

# SENTINELS FOR PERIODIC DISTRIBUTED SYSTEMS

JACQUES LOUIS LIONS\*

## Abstract

For periodic distributed systems the author reduces the "sentinels" problem to a problem of controllability type and uses suitable adaptations of HUM (Hilbert Uniqueness Method) to give solutions to the original "sentinels" problem.

## § 1. Introduction

Let  $\Omega$  be an open set of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , bounded or not, with a smooth boundary  $\Gamma$ .

Let  $T > 0$  be given.

We consider the periodic (in time) system given as follows: the state equation is given by

$$y' - \Delta y + f(y) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T), \quad (1.1)$$

where  $y' = \frac{\partial y}{\partial t}$ ,  $\Delta y = \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x_1^2} + \dots + \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x_n^2}$  and where  $f(\lambda)$  is a non-necessarily linear function, smooth and such that the conditions below are satisfied.

We are interested in time periodic solutions of (1.1), i.e. such that

$$y(0) = y(T), \quad (1.2)$$

where  $y(s)$  stands for the function  $x \rightarrow y(x, s)$ .

We add now the boundary conditions. We are interested in systems not completely known, where some of the conditions are not entirely available. In the present situation we assume that

$$y = \bar{y} + \tau \hat{y} \quad \text{on } \Sigma = \Gamma \times (0, T), \quad (1.3)$$

where  $\bar{y}$  is known (sufficiently smooth) and where  $\tau \hat{y}$  denotes the "perturbation" (the unknown part of the data). The function  $\hat{y}$  is arbitrary and  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$  is small enough.

We assume that (1.1) (1.2) (1.3) admits a unique solution in a suitable space. Let

$$y = y(\tau, \hat{y}) = y(\tau) \quad (1.4)$$

be this solution.

We denote by  $\bar{y}$  the solution for  $\tau=0$ . We assume that  $\bar{y}$  can be computed approximately).

We now introduce, following J. L. Lions<sup>[3]</sup>, the notion of "sentinel".

Let  $\omega$  be an open set of  $\Omega$ . Let  $h_0$  be a given function on  $\omega \times (0, T)$ , such that

$$h_0 \geq 0, \quad \iint_{\omega \times (0, T)} h_0 dx dt = 1. \quad (1.5)$$

We introduce the functional

$$\mathcal{J}(\tau) = \iint_{\omega \times (0, T)} (h_0 + w) y(\tau) dx dt, \quad (1.6)$$

where  $w \in L^2(\omega \times (0, T))$  is to be determined.

We shall say that (1.6) defines a sentinel (for the system (1.1)(1.2)(1.3)) if the two following conditions are satisfied:

$$\frac{d}{d\tau} \mathcal{J}(\tau) \big|_{\tau=0} = 0 \quad \forall \hat{y} \quad (1.7)$$

and

$$\|w\|_{L^2(\omega \times (0, T))} = \text{minimum, among all } w\text{'s such that (1.7) holds true.} \quad (1.8)$$

**Remark 1.1.** Of course it will be necessary to verify that  $h_0 + w \neq 0$  on  $\omega \times (0, T)$ .

**Remark 1.2.** The notion of "sentinel" as introduced above, following the author [3], is completely general. We refer to [3], [4], [9], [10] for other situations.

**Remark 1.3.** Very many situations of the type (1.1)(1.2)(1.3) arise in physical situations, in particular in natural sciences.

**Remark 1.4.** In what follows we are going to construct  $w$  satisfying (1.8), associated to any open set  $\omega$  and to any function  $h_0$  satisfying (1.5). There are an infinite number of sentinels for a given system.

## §2. Sentinels and Controllability

Let us introduce

$$\dot{y} = \frac{d}{d\tau} y(\tau) \big|_{\tau=0}. \quad (2.1)$$

The function  $\dot{y}$  is given by

$$\begin{cases} \dot{y} - \Delta y + f'(\bar{y})\dot{y} = 0, \\ \dot{y}(0) = \dot{y}(T), \quad \dot{y} = \hat{g} \text{ on } \Sigma. \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

We suppose that (2.2) admits a unique solution. It is very simple to give sufficient conditions for this hypothesis to be satisfied.

