

ON HERMITIAN OPERATOR IN TENSOR PRODUCT SPACE

HU SHUAN (胡述安)*

Abstract

V is an n -dim unitary space. $\otimes^k V$ is the k -th tensor product space with the customary induced inner product. $\forall \mathcal{L} \in L(\otimes^k V)$,

$$W^1(\mathcal{L}) = \{(\mathcal{L}x^{\otimes}, x^{\otimes}) \mid x^{\otimes} = x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_k, x_1, \dots, x_k \text{ o. n.}\}$$

is called the numerical range of \mathcal{L} . Wang Boying proved in [11] that if $\mathcal{L} = A_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes A_k$, $A_i \in L(V)$, $i=1, \dots, k$, $k < n$, then \mathcal{L} is a hermitian operator if and only if $W^1(\mathcal{L}) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. He conjectured that if $k=n \geq 3$, then the similar proposition would hold, too.

In this paper the following results are obtained.

- 1) If $k=n \geq 3$, then \mathcal{L} is a hermitian operator if and only if $W^1(\mathcal{L}) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$.
- 2) The only exception is $k=n=2$ and

$$A_1 \cong a \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a_{12} \\ -\bar{b}_{12} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_2 \cong b \begin{pmatrix} \bar{a}_{22} & b_{12} \\ -\bar{a}_{12} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

where a, b are real.

§ 1. Introduction

Let V be an n -dimensional unitary space in which the inner product of column vectors x, y is defined as $(x, y) = y^* x$. $A_i \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ is a linear operator on V . $\otimes^k V$ denotes the k -th tensor product space with the usually inner product. $x^{\otimes} = x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_k$, $y^{\otimes} = y_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes y_k$ are decomposable tensors in $\otimes^k V$, where $x_i, y_i \in V$, $i=1, \dots, k$. $\mathcal{L} = A_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes A_k$ is a linear operator on $\otimes^k V$.

According to the definition of the induced inner product ([1, p. 47])

$$(\mathcal{L}x^{\otimes}, y^{\otimes}) = \prod_{i=1}^k (A_i x_i, y_i).$$

In the case $k \leq n$, Fan^[2,3,4], Goldberg^[5,6], and Marcus^[7,8] defined the numerical range of \mathcal{L} as

$$W^1(\mathcal{L}) = \{(\mathcal{L}x^{\otimes}, x^{\otimes}) : x_1, \dots, x_k \text{ o. n.}\},$$

where "o. n." means "orthonormal", and studied its properties.

If $k=1$, as Donoghue pointed out^[9], \mathcal{L} is hermitian if and only if $W^1(\mathcal{L}) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$.

Recently Bo-Ying Wang obtained the result that if $k < n$, then \mathcal{L} is hermitian

if and only if $W^1(\mathcal{L}) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{[10]}$. At the same time he cited an instance to illustrate that the statement is not true in the case $k=n=2$. But he conjectured that the same statement might be true in the case $k=n \geq 3$.

In this paper we shall prove that his conjecture is right.

§ 2. Preliminaries

Lemma 1. Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix}$, $B = \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} \\ b_{21} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(\mathbb{C})$, $\mathcal{L} = A \otimes B$. Then $W^1(\mathcal{L} - \mathcal{L}^*) = 0$ if and only if either i) or ii) holds:

i) Both A and B are hermitian;

ii) $a_{21} = -\bar{b}_{12}$, $a_{12} = -\bar{b}_{21}$, $a_{22} = \bar{b}_{11}$.

Proof Every 2×2 -unitary matrix may be written as

$$\begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta u_1 u_3 & -\sin \theta u_1 u_4 \\ \sin \theta u_2 u_3 & \cos \theta u_2 u_4 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, $u_i \in \mathbb{C}$, $|u_i| = 1$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$. Therefore each pair of o. n. vectors in 2-dimensional unitary space may be written as

$$x = (\cos \theta u_1 u_3, \sin \theta u_2 u_3)^T, y = (-\sin \theta u_1 u_4, \cos \theta u_2 u_4)^T.$$

