

ADJACENT COEFFICIENTS OF UNIVALENT FUNCTIONS

HU KE (胡克)*

Abstract

Let the function $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in S$. It is obtained that

$$-2.793 < |a_{n+1}| - |a_n| < 3.26,$$

which is an improvement of the result in [1] or [2].

1. Let $S = \{f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \mid f(z) \text{ is analytic and univalent in the unit disk } |z| < 1\}$. Denote $d_n = |a_{n+1}| - |a_n|$. In 1963 Hayman first proved^[3] that $|d_n| < A$, for an absolute constant A . Several years later I. M. Milin^[4] found a simpler proof which also provided a better numerical bound for d_n . And then Ilina^[5] refined the argument and got $|d_n| < 4.26$. Grinspan modified Milin's proof and obtained $d_n \in (2.97, 3.61)$. Further Ye^[6] obtained $d_n \in (-2.945, 3.394)$. In this paper we have the following theorem.

Theorem. For each function $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ in the class S , the following inequalities

$$-2.793 < |a_{n+1}| - |a_n| < 3.26, \quad n=1, 2, \dots, \quad (1)$$

Given $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in S$ ($|z| < 1$), it is obvious that $g(t) = 1/f(z) \in \mathcal{S}$ for $t =$. Fixed $\rho \in (0, 1)$, let ζ be such a point on the circle $|z| = \rho$ that $|f(\zeta)|$ is maximum on the circle. Let

$$\varphi(z) = \log \frac{1/z - 1/\zeta}{g\left(\frac{1}{z}\right) - g\left(\frac{1}{\zeta}\right)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n(\zeta) z^n, \quad (2)$$

$$\Psi(z) = e^{\varphi(z)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_n(\zeta) z^n, \quad (3)$$

$$B_n = \left\{ \frac{f(z)}{f(\zeta)} \Psi(z) \right\}_n, \quad (4)$$

$$X^2 = \sum_{k=1}^n k^2 |\alpha_k|^2 / n.$$

We have the following lemma.

Lemma 1 ^[2].

$$\sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} |\beta_k|^2} \leq \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{(1-\rho^2)(n+1/2)}} \exp \frac{1}{2} (1-x^2-c), \quad (5)$$

$$|\beta_n| \leq \frac{x}{\sqrt{(1-\rho^2)(n+1/2)}} \exp \frac{1}{2} (1-x^2-c), \quad (6)$$

where c is the Euler constant.

Lemma 2.

$$|B_n| \leq \frac{x+\rho^{-n}}{\sqrt{(1-\rho^2)(n+1/2)}} \exp \frac{1}{2} (1-x^2-c).$$

Proof Let $x_0=0$, $x_k=k\rho^{n-k}$ ($k=1, 2, \dots, n$), $y_k=n-x_k$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} B_n &= \frac{1}{f(\zeta)} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \beta_k a_{n-k} \\ &= \frac{1}{nf(\zeta)} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} x_k \beta_k a_{n-k} + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} y_{n-k} \beta_{n-k} a_k \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{nf(\zeta)} \{I_1 + I_2\}. \end{aligned}$$

By $k\beta_k = \sum_{l=1}^k l\alpha_l \beta_{k-l}$ $\max_{|z|=\rho} |f(z)| = |f(\zeta)|$ and Schwarz's inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n|f(\zeta)|} |I_1| &= \frac{1}{n|f(\zeta)|} \frac{1}{2\pi} \left| \int_0^{2\pi} z^{-n} \sum_{k=1}^n k\beta_k z^k \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} a_k \rho^k z^k d\theta \right| (z=e^{i\theta}) \\ &= \frac{1}{n|f(\zeta)|} \frac{1}{2\pi} \left| \int_0^{2\pi} z^{-n} \sum_{k=1}^n k\alpha_k z^k \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \beta_k z^k \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \rho^k z^k d\theta \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \sum_{k=1}^n k\alpha_k z^k \right| \left| \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \beta_k z^k \right| d\theta \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi n} \sqrt{\int_0^{2\pi} \left| \sum_{k=1}^n k\alpha_k z^k \right|^2 d\theta} \sqrt{\int_0^{2\pi} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \beta_k z^k \right|^2 d\theta} \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^n k^2 |\alpha_k|^2 \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |\beta_k|^2}. \quad [1] \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (5) that

$$\frac{1}{n|f(\zeta)|} |I_1| \leq \frac{x}{\sqrt{(1-\rho^2)(n+1/2)}} \exp \frac{1}{2} (1-x^2-c).$$