Condition (1.7) is equivalent to

$$\iint_{\omega \times (0, T)} (h_0 + w) \dot{y} \, dx \, dt = 0 \quad \forall \hat{y}. \quad (2.3)$$

We introduce  $q$  given by the solution of

$$\begin{cases} -q' - \Delta q + f'(\bar{y})q = (h_0 + w)\chi_\omega & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T), \\ q(0) = q(T), \\ q = 0 & \text{sur } \Sigma, \end{cases} \quad (2.4)$$

where  $\chi_\omega$  = characteristic function of  $\omega$ .

Multiplying the first equation (2.4) by  $\hat{y}$  and integrating by parts gives

$$\iint_{\omega \times (0, T)} (h_0 + w)\chi_\omega \dot{y} \, dx \, dt = - \int_{\Sigma} \frac{\partial q}{\partial \nu} \hat{y} \, d\Gamma \, dt = - \int_{\Sigma} \frac{\partial q}{\partial \nu} \hat{y} \, d\Gamma \, dt,$$

where  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu}$  denotes the normal derivative to  $\Gamma$ , directed towards the exterior of

Then (2.3) is equivalent to

$$\frac{\partial q}{\partial \nu} = 0 \quad \text{on } \Sigma. \quad (2)$$

This is now a problem of controllability type.

Indeed, let us introduce  $q_0$  and  $z$  by

$$\begin{cases} -q'_0 - \Delta q_0 + f'(\bar{y})q_0 = h_0\chi_\omega, \\ q_0(0) = q_0(T), \quad q_0 = 0 & \text{on } \Sigma, \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

$$\begin{cases} -z' - \Delta z + f'(\bar{y})z = w\chi_\omega, \\ z(0) = z(T), \quad z = 0 & \text{on } \Sigma. \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

Then  $q = q_0 + z$  and (2.5) is equivalent to

$$\frac{\partial z}{\partial \nu} = - \frac{\partial q_0}{\partial \nu} \quad \text{on } \Sigma. \quad (2)$$

We can now state the problem in the framework of controllability. We want find a "control"  $w$  such that the "state"  $z = z(w)$  (solution of (2.7)) satisfies (2.8) and (1.8) among all  $w$ 's such that (2.8) holds true.

**Remark 2.1** Let us take an arbitrary function  $q$ , smooth enough, such that  $q = \frac{\partial q}{\partial \nu} = 0$  on  $\Sigma$ ,  $q(0) = q(T)$  and such that  $q$  has its support in  $\bar{\omega} \times (0, T)$ . We then compute  $-q' - \Delta q + f'(\bar{y})q = F = F\chi_\omega$  and we define  $w$  by

$$F = h_0 + w.$$

Then (2.5) is true (by construction). Therefore there exists functions  $w$  such that (2.5) is satisfied, in other words: there is controllability. The question is then to find the "best" possible choice for  $w$ , namely such that (1.8) holds true.

**Remark 2.2.** Condition (1.8) means that the "sentinel" is "as close as possible" of a mean value on  $\omega \times (0, T)$ . We could introduce other norms than  $\|w\|_{L^2(\omega \times (0, T))}$  but we do not pursue this matter here.

### § 3. Solution of the Problem of Exact Controllability

We have introduced, for other purposes, in [5], and we have developed in [1], [7], a general method HUM (Hilbert Uniqueness Method) for the solution of problems of Exact Controllability of the classical type, i. e. the question of steering given system from a given state to another given state in a given finite time.

We show that suitable adaptations of HUM give solutions for the sentinel problems.

This "program" has been initiated in [3]. Another situation has been considered in [4]. We now indicate how one can solve (2.8) (1.8) using techniques somewhat associated with HUM.

Let  $\sigma$  be an arbitrary smooth function given on  $\Sigma$ . Let  $\rho$  be the solution of

$$\begin{aligned} \rho' - 4\rho + f'(\bar{y})\rho &= 0 \text{ in } \Omega \times (0, T), \\ \rho(0) &= \rho(T), \quad \rho|_{\Sigma} = \sigma. \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

We then define  $\zeta$  as the solution of

$$\begin{aligned} -\zeta' - 4\zeta + f'(\bar{y})\zeta &= \rho x_{\alpha} \text{ in } \Omega \times (0, T), \\ \zeta(0) &= \zeta(T), \quad \zeta = 0 \text{ on } \Sigma. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

We then introduce a linear operator  $M$  by

$$M\sigma = -\frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial \nu} \text{ on } \Sigma. \quad (3.3)$$

If we multiply (3.2) by  $\rho$  and if we integrate by parts, we obtain

$$\langle M\sigma, \sigma \rangle = \int_{\Sigma} (M\sigma) \sigma d\Gamma dt = - \iint_{\Omega \times (0, T)} \rho^2 dx dt. \quad (3.4)$$

This leads to the following. We set

$$\|\sigma\|_F = \left( \iint_{\Omega \times (0, T)} \rho^2 dx dt \right)^{1/2}. \quad (3.5)$$

We define in this way a prehilbertian semi norm on the space of smooth functions  $\sigma$  on  $\Sigma$ .

