## GENERALIZED DILATIONS OF OPERATOR SEQUENCES TO KREIN SPACE

Wu Jingbo (伍镜波)\*

## Abstract

In this paper, the author considers the generalized dilations of operator sequences in a Hilbert space to a Krein space. In order to obtain the unitary and self-adjoint dilations, only some boundedness and symmetry assumptions are needed.

In the dilation theory it is used to consider that the dilation space is a Hilbert sace<sup>[5,6]</sup>. Davis<sup>[2,3]</sup> has considered the unitary dilations of a single operator and a hifformly continuous semigroup to a Krein space. In this paper we consider the eneralized dilations of operator sequences in a Hilbert space to a Krein space. For  $[l_k]$ , an operator sequence in a Hilbert space H, we try to find a Krein space K, an serator B from H into K and an operator A on K such that

$$T_k = B^+ A^k B,$$

here  $B^+$  is the dual of B with respect to the inner product of H and the indefinite mer product of K. Theorems 2 and 4 deal with unitary and self-adjoint dilations, spectively.

Throughout this paper, by an operator we mean a linear bounded transformation aless it is otherwise stated. The Banach algebra of all operators on Hilbert space H denoted by L(H). The inner product of Hilbert space is denoted by (., .) and definite inner product of Krein space is denoted by (., .). For fundamental mmetry J, the J-inner product is denoted by  $[., .]_J$  or simply by [., .]. As for her notions and symbols of Krein space, we refer to [1].

**Theorem 1.** Let H be a Hilbert space and  $\{T_k\}_{-\infty}^{\infty}$  a doubly infinite sequence of verators in H. Assume that there is an M>0 and an a, 0<a<1, such that  $T_k=T_{-k}^*$  and  $\|T_k\| \leq Ma^{|k|}$  for all  $k=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \cdots$ . Then

(a) There is a fundamentally reducible unitary operator U in a Krein space K with that

$$T_k = B^+ U^k B \quad (k = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \cdots),$$
 (1)

here B is an operator on H into K and B<sup>+</sup>, defined by

$$(B^+f, x) = \langle f, Bx \rangle \quad (\forall f \in K, \ \forall x \in H),$$
 (2)

Manuscript received March 24, 1987.

<sup>\*</sup> Department of Computer and System Sciences, Nankai University, Tianjin, China.

is an operator on K into H.

(b) K is panned by the elements of the form  $U^kBx$  where  $x \in H$  and  $k=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \cdots$ .

*Proof* Let  $\overline{H} = \bigoplus_{-\infty}^{\infty} H$ . Define  $\overline{T}$  on  $\overline{H}$  by

$$(\overline{T}x)_{j} = \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} T_{i-j}x_{i} \quad (j=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \cdots)$$

where  $\bar{x} = \{x_i\}_{-\infty}^{\infty} \in \overline{H}$ . By the assumption, we have

$$\begin{split} \|\overline{T}x\|^2 &= \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \left\| \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} T_{i-j}x_i \right\|^2 \\ &\leq M^2 \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} a^{\lfloor i-j \rfloor} \|x_i\| \right)^2 \\ &= M^2 \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} a^{\lfloor i-j \rfloor} \|x_i\| \right) \left( \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} a^{\lfloor l-j \rfloor} \|x_l\| \right) \\ &= M^2 \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \|x_i\| \cdot \|x_l\| \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} a^{\lfloor i-j \rfloor} \|i-j\| \\ &= M^2 \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \|x_i\| \cdot \|x_l\| a^{\lfloor i-j \rfloor} \left[ \left| i-l \right| + (1+a^2)(1-a^2)^{-1} \right] \\ &\leq M^2 \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \|x_i\|^2 a^{\lfloor i-l \rfloor} \left[ \left| i-l \right| + (1+a^2)(1-a^2)^{-1} \right] \\ &= M^2 (1+a)^2 (1-a)^{-2} \|\overline{x}\|^2. \end{split}$$

This means that  $\overline{T}$  is bounded and hence  $\overline{T}$  is a symmetric operator in  $\overline{H}$ .