To estimate the second term of (8) let $\rho=e^{-\lambda/n}$, $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, $n=2, 3, \dots$. We see $y_{n-k}\rho^{n-k} \leq \sqrt{kn}$, $1 \leq k \leq n$. And it is known that $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k|a_k|^2 \rho^{2k} \leq (\max_{|z|=\rho} |f(z)|)^2$. from Cauchy inequality we deduce^[1]

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &= \left| \sum_{k=1}^n y_{n-k} \beta_{n-k} a_k \right| \leq \rho^{-n} \sqrt{n} \sqrt{\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |\beta_k|^2} \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^n k|a_k|^2 \rho^{2k}} \\ &\leq \rho^{-n} \sqrt{n} |f(\zeta)| \sqrt{\sum_{k=0}^n |\beta_k|^2}. \end{aligned}$$

(11) becomes, from (5)

$$\frac{1}{n|f(\zeta)|} |I_2| \leq \rho^{-n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(1-\rho^2)(n+1/2)}} \exp \frac{1}{2} (1-x^2-c). \quad (12)$$

quality (7) follows from (10), (12) and (8).

Lemma 3. If $|a_{n+1}| > 0$, and $\rho^2 = e^{-\frac{1}{n+1}}$, then

$$|B_n| \leq \frac{n\sqrt{(n+1)} \cdot \rho^{-n-1}}{\sqrt{3} |a_{n+1}|^2 (1-\rho^2)^{1/2}} \exp \frac{1}{2} (1-x^2-o). \quad (13)$$

Proof Application of L. de Branges theorem^[6] gives

$$\sum_{k=1}^n |a_k|^2 \leq \sum_{k=1}^n k^2 = \frac{1}{3} n(n+1) \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right). \quad (14)$$

observe that if $\rho^2 = e^{-\frac{1}{n+1}}$, then $(k+n+1)\rho^{2k} \geq (k+n+1) \left(1 - \frac{k}{n+1}\right)$ It follows from Fitz Gerald theorem^[7] that

$$\begin{aligned} |a_{n+1}|^4 \rho^{2(n+1)} &\leq \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} k |a_k|^2 + \sum_{k=n+2}^{2n+1} (2(n+1) - k) |a_k|^2 \right\} \rho^{2(n+1)} \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k |a_k|^2 \rho^{2k} \leq |f(\zeta)|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

us we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |B_n| &= \frac{1}{|f(\zeta)|} \left| \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \beta_{n-k} \right| \leq \frac{1}{|f(\zeta)|} \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^n |a_k|^2} \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^n |\beta_k|^2} \\ &\leq \frac{n\sqrt{(n+1)} \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{3} |a_{n+1}|^2 \rho^{n+1}} \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^n |\beta_k|^2 / n}. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

stitution of (5) into (16) completes the proof of (13).

2. Proof. of Theorem.

Given a function $f \in S$, consider the following identity

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{1}{z} - \frac{1}{\zeta}\right) f(z) &= \left\{1 - \frac{f(z)}{f(\zeta)}\right\} \frac{1/z - 1/\zeta}{g(1/z) - g(1/\zeta)} \\ &= \psi(z) - \frac{f(z)}{f(\zeta)} \psi(z). \quad \left(f(z) = \frac{1}{g(1/z)}\right) \\ a_{n+1} - \zeta^{-1} a_n &= \{\psi(z)\}_n - \left\{\frac{f(z)}{f(\zeta)} \psi(z)\right\}_n = \beta_n - B_n \end{aligned}$$

where $\max_{|z|=\rho} |f(z)| = |f(\zeta)|$, $|\zeta| = \rho$.

