat{w}_{hi}(\hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2) \in \hat{P}_2(\hat{K}^0)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $g$  be a continuous function defined on  $\Gamma$ . We define

$$V_h^g = \{w_h(x_1, x_2) \mid w_h(x_1, x_2) \in V_h, w_h(B_j) = g(B_j) \ (j=1, \dots, m_1)\}.$$

When  $g=0$ , we denote  $V_h^0 = V_h$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $w_h(x_1, x_2) \in V_h^0$ . Then

$$\int_{\gamma_i} |w_h(x_1, x_2)|^2 ds \leq Ch^5 \int_{K_{2i}} |w_h(x_1, x_2)|^2 dx_1 dx_2, \quad i=1, \dots, m,$$

where  $\gamma_i = K_{2i} \cap \Gamma$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** Suppose that  $p(x_1, x_2) \in V_h$ . Then

$$\int_{G_j} |p(x_1, x_2)|^2 dx_1 dx_2 \leq Ch^2 \int_{K_{lj}} |p(x_1, x_2)|^2 dx_1 dx_2, \quad l=1, 2; j=1, \dots, m.$$

**Theorem 2.3.** Suppose that  $p(x_1, x_2) \in V_h$ . Then

$$|p(x_1, x_2)|_{1, G_j}^2 \leq Ch^2 |p(x_1, x_2)|_{1, K_{lj}}^2, \quad l=1, 2; j=1, \dots, m.$$

Proof of Theorem 2.1—Theorem 2.3 could be found in [3].

Let  $u \in H^2(\Omega)$ , and  $\Pi_h$  be an interpolation operator, which is defined by

- (i)  $\Pi_h u \in V_h$ ,
- (ii)  $\Pi_h u(B_j) = u(B_j), \quad j=1, \dots, m_1$ ,
- (iii)  $\Pi_h u(I_j) = u(I_j), \quad j=m_1+1, \dots, m_1+m_2$ .

Arguing as in the case of § 4 in [5], we could obtain

$$\begin{cases} \|u - \Pi_h u\|_{n, \Omega} \leq Ch^{3-n} \|u\|_{3, \Omega}, & n=0, 1, \\ \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{m+m_0} \|u - \Pi_h u\|_{n, K_{li}}^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq Ch^{3-n} \|u\|_{3, \Omega}, & n=2, 3. \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

### § 3. Existence of Approximate Solution

**Problem P:** Find  $u \in H^1(\Omega)$  such that

$$\begin{cases} u = g(x), & x \in \Gamma, \\ (a(x, u) \nabla u, \nabla v) = (f, v), & \forall v \in H_0^1(\Omega). \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

In general, we do not know if the weak solutions of (1.1) are unique. However, under some conditions<sup>[1]</sup> the solutions of Problem P are sufficiently smooth. Douglas-Dupont-Serrin<sup>[6]</sup> have proved that the solution of (1.1) is unique under certain conditions. Thus, under certain conditions the weak solution of (1.1), i.e., the solution of (3.1), is in fact a classical solution of (1.1). Under these conditions we discuss the approximate solution. These conditions may be found in [9], we omit them. But, we notice that the assumption about  $a(x, u)$ ,  $f$ ,  $g$  and  $\Omega$  in next paragraph will guarantee that the weak solution of (1.1) is a classical solution, which exists and is unique.

In this paper we assume that  $a(x, u)$  is a sufficiently smooth mapping of  $\tilde{\Omega} \times R$  into  $[\alpha_0, \alpha_1]$ , where  $\alpha_0, \alpha_1$  are constants satisfying  $0 < \alpha_0 \leq \alpha_1 < \infty$ ,  $\tilde{\Omega}$  is a bounded open subset of  $R^2$  and satisfies  $\bar{\Omega} \subset \tilde{\Omega}$ . Moreover, since  $h \rightarrow 0$ , we will make the following assumption

$$\Omega_h \subset \tilde{\Omega} \text{ for all } h.$$

We also assume that the derivatives of  $a(x, u)$  through certain order are bounded on  $\tilde{\Omega} \times R$ ,  $g$  is a trace of  $G \in C^3(\bar{\Omega})$ ,  $f$  is sufficiently smooth defined over  $\tilde{\Omega}$  and  $u \in H^3(\Omega)$ . Because  $\Gamma$  is sufficiently smooth, there exists an extension operator  $E: H^3(\Omega) \rightarrow H^3(R^2)$ , i.e. for all  $u \in H^3(\Omega)$ , the function  $Eu \in H^3(R^2)$  satisfies  $Eu|_{\Omega} = u$  and, besides, the operator  $E$  is continuous, i. e., there exists a constant  $O(\Omega)$

such that

$$\|Eu\|_{3,\Omega} \leq O(\Omega) \|u\|_{3,\Omega}, \quad \forall u \in H^3(\Omega).$$

In what follows, we will assume that solution  $u^*$  of Problem  $P$  is defined on  $R^2$  and satisfies above inequality. But we omit  $E$ .

