

COHOMOLOGY OF GRADED LIE ALGEBRAS OF CARTAN TYPE $S(n, m)$ **

CHIU SEN (邱森)*

Abstract

Let F be an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p > 3$. This paper studies the cohomology of graded Lie algebras $S(n, m)$ of Cartan type over F . The author determines the structures of $H^1(S(3, m), \tilde{V}_0)$, where \tilde{V}_0 is the graded $S(3, m)$ -module which is induced by an irreducible $sl(3)$ -module V_0 , the structures of $H^1(S(3, m), V)$, where V is an irreducible $S(3, m)$ -module, and the structures of the restricted cohomology $H_*^1(S(3, 1, 1, 1), V)$, where V is an irreducible restricted $S(3, (1, 1, 1))$ -module.

§ 0. Introduction

In [3], Dzhumadil' daev gave the structure of the cohomology groups $H^1(W, n)$, U_t of Zassenhaus algebra $W(1, n)$. In [2], we gave the structure of cohomology groups $H^1(L, \tilde{V}_0)$, where $L = W(1, m)$, $W(3, m)$ or $H(2, m)$ and \tilde{V}_0 a graded L -module, and the structure of the restricted cohomology groups $H_*^1(L, V)$, where $L = W(2, (1, 1))$, $W(3, (1, 1, 1))$ or $H(2, (1, 1))$ and V is an irreducible restricted L -module.

In this paper we study the cohomology of graded Lie algebras $S(n, m)$ of Cartan type. In particular, we determine the structure of the first cohomology of the rank graded Lie algebra $S(3, m)$ of Cartan type with coefficients in a graded $S(3, n)$ module \tilde{V}_0 which is constructed from an irreducible $sl(3)$ -module V_0 (cf. [9]), the structure of $H^1(S(3, m), V)$, where V is an arbitrary irreducible $S(3, m)$ -module and the structure of $H_*^1(S(3, (1, 1, 1)), V)$, where V is an arbitrary irreducible restricted $S(3, (1, 1, 1))$ -module. Since $W(1, n)$ is the only rank one graded Lie algebras of Cartan type, $W(2, m)$ and $H(2, m)$ are the only rank two graded Lie algebras of Cartan type, and $W(3, m)$ and $S(3, m)$ are the only rank three graded Lie algebras of Cartan type of depth 1, the first cohomology groups of all rank n graded Lie algebra of Cartan type of depth 1 with the above coefficients are obtained.

In § 1, we shall review the notions and the results in [2]. In § 2, we discuss some cohomology properties of $S(n, m)$ and reduce the computation of $H^1(S(n, n)$

Manuscript received September 30, 1986.

* Department of Mathematics, East China Normal University, Shanghai, China.

** Supported by the Science Fund of the Chinese Academy of Sciences 842106.

$\tilde{\gamma}_0$) to the computation of $H^1(\mathfrak{sl}(n), V_0)$. In § 3, we determine the structure of $H^1(S(3, \mathbf{m}), \tilde{V}_0)$ (see Theorem 3.1). In § 4, we determine the structure of $H^1(S(3, n), V)$ (see Theorem 4.1) and $H_*^1(S(3, (1, 1, 1)), V)$ (see Corollary 4.1).

Deep gratitude is due to Professor Shen Guangyu who offered valuable suggestions and read the manuscript.

§ 1. Notational Preliminaries

Let F be an algebraically closed field, $\text{char } F = p > 0$. All Lie algebras and modules treated in the present article are assumed to be finite-dimensional. A Lie algebra L is a graded Lie algebra if

$$L = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} L_{[i]},$$

where $L_{[i]}$ are subspaces of L and $[L_{[i]}, L_{[j]}] \subset L_{[i+j]}$. An L -module V is graded if

$$V = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} V_i$$

and $L_{[i]}V_i \subset V_{i+j}$ (We assume $V_0 \neq 0$ if $V \neq 0$ and V_0 is called the base space of V).

We now give a brief description of Lie algebras of Cartan type $W(n, \mathbf{m})$ and $S(n, \mathbf{m})$. Let $A(n)$ be the set of n -tuples of non-negative integers. For

$$\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in A(n),$$

let

$$|\alpha| = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i.$$

Set $s_i = (\delta_{1i}, \dots, \delta_{ni}) \in A(n)$. Let $\mathfrak{U}(n)$ be the divided power algebra with basis $\{x^{(\alpha)} | \alpha \in A(n)\}$ and multiplication

$$x^{(\alpha)} x^{(\beta)} = \binom{\alpha + \beta}{\alpha} \alpha x^{(\alpha+\beta)}, \quad \alpha, \beta \in A(n),$$

where

$$\binom{\alpha}{\beta} = \prod_{i=1}^n \binom{\alpha_i}{\beta_i}$$

for $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$, $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) \in A(n)$.