Denote by  $K_0$  the set of all doubly infinite sequences  $f = \{f_k\}_{-\infty}^{\infty}$  such that

$$f_k = \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} T_{i-k} x_i$$

and  $\bar{x} = \{x_i\}_{-\infty}^{\infty} \in \overline{H}$ . Define

$$\langle f, g \rangle = (\overline{T}\overline{x}, \overline{y}), [f, g] = (|\overline{T}|\overline{x}, \overline{y}),$$

where f and  $\vec{x}$  are as above and  $g = \{g_k\}_{-\infty}^{\infty}$ ,

$$g_k = \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} T_{i-k} y_i,$$

 $\bar{y} = \{y_i\}_{-\infty}^{\infty} \in \bar{H}$ . Thus

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} (T_{i-j}x_i, y_j) = \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} (f_i, y_j).$$

If f = 0, then  $|\overline{T}| \overline{x} = \overline{T} \overline{x} = 0$ . It is easy to see that  $\langle f, g \rangle$  and [f, g] are well defind If [f, f] = 0, then  $\overline{T} \overline{x} = |\overline{T}| \overline{x} = 0$ , so f = 0. Therefore  $K_0$  is a pre-Hilbert space V inner product  $[\cdot, \cdot]$ . Denote by K the completion of  $K_0$ . Write

$$\overline{H}_{-} = \ker \overline{T}^{+}, \ \overline{H}_{+} = \overline{H} \bigcirc \overline{H}_{-}.$$

Let  $K^0_-$  be the set of all doubly infinite sequences  $f^-=\{f_k^-\}_{-\infty}^\infty$  such that

$$f_k^- = \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} T_{i-k} x_i^-,$$

 $\bar{x}^- = \{x_i^-\}_{-\infty}^{\infty} \in \overline{H}_-$  and let  $K_+^0$  be the set of all doubly infinite sequences  $f^+ = \{f_{\kappa}^+\}_{-\infty}^{\infty}$  such that

$$f_k^+ = \sum_{i=-k}^{\infty} T_{i-k} x_i^+,$$

 $:^+=\{x_i^+\}_{-\infty}^\infty\in\overline{H}_+$ . It is easy to check that  $K_+^0$  and the anti-space of  $K_-^0$  are both prelibert spaces with respect to  $\langle ., . \rangle$ . Let  $K_-$  and  $K_+$  be the completions of  $K_-^0$  and  $K_+^0$ , respectively. For  $f^-\in K_-^0$  and  $f^+\in K_+^0$ , it follows from (3) that

$$[f^-, f^+] = (|\bar{T}|\bar{x}^-, \bar{x}^+) = (\bar{T}^-\bar{x}^-, \bar{x}^+) = (\bar{x}^-, \bar{T}^-\bar{x}^+) = 0.$$

Then  $K_+ \perp K_-$  and  $K = K_+ \oplus K_-$  with respect to [., .]. Let  $P_-$  and  $P_+$  be the rthogonal projections of K onto  $K_-$  and  $K_+$  with respect to [., .], respectively. Define  $J = P_+ - P_-$ . It follows from (3) that

$$\langle f, g \rangle = [Jf, g] \quad (\forall f, g \in K).$$

Ience K is a Krein space with indefinite inner product  $\langle ., . \rangle$  and  $K = K_+ \oplus K_-$  is fundamental decomposition.

For  $f = \{f_k\}_{-\infty}^{\infty} \in K_0$ , define  $Uf = \{f_{k-1}\}_{-\infty}^{\infty}$ . Since

$$f_{k-1} = \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} T_{i-k+1} x_i = \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} T_{i-k} x_{i-1},$$

re have

$$\langle Uf, Ug \rangle = \sum_{i} \sum_{k} (T_{i-k}x_{i-1}, y_{k-1}) = \sum_{i} \sum_{k} (T_{i-k}x_{i}, y_{k}) = \langle f, g \rangle.$$

for  $\overline{x} = \{x_i\}_{-\infty}^{\infty} \in \overline{H}$ , define  $\overline{Sx} = \{x_{i-1}\}_{-\infty}^{\infty}$ . Then

$$\|\overline{S}*\|\overline{T}\|\|\overline{S}\bar{x}\|^2 = \|\|\overline{T}\|\|\overline{S}\bar{x}\|^2 = \|\overline{T}S\bar{x}\|^2 = \sum_j \|\sum_i T_{i-j}x_{i-1}\|^2 = \sum_j \|\sum_i T_{i-j}x_i\|^2 = \|\overline{T}\bar{x}\|^2 = \|\overline{T}\|\overline{x}\|^2.$$

'his implies that  $\overline{S}*|\overline{T}|\overline{S}=|\overline{T}|$  . Thus

$$[Uf, Ug] = (\overline{S} * |\overline{T}| \overline{S}\overline{x}, \overline{y}) = (|\overline{T}| \overline{x}, \overline{y}) = [f, g].$$

t follows that U may be considered to be both a unitary operator with respect to .,. and a J-unitary operator with respect to [.,.]. Clearly JU = UJ and  $K_+$  are reducing subspaces of U.