**Problem  $P_h$ :** Find  $u_h \in V_h^q$  such that

$$(a(x, u_h) \nabla u_h, \nabla v_h) = (f, v_h), \quad \forall v_h \in V_h^0. \quad (3.2)$$

$u_h$  are the approximate solutions of Problem  $P$ .

Now we prove the existence of Problem  $P_h$ .

Let  $u_{h1}$  be a certain element in  $V_h^q$ .

**Problem  $P'_h$ :** Find  $u_{h0} \in V_h^0$  such that

$$(a(x, u_{h0} + u_{h1}) \nabla u_{h0}, \nabla v_h) = (f, v_h) - ((a(x, u_{h0} + u_{h1}) \nabla u_{h1}, \nabla v_h), \quad \forall v_h \in V_h^0. \quad (3.3)$$

**Theorem 3.1.** The mapping  $S: V_h^0 \rightarrow V_h^0$ , defined by

$$(a(x, u_{h0} + u_{h1}) \nabla S u_{h0}, \nabla v_h) = (f, v_h) - (a(x, u_{h0} + u_{h1}) \nabla u_{h1}, \nabla v_h), \quad \forall v_h \in V_h^0, \quad (3.4)$$

exists and is unique.

*Proof* Let  $v_h$  be an arbitrary element in the space  $V_h^0$ . Using Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.3 and Poincaré inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (a(x, u_{h0} + u_{h1}) \nabla v_h, \nabla v_h) &\geq \alpha_0 |v_h|_{1,\Omega}^2 = \alpha_0 [|v_h|_{1,\Omega_h}^2 + |v_h|_{1,\tilde{\Omega}_1}^2 - |v_h|_{1,\tilde{\Omega}_2}^2] \\ &\geq O[\|v_h\|_{1,\Omega_h}^2 - \|v_h\|_{1,\tilde{\Omega}_1}^2] = O[\|v_h\|_{1,\Omega}^2 - \|v_h\|_{1,\tilde{\Omega}_1}^2 + \|v_h\|_{1,\tilde{\Omega}_2}^2 - \|v_h\|_{1,\tilde{\Omega}_1}^2] \\ &\geq O[\|v_h\|_{1,\Omega}^2 - 2\|v_h\|_{1,\tilde{\Omega}_1}^2] \geq O\|v_h\|_{1,\Omega}^2, \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

the last inequality holds for  $h$  sufficiently small.

From (3.4) and (3.5) we easily know that solution  $Su_{h0}$  of (3.4) exists and is unique, i.e. the mapping  $S$  exists and is unique.

**Theorem 3.2.** The range of  $S$  is contained in a ball.

*Proof* Let  $u_{h0}$  be an arbitrary element. The particular choice  $v_h = Su_{h0}$  in (3.4) gives

$$O\|Su_{h0}\|_{0,\Omega}^2 \leq \|f\|_{0,\Omega} \|Su_{h0}\|_{0,\Omega} + \alpha_1 \|u_{h1}\|_{1,\Omega} \|Su_{h0}\|_{1,\Omega}.$$

The conclusion of the Theorem follows from above inequality.

**Theorem 3.3.** The mapping  $S: V_h^0 \rightarrow V_h^0$ , defined by (3.4) is continuous.

*Proof* Let sequence  $\{u_n\}$  in  $V_h^0$  be convergence and  $u_0$  be an element in  $V_h^0$  such that  $u_n \rightarrow u_0$  in  $V_h^0$ . Since  $S$  is bounded, sequence  $\{Su_n\}$  is also bounded. Since  $V_h^0$  is finite dimensional space, we can extract from  $\{Su_n\}$  a subsequence  $\{Su_{n_i}\}$  such that  $\{Su_{n_i}\}$  converges in the  $V_h^0$ . Let  $\bar{u}$  be an element in  $V_h^0$  such that  $Su_{n_i} \rightarrow \bar{u}$  in  $V_h^0$ . Now we prove  $\bar{u} = Su_0$ . We have

$$(a(x, u_{n_i} + u_{h1}) \nabla Su_{n_i}, \nabla v_h) = (f, v_h) - (a(x, u_{n_i} + u_{h1}) \nabla u_{h1}, \nabla v_h), \quad \forall v_h \in V_h^0.$$

Let us fix  $v_h$  in  $V_h^0$ , above equalities converge to

$$(a(x, u_0 + u_{h1}) \nabla \bar{u}, \nabla v_h) = (f, v_h) - (a(x, u_0 + u_{h1}) \nabla u_{h1}, \nabla v_h), \quad \forall v_h \in V_h^0. \quad (3.6)$$

From (3.4) and (3.6), we obtain  $\bar{u} = Su_0$ . Since  $\bar{u}$  is unique, sequence  $\{Su_n\}$  is convergence, we thus have proved our Theorem.

**Theorem 3.4.** *Problem  $P_h$  has a solution.*

*Proof* Problem  $P_h$  and Problem  $P'_h$  are equivalent. Using Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 3.3 and Brouwer fixed point theorem, we see that operator equation  $u_h = Su_h$  has a solution. We denote by  $u_{h0}$  the solution of  $u_h = Su_h$ . Obviously,  $u_{h0}$  is a solution of  $P'_h$ . Therefore  $u_{h0} + u_{h1}$  is a solution of  $P_h$ .