If $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_n)$ is an n -tuple of positive integers and

$$A(n, \mathbf{m}) = \{\alpha \in A(n) | \alpha_i < p^{m_i}\},$$

then $\mathfrak{U} = \mathfrak{U}(n, \mathbf{m}) = \langle x^{(\alpha)} | \alpha \in A(n, \mathbf{m}) \rangle$ is a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{U}(n)$. Write $\pi = (p^{m_1}-1, \dots, p^{m_n}-1) \in A(n, \mathbf{m})$. Define derivations D_i , $i=1, \dots, n$, of $\mathfrak{U}(n, \mathbf{m})$ by

$$D_i x^{(\alpha)} = x^{(\alpha - s_i)}$$

(We set $x^{(\alpha)} = 0$ if $\alpha \notin A(n)$). Then

$$W = W(n, \mathbf{m}) := \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i D_i \mid \alpha_i \in \mathfrak{U}(n, \mathbf{m}) \right\}$$

is a derivation algebra of $\mathfrak{U}(n, \mathbf{m})$. The bracket operation of $W(n, \mathbf{m})$ is

$$[\sum_i \alpha_i D_i, \sum_j b_j D_j] = \sum_i \sum_j (a_j D_j(b_i) - b_j D_j(a_i)) D_i. \quad (1.1)$$

Set $\mathfrak{U}_{ij} = \langle x^{(\alpha)} \mid |\alpha| = i \rangle$ and $W_{ij} = \langle x^{(\alpha)} D_j \mid x^{(\alpha)} \in \mathfrak{U}_{i+1}, j=1, \dots, n \rangle$. Then

$$W = \bigoplus_{i>-1} W_{ij}$$

is a graded Lie algebra of depth 1.

The subspace $S(n, m)$ spanned by

$$D_{i,j}(f) := D_j(f)D_i - D_i(f)D_j, f \in \mathfrak{U}(n, m), i, j = 1, \dots, n,$$

is a Lie subalgebra of $W(n, m)$ (see [7]). We have the bracket products

$$[D_{i,j}(f), D_{k,l}(g)] = D_{i,k}(D_j(f)D_k(g)) - D_{j,k}(D_i(f)D_l(g)), \quad (1)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & [D_{i,j}(f), D_{k,l}(g)] \\ &= D_{j,k}(D_i(f)D_k(g)) + D_{k,l}(D_j(f)D_l(g)) + D_{i,k}(D_j(f)D_l(g)) \\ &\quad (k \neq i), \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

for $f, g \in \mathfrak{U}(n, m)$ and $i, j, k, l = 1, \dots, n$. Let $S_{[0]} = S(n, m) \cap W_{[0]}$. Then

$$S = S(n, m) = \bigoplus_{i>-1} S_{[i]}$$

is a graded Lie algebra of depth 1 and under the linear map $x^{(a)} D_i \mapsto E_{ij}$, $S_{[0]}$ isomorphic to $\text{sl}(n)$, where E_{ij} is the matrix whose (k, l) -component is $\delta_{ik}\delta_{jl}$. Let $\tilde{\rho}_0$ be a representation of $S_{[0]}$ in the module V_0 and $\tilde{V}_0 = \mathfrak{U} \otimes V_0$. If $D = \sum \alpha_i D_i \in S$, then $\tilde{D} := \sum D_i(\alpha_i) \otimes E_{ij} \in \mathfrak{U} \otimes S_{[0]}$ (see [9]). Let $\tilde{D} = \sum g_i \otimes l_i$, where $g_i \in \mathfrak{U}$, $l_i \in S_{[0]}$. Define a linear transformation $\tilde{\rho}_0(D)$ of \tilde{V}_0 by

$$\tilde{\rho}_0(D)(f \otimes v) = D(f) \otimes v + \sum g_i f \otimes \rho_0(l_i)v, f \in \mathfrak{U}, v \in V_0.$$

Then $\tilde{\rho}_0$ is a representation of $S(n, m)$ in \tilde{V}_0 and

$$\tilde{V}_0 = \bigoplus_{i>0} V_i$$

is a graded $S(n, m)$ -module where $V_i = \langle x^{(\alpha)} \otimes V_0 \mid |\alpha| = i \rangle$ and the base space of $V_1 \otimes V_0 \cong V_0$ (cf. [9, 10, 11]). By [2, Theorems 1, 1 and 1.2], we have the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $S = S(n, m)$ and V be an irreducible S -module. Then*

$$H^*(S, V) = 0,$$

where the S -module V is not isomorphic to a graded module or $V = \tilde{V}_0$ where V_0 is irreducible $S_{[0]}$ -module but is not an integral-highest weight module.