But in fact, provided  $f'(\bar{y})$  is smooth enough, we have a norm: if  $\rho = 0$  on  $\omega \times (0, T)$  and if  $\rho$  satisfies (3.1) then  $\rho \equiv 0$  so that  $\sigma \equiv 0$ .

We then denote by  $F$  the Hilbert space obtained by completion of smooth functions for the norm (3.5).

**Remark 3.1.** Because of the very strong regularization properties in solving (3.1),  $F$  will consist of very general ultra distributions (assuming  $\Gamma$  very smooth) on  $\Sigma$ . A complete characterization of  $F$  is an open question.

**Remark 3.2.** The space  $F$  will in general depend on  $f$  and on  $\bar{y}$ , i. e. in fact on  $f'(\bar{y}) = b$ . It would be interesting to find classes of functions  $b$  on  $\Omega \times (0, T)$

giving the same spaces  $F$ .

**Remark 3.3.** New spaces necessary for the solution of optimal control problems in distributed systems are not unusual. New spaces were introduced for pointwise control in J. L. Lions<sup>[6]</sup>, a question of the type of Remark 3.2 being solved by Li Tatsien<sup>[23]</sup>. Other spaces (in very large number) have been introduced in J. L. Lions<sup>[6]</sup>, [7].

We now observe that for any smooth functions  $\sigma$  and  $\hat{\sigma}$ , we have

$$\langle M\sigma, \hat{\sigma} \rangle = \langle \sigma, M\hat{\sigma} \rangle = \iint_{\omega \times (0, T)} \rho \hat{\sigma} dx dt \quad (3.5)$$

(where  $\hat{\sigma}$  is given by (3.1) with  $\hat{\sigma}$  instead of  $\sigma$ ). It follows from (3.6) and (3.7) (which reads  $\langle M\sigma, \sigma \rangle = \|\sigma\|_F^2$ ) that

$M$  is an isomorphism from  $F$  onto  $F'$ .

and

$$M^* = M. \quad (3.8)$$

Let us now verify that

$$\partial q_0 / \partial \nu \in F'. \quad (3.9)$$

Indeed if we multiply (2.6) by  $\rho$  we obtain

$$\int_{\Sigma} \frac{\partial q_0}{\partial \nu} \sigma d\Gamma dt = - \iint_{\omega \times (0, T)} h_0 \rho dx dt, \quad (3.10)$$

hence (3.9) follows.

Therefore the equation

$$M\sigma = -\partial q_0 / \partial \nu, \quad \sigma \in F \quad (3.11)$$

admits a unique solution.

We then define

$w = \rho$  on  $\omega \times (0, T)$ , where  $\rho$  is the solution of (3.1), (3.2), (3.3) corresponding to  $\sigma$  given by (3.11).

For this choice of  $w$  we have  $z = \zeta$  and (2.8) is equivalent to (3.11). We verify that the "control"  $w$  given by (3.12) minimizes  $\|w\|_{L^2(\omega \times (0, T))}$ . Indeed let be any function in  $L^2(\omega \times (0, T))$  satisfying (2.8), and let  $\hat{z}$  be the corresponding solution of (2.7). We have to show that

$$\|w\|_{L^2(\omega \times (0, T))} \leq \|\hat{w}\|_{L^2(\omega \times (0, T))}. \quad (3.13)$$

We introduce

$$\psi = \zeta - \hat{z} \quad (3.14)$$

and we observe that

$$-\psi' - \Delta \psi + f'(\bar{y})\psi = (w - \hat{w})\chi_{\omega}, \quad (3.15)$$

$$\psi(0) = \psi(T),$$

$$\psi = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \nu} = 0 \text{ on } \Sigma.$$

If we multiply (3.15) by  $\rho$  (solution of (3.1) which corresponds to (3.11)), we obtain

$$\iint_{\omega \times (0, T)} (w - \hat{w}) w \, dx \, dt = 0,$$

hence (3.13) follows.

