

ON THE ERROR FUNCTION OF THE SQUARE-FULL INTEGERS

ZHAN TAO (展 涛)*

Abstract

Let $L(x)$ denote the number of square-full integers not exceeding x . It is proved in [1] that

$$L(x) \sim \frac{\zeta(3/2)}{\zeta(3)} x^{1/2} + \frac{\zeta(2/3)}{\zeta(2)} x^{1/3} \text{ as } x \rightarrow \infty,$$

where $\zeta(s)$ denotes the Riemann zeta function. Let $\Delta(x)$ denote the error function in the asymptotic formula for $L(x)$. It was shown by D. Suryanaryana^[2] on the Riemann hypothesis (RH) that

$$\frac{1}{x} \int_1^x |\Delta(t)| dt = O(x^{1/10+s})$$

for every $s > 0$. In this paper the author proves the following asymptotic formula for the mean-value of $\Delta(x)$ under the assumption of R. H.

$$\int_1^T \frac{\Delta^2(t)}{t^{5/6}} dt \sim c \log T,$$

where $c > 0$ is a constant.

§1. Introduction

A positive integer n is called square-full if $p|n$ implies $p^2|n$, where p is a prime. Let $l(n)$ denote the character function of the square-full integers, i. e.,

$$l(n) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n \text{ is square-full,} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The error function of the square-full integers is defined by

$$\Delta(x) = L(x) - \frac{\zeta(3/2)}{\zeta(3)} x^{1/2} - \frac{\zeta(2/3)}{\zeta(2)} x^{1/3}.$$

It was shown by P. T. Bateman and E. Grosswald that

$$\Delta(x) = o(x^{1/6})$$

(see [1]). Some further results about the behavior of $\Delta(x)$ were obtained on assumption of the Riemann hypothesis (referred to simply as RH). Suryanarayana and R. Sita Rama Chandra Rao^[2] proved on the assumption of that

Manuscript received November 2, 1986.

* Department of Mathematics, Shandong University, Jinan, Shandong, China.

$$\Delta(x) = O(x^{13/81+\varepsilon})$$

or every $\varepsilon > 0$.

Recently D. Suryanarayana^[3] proved a mean value result for $\Delta(x)$ on the assumption of RH

$$\frac{1}{x} \int_1^x |\Delta(t)| dt = O(x^{1/10+\varepsilon})$$

or every $\varepsilon > 0$.

In this note we establish the following asymptotic formula for the mean value of $\Delta(x)$ under the assumption of RH

$$\int_1^x \frac{\Delta^2(t)}{t^{6/5}} dt \sim c_1 \log x$$

as $x \rightarrow \infty$, where $c_1 > 0$ is a constant.

In fact, our method also could be used to deal with the function $\Delta_m(x)$ introduced in [3], and obtain

$$\int_1^x \frac{\Delta_m^2(t)}{t^{2m+6/5}} dt \sim c'_m \log x \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty,$$

where $\Delta_m(x)$ is defined as

$$\Delta_m(x) = \sum_{n \leq x} l(n) n^m - \frac{\zeta(3/2)}{\zeta(3)} \frac{x^{m+1/2}}{2m+1} - \frac{\zeta(2/3)}{\zeta(2)} \frac{x^{m+1/3}}{3m+1}$$

and $\Delta_0(x) = \Delta(x)$.

§ 2. Preliminaries

To prove our theorem we need several almost well-known lemmas. We state them below.

Lemma 1^[4] If $\sigma > 1/2$, then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{l(n)}{n^s} = \frac{\zeta(2s)\zeta(3s)}{\zeta(6s)}. \quad (1)$$

Lemma 2^[5]. On the assumption of RH, we have as $|t| \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\zeta(\sigma + it) \ll |t|^\sigma \quad \text{if } \sigma \geq 1/2,$$

$$\zeta^{-1}(\sigma + it) \ll |t|^\sigma \quad \text{if } \sigma \geq 1/2,$$

$$\zeta(\sigma + it) \ll |t|^{1/2-\sigma+\varepsilon} \quad \text{if } \sigma \leq 1/2.$$

Lemma 3^[5]. If we assume RH, then

$$\int_0^T |\zeta(\sigma + it)|^{2k} dt \sim T \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{d_n^{2k}(n)}{n^{2k\sigma}}, \quad \text{as } T \rightarrow \infty,$$

holds for $\sigma > 1/2$.