§ 2. Properties of Cohomology of $S(n, m)$

Let $\text{char } F = p > 3$, $S = S(n, m)$, $\mathfrak{U} = \mathfrak{U}(n, m)$ and

$$S_i = \bigoplus_{j>i} S_{[i]}$$

We identify V_0 with the subspace $1 \otimes V_0$ of \tilde{V}_0 . Suppose that S_1 acts trivially on $S_{[0]}$ -module V_0 . Then we may regard V_0 as S_0 -module. Now we generalize Lemma 2.1 in [2] and obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *The relative cohomology $H^*(S, S_{[i-1]}, \tilde{V}_0)$ is a direct summand of*

$H^*(S, \tilde{V}_0)$ and

$$H^*(S, S_{[-1]}, \tilde{V}_0) \cong H^*(S_0, V_0).$$

Proof We denote the projection from \tilde{V}_0 onto V_0 by Pr_{V_0} . Let $A: C^*(S, \tilde{V}_0) \rightarrow C^*(S_0, V_0)$ be a linear map such that $A\tilde{v} = Pr_{V_0}(\tilde{v})$ for $\tilde{v} \in C^0(S, \tilde{V}) = \tilde{V}_0$, and

$$A\psi(l_1, \dots, l_k) = Pr_{V_0}(\psi(l_1, \dots, l_k)),$$

for $l_1, \dots, l_k \in S_0$, where $k > 0$ and $\psi \in C^k(S, \tilde{V}_0)$. We shall show that the following diagram is commutative.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C^k(S, \tilde{V}_0) & \xrightarrow{d} & C^{k+1}(S, \tilde{V}_0) \\ A \uparrow & & \downarrow A \\ C^k(S_0, V_0) & \xrightarrow{d} & C^{k+1}(S_0, V_0) \end{array} \quad k \geq 0.$$

It is clear that $Pr_{V_0}(l(\tilde{v})) = lPr_{V_0}(\tilde{v})$, for $l \in S_0$, $\tilde{v} \in \tilde{V}_0$, and $l(A\psi(\dots, \hat{l}, \dots)) = A(l(\psi(\dots, \hat{l}, \dots)))$, for $\psi \in C^k(S, \tilde{V}_0)$ and $l \in S_0$. Thus we have

$$dA\psi = A d\psi.$$

We now prove that $A|C^0(S, S_{[-1]}, \tilde{V}_0)$ is injective. By the definition of relative cohomology and $\text{Ann } S_{[-1]} = 1 \otimes \tilde{V}_0$ (see [10]), we have $C^0(S, S_{[-1]}, \tilde{V}_0) = \tilde{V}_0^{S_{[-1]}} = V_0$. Thus $A|C^0(S, S_{[-1]}, \tilde{V}_0)$ is the identity map. For $k > 0$, let $\psi \in C^k(S, S_{[-1]}, \tilde{V}_0)$ and $A\psi = 0$. Assume $Pr_{V_{j_1}}(\psi(\dots)) \neq 0$, where j_1 is the smallest positive integer such that the inequality is valid. Since $\psi \in C^k(S, S_{[-1]}, \tilde{V}_0)$, we have

$$D_j(\psi(l_1, \dots, l_k)) = \sum_{i=1}^k (-l)^i \psi([D_j, l_i], l_1, \dots, \hat{l}_i, \dots, l_k),$$

or $l_1, \dots, l_k \in S_0$ and $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Applying $Pr_{V_{j+1}}$ to the both sides of the equality, the right side becomes zero, but the left side does not, and we get a contradiction. Thus $\psi = 0$.

Next we define a linear map $A': C^*(S_0, V_0) \rightarrow C^*(S_0, \tilde{V}_0)$. Thus we set

$$\begin{aligned} (A'\varphi)(D_{(1)}(x^{(\alpha_{(1)})}), \dots, D_{(n)}(x^{(\alpha_{(n)})})) \\ = \sum_{|\beta_{(1)}|, \dots, |\beta_{(n)}| \geq 2} D^{\beta_{(1)}}(x^{(\alpha_{(1)})}) \cdots D^{\beta_{(n)}}(x^{(\alpha_{(n)})}) \\ \otimes \varphi(D_{(1)}(x^{(\beta_{(1)})}), \dots, D_{(n)}(x^{(\beta_{(n)})})) \end{aligned}$$

for $k > 0$ and $\varphi \in C^k(S_0, V_0)$, where $\alpha_{(i)}, \beta_{(i)} \in A(n, m)$, $D_{(i)} \in \{D_j, \delta_k \mid j, k = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and let $\beta_{(0)} = (\beta_{(1)}, \dots, \beta_{(n)})$, then $D^{\beta_{(0)}} = D_1^{\beta_{(1)}} \cdots D_n^{\beta_{(n)}}$, and

$$A'v = v, \text{ for } v \in C^0(S_0, V_0) = V_0.$$

It is clear that $A'C^*(S_0, V_0) \subseteq C^*(S, S_{[-1]}, \tilde{V}_0)$. We need only to show that $AA': C^*(S_0, V_0) \rightarrow C^*(S_0, V_0)$ is the identity map. Then $C^*(S, S_{[-1]}, \tilde{V}_0) \cong C^*(S_0, V_0)$ and the lemma is proved.