It remains to see if  $h_0 + w \neq 0$  in  $\omega \times (0, T)$ . Since  $w = \rho$  and since  $\rho$  satisfies (3.1), it suffices to take  $h_0$  such that

$$h'_0 - \Delta h_0 + f'(\bar{y}) h_0 \neq 0 \text{ in } \omega \times (0, T), \quad (3.16)$$

or having  $h_0 + w \neq 0$  in  $\omega \times (0, T)$ .

Let us take for instance

$$h_0 = T^{-1} (\text{volume } \omega)^{-1}. \quad (3.17)$$

Then (3.16) is satisfied, provided  $f'(\bar{y}) \neq 0$  on  $\omega \times (0, T)$ .

**Summing up** We assume  $f$  smooth (at least  $C^1$ ) and that (1.1) (1.2) (1.3) admits a unique solution.

Let  $\omega$  be an arbitrary open set of  $\Omega$  and let  $h_0$  be given with (1.5) and (3.16).

Then there exists a sentinel

$$\iint_{\omega \times (0, T)} (h_0 + w) y \, dx \, dt, \quad (3.18)$$

here  $w$  is given by (3.12) and (3.11).

## § 4. Various Remarks

**Remark 4.1.** Let us assume that the state is given by

$$y' - \Delta y + f(y) = v \chi_{\mathcal{O}} \quad (4.1)$$

with (1.2) (1.3) unchanged, where  $\mathcal{O} \subset \Omega$ ,  $\chi_{\mathcal{O}}$  = characteristic function of  $\mathcal{O}$  and here  $v$  is a control variable. For instance the term  $v \chi_{\mathcal{O}}$  can represent some sort of pollution, arising in the region  $\mathcal{O}$ . let us compute

$$\iint_{\omega \times (0, T)} (h_0 + w) (y - \bar{y}) \, dx \, dt.$$

Using  $q$  as defined by (2.4) and satisfying (2.5) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint_{\omega \times (0, T)} (h_0 + w) (y - \bar{y}) \, dx \, dt \\ &= \iint_{\omega \times (0, T)} q v \, dx \, dt - \iint_{\omega \times (0, T)} q [f(y) - f(\bar{y}) - f'(\bar{y})(y - \bar{y})] \, dx \, dt. \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

Therefore, if we want to let  $y$  stay "not too far" from  $\bar{y}$ , we have to maintain

$$\left| \iint_{\omega \times (0, T)} q v \, dx \, dt \right| \text{ as small as possible.} \quad (4.3)$$

We can even try to do that with several sentinels.

**Remark 4.2.** Everything which we have introduced here can be generalized or adapted in many directions: systems of equations, periodic problems for coupled systems, for hyperbolic systems, systems with sources partially known. A systematic account will be presented in [9].

**Remark 4.3.** The method presented here can be used from a constructive numerical view point. But a large number of investigations are still needed in order to validate the above methods for practical applications. The method H has been tested in the "classical" situation of exact controllability in Glowinski, C. Li and the Author [1]—where one has to introduce a number regularization procedures.

### References

- [1] Glowinski, R., Li, C. & Lions J. L., Japanese Journal of Applied Math. 1988.
- [2] Li Ta-tsien, Properties of the function space  $\mathcal{W}$ . Appendix 1 of Chapter 4 of J. L. LIONS [8].
- [3] Lions, J. L., Sur les sentinelles des systèmes distribués. Le cas des conditions initiales incomplètes. R. A. S. Paris, 1988. Conditions frontières, termes sources, coefficients incomplètement connus. Ibid
- [4] Lions, J. L., Sur les sentinelles non linéaires pour les systèmes distribués. Dédié à S. L. SOBOLEV. Stekloff Institute, 1989.
- [5] Lions, J. L., Contrôlabilité exacte des systèmes distribués, *C. R. A. S.* **302** (1986), 471—475.
- [6] Lions, J. L., Exact controllability, stabilization and perturbations for distributed systems, *SIAM* **1** **30**: 1 (1988), 1—68.
- [7] Lions, J. L., Contrôlabilité exacte, perturbations et stabilisations de systèmes distribués. t. 2. Perturbations. Masson, Collection RMA, 1988.
- [8] Lions, J. L., Some methods in the Mathematical Analysis of Systems and their control. Science P. Beijing, 1981.
- [9] Lions, J. L., Lectures Collège de France, 1989.
- [10] Lions, J. L., Sentinels for distributed systems with uncomplete boundary data, Workshop Mathematical Ecology, I. C. T. P., Trieste, November 1988.