By (6) and (7) we get

$$\begin{aligned} &||a_{n+1}| - \rho^{-1} |a_n|| \\ &\leq |a_{n+1} - \zeta^{-1} a_n| \leq |\beta_n| + |B_n| \\ &\leq \frac{2x + \rho^{-n}}{\sqrt{(1-\rho^2)} \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)} \exp \frac{1}{2} (1-x^2-o) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{(1-\rho^2)} \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)} \frac{\rho^{-n} + \sqrt{\rho^{-2n} + 16}}{2} \exp \frac{1}{2} \left\{1 - o - \left(\frac{\sqrt{\rho^{-2n} + 16} - \rho^{-n}}{4}\right)^2\right\} \\ &= G(\rho). \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Setting $\rho = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{1.3n}\right)$ and performing some simple computation we conclude that

$$||a_{n+1}| - \rho^{-1}|a_n|| \leq G \exp\left(-\frac{1}{1.3n}\right) < 2.79375.$$

Thus $d_n = |a_{n+1}| - |a_n| > |a_{n+1}| - \rho^{-1}|a_n| > -2.79375$.

The lower bound of d_n is shown.

To establish the upper bound we consider two cases.

(i) $|a_{n+1}| \leq 0.6685(n+1)$. Take $\rho = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{1.5(n+1)}\right)$ and use (17) to deduce

$$\begin{aligned} |a_{n+1}| - |a_n| &= (1-\rho)|a_{n+1}| + \rho|a_{n+1}| - |a_n| \\ &\leq \left(1 - \exp\left(-\frac{1}{1.5(n+1)}\right)\right)|a_{n+1}| \\ &\quad + \exp\left(-\frac{1}{1.5(n+1)}\right)G\left(\exp\left(-\frac{1}{1.5(n+1)}\right)\right) \\ &< 3.26. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) $|a_{n+1}| \geq 0.6685(n+1)$. Use of (6) and (13) gives

$$\begin{aligned} ||a_{n+1}| - \rho^{-1}|a_n|| &\leq |\beta_n| + |B_n| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{(1-\rho^2)(n+1/2)}} \left\{ x + \frac{n\sqrt{(n+1)(n+1/2)}}{\sqrt{3}|a_{n+1}|^2\rho^{n+1}} \right\} \\ &\quad \times \exp \frac{1}{2}(1-x^2-c) \\ &= G_1(\rho, |a_{n+1}|). \end{aligned}$$

Setting $\rho^2 = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{n+1}\right)$, $|a_{n+1}| = y(n+1)$ ($0.6685 \leq y \leq 1$), we conclude from that

$$\begin{aligned} |a_{n+1}| - |a_n| &= \rho|a_{n+1}| - |a_n| + (1-\rho)|a_{n+1}| \\ &\leq \rho G_1(\rho, |a_{n+1}|) + (1-\rho)y(n+1) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}y + \left\{ x + \frac{\sqrt{e}}{\sqrt{3}y^2} \right\} \exp \frac{1}{2}(1-x^2-c) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}y + \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{\sqrt{e}}{\sqrt{3}y^2} + \sqrt{\frac{e}{3y^4} + 4} \right\} \\ &\quad \times \exp \frac{1}{2} \left\{ 1-c - \frac{1}{4} \left(\sqrt{\frac{e}{3y^4} + 4} - \frac{\sqrt{e}}{\sqrt{3}y^2} \right)^2 \right\} \\ &= G_1(y) \leq G_2(0.6685) \leq 3.26. \end{aligned}$$

The proof of Theorem is complete.

References

- [1] Ye, Z. Q., On successive coefficients of univalent functions, *J. of Jiangxi Normal Univ.* (Science) 1(1985), 24-33.
- [2] Grinspan, A. Z., Improved bound for the difference of moduli of adjacent coefficients of univalent functions, in *Some Questions in the Modern Theory of Functions* (Sib. Inst. Mat.: Novosibirsk, 1984), 41-45 (in Russian).
- [3] Hayman, W. K., On successive coefficients of univalent functions, *J. London Math. Soc.*, 38 (1963), 228-249.

- Milin, I. M., Adjacent coefficients of univalent functions, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, **180** (1968), 1294—1297 (in Russian).
- Ilina, L. P., On the relative growth of adjacent coefficients of univalent functions, *Mat. Zametki*, **4** (1968), 715—722 (in Russian).
- L. de Branges, A proof of the Bieberbach conjecture, Steklov Math. Inst. Lomi preprint E-f-84, Leningrad, 1984, 1—21.
- FitzGerald, C. H., Quadratic inequalities and coefficient estimates for schlicht functions, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, **46** (1972), 356—368.