For $l \in S_0$, if $l \in S_{[1]}$, we write $|l| = i$. For $r \geq 0$ and $k > 0$, let

$$\begin{aligned} C_r^k(S_0, V_0) &= \{\varphi \in C^k(S_0, V_0) \mid \text{if } |l_1| + \cdots + |l_k| \neq r, \text{ then} \\ &\quad \varphi(l_1, \dots, l_k) = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $C_r^k(S_0, V_0) = \bigoplus_{r \geq 0} C_r^k(S_0, V_0)$. If $\varphi \in C_r^k(S_0, V_0)$, then

$$(A'\varphi)(D_{(1)}(x^{(\alpha_{(1)})}), \dots, D_{(k)}(x^{(\alpha_{(k)})})) \\ = \sum_{|\beta_{(1)}| + \dots + |\beta_{(k)}| = 2k-r} D^{\beta_{(1)}}(x^{(\alpha_{(1)})}) \dots D^{\beta_{(k)}}(x^{(\alpha_{(k)})}) \otimes \varphi(D_{(1)}(x^{(\beta_{(1)})}), \dots, D_{(k)}(x^{(\beta_{(k)})})).$$

It is obvious that $A'\varphi(l_1, \dots, l_k) = 0$ if $|l_1| + \dots + |l_k| < r$,

$$AA'\varphi(l_1, \dots, l_k) = 0 \text{ if } |l_1| + \dots + |l_k| > r, \text{ and}$$

$$AA'\varphi(l_1, \dots, l_k) = \varphi(l_1, \dots, l_k) \text{ if } |l_1| + \dots + |l_k| = r.$$

Now we shall reduce the computation of $H^1(S, \tilde{V}_0)$ to the computation of $H^1(\mathfrak{sl}(n), V_0)$.

Lemma 2.2. (1) *If V_0 is nontrivial irreducible $\mathfrak{sl}(n)$ -module with a high weight, then*

$$H^1(S, \tilde{V}_0) \cong H^1(S, S_{[t-1]}, \tilde{V}_0).$$

(2) *If $V_0 = F$, then*

$$H^1(S, \mathfrak{U}) \cong \langle [\beta_1] \rangle \oplus \dots \oplus \langle [\beta_n] \rangle \oplus H^1(S, S_{[t-1]}, \mathfrak{U}),$$

where $\mathfrak{U} = \tilde{V}(0)$,

$$\beta_i(D_j) = \begin{cases} x^{(0, \dots, 0, p^{m_i-1}, 0, \dots, 0)}, & \text{if } j = i, \\ 0, & \text{if } j \neq i, \end{cases}$$

for $i, j = 1, \dots, n$, and $[\beta_i]$ is the cohomology class of β_i .

Proof It is obvious that there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(S, S_{[t-1]}, \tilde{V}_0) \rightarrow H^1(S, \tilde{V}_0) \rightarrow H^1(S_{[t-1]}, \tilde{V}_0). \quad (2.1)$$

We factor the restriction map from S as follows.

$$H^1(S, \tilde{V}_0) \rightarrow H^1(S_{[t]} \oplus S_{[t]}, \tilde{V}_0) \rightarrow H^1(S_{[t-1]}, \tilde{V}_0).$$

By the cohomology five-term sequence, we have

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(S_{[t]}, \tilde{V}_0^{S_{[t-1]}}) \rightarrow H^1(S_{[t]} \oplus S_{[t-1]}, \tilde{V}_0) \\ \rightarrow H^1(S_{[t-1]}, \tilde{V}_0)^{S_{[t]}} \rightarrow H^2(S_{[t]}, \tilde{V}_0^{S_{[t-1]}}) \rightarrow \dots.$$

Hence the restriction map $H^1(S, \tilde{V}_0) \rightarrow H^1(S_{[t-1]}, \tilde{V}_0)$ takes values in $H^1(S_{[t-1]}, \tilde{V}_0)^{\mathfrak{sl}(n)}$.