For  $x \in H$ , define  $\bar{x}^0 = \{x_i^0\}_{-\infty}^{\infty}$ , where  $x_0^0 = x$ ,  $x_i^0 = 0$   $(i \neq 0)$ . Let  $Bx = \{T_{-k}x\}_{-\infty}^{\infty}$  ince

$$[Bx, Bx] = (|\overline{T}| \bar{x}^0, \bar{x}^0) \le ||\overline{T}|| \cdot ||\bar{x}^0||^2 = ||\overline{T}|| \cdot ||x||^2,$$
 (5)

I is an operator on H into K. Clearly  $U^lBx = \{T_{l-k}x\}_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \ (l=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \cdots)$ . For  $y \in H$ , we have

$$\langle U^{l}Bx, By \rangle = (T_{l}x, y) \quad (l=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \cdots).$$
 (6)

hus (1) holds. The statement (b) is clear. This completes the proof of the theorem.

Theorem 2. Let H be a Hilbert space and  $\{T_k\}_{-\infty}^{\infty}$  a doubly infinite sequence of perators in H. As ume that there are positive M and a such that  $\|T_k\| \leq Ma^{|k|}$  and  $T_k = T_{-k}^*$  for all  $k=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \cdots$ . Then there is a unitary operator U in a Krein space C and an opera or B on H into K such that (1) holds and the statement (b) of Theorem is valid.

*Proof* Making use of Theorem 1 for  $\{(a+s)^{-|k|}T_k\}_{-\infty}^{\infty}$  where s>0, we see that there is a fundamentally reducible unitary operator U in a Krein space K and an

operator B on H into K such that

$$T_k = (\alpha + \varepsilon)^{|k|} B^{\dagger} U^k B \quad (k = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \cdots),$$
 (7)

where  $B^+$  is defined by (2). Let  $K = H_+ \oplus H_-$  be a fundamental decomposition where  $H_+$  and the anti-space of  $H_-$  are Hilbert spaces and reduce U. By the Davis Theorem ([2] or [1, Theorem VI. 8.6]), there exist Krein spaces  $K_+ \supset H_+$  and  $K_- \supset H_-$  such that  $(a+s)U \mid H_+$  and  $(a+s)U \mid H_-$  have minimal unitary dilations  $U_+$  and  $U_-$  to  $K_+$  and  $K_-$ , respectively. Write  $K_1 = K_+ \oplus K_-$  and  $U_1 = U_+ \oplus U_-$ . Then  $K_1$  is a Krein space and  $U_1$  is a unitary operator in  $K_1$ . Clearly  $K_1 \supset K$ . Let P be orthogonal projection of  $K_1$  onto K and E the embedding operator of K into I. Then

$$PU_1^k E = (a+\varepsilon)^{|k|} U^k \quad (k=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \cdots).$$

Write  $B_1 = EB$ . Let  $B_1^+$  be defined by (2). For  $f \in K_1$ ,  $x \in H$ , we have

$$(B_1^+f, x) = \langle f, B_1x \rangle = \langle f, EBx \rangle = \langle Pf, Bx \rangle = (B^+Pf, x).$$

It follows from (7) and (8) that

$$T_k = B^+ P U_1^k E B = B_1^+ U_1^k B_1 \quad (k = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \cdots).$$

Denote by  $K_2$  the closure of the linear set of all elements of the form  $U_1^k$  where  $x \in H$ ,  $k=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \cdots$ . Let  $K_0$  be the isotropic part of  $K_2$ . Clearly  $K_0$  closed subspace and reduces  $U_1$  with respect to the indefinite inner product. Writ