## § 4. Error Estimate

For simplicity, we only consider error estimate with the numerical integration being taken into account. Using the same method, we could obtain error estimate without taking into account numerical integration.

Let  $f(x_1, x_2)$  be a continuous function defined on  $\tilde{\Omega}$ . We have

$$\int_{\Omega} f(x_1, x_2) dx_1 dx_2 = \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{K_i} f(x_1, x_2) dx_1 dx_2 + \sum_{i=m+1}^{m+m_0} \int_{K_i} f(x_1, x_2) dx_1 dx_2. \quad (4.1)$$

Let  $K$  be an arbitrary interior element and  $F_K$  be an isoparametric mapping such that  $K = F_K(\hat{K})$ . If  $f(x_1, x_2) = \hat{f}(\hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2) \cdot F_K^{-1}$ , then we have

$$\int_K f(x_1, x_2) dx_1 dx_2 = \int_{\hat{K}} \hat{f}(\hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2) J_{F_K}(\hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2) d\hat{x}_1 d\hat{x}_2, \quad (4.2)$$

where  $J_{F_K}(\hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2)$  denotes the Jacobian of mapping  $F_K$ . If we apply the quadrature formula over  $\hat{K}$

$$\int_{\hat{K}} \hat{f}(\hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2) d\hat{x}_1 d\hat{x}_2 \sim \sum_{l=1}^L \hat{\omega}_l \hat{f}(\hat{b}_{1l}, \hat{b}_{2l}), \quad (4.3)$$

where  $\hat{\omega}_l > 0$  ( $l=1, \dots, L$ ), then we have the quadrature formula over  $K$

$$\int_K f(x_1, x_2) dx_1 dx_2 \sim \sum_{l=1}^L \omega_{l,K} f(b_{1lK}, b_{2lK}), \quad (4.4)$$

where

$$\begin{cases} \omega_{l,K} = \hat{\omega}_l J_{F_K}(\hat{b}_{1l}, \hat{b}_{2l}), \\ b_{lK} = F_K(\hat{b}_l), \quad \hat{b}_l = (\hat{b}_{1l}, \hat{b}_{2l}), \\ b_{lK} = (b_{1lK}, b_{2lK}). \end{cases} \quad (4.5)$$

We denote

$$\hat{E}(\hat{f}) = \int_{\hat{K}} \hat{f}(\hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2) d\hat{x}_1 d\hat{x}_2 - \sum_{l=1}^L \hat{\omega}_l \hat{f}(\hat{b}_{1l}, \hat{b}_{2l}). \quad (4.6)$$

In what follows, we assume that  $\hat{\omega}_l, \hat{b}_l$  ( $l=1, \dots, L$ ) satisfy the following conditions:

- (i)  $\hat{E}(\hat{v} J_{F_K}) = 0, \quad \forall \hat{v} \in P_2(\hat{K}),$
- (ii)  $\hat{E}(\hat{\omega}) = 0, \quad \forall \hat{\omega} \in P_2(\hat{K}),$
- (iii)  $\hat{E}(\hat{v} \hat{w}) = 0, \quad \forall \hat{v} \in \hat{P}_1(\hat{K}), \quad \hat{w} \in P_2(\hat{K}).$

Let  $\tilde{K}$  be an arbitrary boundary element and  $F_{\tilde{K}}$  be an isoparametric mapping. We shall apply the same quadrature formula (4.4) for boundary element  $\tilde{K}$ , i. e.

$$\int_{\tilde{K}} f(x_1, x_2) dx_1 dx_2 \sim \sum_{l=1}^L \omega_{l,\tilde{K}} f(b_{1l\tilde{K}}, b_{2l\tilde{K}}),$$

where  $\omega_{l\tilde{K}}$  and  $b_{l\tilde{K}}$  are defined by (4.5).

Define

$$A(y; u, v) = (a(x, y) \nabla u, \nabla v), \quad (4.8)$$

$$A_h(u_h; u_h, v_h) = \sum_{i=1}^{m+m_0} \sum_{l=1}^L \omega_{lK_{i,l}} a(b_{lK_{i,l}}, u_h(b_{lK_{i,l}})) \nabla u_h(b_{lK_{i,l}}) \nabla v_h(b_{lK_{i,l}}), \quad (4.9)$$

$$f(v) = (f, v), \quad (4.10)$$

$$f_h(v_h) = \sum_{i=1}^{m+m_0} \sum_{l=1}^L \omega_{lK_{i,l}} f(b_{lK_{i,l}}) v_h(b_{lK_{i,l}}). \quad (4.11)$$

**Problem  $\tilde{P}_h$ :** Find  $\tilde{u}_h \in V_h^q$  such that

$$A_h(u_h; u_h, v_h) = f_h(v_h), \quad \forall v_h \in V_h^0. \quad (4.12)$$

**Theorem 4.1.** The mapping  $\tilde{S}: V_h^0 \rightarrow V_h^q$ , defined by

$$A_h(\tilde{u}_{h0} + \tilde{u}_{h1}; \tilde{S}\tilde{u}_{h0}, v_h) = f_h(v_h) - A_h(\tilde{u}_{h0} + \tilde{u}_{h1}; \tilde{u}_{h1}, v_h), \quad \forall v_h \in V_h^0, \quad (4.13)$$

exists and is unique, where  $\tilde{u}_{h1}$  is a fixed element in  $V_h^q$ .