Thus $W(\mathcal{L} - \mathcal{L}^*) = 0$ if and only if $x^* A x y^* B y = x^* A^* x y^* B^* y$, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} & [(\cos^2 \theta + a_{22} \sin^2 \theta) + a_{21} \sin \theta \cos \theta u_1 u_2^{-1} + a_{12} \sin \theta \cos \theta u_1^{-1} u_2] \\ & \cdot [(b_{11} \sin^2 \theta + \cos^2 \theta) - b_{21} \sin \theta \cos \theta u_1 u_2^{-1} - b_{12} \sin \theta \cos \theta u_1^{-1} u_2] \\ & = [(\cos^2 \theta + \bar{a}_{22} \sin^2 \theta) + \bar{a}_{12} \sin \theta \cos \theta u_1 u_2^{-1} + \bar{a}_{21} \sin \theta \cos \theta u_1^{-1} u_2] \\ & \cdot [(\bar{b}_{11} \sin^2 \theta + \cos^2 \theta) - \bar{b}_{12} \sin \theta \cos \theta u_1 u_2^{-1} - \bar{b}_{21} \sin \theta \cos \theta u_1^{-1} u_2]. \end{aligned}$$

Because u_1, u_2 are independent, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & (a_{21} b_{21} - \bar{a}_{12} \bar{b}_{12}) \sin^2 \theta \cos^2 \theta = 0, \\ & [a_{21} (b_{11} \sin^2 \theta + \cos^2 \theta) - b_{21} (\cos^2 \theta + a_{22} \sin^2 \theta) - \bar{a}_{12} (\bar{b}_{11} \sin^2 \theta + \cos^2 \theta) \\ & + \bar{b}_{12} (\cos^2 \theta + \bar{a}_{22} \sin^2 \theta)] \sin \theta \cos \theta = 0, \\ & (a_{21} b_{12} + a_{12} b_{21} - \bar{a}_{21} \bar{b}_{12} - \bar{a}_{12} \bar{b}_{21}) \sin^2 \theta \cos^2 \theta - (\cos^2 \theta + a_{22} \sin^2 \theta) (b_{11} \sin^2 \theta + \cos^2 \theta) \\ & + (\cos^2 \theta + \bar{a}_{22} \sin^2 \theta) (\bar{b}_{11} \sin^2 \theta + \cos^2 \theta) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since θ is arbitrary, we get

$$a_{21} b_{21} = \bar{a}_{12} \bar{b}_{12}, \quad (1)$$

$$a_{21} - b_{21} = \bar{a}_{12} - \bar{b}_{12}, \quad (2)$$

$$a_{21} b_{11} - b_{21} a_{22} = \bar{a}_{12} \bar{b}_{11} - \bar{b}_{12} \bar{a}_{22}, \quad (3)$$

$$a_{22} + b_{11} - a_{21} b_{12} - a_{12} b_{21} = \bar{a}_{22} + \bar{b}_{11} - \bar{a}_{12} \bar{b}_{21} - \bar{a}_{21} \bar{b}_{12}, \quad (4)$$

$$a_{22} b_{11} = \bar{a}_{22} \bar{b}_{11}. \quad (5)$$

From (1) and (2), either $a_{21} = \bar{a}_{12}$, $b_{21} = \bar{b}_{12}$ or $a_{21} = -\bar{b}_{12}$, $b_{21} = -\bar{a}_{12}$.

If $a_{21} = \bar{a}_{12}$, $b_{21} = \bar{b}_{12}$, we get $a_{21} b_{12} + a_{12} b_{21} \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus, we get $a_{22} + b_{11} \in \mathbb{R}$, $a_{22} b_{11} \in \mathbb{R}$ from (4) and (5). Hence, either $a_{22}, b_{11} \in \mathbb{R}$, or $\bar{a}_{22} = b_{11} \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$. If $a_{22}, b_{11} \in \mathbb{R}$, we

get i). If $\bar{a}_{22} = b_{11} \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$, (3) implies $(a_{21} + b_{21})(\bar{a}_{22} - a_{22}) = 0$. Hence $a_{21} + b_{21} = 0$. We get ii).

If $a_{21} = -\bar{b}_{12}$, $b_{21} = -\bar{a}_{12}$, similarly, we get either $a_{22}, b_{11} \in \mathbb{R}$, or $\bar{a}_{22} = b_{11} \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$. If $a_{22}, b_{11} \in \mathbb{R}$, (3) implies $(\bar{a}_{12} + \bar{b}_{12})(b_{11} - a_{22}) = 0$. Then either from $b_{11} = a_{22}$ we get ii), or from $\bar{a}_{12} = -\bar{b}_{12} = a_{21}$ we get i). If $\bar{a}_{22} = b_{11} \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$, we get ii).

