

ON ESTIMATIONS OF TRIGONOMETRIC SUMS OVER PRIMES IN SHORT INTERVALS (III)***

PAN CHENG DONG (潘承洞)* PAN CHENG BIAO (潘承彪)**

(Dedicated to the Tenth Anniversary of CAM)

Abstract

In this paper the following result is proved: There is an absolute positive integer c such that for every large odd integer N the Diophantine equation with prime variables $N = p_1 + p_2 + p_3$, $N/3 - U < p_j \leq N/3 + U$, $j = 1, 2, 3$, is solvable for $U = N^{2/3} \log^c N$. Moreover, an asymptotic formula for the number of the solutions is given.

§ 1. Statement of Results

Through this paper, $c, c_1, c_2, \dots$ stand for positive constants, $p, p_1, p_2, \dots$ primes, $e(\theta) = e^{2\pi i \theta}$, and $l = \log x$. Let α be a real number, $\Lambda(n)$ the Mangoldt function: $\Lambda(n) = \log p$, if $n = p^k$, $k \geq 1$; $= 0$, otherwise, and $x \geq A \geq 2$,

$$S(\alpha; x, A) = \sum_{x-A < n \leq x} \Lambda(n) e(n\alpha).$$

In [1] and [2] we proved the following two theorems by some purely analytic methods.

Theorem 1. [2, Theorem 2] *Let ε be an arbitrary positive constant,*

$$x^{91/96+\varepsilon} \leq A \leq x.$$

Then for any given positive c_1 there exist c_2 and c_3 such that for any α satisfying

$$\alpha = a/q + \lambda, \quad (a, q) = 1 \tag{1}$$

and

$$1 \leq q \leq l^{c_2}, \quad A^{-1/l^{c_3}} < |\lambda| \leq (ql^{c_3})^{-1},$$

we have

$$S(\alpha; x, A) \ll Al^{-c_1}.$$

Theorem 2. [2, Theorem 3] *Let N be a large odd integer. The Diophantine equation with prime variables*

$$\begin{cases} N = p_1 + p_2 + p_3, \\ N/3 - U < p_j < N/3 + U, \quad j = 1, 2, 3 \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

is solvable for

Manuscript received April 22, 1989.

* Institute of Mathematics, Shandong University, Jinan, Shandong, China.

** Department of Mathematics, Beijing University, Beijing, China.

*** Projects Supported by The National Natural Science Foundation of China.

$$U = N^{91/96+s},$$

where s is an arbitrary positive constant. Moreover, the number of the solutions

$$T(N, U) = 3\mathfrak{S}(N)U^2(\log N)^{-3} + O(U^2(\log N)^{-4}) \quad (3)$$

where

$$\mathfrak{S}(N) = \prod_{p|N} \left(1 - \frac{1}{(p-1)^2}\right) \prod_{p \nmid N} \left(1 + \frac{1}{(p-1)^3}\right) > \frac{1}{2}, \quad 2 \nmid N. \quad (4)$$

In the present paper we shall improve these two theorems by developing the methods in [1] and [2] and using a new result of Zhan^[4] for the zero density estimate of Dirichlet L -functions in short intervals. In fact, we shall prove

Theorem 3. For any given c_4 , there exist c_5 , c_6 and c_7 such that for

$$x^{2/3}l^{c_5} \leq A \leq x, \quad (5)$$

and α satisfying (1) and

$$1 \leq q \leq l^{c_6}, \quad A^{-1}l^{c_7} \leq |\lambda| \leq (qx^{1/6})^{-1}, \quad (6)$$

or

$$l^{c_6} \leq q \leq x^{1/6}, \quad |\lambda| \leq (qx^{1/6})^{-1}, \quad (7)$$

we have

$$S(\alpha; x, A) \ll Al^{-c_4}, \quad (8)$$

Theorem 4. There is an absolute positive integer c such that the Diophantine equation (2) with prime variables is solvable for

$$U = N^{2/3} \log^c N,$$

and the asymptotic formula (3) is true.

§2. Some Lemmas

To prove Theorem 3 and Theorem 4 we shall need the following lemmas.