*Proof* Using the property of  $a(x, u)$  and the Theorem 4.4.2 in [4], we obtain

$$A_h(\tilde{u}_{h0} + \tilde{u}_{h1}; u_h, u_h) \geq O \|u_h\|_{1, \Omega}^2.$$

By Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.3, the following inequality holds,

$$A_h(\tilde{u}_{h0} + \tilde{u}_{h1}; u_h, u_h) \geq O \|u_h\|_{1, \Omega}^2. \quad (4.14)$$

The conclusion of Theorem follows from above inequality.

**Theorem 4.2.** The range of  $\tilde{S}$  is constrained in a ball.

Using the method of § 4.4 in [4] and arguing as in the Theorem 3.2, we could prove our Theorem.

**Theorem 4.3.** The mapping  $\tilde{S}$  is continuous.

**Theorem 4.4.** Problem  $\tilde{P}_h$  has a solution.

Using the same methods in the Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 3.4, we can prove that Theorem 4.3 and Theorem 4.4 are exact.

Let us next turn to the error estimate.

Let  $\tilde{u}_h^*$  and  $u^*$  be solutions of Problem  $\tilde{P}_h$  and Problem  $P$  respectively. Let  $v_h$  be an arbitrary element in  $V_h^q$ . We can write

$$\begin{aligned} A_h(\tilde{u}_h^*; \tilde{u}_h^* - v_h, \tilde{u}_h^* - v_h) &= A(u^*; u^* - v_h, \tilde{u}_h^* - v_h) + A(u^*; v_h, \tilde{u}_h^* - v_h) \\ &\quad - A_h(\tilde{u}_h^*; v_h, \tilde{u}_h^* - v_h) + [f_h(\tilde{u}_h^* - v_h) - f(\tilde{u}_h^* - v_h)] \\ &\quad - [A(u^*; u^*, \tilde{u}_h^* - v_h) - f(\tilde{u}_h^* - v_h)] \\ &= A(u^*; u^* - v_h, \tilde{u}_h^* - v_h) + [A(u^*; v_h, \tilde{u}_h^* - v_h) - A(\tilde{u}_h^*; v_h, \tilde{u}_h^* - v_h)] \\ &\quad + [A(\tilde{u}_h^*; v_h, \tilde{u}_h^* - v_h) - A_h(\tilde{u}_h^*; v_h, \tilde{u}_h^* - v_h)] \\ &\quad + [f_h(\tilde{u}_h^* - v_h) - f(\tilde{u}_h^* - v_h)] - [A(u^*; u^*, \tilde{u}_h^* - v_h) - f(\tilde{u}_h^* - v_h)]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

Now we estimate each term on the right hand side of (4.15). We denote each term on the right hand side of (4.15) by I, II, III, IV, V respectively. We have

$$|I| \leq \alpha_1 \|u^* - v_h\|_{1, \Omega} \|\tilde{u}_h^* - v_h\|_{1, \Omega}. \quad (4.16)$$

$$|II| \leq O \|a(x, u^*) - a(x, \tilde{u}_h^*)\|_{0, 3, \Omega} \|v_h\|_{1, 6, \Omega} \|\tilde{u}_h^* - v_h\|_{1, \Omega}. \quad (4.17)$$

Using the method of proving (4.19) in [3], we could obtain

$$|III| \leq Ch^2 \left( \sum_{i=1}^{m+m_0} \|v_h\|_{2, K_i}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\tilde{u}_h^* - v_h\|_{1, \Omega}. \quad (4.18)$$

Using the method of proving (4.21) and (4.24) in [3], we could obtain

$$|IV| = |f_h(\tilde{u}_h^* - v_h) - f(\tilde{u}_h^* - v_h)| \leq Ch^2 \|f\|_{2, q, \tilde{\Omega}} \|\tilde{u}_h^* - v_h\|_{1, \Omega}, \quad (4.19)$$

where  $q > 2$ . We may write

$$|V| = \left| \int_{\Gamma} a(x, u^*) \frac{\partial u^*}{\partial n} (\tilde{u}_h^* - v_h) ds \right|.$$

By Theorem 2.1, there exists constant  $C$  such that

$$|V| \leq C \|u^*\|_{2, \Omega} h^{\frac{5}{2}} \|\tilde{u}_h^* - v_h\|_{1, \Omega}. \quad (4.20)$$

Taking  $v_h = \Pi_h u^*$  and using inequalities (4.14) and (4.16)–(4.20), we obtain

$$\|\tilde{u}_h^* - \Pi_h u^*\|_{1, \Omega} \leq C [h^2 + \|a(x, u^*) - a(x, \tilde{u}_h^*)\|_{0, 3, \Omega}].$$