If $V_0 = F$, then any 1-cocycle $\psi \in Z^1(S_{[t-1]}, \mathfrak{U})$ can be extended to a 1-cocycle on S via

$$\psi(D_{i,j}(f)) = D_j(f)\psi(D_i) - D_i(f)\psi(D_j), \text{ for } f \in \mathfrak{U}, i, j = 1, \dots, n.$$

It implies that the restriction map $H^1(S, \mathfrak{U}) \rightarrow H^1(S_{[t-1]}, \mathfrak{U})$ in (2.1) is surjective. Furthermore we show that $H^1(S_{[t-1]}, \mathfrak{U})^{\mathfrak{sl}(n)} = H^1(S_{[t-1]}, \mathfrak{U})$ and the natural action of $S_{[t]} = \mathfrak{sl}(n)$ on $H^1(S_{[t-1]}, \mathfrak{U})$ is trivial. Hence we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(S, S_{[t-1]}, \mathfrak{U}) \rightarrow H^1(S, \mathfrak{U}) \rightarrow H^1(S_{[t-1]}, \mathfrak{U}) \rightarrow 0.$$

Therefore we have

$$H^1(S, \mathfrak{U}) \cong H^1(S_{[t-1]}, \mathfrak{U}) \oplus H^1(S, S_{[t-1]}, \mathfrak{U}).$$

Since $S_{[t-1]} = \langle D_1, \dots, D_n \rangle$ is commutative, we can easily show that

$$H^1(S_{[t-1]}, \mathfrak{U}) \cong \langle [\beta_1] \rangle \oplus \dots \oplus \langle [\beta_n] \rangle$$

(cf. [2, Lemma 3.1]). Then the assertion in the case (2) is valid.

If $V_0 \neq F$, then

$$\begin{aligned} H^1(S_{[t-1]}, \tilde{V}_0)^{sl(n)} &= (H^1(S_{[t-1]}, \mathfrak{A}(\otimes V_0))^{sl(n)} \\ &= H^1(S_{[t-1]}, \mathfrak{A}) \otimes \tilde{V}_0^{sl(n)} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the restriction map $H^1(S, \tilde{V}_0) \rightarrow H^1(S_{[t-1]}, \tilde{V}_0)$ is 0. By (2.1), we have

$$H^1(S, \tilde{V}_0) \cong H^1(S, S_{[t-1]}, \tilde{V}_0).$$

This completes the proof of the case (1).

Now we shall compute $H^1(S, S_{[t-1]}, \tilde{V}_0)$. If $V_0 = F$, then by Lemma 2.1, we have

$$H^1(S, S_{[t-1]}, \mathfrak{A}) \cong H^1(S_0, F) \cong S_0/[S_0, S_0]. \quad (2.2)$$

For $n=3$, using (1.2) and (1.3), by direct computation, we have

$$S_0/[S_0, S_0] \cong 0. \quad (2.3)$$

Using the cohomology five-term sequence, we have

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(sl(n), V_0^{S_1}) \rightarrow H^1(S_0, V_0) \rightarrow H^1(S_1, V_0)^{sl(n)} \rightarrow H^2(sl(n), V_0^{S_1}) \rightarrow H^2(S_0, V_0). \quad (2.4)$$

Now we shall discuss $H^1(S_1, V_0)^{sl(n)}$ for $V_0 \neq F$. This is equal to

$$\text{Hom}_{sl(n)}(S_1/[S_1, S_1], V_0) = \bigoplus_{i>1} \text{Hom}_{sl(n)}(Y_i, V_0), \quad (2.5)$$

where Y_i is the contribution to $S_1/[S_1, S_1]$ coming from $S_{[t]}$. Let $\mathfrak{h} = \langle E_{11}, \dots, E_m \rangle$ and $A_i, i=1, \dots, n$, be linear functions on \mathfrak{h} such that $A_i(E_{jj}) = \delta_{ij}$, $A_0 = 0$ and

$$\lambda_i = \sum_{j=1}^i A_j, \quad i=1, \dots, n.$$

Then $\mathfrak{h} \cap sl(n)$ is the Cartan standard subalgebra of $sl(n)$ and $\lambda_i|_{\mathfrak{h} \cap sl(n)}, i=1, \dots, n-1$, is the fundamental weight of $sl(n)$. For convenience, we still denote it by $\lambda_i, i=0, 1, \dots, n-1$. If $S_{[t]}$ is regarded as an $sl(n)$ -module, then we can now prove the following lemma.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $V(\lambda)$ be an irreducible (restricted) $sl(n)$ -module with a highest weight λ . Then*

$$S_{[t]} \cong V(2\lambda_1 + \lambda_{n-1}).$$

Proof We can easily verify that the linear map

$$D_{i,j}(f) \mapsto D_j(f) \otimes D_i - D_i(f) \otimes D_j, \quad f \in \mathfrak{A}, \quad i, j=1, \dots, n,$$