Using the method of Titchmarsh in [5], § 7.9, we could easily show the following lemma.

Lemma 4. On the assumption of RH, we have

$$\int_0^T |\zeta(\sigma + it)^{-4}| dt \sim c(\sigma) T$$

as $T \rightarrow \infty$, for $\sigma > \frac{1}{2}$, where $c(\sigma) > 0$ is constant.

Lemma 5^[6]. If $f(t) \in L^2(-\infty, \infty)$, then the Fourier transform F of f defined by

$$F(x) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t) e^{ixt} dt$$

exists and belongs to $L^2(-\infty, \infty)$. Also we have

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |F(x)|^2 dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(t)|^2 dt.$$

Lemma 6^[5]. Let

$$f(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n n^{-s} \quad (s = \sigma + it)$$

be absolutely convergent for $\sigma > 1$. Then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n^s} e^{-\delta n} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \Gamma(w-s) f(w) \delta^{s-w} dw$$

for $\delta > 0$, $c > \max(1, \sigma)$.

Lemma 7^[7]. If $\{a_n\}$ is a sequence of complex numbers and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n |a_n|^2 < +\infty,$$

then

$$\left| \sum_{m \neq n} \frac{a_m \bar{a}_n}{\log m/n} \right| = O\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n |a_n|^2\right).$$

Lemma 8^[8]. If we have

$$\int_0^{\infty} f(x) e^{-xy} dx \sim \frac{c}{y} \quad \text{as } y \rightarrow 0,$$

and $f(x) \geq 0$ then

$$\int_0^T f(x) dx \sim cT$$

as $T \rightarrow \infty$.

§ 3. Main Results

We first prove a mean value theorem for $\zeta(s)$.

Theorem 1. If we assume the RH, then

$$\int_0^T \left| \frac{\zeta(\sigma_1 + 2it) \zeta(\sigma_2 + 3it)}{\zeta(\sigma_3 + 6it)} \right|^2 dt \sim c(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3) T$$

for $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3 > 1/2$, as $T \rightarrow \infty$, where $c(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3)$ is a positive continuous function

$(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3)$ for $\sigma_i > \frac{1}{2}$, $1 \leq i \leq 3$.

Proof Let

$$f(t) = \zeta(\sigma_1 + 2it) \zeta(\sigma_2 + 3it) / \zeta(\sigma_3 + 6it),$$

$$F(s) = \zeta(\sigma_1 + 2s) \zeta(\sigma_2 + 3s) / \zeta(\sigma_3 + 6s).$$

en

$$F(it) = f(t).$$

Since

$$F(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n n^{-s}$$

absolutely convergent for $\operatorname{Re} s > 1$, applying Lemma 6, we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n n^{-s} e^{-\delta n} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{2-i\infty}^{2+i\infty} \Gamma(w-s) F(w) \delta^{s-w} dw. \quad (5)$$

taking $s = it$ in (5), we obtain

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n n^{-it} e^{-\delta n} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{2-i\infty}^{2+i\infty} \Gamma(w-it) F(w) \delta^{t-w} dw. \quad (6)$$

moving the contour to $\operatorname{Re} w = -\alpha$,

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{2} \min \left(\frac{\sigma_1 - 1/2}{2}, \frac{\sigma_2 - 1/2}{3}, \frac{\sigma_3 - 1/2}{6} \right) > 0.$$

pass the pole of $\Gamma(w-it)$ at $w = it$ with residue $F(it) = f(t)$, and the poles of w at $w = (1-\sigma_1)/2$ and $w = (1-\sigma_2)/3$, with residues $O(e^{-A|t|})$.