Since

$$\|u^* - \tilde{u}_h^*\|_{0, 3, \Omega} \leq C \|u^* - \tilde{u}_h^*\|_{\frac{1}{2}, \Omega}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|u^* - \tilde{u}_h^*\|_{1, \Omega}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

and

$$\|a(x, u^*) - a(x, \tilde{u}_h^*)\|_{0, 3, \Omega} \leq C \|u^* - \tilde{u}_h^*\|_{0, 3, \Omega},$$

we obtain

$$\|u^* - \tilde{u}_h^*\|_{1, \Omega} \leq C [h^2 + \|u^* - \tilde{u}_h^*\|_{0, \Omega}]. \quad (4.21)$$

Let  $L^*$  be an operator defined by

$$L^* v = -\nabla \cdot (a(x, u^*) \nabla v) + a_u(x, u^*) \nabla u^* \cdot \nabla v.$$

By assumption in § 2, we know that operator  $L^*$  is well defined and that the solution  $\chi$  of the following problem

$$\begin{cases} L^* \chi = u^* - \tilde{u}_h^*, & x \in \Omega, \\ \chi = 0, & x \in \Gamma \end{cases}$$

belongs to  $H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$ . Moreover

$$\|\chi\|_{2, \Omega} \leq C \|u^* - \tilde{u}_h^*\|_{0, \Omega}. \quad (4.22)$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \|u^* - \tilde{u}_h^*\|_{0, \Omega}^2 &= (u^* - \tilde{u}_h^*, L^* \chi) \\ &= (u^* - \tilde{u}_h^*, -\nabla \cdot (a(x, u^*) \nabla \chi)) + (u^* - \tilde{u}_h^*, a_u(x, u^*) \nabla u^* \cdot \nabla \chi) \\ &= (\nabla(u^* - \tilde{u}_h^*), a(x, u^*) \nabla \chi) - \int_{\Gamma} a(x, u^*) (u^* - \tilde{u}_h^*) \frac{\partial \chi}{\partial n} ds \\ &\quad + (u^* - \tilde{u}_h^*, a_u(x, u^*) \nabla u^* \cdot \nabla \chi) \\ &= A(u^*; u^*, \chi) - A(\tilde{u}_h^*; \tilde{u}_h^*, \chi) + ([a(x, \tilde{u}_h^*) - a(x, u^*)] \nabla \tilde{u}_h^*, \nabla \chi) \\ &\quad + (a_u(x, u^*) (u^* - \tilde{u}_h^*) \nabla u^*, \nabla \chi) - \int_{\Gamma} a(x, u^*) (u^* - \tilde{u}_h^*) \frac{\partial \chi}{\partial n} ds \\ &= A(u^*; u^*, \chi) - A(\tilde{u}_h^*; \tilde{u}_h^*, \chi) + ((u^* - \tilde{u}_h^*) \bar{a}_u(x) \nabla(u^* - \tilde{u}_h^*), \\ &\quad + (u^* - \tilde{u}_h^*) \bar{a}_{uu}(x) \nabla u^*, \nabla \chi) - \int_{\Gamma} a(x, u^*) (u^* - \tilde{u}_h^*) \frac{\partial \chi}{\partial n} ds \\ &= [A(u^*; u^*, \chi - \Pi_h \chi) - A(\tilde{u}_h^*; \tilde{u}_h^*, \chi - \Pi_h \chi)] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + ((u^* - \tilde{u}_n^*) \bar{a}_u(x) \nabla(u^* - \tilde{u}_n^*) + (u^* - \tilde{u}_n^*)^2 \bar{a}_{uu}(x) \nabla u^*, \nabla \chi) \\
& + [A(u^*; u^*, \Pi_h \chi) - A(\tilde{u}_n^*; \tilde{u}_n^*, \Pi_h \chi)] - \int_{\Gamma} \alpha(x, u^*) (u^* - \tilde{u}_n^*) \frac{\partial \chi}{\partial n} ds,
\end{aligned} \quad (4.23)$$

where

$$\bar{a}_u(x) = \int_0^1 a_u(x, u^* - t(u^* - \tilde{u}_n^*)) dt, \quad (4.24)$$

$$\bar{a}_{uu}(x) = \int_0^1 (1-t) a_{uu}(x, u^* - t(u^* - \tilde{u}_n^*)) dt. \quad (4.25)$$

We may write

$$\begin{aligned}
& A(u^*; u^*, \Pi_h \chi) - A(\tilde{u}_n^*; \tilde{u}_n^*, \Pi_h \chi) \\
& = -[A(\tilde{u}_n^*; \tilde{u}_n^*, \Pi_h \chi) - A_h(\tilde{u}_n^*; \tilde{u}_n^*, \Pi_h \chi)] + [f(\Pi_h \chi) - f_h(\Pi_h \chi)] \\
& + \int_{\Gamma} \alpha(x, u^*) \frac{\partial u^*}{\partial n} \Pi_h \chi ds.
\end{aligned} \quad (4.26)$$

Define

$$\tilde{A}_h(u; v, w) = \int_{\Omega_h} \alpha(x, u) \nabla v \cdot \nabla w dx. \quad (4.27)$$