$$K_3 = \{ f \in K_2 : [f, f_0] = 0 \text{ for all } f_0 \in K_0 \}.$$

Then  $K_3$  is a closed non-degenerate subspace [1, Lemma I. 5.1]. Let  $\widetilde{K} = K_2/\mathbb{F}$  for  $\widetilde{f}$ ,  $\widetilde{g} \in \widetilde{K}$ ,  $f \in \widetilde{f}$ ,  $g \in \widetilde{g}$ , define  $\langle \widetilde{f}, \widetilde{g} \rangle = \langle f, g \rangle$ . It is easy to see that  $\langle \widetilde{f}, \widetilde{g} \rangle$  is defined. Therefore  $\widetilde{K}$  is a non-degenerate indefinite inner product space. For  $\widetilde{f}$ ,  $\widetilde{K}$ , we define  $[\widetilde{f}, \widetilde{g}] = [f, g]$  where  $f \in \widetilde{f} \cap K_3$  and  $g \in \widetilde{g} \cap K_3$ . Here f and g uniquely determited by  $\widetilde{f}$  and  $\widetilde{g}$ , respectively. Then [., .] is a Hilbert majoran  $\widetilde{K}$ . For  $\widetilde{f} \in \widetilde{K}$ ,  $f \in \widetilde{f}$ , define  $\widetilde{U}\widetilde{f} = \widetilde{U_1f}$ . It is easy to check that  $\widetilde{U}$  is well defined.  $\widetilde{f}$ ,  $\widetilde{g} \in \widetilde{K}$ ,  $f \in \widetilde{f}$ ,  $g \in \widetilde{g}$ , we have

$$\langle \widetilde{U}\widetilde{f}, \ \widetilde{U}\widetilde{g} \rangle = \langle U_1f, \ U_1g \rangle = \langle f, \ g \rangle = \langle \widetilde{f}, \ \widetilde{g} \rangle.$$

For  $\tilde{f} \in \widetilde{K}$ ,  $f \in \tilde{f}$ , we have  $\widetilde{U}^{-1}\tilde{f} = \widetilde{U_1^{-1}}f$ . Using Theorem IV. 5.2 of [1], there fundamental decomposition  $\widetilde{K} = \widetilde{H}_+ \oplus \widetilde{H}_-$  such that the positive definite subspace  $\widetilde{H}_+$  and the negative definite subspace  $\widetilde{H}_-$  are closed with respect to [., .]. Define  $\widetilde{H}_+$  the decomposition majorant. For the fundamental decomposition  $\widetilde{K} = (\widetilde{U} \oplus (\widetilde{U}\widetilde{H}_-))$ , denote by  $\|.\|_{J_1}$  the decomposition majorant. For  $\widetilde{f} \in \widetilde{K}$ , decompose  $\widetilde{f} : +\widetilde{f}_-$ , where  $\widetilde{f}_+ \in \widetilde{H}_+$  and  $\widetilde{f}_- \in \widetilde{H}_-$ , By Theorem IV. 6.4 of [1], there is an  $\alpha > 0$  that

 $\alpha \|\widetilde{U}f\|_{J_1}^2 \leq \|\widetilde{U}f\|_{J_2}^2 = \langle \widetilde{U}f_+, \widetilde{U}f_+ \rangle - \langle \widetilde{U}f_-, \widetilde{U}f_- \rangle = \langle \widetilde{f}_+, \widetilde{f}_+ \rangle - \langle \widetilde{f}_-, \widetilde{f}_- \rangle = \|f\|_{J_1}^2$  for all  $\widetilde{f}$ . Let  $\widetilde{K}_+$  and  $\widetilde{K}_-$  be the completions of  $\widetilde{K}_+$  and  $\widetilde{K}_-$  with respect to  $\langle$  and  $-\langle ., . \rangle$ , respectively. Define the Krein space  $\widetilde{K}' = \widetilde{K}_+ \oplus \widetilde{K}_-$ . By (10) and (11),  $\widetilde{U}$  may be considered to be a unitary operator in  $\widetilde{K}'$ . For  $x \in H$ , define  $\widetilde{B}x = \widetilde{B_1x}$ . It

is easy to check that  $\widetilde{B}$  is continuous with respect to the Hilbert majorant of  $\widetilde{K}$ , so it continuous with respect to  $\|.\|_{J_1}$ . Therefore  $\widetilde{B}$  may be considered to bean operator H into  $\widetilde{K}'$ . For  $x, y \in H$ , it follows from (9) that

$$\langle \widetilde{U}^k \widetilde{B} x, \ \widetilde{B} y \rangle = \langle U_1^k B_1 x, \ B_1 y \rangle = \langle T_k x, \ y \rangle \quad (k = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \cdots).$$

ius (1) holds. For  $\tilde{f} \in \tilde{K}$ , let  $f \in \tilde{f}$ . Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exist  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in H$  such that

$$\left[ f - \sum_{k=1}^{n} U_{1}^{k} B_{1} x_{k}, f - \sum_{k=1}^{n} U_{1}^{k} B_{1} x_{k} \right] < \varepsilon.$$