Hence

$$f(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n n^{-it} e^{-\delta n} - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\alpha-i\infty}^{-\alpha+i\infty} \Gamma(w-it) F(w) \delta^{t-w} dw + O(e^{-A|t|}). \quad (7)$$

we call the first two terms on the right Z_1 and Z_2 . Then

$$\int_{T/2}^T |Z_1|^2 dt = \frac{T}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n|^2 e^{-2\delta n} + O \left(\left| \left(\sum_{m \neq n} \frac{a_m a_n e^{-(m+n)\delta}}{\log m/n} e^{it \log m/n} \right) \right|_{T/2}^T \right). \quad (8)$$

Applying Lemma 7 to the O -term in (8) we have

$$\int_{T/2}^T |Z_1|^2 dt = \frac{T}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n|^2 e^{-2\delta n} + O \left(\sum_n n |a_n|^2 e^{-2\delta n} \right).$$

we

$$a_n = \sum_{n_1 n_2 n_3 = n} n_1^{-\sigma_1} n_2^{-\sigma_2} n_3^{-\sigma_3} \mu(n_3), \quad (9)$$

trivially have

$$a_n = O(1).$$

hence

$$\int_{T/2}^T |Z_1|^2 dt = \frac{T}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n|^2 e^{-2\delta n} + O(\delta^{-2}).$$

thus we obtain

$$\int_0^T |Z_1|^2 dt = T \Sigma(\delta) + O(\delta^{-2} \log T), \quad (10)$$

where

$$\Sigma(\delta) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n|^2 e^{-2\delta n}. \quad (11)$$

For Z_2 , using Hölder's inequality, we obtain

$$Z_2 \ll \delta^\alpha \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\Gamma(w-it)| dv \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\Gamma(w-it)| |F(w)|^2 dv \right)^{1/2}.$$

The first integral is $O(1)$, while for $|t| \leq T$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_{-\infty}^{-2T} + \int_{2T}^{\infty} \right) |\Gamma(w - it)| |H'(w)|^2 dv \\ &= \left(\int_{-\infty}^{-2T} + \int_{2T}^{\infty} \right) |v|^s e^{-A|v \pm t|} dv = O(e^{-AT}), \quad w = -\alpha + iv. \end{aligned}$$

Then for

$$w = -\alpha + iv,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{T/2}^T |z_2|^2 dt &\ll \delta^{2\alpha} \int_{-2T}^{2T} |Fw^2| dv \int_{T/2}^T |\Gamma(w - it)| dt + \delta^{2\alpha} \\ &\ll \delta^{2\alpha} \int_{-2T}^{2T} |F(-\alpha + iv)|^2 dv + \delta^{2\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

From (*) we know that

$$\sigma_1 - 2\alpha > \frac{1}{2}, \quad \sigma_2 - 3\alpha > 1/2, \quad \sigma_3 - 6\alpha > 1/2.$$

Then by Lemma 3 and Lemma 4 we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{-2T}^{2T} |F(-\alpha + iv)|^2 dv \\ &\leq \left(\int_{-2T}^{2T} |\zeta(\sigma_1 - 2\alpha + 2iv)|^8 dv \right)^{1/4} \cdot \left(\int_{-2T}^{2T} |\zeta(\sigma_2 - 3\alpha + 3iv)|^8 dv \right)^{1/4} \\ &\quad \cdot \left(\int_{-2T}^{2T} |\zeta(\sigma_3 - 6\alpha + 6iv)|^8 dv \right)^{1/4} \ll T. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{T/2}^T |Z_2|^2 dt &\ll T\delta^{2\alpha}, \\ \int_0^T |Z_2|^2 dt &\ll T\delta^{2\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

We show that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n|^2 < +\infty.$$

Notice that (12) is also true for $\alpha=0$, we have

$$\int_0^T |f(t)|^2 dt \ll T.$$

So we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T |Z_1|^2 dt &= T\Sigma(\delta) + O(\delta^{-2} \log T) \\ &\ll \int_0^T |f(t)|^2 dt + \int_0^T |z_2|^2 dt + 1 \\ &\ll T + T\delta^{2\alpha} \ll T. \end{aligned}$$

Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma(\delta) &= \Sigma(T^{-1/3}) \ll 1, \\ \sum_{n \leq T^{1/3}} |a_n|^2 &\ll \sum_{n \leq T^{1/3}} |a_n|^2 e^{-2\delta n} \ll \Sigma(T^{-1/3}) \ll 1. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $T \rightarrow \infty$ we obtain (14).

Let

$$c(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n|^2, \quad (16)$$

$$\delta = T^{-1/3}.$$

from (7), (10), (13), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T |f(t)|^2 dt &= o(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3)T + O(\delta^{-2} \log T) \\ &\quad + O(T\delta^{2\alpha}) + O(T\delta^\alpha) + O(T^{1/2}\delta^{-1+\alpha} \log T) \\ &= oT + o(T) \quad \text{as } T \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

thus (4) follows.