We may write

$$\begin{aligned}
& A(\tilde{u}_n^*; \tilde{u}_n^*, \Pi_h \chi) - A_h(\tilde{u}_n^*; \tilde{u}_n^*, \Pi_h \chi) \\
& = [\tilde{A}_h(\tilde{u}_n^*; \tilde{u}_n^* - \Pi_h u^*, \Pi_h \chi) - A_h(\tilde{u}_n^*; \tilde{u}_n^* - \Pi_h u^*, \Pi_h \chi)] \\
& + [\tilde{A}_h(\tilde{u}_n^*; \Pi_h u^*, \Pi_h \chi) - A_h(\tilde{u}_n^*; \Pi_h u^*, \Pi_h \chi)] \\
& + [A(\tilde{u}_n^*; \tilde{u}_n^*, \Pi_h \chi) - \tilde{A}_h(\tilde{u}_n^*; \tilde{u}_n^*, \Pi_h \chi)].
\end{aligned}$$

Arguing as (5.18) in [7] and (5.19) in [7], we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& |A_h(\tilde{u}_n^*; \Pi_h u^*, \Pi_h \chi) - A_h(\tilde{u}_n^*; \Pi_h u^*, \Pi_h \chi)| \leq Ch^3 \|u^*\|_{3,\Omega} \|u^* - \tilde{u}_n^*\|_{0,\Omega}, \\
& |\tilde{A}_h(\tilde{u}_n^*; \tilde{u}_n^* - \Pi_h u^*, \Pi_h \chi) - A_h(\tilde{u}_n^*; \tilde{u}_n^* - \Pi_h u^*, \Pi_h \chi)| \\
& \leq Ch \|\tilde{u}_n^* - \Pi_h u^*\|_{1,\Omega} \|u^* - \tilde{u}_n^*\|_{0,\Omega} \\
& \leq C[h^3 + h \|u^* - \tilde{u}_n^*\|_{1,\Omega}] \|u^* - \tilde{u}_n^*\|_{0,\Omega}.
\end{aligned}$$

By (4.27) we may write

$$\begin{aligned}
& A(\tilde{u}_n^*; \tilde{u}_n^*, \Pi_h \chi) - \tilde{A}_h(\tilde{u}_n^*; \tilde{u}_n^*, \Pi_h \chi) \\
& = \int_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_h} \alpha(x, \tilde{u}_n^*) \nabla \tilde{u}_n^* \cdot \nabla \Pi_h \chi dx - \int_{\Omega_h \setminus \Omega} \alpha(x, \tilde{u}_n^*) \nabla \tilde{u}_n^* \cdot \nabla \Pi_h \chi dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Arguing as (3.27) in [8], we obtain

$$|A(\tilde{u}_n^*; \tilde{u}_n^*, \Pi_h \chi) - \tilde{A}_h(\tilde{u}_n^*; \tilde{u}_n^*, \Pi_h \chi)| \leq Ch^3 \|u^* - \tilde{u}_n^*\|_{0,\Omega}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
& |A(\tilde{u}_n^*; \tilde{u}_n^*, \Pi_h \chi) - A_h(\tilde{u}_n^*; \tilde{u}_n^*, \Pi_h \chi)| \\
& \leq C[h^3 + h \|u^* - \tilde{u}_n^*\|_{1,\Omega}] \|u^* - \tilde{u}_n^*\|_{0,\Omega}.
\end{aligned} \quad (4.28)$$

We may write

$$f(\Pi_h \chi) - f_h(\Pi_h \chi) = \int_{\Omega_h} f \Pi_h \chi dx - f_h(\Pi_h \chi) + \left[ \int_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_h} f \Pi_h \chi dx - \int_{\Omega_h \setminus \Omega} f \Pi_h \chi dx \right]. \quad (4.29)$$

Using (4.24) in [3] and (3.36) in [8], we obtain



$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_h} f \Pi_h \chi \, dx - \int_{\Omega_h \setminus \Omega} f \Pi_h \chi \, dx \right| &\leq Ch^3 \|f\|_{2,q,\tilde{\Omega}} \|\chi\|_{2,\Omega} \\ &\leq Ch^3 \|f\|_{2,q,\tilde{\Omega}} \|u^* - \tilde{u}_h^*\|_{0,\Omega}, \\ \left| \int_{\Omega_h} f \Pi_h \chi \, dx - f_h(\Pi_h \chi) \right| &\leq Ch^3 \|f\|_{3,\Omega_h} \|u^* - \tilde{u}_h^*\|_{0,\Omega}. \end{aligned} \right. \quad (4.30)$$

By Cauchy inequality and Theorem 2.1, there exists  $C$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\Gamma} a(x, u^*) \frac{\partial u^*}{\partial n} \Pi_h \chi \, ds \right| &\leq Ch^{\frac{5}{2}} \|u^*\|_{2,\Omega} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^m \|\Pi_h \chi\|_{1,K_i}^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq Ch^{\frac{5}{2}} \|u^*\|_{2,\Omega} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^m \|\chi - \Pi_h \chi\|_{1,K_i}^2 + \sum_{i=1}^m \|\chi\|_{1,K_i}^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq Ch^{\frac{5}{2}} \|u^*\|_{2,\Omega} [h^2 \|\chi\|_{2,\Omega}^2 + h \|\chi\|_{2,\Omega}^2]^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq Ch^3 \|u^*\|_{2,\Omega} \|u^* - \tilde{u}_h^*\|_{0,\Omega}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.31)$$