Lemma IV. 5.4 of [1], there exists a constant M>0 such that

$$\left\| \widetilde{f} - \sum_{k=1}^{n} \widetilde{U}^{k} \widetilde{B} x_{k} \right\|_{J_{1}}^{2} \leq M \left[ f - \sum_{k=1}^{n} U_{1}^{k} B_{1} x_{k}, f - \sum_{k=1}^{n} U_{1}^{k} B_{1} x_{k} \right] < M \varepsilon.$$

erefore, the statement (b) is valid for  $\widetilde{U}$  and  $\widetilde{B}$ . This completes the proof of secrem 2.

Corollary 3. Let the assumption of Theorem 2 be satisfied. If  $T_0$  is an invertible itive operator, then ran B is a uniformly positive closed subspace of K. If  $T_0=I$ ,  $m \ K \supset H$ ,  $\langle ., . \rangle$  on H coincide with (., .), B is the natural embedding of H into and  $B^+$  is the orthogonal projection of K onto H with respect to  $\langle ., . \rangle$ .

*Proof* Assume that  $T_0$  has a lower bound m>0. By Theorem 2, there exists an >0 such that for  $x \in H$ 

$$[Bx, Bx] \leq M ||x||^2 \leq m^{-1}M(T_0x, x) = m^{-1}M\langle Bx, Bx \rangle \leq m^{-1}M[Bx, Bx].$$

is implies that ran B is a uniformly positive closed subspace of K.

Assume further that  $T_0 = I$ . By Theorem 2, we obtain

$$\langle Bx, By \rangle = (x, y) \quad (\forall x, y \in H).$$

e original space H can be embedded as a subspace in K. The embedding operators. Let Q be the orthogonal projection of K onto ran B with respect to  $\langle ., . \rangle$ . For  $H, f \in K$ , it follows from (2) that

$$\langle Qf, By \rangle = \langle f, By \rangle = (B^+f, y)$$
.

s completes the proof of the corollary.

**Theorem 4.** Let H be a Hilbert space and  $\{T_k\}_0^{\infty}$  a infinite sequence of selfvint operators in H. Assume that there are positive M and a such that  $||T_k|| \leq Ma^k$ all  $k=0, 1, 2, \cdots$ . Then

(a) There is a self-adjoint operator A in a Krein space K such that

$$T_k = B^+ A^k B \quad (k = 0, 1, 2, \dots),$$
 (12)

· j.

re B is an operator on H into K and  $B^+$  is defined by (2).

(b) K is spanned by the elements of the form  $A^kBx$  where  $x \in H$  and k=0, 1, 2,

*Proof* Without loss of generality, we may assume that 0 < a < 1. Let  $\overline{H} = \bigoplus_{0}^{\infty} H_{\bullet}$  ne  $\overline{T}$  on  $\overline{H}$  by

$$(\bar{T}\bar{x})_{j} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} T_{i+j}x_{i} \quad (j=0, 1, 2, \cdots),$$

where  $\bar{x} = \{x_i\}_0^{\infty} \in \overline{H}$ . By the assumption, we have

$$\|\overline{T}\overline{x}\|^{3} = \sum_{i} \|\sum_{i=i} T_{i+i} x_{i}\|^{2} \leqslant M^{3} \sum_{i} (\sum_{i} a^{i+j} \|x_{i}\|)^{2} \leqslant M^{3} (1-a^{2})^{-2} \|\overline{x}\|^{2}.$$

This means that  $\overline{T}$  is bounded and hence  $\overline{T}$  is a symmetric operator in  $\overline{H}_{ullet}$ 

Denote by  $K_0$  the set of all infinite sequences  $f = \{f_k\}_0^{\infty}$  such that

$$f_k = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} T_{i+k} x_i$$

and  $\bar{x} = \{x_i\}_0^{\infty} \in \overline{H}$ . Define

$$\langle f, g \rangle = (\overline{T}\overline{x}, \widetilde{y}), [f, g] = (|\overline{T}|\overline{x}, \widetilde{y}),$$

where f and  $\bar{x}$  are as above and  $g = \{g_k\}_0^{\infty}$ 

$$g_k = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} T_{i+k} y_i,$$

 $\tilde{y} = \{y_i\}_0^{\infty} \in \overline{H}$ . Thus

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \sum_{i} \sum_{j} (T_{i+j}x_i, y_j) = \sum_{j} (f_j, y_j).$$

As in the proof of Theorem 1, we can prove that [., .] and  $\langle ., . \rangle$  are well defin and that K, the completion of  $K_0$  with respect to [., .], is a Krein space windefinite inner product  $\langle ., . \rangle$ .