On the other hand, if i) or ii) holds, it is easy to show that $W^1(\mathcal{L} - \mathcal{L}^*) = 0$.

Lemma 2. (Marcus-Wang^[11]). Let $A_i \in L(V) = M_n(\mathbb{C})$, $i = 1, \dots, k$, $1 \leq k \leq n$, $\mathcal{L} = A_1 \otimes \dots \otimes A_k$. Then $W^1(\mathcal{L}) = 0$ if and only if there exists an i such that $A_i = 0$.

Lemma 3. Let A, B, C be 3×3 non-scalar matrices. Then there exists a 3×3 unitary matrix U such that $a'_{ij}, b'_{ij}, c'_{ij} \neq 0$, $i, j = 1, 2, 3$, where $U^*AU = (a'_{ij})$, $U^*BU = (b'_{ij})$, $U^*CU = (c'_{ij})$.

Proof Denote

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 u_1 u_4 & y_1 u_1 u_5 & z_1 u_1 u_6 \\ x_2 u_2 u_4 & y_2 u_2 u_5 & z_2 u_2 u_6 \\ x_3 u_3 u_4 & y_3 u_3 u_5 & z_3 u_3 u_6 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (6)$$

where

$$x_1 = \cos \theta \cos \alpha, \quad x_2 = \cos \theta \sin \alpha, \quad x_3 = \sin \theta,$$

$$y_1 = \cos \varphi \sin \alpha + \sin \varphi \sin \theta \cos \alpha, \quad y_2 = -\cos \varphi \cos \alpha + \sin \varphi \sin \theta \sin \alpha, \quad y_3 = -\sin \varphi \cos \theta,$$

$$z_1 = \sin \varphi \sin \alpha - \cos \varphi \sin \theta \cos \alpha, \quad z_2 = -\sin \varphi \cos \alpha - \cos \varphi \sin \theta \sin \alpha, \quad z_3 = \cos \varphi \cos \theta, \quad (7)$$

$\varphi, \theta, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $u_l \in \mathbb{C}$, $|u_l| = 1$, $l = 1, \dots, 6$. It is easy to verify that U is a unitary matrix for arbitrary $\varphi, \theta, \alpha, u_l$.

If the statement does not hold, as a polynomial in u_l ,

$$\prod_{i,j=1}^3 (a'_{ij} \prod_{l=1}^6 u_l) (b'_{ij} \prod_{l=1}^6 u_l) (c'_{ij} \prod_{l=1}^6 u_l) = 0.$$

Therefore there exists at least a factor being zero as a polynomial in u_l . Without loss of generality we only consider the case $a'_{11} \prod_{l=1}^6 u_l = 0$ and the case $b'_{12} \prod_{l=1}^6 u_l = 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{If} \quad 0 &= a'_{11} \prod_{l=1}^6 u_l \\ &= [(a_{11} \cos^2 \theta \cos^2 \alpha + a_{22} \sin^2 \theta \sin^2 \alpha + a_{33} \sin^2 \theta) u_1 u_2 u_3 \\ &\quad + a_{21} \cos^2 \theta \cos \alpha \sin \alpha u_1^2 u_3 + a_{31} \cos \theta \sin \theta \cos \alpha u_1^2 u_2 \\ &\quad + a_{12} \cos^2 \theta \cos \alpha \sin \alpha u_2^2 u_3 + a_{32} \cos \theta \sin \theta \sin \alpha u_1 u_2^2 \\ &\quad + a_{13} \cos \theta \sin \theta \cos \alpha u_2 u_3^2 + a_{23} \cos \theta \sin \theta \sin \alpha u_1 u_3^2] u_4 u_5 u_6, \end{aligned}$$

we get $a_{ij} = 0$. This is impossible.