Combining (4.26)–(4.31), we obtain

$$|A(u^*; u^*, \Pi_h \chi) - A(\tilde{u}_h^*; \tilde{u}_h^*, \Pi_h \chi)| \leq C[h^3 + h \|u^* - \tilde{u}_h^*\|_{1,\Omega}] \|u^* - \tilde{u}_h^*\|_{0,\Omega}. \quad (4.32)$$

Let us next turn to the error estimate of another terms in (4.23).

We may write

$$\begin{aligned} A(u^*; u^*, \chi - \Pi_h \chi) - A(\tilde{u}_h^*; \tilde{u}_h^*, \chi - \Pi_h \chi) \\ = A(\tilde{u}_h^*; u^* - \tilde{u}_h^*, \chi - \Pi_h \chi) + (\bar{a}_u(x)(u^* - \tilde{u}_h^*) \nabla u^*, \nabla(\chi - \Pi_h \chi)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} |A(u^*; u^*, \chi - \Pi_h \chi) - A(\tilde{u}_h^*; \tilde{u}_h^*, \chi - \Pi_h \chi)| &\leq C \|u^* - \tilde{u}_h^*\|_{1,\Omega} \|\chi - \Pi_h \chi\|_{1,\Omega} \\ &\leq Ch \|u^* - \tilde{u}_h^*\|_{1,\Omega} \|u^* - \tilde{u}_h^*\|_{0,\Omega}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.33)$$

Using Cauchy inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &|((u^* - \tilde{u}_h^*) \bar{a}_u(x) \nabla(u^* - \tilde{u}_h^*) + (u^* - \tilde{u}_h^*)^2 \bar{a}_{uu}(x) \nabla u^*, \nabla \chi)| \\ &\leq C \|u^* - \tilde{u}_h^*\|_{0,3,\Omega} \|u^* - \tilde{u}_h^*\|_{1,\Omega} \|\chi\|_{1,6,\Omega} \leq C \|u^* - \tilde{u}_h^*\|_{0,3,\Omega} \|u^* - \tilde{u}_h^*\|_{1,\Omega} \|\chi\|_{2,\Omega} \\ &\leq C \|u^* - \tilde{u}_h^*\|_{1,\Omega}^{\frac{3}{2}} \|u^* - \tilde{u}_h^*\|_{0,\Omega}^{\frac{3}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.34)$$

Obviously, the following equality holds:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Gamma} a(x, u^*) (u^* - \tilde{u}_h^*) \frac{\partial \chi}{\partial n} \, ds &= \int_{\Gamma} a(x, u^*) (u^* - \Pi_h u^*) \frac{\partial \chi}{\partial n} \, ds \\ &+ \int_{\Gamma} a(x, u^*) (\Pi_h u^* - \tilde{u}_h^*) \frac{\partial \chi}{\partial n} \, ds. \end{aligned} \quad (4.35)$$

Using Cauchy inequality and Theorem 2.1 and inequality (1.2.3) in [4], we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\Gamma} a(x, u^*) (\Pi_h u^* - \tilde{u}_h^*) \frac{\partial \chi}{\partial n} \, ds \right| &\leq C \|\Pi_h u^* - \tilde{u}_h^*\|_{0,\Gamma} \left\| \frac{\partial \chi}{\partial n} \right\|_{0,\Gamma} \\ &\leq Ch^{\frac{5}{2}} \|\Pi_h u^* - \tilde{u}_h^*\|_{1,\Omega} \|\chi\|_{2,\Omega} \leq C[h^{\frac{9}{2}} + h^{\frac{5}{2}}] \|u^* - \tilde{u}_h^*\|_{1,\Omega} \|u^* - \tilde{u}_h^*\|_{0,\Omega}, \\ \left| \int_{\Gamma} a(x, u^*) (u^* - \Pi_h u^*) \frac{\partial \chi}{\partial n} \, ds \right| &\leq C \|u^* - \Pi_h u^*\|_{0,\Gamma} \left\| \frac{\partial \chi}{\partial n} \right\|_{0,\Gamma} \\ &\leq C \|u^* - \Pi_h u^*\|_{0,\Gamma} \|u - \tilde{u}_h^*\|_{0,\Omega}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.36)$$