For  $f = \{f_k\}_0^\infty \in K_0$ , define  $Af = \{f_{k+1}\}_0^\infty$ . We have

$$f_{k+1} = \sum_{i} T_{i+k+1} x_i = \sum_{i} T_{i+k} x'_i,$$

where  $x_0'=0$ ,  $x_i'=x_{i-1}(i>0)$ . Write  $\bar{x}'=\{x_i'\}_0^\infty$ . For  $f,\ g\in K_0$ , it is easy to check the

$$\langle Af, g \rangle = \langle f, Ag \rangle.$$
 (1)

For  $\bar{x} = \{x_i\}_0^{\infty} \in \overline{H}$ , define  $\bar{S}\bar{x} = \bar{x}'$ . Then

$$\begin{split} \| \overline{S} \star | \overline{T} | \overline{S} \overline{x} \|^{2} & \leq \| | \overline{T} | \overline{S} \overline{x} \|^{2} - \| \overline{T} \overline{S} \overline{x} \|^{2} - \sum_{i} \| \sum_{i} T_{i+j+1} x_{i} \|^{2} \leq \sum_{j} \| \sum_{i} T_{i+j} x_{i} \|^{2} - \| \overline{T} \overline{x} \|^{2} \\ & = \| | \overline{T} | \overline{x} \|^{2}. \end{split}$$

By the Heinz inequality<sup>[4]</sup>, we have

$$(\overline{S}*|\overline{T}|\overline{S}x, \overline{x}) \leq (|\overline{T}|\overline{x}, \overline{x}).$$

Thus

$$[Af, Af] = (|\overline{T}| \overline{S}\overline{x}, \overline{S}\overline{x}) \leqslant (|\overline{T}| \overline{x}, \overline{x}) = [f, f].$$

It follows from (13) that A may be considered to be a self-adjoint operator in K.

For 
$$x \in H$$
, define  $\bar{x}^0 = \{x_i^0\}_0^\infty$  where  $x_0^0 = x$ ,  $x_i^0 = 0$   $(i > 0)$ . Define  $Bx = \{T_k x\}_0^\infty$ . Si  $[Bx, Bx] = (|\bar{T}||\bar{x}^0, \bar{x}^0) \le ||\bar{T}|| \cdot ||\bar{x}^0||^2 = ||\bar{T}|| \cdot ||x||^3$ ,

B is an operator on H into K. Clearly  $A^lBx = \{T_{l+k}x\}_{k=0}^{\infty} \ (l=0, 1, 2, \cdots)$ . For x, H, we have

$$\langle A^l Bx, By \rangle = (T_l x, y) \quad (l=0, 1, 2, \cdots).$$

Thus (1) holds. The statement (b) is clear. This completes the proof of the theorem. As in the proof of Corollary 3, we obtain the following

Corollary 5. Let the assump ions of Theorem 4 be sa is fied. If  $T_0$  is an invert positive operator, then ran B is a uniformly positive closed subspace of K. If  $T_0=I$ , then  $K\supset H$ ,  $\langle ., . \rangle$  on H coincides with (., .), B is the na unal embedding of H into

K and  $B^+$  is the orthogonal projection of K onto H with respect to  $\langle ., . \rangle$ .

## References

- 1] Bognar, J., Indefinite inner product spaces, Springer-Verlag, 1974.
- 2] Davis, C., J-unitary dilation of a general operator, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged), 31 (1970), 75-86.
- 3] Davis, C., Dilation of uniformly continuous semi-groups, Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl., 15 (1970), 975-983.
- 4] Kato, T., Perturbation theory for linear operators, Springer-Verlag, 1966.
- 5] Mlak, W., Unitary dilations of contraction operators, Rosprawy Mat., 46 (1965).
- 6] Sz. Nagy, B., Extensions of linear transformations in Hilbert space which extend beyond this space, Appendix to "Functional analysis" by F. Riesz and B. Sz. -Nagy, Ungar, 1960.