is an $sl(n)$ -module isomorphism of $S_{[t]}$ into $\mathfrak{A}_2 \otimes S_{[t-1]}$. As $sl(n)$ -modules we have $\mathfrak{A}_2 \cong V(2\lambda_1)$ and $S_{[t-1]} \cong V(\lambda_{n-1})$. If $p \geq \langle 2\lambda_1 + \lambda_{n-1} + \delta, \alpha_0^\vee \rangle = n+2$, where δ equals half the sum of positive roots and $\alpha_0 = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_{n-1}$ is the highest root, then the Weyl modules $V(2\lambda_1 + \lambda_{n-1})$ and $V(\lambda_1)$ are simple. Hence we have

$$\mathfrak{A}_2 \otimes S_{[t-1]} \cong V(2\lambda_1 + \lambda_{n-1}) \oplus V(\lambda_1).$$

Since $\dim V(\lambda_1) = n$ (see [6, Ex. 21.11]), we have

$$\dim V(2\lambda_1 + \lambda_{n-1}) = (n+n(n-1)/2)n - n = n^3/2 + n^2/2 - n = \dim S_{[t]}.$$

It forces $S_{[t]} \cong V(2\lambda_1 + \lambda_{n-1})$.

By Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\text{Hom}_{sl(n)}(S_{[t]}, V_0) \cong \begin{cases} F, & \text{if } V_0 \cong V(2\lambda_1 + \lambda_{n-1}), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (2.6)$$

Then by (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6), we may reduce the computation of $H^1(S_0, V_0)$ to the computation of $H^1(sl(n), V_0)$ and $S_1/[S_1, S_1]$. By (1.2), (1.3) and Lucas theorem, that is

$$\left(\frac{\sum_{\mu>0} b_\mu p^\mu}{\sum_{\nu=0}^t a_\nu p^\nu} \right) = \prod_{\mu=0}^t \binom{b_\mu}{a_\mu} \pmod{p},$$

where $\sum_{\mu>0} b_\mu p^\mu$ and $\sum_{\nu=0}^t a_\nu p^\nu$ are the p -adic expressions, we can directly verify the $n=3$, then

$$S_1/[S_1, S_1] = \bar{S}_{[1]} \cong S_{[1]},$$

where the congruent classes modulo $[S_1, S_1]$ are denoted by the bars.

§ 3. $H^1(S(3, m), \tilde{V}_0)$

Let S be $S(3, m)$ over an algebraically closed field F , $\text{char } F = p > 3$. In section we determine the structure of $H^1(S(3, m), \tilde{V}_0)$, where V_0 is an irreducible $S_{[0]}$ -module. Thanks to Theorem 1.1, we need to consider only the cases where V an irreducible highest weight module of $S_{[0]}$.

Now we shall compute $H^1(sl(3), V_0)$, where V_0 is irreducible and restricted. [5, p.575], we have

$$H^1(sl(3), V_0) \cong H^*(sl(3), V_0). \quad (3)$$

Let G be the algebraic group $SL(3)$ over F and G_1 the first Frobenius kernel of G . Fix a Borel subgroup B in G and a maximal torus T in B . Let U be the unipotent radical of B . Let $\Delta = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ be the set of simple roots, $X(T)$ the lattice of weights of T , $X(T)^+$ the set of dominant weights in $X(T)$, and

$$X_1 = \{\lambda \in X(T)^+ \mid 0 \leq \langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle < p \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Delta\}.$$

More precisely we need to replace X_1 by $X(T)/pX(T)$. Then X_1 is the set of weights for $sl(3)$. Let Φ^+ and W be the set of the positive roots and the Weyl group respectively. By [6, Ex. 23.4] and [3, Theorem 3], we have

$$H^*(sl(3), V(\lambda)) = 0, \text{ for } \lambda \in X_1 \text{ and } \lambda \notin W \cdot 0, \quad (3)$$

where $V(\lambda)$ is an irreducible (restricted) $sl(3)$ -module with a highest weight λ $w \in W$ has length $l(w)$ and $\lambda \in X(T)^+$ with $w \cdot 0 + p\lambda \in X(T)^+$, then by [1, Corollary 5.5] we have

$$H^1(G_1, H^0(w \cdot 0 + p\lambda)) \cong \begin{cases} H^0(S^{(1-l(w))/2}(U^*) \otimes \lambda) \text{ if } l(w) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, \\ 0, \text{ otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

where $S(u^*)$ is the symmetric algebra on $u^* = (\text{Lie } U)^*$.