From the proof of (14) it is easy to see that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n|^2, \quad a_n = a_n(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3)$$

convergent uniformly for

$$\sigma_1 > 1/2 + s, \quad \sigma_2 > 1/2 + s, \quad \sigma_3 > 1/2 + s$$

for every $s > 0$. So $c(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3)$ is continuous for $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3)$ when

$$\sigma_i > 1/2, \quad i=1, 2, 3.$$

Now we prove our mean value theorem for $\Delta(x)$.

Theorem 2. *On the assumption of RH we have*

$$\int_1^T \frac{\Delta^2(t)}{t^{5/5}} dt \sim c_1 \log T \quad (17)$$

$T \rightarrow \infty$, where $c_1 > 0$ is a constant.

Proof Using Perron's sum formula, we deduce from Lemma 1

$$L(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{2-i\infty}^{2+i\infty} \frac{\zeta(2w)\zeta(3w)}{\zeta(6w)} \frac{x^w}{w} dw, \quad (18)$$

> 0 , nonintegral.

Since the integer values of x form a denumerable set, we can ignore the behavior of the sum-function at these points.

Moving the contour to $\operatorname{Re} w = a$, we pass the pole at $w = 1/2$ and $w = 1/3$, with residues

$$\frac{\zeta(3/2)}{\zeta(3)} x^{1/2} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\zeta(2/3)}{\zeta(2)} x^{1/3}$$

respectively. Thus

$$\Delta(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\zeta(2a+2it)\zeta(3a+3it)}{\zeta(6a+6it)(a+it)} x^{a+it} dt. \quad (19)$$

Now we make the substitution $x = e^u$, so that the right side is a Fourier transform. Then

$$e^{-au} \Delta(e^u) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\zeta(2a+2it)\zeta(3a+3it)}{\zeta(6a+6it)(a+it)} e^{iut} dt. \quad (20)$$

From Lemma 2 we know that

$$\frac{\zeta(2a+2it)\zeta(3a+3it)}{\zeta(6a+6it)} \ll |t|^{1-5a+\epsilon}, \quad 1/10 < a < 1/6,$$

then

$$\frac{\zeta(2a+2it)\zeta(3a+3it)}{\zeta(6a+6it)(a+it)} \in L^2(-\infty, \infty), \text{ if } 1/10 < a < 1/6.$$

By Lemma 5, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-2au} \Delta^2(e^u) du \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \frac{\zeta(2a+2it)\zeta(3a+3it)}{\zeta(6a+6it)(a+it)} \right|^2 dt \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\infty} \left| \frac{\zeta(2a+2it)\zeta(3a+3it)}{\zeta(6a+6it)(a+it)} \right|^2 dt. \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

From the functional equation of $\zeta(s)$,

$$\zeta(s) = 2^s \pi^{s-1} \sin \frac{\pi s}{2} \Gamma(1-s) \zeta(1-s),$$

we have

$$|\zeta(a+it)| \sim c_2(a) |t|^{1/2-a} |\zeta(1-a-it)| \quad (2)$$

as $|t| \rightarrow \infty$, for $0 < a < 1$.

Now we show

$$\int_0^{\infty} \left| \frac{\zeta(2a+2it)\zeta(3a+3it)}{\zeta(6a+6it)} \right|^2 \frac{dt}{a^2+t^2} \sim \frac{c_3}{a-1/10} \quad (3)$$

as $a \rightarrow \frac{1}{10} +$.

From (22) we know that it is sufficient to prove

$$\int_1^{\infty} \frac{1}{t^{10a}} \left| \frac{\zeta(1-2a+2it)\zeta(1-3a+3it)}{\zeta(6a+6it)} \right|^2 dt \sim \frac{c_3}{a-1/10}. \quad (4)$$

Let $I(a)$ denote the above integral, and

$$\psi(t) = \int_1^t \left| \frac{\zeta(1-2a+2iu)\zeta(1-3a+3iu)}{\zeta(6a+6iu)} \right|^2 du.$$