Similarly, if

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= a'_{12} \prod_{l=1}^6 u_l \\ &= [a_{11} \cos \theta \cos \alpha (\cos \varphi \sin \alpha + \sin \varphi \sin \theta \cos \alpha) - a_{33} \sin \varphi \sin \theta \cos \theta \\ &\quad + a_{22} \cos \theta \sin \alpha (-\cos \varphi \cos \alpha + \sin \varphi \sin \theta \sin \alpha)] u_1 u_2 u_3 u_5 u_6 \\ &\quad + a_{12} \cos \theta \cos \alpha (-\cos \varphi \cos \alpha + \sin \varphi \sin \theta \sin \alpha) u_2^2 u_3 u_5^2 u_6 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -a_{13} \sin \varphi \cos^2 \theta \cos \alpha u_2 u_3^2 u_5^2 u_6 \\
& +a_{21} \cos \theta \sin \alpha (\cos \varphi \sin \alpha - \sin \varphi \sin \theta \cos \alpha) u_1^2 u_3 u_5^2 u_6 \\
& -a_{23} \sin \varphi \cos^2 \theta \sin \alpha u_1 u_3^2 u_5^2 u_6 \\
& +a_{31} \sin \theta (\cos \varphi \sin \alpha + \sin \varphi \sin \theta \cos \alpha) u_1^2 u_2 u_5^2 u_6 \\
& +a_{32} \sin \theta (-\cos \varphi \cos \alpha + \sin \varphi \sin \theta \sin \alpha) u_1 u_2^2 u_5^2 u_6,
\end{aligned}$$

we get $a_{ij}=0$ $i \neq j$, and

$$(a_{11} - a_{22}) \cos \varphi \cos \theta \sin \alpha \cos \alpha + (a_{11} \cos^2 \alpha + a_{22} \sin^2 \alpha - a_{33}) \sin \varphi \sin \theta \cos \theta = 0,$$

which implies that $a_{11}=a_{22}=a_{33}$, i. e., $A=a_{11}I$. This is impossible.

Lemma 4. Let $A, B, C \in M_3(\mathbb{C})$ be non-scalar. Then

$$W^1(A \otimes B \otimes C - A^* \otimes B^* \otimes C^*) = 0$$

if and only if $A=aA_1$, $B=bB_1$, $C=cC_1$, where A_1, B_1, C_1 are hermitian and $0 \neq abc \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof The "if" part is clear.

The "only if" part: We may assume that $a_{ij}, b_{ij}, c_{ij} \neq 0$. Take $e_1 = (1, 0, 0)^T$, $e_2 = (0, 1, 0)^T$, $e_3 = (0, 0, 1)^T$. Since

$$(Ae_1, e_1)(Be_2, e_2)(Ce_3, e_3) = (A^*e_1, e_1)(B^*e_2, e_2)(C^*e_3, e_3),$$

we get $a_{11}b_{22}c_{33} = \bar{a}_{11}\bar{b}_{22}\bar{c}_{33} \neq 0$. Hence

$$W^1((a_{11}^{-1}A) \otimes (b_{22}^{-1}B) \otimes (c_{33}^{-1}C) - (a_{11}^{-1}A)^* \otimes (b_{22}^{-1}B)^* \otimes (c_{33}^{-1}C)^*) = 0.$$

It is enough to show that $a_{11}^{-1}A$, $b_{22}^{-1}B$, $c_{33}^{-1}C$ are hermitian. Thus we may assume that $a_{11}=b_{22}=c_{33}=1$.

First, let x, y, z be the column vectors of the matrix (6), which are o. n.. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
(Ax, x) &= (a_{11}x_1^2 + a_{22}x_2^2 + a_{33}x_3^2) + a_{21}x_1x_2u_1u_2^{-1} + a_{31}x_1x_3u_1u_3^{-1} + a_{12}x_1x_2u_1^{-1}u_2 \\
&+ a_{32}x_2x_3u_2u_3^{-1} + a_{13}x_1x_3u_1^{-1}u_3 + a_{23}x_2x_3u_2^{-1}u_3.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly we may get the expressions of (By, y) , (Cz, z) , (A^*x, x) , (B^*y, y) , (C^*z, z) .