Let $X_a = \{a_1\lambda_1 + a_2\lambda_2 \mid a_1 + a_2 > p-2 \text{ and } 0 < a_1, a_2 < p-1\}$,

and $X_b = \{a_1\lambda_1 + a_2\lambda_2 \mid a_1 + a_2 < p-2 \text{ and } 0 \leq a_1, a_2 < p-1\}$.

call that if $\lambda \in X_5$ then $H^0(\lambda) = V(\lambda)$ and if $\lambda = a_1\lambda_1 + a_2\lambda_2 \in X_5$, then we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow V(\lambda) \rightarrow H^0(\lambda) \rightarrow V(\lambda') \rightarrow 0, \quad (3.5)$$

where $\lambda' = (p-2-a_2)\lambda_1 + (p-2-a_1)\lambda_2 \in X_5$. Let $V(\lambda)$ be an irreducible (restricted) $S(3)$ -module with a highest weight $\lambda \in X_1$. Then by (3.2)–(3.5) we have

$$H^1(S(3), V(\lambda)) \cong \begin{cases} H^0(\lambda_1)^{(1)}, & \text{if } \lambda = (p-2)\lambda_1 + \lambda_2, \\ H^0(\lambda_2)^{(1)}, & \text{if } \lambda = \lambda_1 + (p-2)\lambda_2, \\ F, & \text{if } \lambda = (p-2)(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (3.6)$$

By (2.4), (2.6), (2.7) and (3.6), we have

$$H^1(S_0, V(\lambda)) \cong \begin{cases} H_0(\lambda_1)^{(1)}, & \text{if } \lambda = (p-2)\lambda_1 + \lambda_2, \\ H_0(\lambda_2)^{(1)}, & \text{if } \lambda = \lambda_1 + (p-2)\lambda_2, \\ F, & \text{if } \lambda = 2\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 \text{ or } (p-2)(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, (2.2)–(2.6) and the remark at the beginning of this section, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. Suppose $\text{char } F = p > 3$. Let V_0 be an irreducible $S(3)$ -module with a highest weight. Then

$$H^1(S(3, m), \tilde{V}_0) \cong \begin{cases} \langle [\beta_1] \rangle \oplus \langle [\beta_2] \rangle \oplus \langle [\beta_3] \rangle, & \text{if } V_0 = F, \\ H^0(\lambda_1)^{(1)}, & \text{if } V_0 = V((p-2)\lambda_1 + \lambda_2), \\ H^0(\lambda_2)^{(1)}, & \text{if } V_0 = V(\lambda_1 + (p-2)\lambda_2), \\ F, & \text{if } V_0 = V(2\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) \text{ or } V((p-2)(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

§4. $H^1(S(3, m), V)$ and $H_*^1(S(3, 1, 1, 1), V)$

In this section we determine the structure of $H^1(S(3, m), V)$ where V is an irreducible $S(3, m)$ -module and the structure of $H_*^1(S(3, (1, 1, 1)), V)$ where V is an irreducible restricted $S(3, (1, 1, 1))$ -module. All irreducible graded modules of $(n, m) (= S)$ are determined in [11]. We have (cf. [9, 10, 11]) the following proposition.

Proposition 4.1. (1) If V is an irreducible graded module, then $V \mapsto V_0$ is, up to isomorphism, a bijective map of the class of irreducible graded S -modules onto the class of irreducible $S_{[0]}$ -modules. (2) If V_0 is $S_{[0]}$ -irreducible, then \tilde{V}_0 is S -irreducible unless V_0 is trivial or a highest weight module with a fundamental weight as its highest weight, that is, unless $V_0 = V(\lambda_i)$, $i=0, 1, \dots, n-1$. (3) If V_0 is an irreducible $S_{[0]}$ -module, then the irreducible graded S -module with base space V_0 isomorphic to the (unique) minimum submodule $(\tilde{V}_0)_{\min}$ of \tilde{V}_0 . (4) If $m = (1, 1, \dots,$

1), i.e., S is restricted, then every irreducible restricted S -module V is graded and $V \mapsto V_0$ is, up to isomorphism, a bijective map of the class of irreducible restricted $S_{[0]}$ -modules onto the class of irreducible restricted $S_{[0]}$ -modules such that $(\tilde{V}_0)_{\min}$ is the unique irreducible restricted S -module whose base space is isomorphic to V_0 .

For convenience, denote $\tilde{V}(\lambda_i)$ and $(\tilde{V}(\lambda_i))_{\min}$ by \tilde{V}_i and M_i respectively, $i=0, 1, \dots, n-1$. By [11, Theorem 2.2, Proposition 2.1 and Lemma 2.1], we have exact sequences

$$\begin{cases} 0 \rightarrow F \rightarrow \tilde{V}_0^* \rightarrow M_1 \rightarrow 0, \\ 0 \rightarrow F^{n+1} \rightarrow \tilde{V}_1/M_1 \rightarrow M_2 \rightarrow 0, \\ 0 \rightarrow F^{(i)} \rightarrow \tilde{V}_i/M_i \rightarrow M_{i+1} \rightarrow 0, \quad i > 1, \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

where $\tilde{V}_0^* = \bigoplus_{j < |\pi|} \tilde{V}(\lambda_0)_j$ and $M_n = 0$.