For $1/10 < a < 4/30$, by Theorem 1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} I(a) &= \int_1^{\infty} \frac{1}{t^{10a}} d\psi(t) \\ &= \frac{1}{t^{10a}} \psi(t) \Big|_1^{\infty} + 10a \int_1^{\infty} \frac{\psi(t)}{t^{10a+1}} dt \\ &= 10a \int_1^{\infty} \frac{c(1-2a, 1-3a, 6a)}{t^{10a}} dt + 10a \int_1^{\infty} \frac{E(t)}{t^{10a+1}} dt, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} E(t) &= \psi(t) - c(1-2a, 1-3a, 6a)t = o(t), \quad t \rightarrow \infty, \\ c(1-2a, 1-3a, 6a) &\rightarrow c_4 \text{ as } a \rightarrow 1/10. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$I(a) = \frac{c_3}{a-1/10} + o\left(\frac{1}{a-1/10}\right), \text{ as } a \rightarrow \frac{1}{10} +, \quad (26)$$

(24) follows immediately from (26).

we have

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-2au} \Delta^2(e^u) du \sim \frac{c_5}{a-1/10}, \text{ as } a \rightarrow 1/10+. \quad (27)$$

it is easy to see that

$$\int_{-\infty}^0 e^{-2au} \Delta^2(e^u) du = 0.$$

then

$$\int_0^{\infty} e^{-2(a-1/10)u} e^{-u/5} \Delta^2(e^u) du \sim \frac{c_5}{a-1/10}, \text{ as } a \rightarrow 1/10+. \quad (28)$$

By Lemma 8, we obtain

$$\int_0^T e^{-u/5} \Delta^2(e^u) du \sim c_1 T.$$

Hence a change of variable $t=e^u$ yields (12).

From Theorem 2 we immediately obtain two corollaries.

Corollary 1. *On the assumption of RH, we have for $0 < q \leq 2$,*

$$\frac{1}{T} \int_1^T |\Delta(t)|^q dt = O(T^{q/10} (\log T)^{q/2}). \quad (29)$$

In particular, taking $q=1$, we have

$$\frac{1}{T} \int_1^T |\Delta(t)| dt = O(T^{1/10} (\log T)^{1/2}), \quad (30)$$

which is better than the result of [2].

Proof Let

$$u = \frac{2}{q}, \quad v = \frac{2}{2-q} \quad (0 < q < 2),$$

$$\frac{1}{u} + \frac{1}{v} = 1.$$

Using Hölder's inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_1^T |\Delta(t)|^q dt \\ & \leq \left(\int_1^T \left(\frac{|\Delta(t)|^q}{t^{3q/5}} \right)^{2/q} dt \right)^{q/2} \cdot \left(\int_1^T t^{3q/5 \cdot 2/(2-q)} dt \right)^{(2-q)/2} \\ & \ll T^{1+q/10} (\log T)^{q/2}. \end{aligned}$$

or $q=2$, (29) follows trivially from

$$\int_{T/2}^T |\Delta(t)|^2 dt \ll \int_{T/2}^T \frac{|\Delta(t)|^2}{t^{6/5}} dt \cdot T^{6/5} \ll T^{6/5} \log T.$$

Corollary 2. *On the assumption of RH we have*

$$\Delta(x) = O(x^{1/10}).$$

I would like to thank Professor Pan Chengdong for his helpful guidance and encouragement.

References

- [1] Bateman, P. T. & Grosswald, E. On a theorem of Erdős and Szekeres, *Illinois J. Math.*, 2(1958), 88—

98.

- [2] Suryanarayana, D. & Sita, R. Rama Chandra Rao, The distribution of square-full integers, *Ark. Mat.* **II** (1973), 195—201.
- [3] Suryanarayana, D., On the order of the error function of the square-full integers, II, *Period. Math. Hungar.* **14** (1983), 69—75.
- [4] Golomb, S., Powerful numbers, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, **77** (1970), 848—855.
- [5] Titchmarsh, E. O., The theory of the Riemann Zeta function, Oxford University Press, 1951.
- [6] Titchmarsh, E. O., Introduction to the theory of Fourier integrals (second edition), Oxford University Press, 1948.
- [7] Vaughan, R. C., Hilbert's inequality, *J. London Math. Soc.*, **32** (1974), 73—81.
- [8] Bellman, R., Analytic number theory, An introduction, The Benjamin/Cummings Publishing Company Inc., 1980.