Let us turn to the error estimate of  $\|u^* - \Pi_h u^*\|_{0,\Gamma}$ . Since  $u^* = G$  over  $\Gamma$  and  $G \in C^3(\bar{\Omega})$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|u^* - \Pi_h u^*\|_{0,\Gamma} &\leq \|u^* - G - \Pi_h(u^* - G)\|_{0,\Gamma} + \|G - \Pi_h G\|_{0,\Gamma} \\
&= \|\Pi_h(u^* - G)\|_{0,\Gamma} + \|G - \Pi_h G\|_{0,\Gamma} \\
&\leq C_1 h^{\frac{5}{2}} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^m \|\Pi_h(u^* - G)\|_{1,K_i}^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} + C_2 h^3 \\
&\leq C_1 h^{\frac{5}{2}} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^m \|u^* - \Pi_h u^*\|_{1,K_i}^2 + \sum_{i=1}^m \|u^* - G\|_{1,K_i}^2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{i=1}^m \|G - \Pi_h G\|_{1,K_i}^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} + C_2 h^3.
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $u^* - G \in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega)$ , there exists  $C$  such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \|u^* - G\|_{1,K_i}^2 \leq Ch \|u^* - G\|_{2,\Omega}^2.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\|u^* - \Pi_h u^*\|_{0,\Gamma} \leq Ch^3$$

and

$$\left| \int_{\Gamma} \alpha(x, u^*) (u^* - \Pi_h u^*) \frac{\partial \chi}{\partial n} ds \right| \leq Ch^3 \|u^* - \tilde{u}_h^*\|_{0,\Omega}. \quad (4.37)$$

Combining (4.35)–(4.37), we obtain

$$\left| \int_{\Gamma} \alpha(x, u^*) (u^* - \tilde{u}_h^*) \frac{\partial \chi}{\partial n} ds \right| \leq O[h^3 + h \|u^* - \tilde{u}_h^*\|_{1,\Omega}] \|u^* - \tilde{u}_h^*\|_{0,\Omega}. \quad (4.38)$$

We could obtain the following inequality by combining (4.23), (4.32)–(4.35) and (4.38)

$$\|u^* - \tilde{u}_h^*\|_{0,\Omega} \leq O[h^3 + h \|u^* - \tilde{u}_h^*\|_{1,\Omega} + \|u^* - \tilde{u}_h^*\|_{1,\Omega}^{\frac{3}{2}} \|u^* - \tilde{u}_h^*\|_{0,\Omega}^{\frac{1}{2}}].$$

From above inequality, we obtain

$$\|u^* - \tilde{u}_h^*\|_{0,\Omega} \leq O[h^3 + h \|u^* - \tilde{u}_h^*\|_{1,\Omega} + \|u^* - \tilde{u}_h^*\|_{1,\Omega}^3]. \quad (4.39)$$

Using (4.21) in (4.39), we see that for  $h$  sufficiently small

$$\|u^* - \tilde{u}_h^*\|_{0,\Omega} + h \|u^* - \tilde{u}_h^*\|_{1,\Omega} \leq O[h^3 + \|u^* - \tilde{u}_h^*\|_{0,\Omega}^3]. \quad (4.40)$$

Arguing as § 4 in [9], we may prove  $\tilde{u}_h^*$  weakly converges to  $u^*$  in  $H^1(\Omega)$  and strongly to  $u^*$  in  $L^2(\Omega)$ . Thus, we obtain the following Theorem 4.1 from (4.40).

**Theorem 4.1.** Let  $u^*$  and  $\tilde{u}_h^*$  be solutions of Problem  $P$  and Problem  $\tilde{P}_h$  respectively. Let the coefficients in (1.1) satisfy the hypotheses in § 3. Then we have

$$\|u^* - \tilde{u}_h^*\|_{0,\Omega} + h \|u^* - \tilde{u}_h^*\|_{1,\Omega} \leq Ch^3.$$

## References

- [1] Douglas, J. Jr. & Dupont, T., A Galerkin method for a nonlinear Dirichlet problem, *Math. Comp.*, **29** (1975), 689–696.
- [2] Douglas, J. Jr.,  $H^1$ -Galerkin methods for a nonlinear Dirichlet problem, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, Vol. 608, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1977.
- [3] Li Likang, Approximate boundary condition and numerical integration in isoparametric finite element method, *Proceeding of China-France Symposium on F. E. M.*, Science Press, Beijing, China, 1983.
- [4] Ciarlet, P. G., *The finite element method for elliptic problems*, Amsterdam North-Holland, 1978.
- [5] Li Likang, A finite element method for the stationary Stokes equations, *Numerical Mathematics A Journal of Chinese Universities*, **6** (1984), 104–115.

- 
- [6] Douglas, J. Jr., Dupont, T. and Serrin, J., Uniqueness and comparison theorems for nonlinear elliptic equations in divergence form, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, **42** (1971), 157—168.
  - [7] Ciarlet, P. G. & Raviart, P.-A., The combined effect of curved boundaries and numerical integration in isoparametric finite element methods, in *Mathematical Foundations of the Finite Element with Applications to Partial Differential Equations* (A. K. Aziz, Editor), Academic Press New York, 1972.
  - [8] Li Likang,  $L^2$  error estimate for isoparametric F. E. M. approximation to solution of elliptic equation, *Journal of Fudan University (Natural Science)*, **23**: 1 (1984), 67—76.
  - [9] Li Likang, Finite element methods for nonlinear Dirichlet problem, *Chinese Annals of Mathematics*, **6A**: 4 (1985), 387—396.