Let $\text{char } F = p > 3$ and $S = S(3, m)$. Now we shall compute $H(S, M_i)$, $i=1, 2$. the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M_i \rightarrow \tilde{V}_i \rightarrow \tilde{V}/M_i \rightarrow 0$, we have the long exact sequences

$$H^0(S, \tilde{V}_i) \rightarrow H^0(S, \tilde{V}_i/M_i) \rightarrow H^1(S, M_i) \rightarrow H^1(S, \tilde{V}_i), \quad i=1, 2. \quad (4)$$

By Theorem 3.1, we have $H^1(S, \tilde{V}_i) = 0$, $i=1, 2$. Then by (4.1) and (4.2), we h

$$H^1(S, M_i) \cong H^0(S, \tilde{V}_i/M_i) \cong \begin{cases} F^4, & \text{if } i=1, \\ F^3, & \text{if } i=2. \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

By Proposition 4.1, Theorem 3.1 and (4.3), we have the following theorem

Theorem 4.1. Suppose $\text{char } F = p > 3$. Let V be an irreducible $S(3, m)$ -modu Then

$$H^1(S(3, m), V) \cong \begin{cases} H^0(\lambda_1)^{(1)}, & \text{if } V = \tilde{V}((p-2)\lambda_1 + \lambda_2), \\ H^0(\lambda_2)^{(1)}, & \text{if } V = \tilde{V}(\lambda_1 + (p-2)\lambda_2), \\ F, & \text{if } V = \tilde{V}(2\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) \text{ or } \tilde{V}((p-2)(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)), \\ F^4, & \text{if } V = (\tilde{V}(\lambda_1))_{\min} \\ F^3, & \text{if } V = (\tilde{V}(\lambda_2))_{\min}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By [5, p. 575], we have the following corollary.

Corollary 4.1. Suppose $\text{char } F = p > 3$. Let V be an irreducible restricted $S(3, 1, 1, 1)$ -module. Then

$$H^1(S, (3, 1, 1, 1), V) \cong \begin{cases} H^0(\lambda_1)^{(1)}, & \text{if } V = \tilde{V}((p-2)\lambda_1 + \lambda_2), \\ H^0(\lambda_2)^{(1)}, & \text{if } V = \tilde{V}(\lambda_1 + (p-2)\lambda_2), \\ F, & \text{if } V = \tilde{V}(2\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) \text{ or } \tilde{V}((p-2)(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)), \\ F^4, & \text{if } V = (\tilde{V}(\lambda_1))_{\min}, \\ F^3, & \text{if } V = (\tilde{V}(\lambda_2))_{\min}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

References

- [1] Andersen, H. H. Jantzen, J. C., Cohomology of induced representations for algebraic groups, *Math.*

- Ann.* **269** (1984), 487—525.
- [1] Chiu Sen and Shen Guangyu, Cohomology of graded Lie algebras of Cartan type of characteristic p , *Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg*, **57** (1987), 139—156.
 - [2] Dzhumadil'daev, A. S., On cohomology of modular Lie algebras (Russian), *Matem. sb.* **119**(161), (1981), 132—149.
 - [3] Hochschild, G. and Serre, J. P., Cohomology of Lie algebras, *Ann. of Math.*, **57**(1953), 591—603.
 - [4] Hochschild, G., Cohomology of restricted Lie algebras, *Amer J. Math.*, **76** (1954), 555—580.
 - [5] Humphreys, J., Introduction to Lie algebras and representation theory, Springer, New York, 1972.
 - [6] Kostrikin, A. I., and Šafarevič, I. R. Graded Lie algebras of finite characteristic, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Mat.*, **33**(1969), 251—322.
 - [7] Rotman, J., An introduction to homological algebra, New York, Academic Press, 1979.
 - [8] Shen Guanyu, Graded modules of graded Lie algebras of Cartan type (I)—mixed product of modules, *Scientia Sinica (Ser. A)*, **29**: 6 (1986), 570—581.
 - [9] Shen Guanyu, Graded modules of graded Lie algebras of Cartan type (II)—positive and negative graded modules *Scientia Sinica (Ser. A)*, **29**: 10 (1986), 1009—1019.
 - [10] Shen Guanyu, Graded modules of graded Lie algebras of Cartan type (III)—irreducible modules, (to appear).
 - [11] Wan Zhexian, Lie Algebra, Science Publishing House, Beijing, 1964 (in Chinese).
 - [12] Wilson, R., A structural characterization of the simple Lie algebras of generalized Cartan type over fields of prime characteristic, *J. Algebra*, **40** (1976), 418—465.