The following polynomials are equal:

$$u_1^3u_2^3u_3^3(Ax, x)(By, y)(Cz, z) = u_1^2u_2^2u_3^2(A^*x, x)(B^*y, y)(C^*z, z).$$

Comparing their coefficients of $u_1^3u_3^3$, $u_1^2u_2u_3^2$ and $u_1^2u_3^2$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& x_1x_2y_1y_2z_1z_2(a_{21}b_{21}c_{21} - \bar{a}_{12}\bar{b}_{12}\bar{c}_{12}) = 0, \\
& x_1x_2y_1y_2z_1z_3(a_{21}b_{21}c_{31} - \bar{a}_{12}\bar{b}_{12}\bar{c}_{13}) + x_1x_2y_1y_3z_1z_2(a_{21}b_{31}c_{21} - \bar{a}_{12}\bar{b}_{13}\bar{c}_{12}) \\
& + x_1x_3y_1y_2z_1z_2(a_{31}b_{21}c_{21} - \bar{a}_{13}\bar{b}_{12}\bar{c}_{12}) = 0, \\
& x_1x_2y_1y_2z_2z_3(a_{21}b_{21}c_{23} - \bar{a}_{12}\bar{b}_{12}\bar{c}_{32}) + x_1x_2y_2y_3z_1z_2(a_{21}b_{23}c_{21} - \bar{a}_{12}\bar{b}_{32}\bar{c}_{12}) \\
& + x_2x_3y_1y_2z_1z_2(a_{23}b_{21}c_{21} - \bar{a}_{32}\bar{b}_{12}\bar{c}_{12}) = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Substituting in (8) by (7) and noticing that φ, θ, α are arbitrary, we obtain

$$a_{21}b_{21}c_{21} = \bar{a}_{12}\bar{b}_{12}\bar{c}_{12}, a_{21}b_{21}c_{31} = \bar{a}_{12}\bar{b}_{12}\bar{c}_{13}, a_{21}b_{21}c_{23} = \bar{a}_{12}\bar{b}_{12}\bar{c}_{32}.$$

Secondly it is easy to show that

$$W^1\left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} \\ b_{21} & 1 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix}^* \otimes \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} \\ b_{21} & 1 \end{pmatrix}^*\right] = 0,$$

which implies that $a_{21}b_{21} = \bar{a}_{12}\bar{b}_{12}$ according to (1). But $a_{21}b_{21} \neq 0$. Hence $c_{ij} = \bar{c}_{ji}$, $i \neq j$,

$i, j=1, 2, 3$. Similarly we can obtain $a_{ij}=\bar{a}_{ji}$, $b_{ij}=\bar{b}_{ji}$, $i \neq j$, $i, j=1, 2, 3$.

Finally it is enough to show that a_{ii} , b_{ii} , c_{ii} , $i=1, 2, 3$, are real. Otherwise, if $a_{22} \notin \mathbb{R}$, we have $b_{11}=\bar{a}_{22}$, according to the proof of Lemma 1. Denote $A_2=a_{22}^{-1}A$, $B_2=b_{11}^{-1}B$, $C_2=C$. Then it is clear that $W^1(B_2 \otimes A_2 \otimes C_2 - B_2^* \otimes A_2^* \otimes C_2^*)=0$ and $b'_{11}=a'_{22}=c'_{33}=1$. From the foregoing proof, we get $b'_{21}=b_{11}^{-1}b_{21}=\bar{b}'_{12}=\bar{b}_{11}^{-1}\bar{b}_{12}$. Since $b_{21}=\bar{b}_{12} \neq 0$, it implies that $b_{11}=\bar{b}_{11}$. This is absurd and shows that b_{11} is real. Similarly we can prove that other diagonal elements of A , B , C are real, too. Therefore A , B , C are hermitian.

Obviously the restrictive condition that A , B , C are not scalar is not necessary since we have the following more general result.

Lemma 5. Let $A, B, C \in M_3(\mathbb{C})$. Then a necessary and sufficient condition of $W^1(A \otimes B \otimes C - A^* \otimes B^* \otimes C^*)=0$ is $A \otimes B \otimes C = A^* \otimes B^* \otimes C^*$.

Proof It is enough to show that the necessity holds if $C=cI$. Let $A_1=cA$, $B_1=B$, we get $W^1(A_1 \otimes B_1 \otimes I - A_1^* \otimes B_1^* \otimes I^*)=0$, which implies that $W^1(A_1 \otimes B_1 - A_1^* \otimes B_1^*)=0$. Hence $A_1 \otimes B_1 = A_1^* \otimes B_1^*$, according to the result proved by B. Y. Wang^[10, Th1]. It is easy to show that $A \otimes B \otimes C = A^* \otimes B^* \otimes C^*$.

§ 3. Main Results

Theorem 1. Let $0 \neq A_i \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$, $i=1, \dots, n$, $n \geq 3$. Then

$$W^1(A_1 \otimes \dots \otimes A_n - A_1^* \otimes \dots \otimes A_n^*)=0$$

if and only if $A_i = a_i A'_i$, where each A'_i is hermitian and $\prod_{i=1}^n a_i \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof The "if" part is clear.

The "only if" part: According to Marcus-Wang Theorem (foregoing Lemma 2), we may assume that ${}_n a_{nn}=1$ and prove that each $A_n = ({}_n a_{ij})$ is hermitian.

In the case $n=3$, the required result may be obtained out of Lemma 5. If the statement is true in the case $n(\geq 3)$, we consider the case $n+1$.

Let $x_{n+1}=e_{n+1}=(0, \dots, 0, 1)^T$ and x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1} o. n.. Then we get

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n+1} (A_i x_i, x_i) = \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} (A_i^* x_i, x_i).$$

Since ${}_{n+1} a_{n+1, n+1}=1$, it implies that

$$W^1(A_1(n+1|n+1) \otimes \dots \otimes A_n(n+1|n+1) - A_1^*(n+1|n+1) \otimes \dots \otimes A_n^*(n+1|n+1))=0.$$

According to the inductive assumption, $A_1(n+1|n+1)=A_1^*(n+1|n+1)$. Similarly we may get $A_1(n|n)=A_1^*(n|n)$, $A_1(n-1|n-1)=A_1^*(n-1|n-1)$. Therefore A_1 is hermitian. Using the same method we may prove that each A_n is hermitian, too.

Theorem 2. Let $A_i \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$, $i=1, \dots, n$, $n \geq 3$. Then $W^1(A_1 \otimes \dots \otimes A_n - A_1^* \otimes \dots \otimes A_n^*)=0$ if and only if $A_1 \otimes \dots \otimes A_n = A_1^* \otimes \dots \otimes A_n^*$.

It suffices to point out that if some $A_i=0$, the statement is true obviously.

Thus we may prove the following result which affirmatively solves the open question cited by B. Y. Wang.

Theorem 3. Let $A_i \in M_n(\mathbb{C}) = L(V)$, $i=1, \dots, n$, $n = \dim V \geq 3$. $\mathcal{L} = A_1 \otimes \dots \otimes A_n$. Then \mathcal{L} is a hermitian operator on $\otimes^n V$ if and only if $W^1(\mathcal{L}) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$.

Proof Since $2\mathcal{L} = (\mathcal{L} + \mathcal{L}^*) - i[i(\mathcal{L} - \mathcal{L}^*)]$ and both $(\mathcal{L} + \mathcal{L}^*)$ and $i(\mathcal{L} - \mathcal{L}^*)$ are hermitian, $W^1(\mathcal{L}) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ if and only if $W^1(\mathcal{L} - \mathcal{L}^*) = 0$. But $W^1(\mathcal{L} - \mathcal{L}^*) = 0$ if and only if \mathcal{L} is hermitian according to Theorem 2. The theorem is proved.

The author is grateful to Professor Zhou Boxun and Associate Professor Tong Wenting for their comment and help.

References

- [1] Marcus, M., Finite Dimensional Multilinear Algebra, Part I, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1973.
- [2] Fan, K., I, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci., U. S. A.*, **35** (1949), 652—655; II, *Same journal*, **36**(1950), 31—35.
- [3] Fan, K., *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci., U. S. A.*, **37** (1951), 760—766.
- [4] Fan, K., *Amer. Math. Monthly*, **60**(1953), 48—50.
- [5] Goldberg, M., *Linear and Multilinear Algebra*, **7:4** (1979), 329—342.
- [6] Goldberg, M. and Straus, E. G., *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **18** (1977), 1—24.
- [7] Marcus, M., Finite Dimensional Multilinear Algebra, Part II, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1975.
- [8] Marcus, M., Moyls, B. N. and Filippenko, I., *Can. J. Math.*, **30:2** (1978), 419—430.
- [9] Donoghue, W. F., *Michigan Math. J.*, **4** (1957), 261—263.
- [10] Wang, B. Y., *Chinese Annals of Math.*, **6A:1**(1985), 79—82.
- [11] Marcus, M. and Wang, B. Y., *Linear and Multilinear Algebra*, **9:2**(1980), 111—120.