Lemma 1. ^[3, Theorem 18.1.5] Let $2 \leq T \ll x$, χ a character mod q , and $\rho = \rho_\chi = \beta_\chi + i\gamma_\chi = \beta + i\gamma$ the non-trivial zero of the Dirichlet L -function. Then we have

$$\psi(x, \chi) = \sum_{n \leq x} \chi(n) \Lambda(n) = E_0 x - \sum_{|\gamma| \leq T} \frac{x^\rho - 1}{\rho} + R_1(x, \chi, T),$$

$$R_1(x, \chi, T) \ll xT^{-1}(\log qx)^2,$$

where $E_0 = 1$ if χ is principal; $= 0$, otherwise.

Lemma 2. ^[3, Lemmas 21.1.4, 21.2.2] Let $F(u)$ be a real function, $G(u)$ a monotonic function satisfying $|G(u)| \leq M$ for $a \leq u \leq b$. Then, (i) if $F'(u)$ is monotonic and $|F'(u)| \geq m > 0$ for $a \leq u \leq b$, we have

$$\int_a^b G(u) e(F(u)) du \ll m^{-1} M;$$

(ii) if $|F''(u)| \geq r > 0$ for $a \leq u \leq b$, we have

$$\int_a^b G(u) e(F(u)) du \ll r^{-1/2} M.$$

Lemma 3. [4, Theorem 3] Let $N(\theta, T_1, H_1, q)$ denote the number of zero of $\prod_{\chi \bmod q} L(s, \chi)$ in the region

$$1/2 \leq \theta \leq \operatorname{Re} s \leq 1, \quad 0 \leq T_1 \leq \operatorname{Im} s \leq T_1 + H_1.$$

Then for $H_1 \geq T_1^{1/3}$ we have

$$N(\theta, T_1, H_1, q) \ll \begin{cases} (qH_1)^{4(1-\theta)/(3-2\theta)} (\log qH_1)^9, & 1/2 \leq \theta \leq 3/4, \\ t(qH_1)^{8(1-\theta)/3} (\log qH_1)^{216}, & 3/4 \leq \theta \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 4. [3, Theorem 17.3.2] For any given $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $c_\varepsilon = c_\varepsilon(s)$ such that for any real character $\chi \bmod q$ and

$$\sigma \geq 1 - c_\varepsilon q^{-\varepsilon},$$

we have $L(\sigma, \chi) \neq 0$.

Lemma 5. [3, Theorem 17.4.2] Let $q \geq 1$, $s = \sigma + it$. Then there is c_9 such that $\prod_{\chi \bmod q} L(s, \chi)$ has no zeros in the region

$$\sigma \geq 1 - c_9 (\log q + (\log(|t| + 2))^{4/5})^{-1},$$

except for the possible exceptional zero $\bmod q$.

Lemma 6. [3, Corollary 30.3.2] Using the notations of Lemma 3, we have

$$N(\theta, 0, H_1, q) \leq \min(qH_1 \log(qH_1), (qH_1)^{5(1-\theta)/2} (\log qH_1)^{13}).$$

§3 Proof of Theorem 3

It is well-known that

$$\begin{aligned} S(\alpha; x, A) &= \frac{1}{\phi(q)} \sum_{\chi \bmod q} \left(\sum_{h=1}^q \chi(h) e\left(\frac{ah}{q}\right) \right) S(\lambda, \chi) + O(l^2) \\ &\ll q^{1/2} \phi^{-1}(q) \sum_{\chi \bmod q} |S(\lambda, \chi)| + l^2, \end{aligned}$$

where $\phi(n)$ is Euler function and

$$S(\lambda, \chi) = \sum_{x-A < n \leq x} \chi(n) A(n) e(n\lambda).$$

From Lemma 1 it is derived that for $T \ll x$,

$$\begin{aligned} S(\alpha; x, A) &\ll q^{1/2} \phi^{-1}(q) \sum_{\chi \bmod q} \left| \int_{x-A}^x \left(\sum_{|y| \leq T} y^{p-1} \right) e(\lambda y) dy \right| \\ &\quad + q^{1/2} \phi^{-1}(q) \min(A, |\lambda|^{-1}) + q^{1/2} (1 + |\lambda| A) x T^{-1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

In what follows we take

$$T = A^{-1} (1 + |\lambda| A) x q^{1/2} l^{c_{10}+2}, \quad (9)$$

and then, if the condition $T \ll x$ is true, we have

$$S(\alpha; x, A) \ll I(\lambda, q) l + q^{-1/2} l \min(A, |\lambda|^{-1}) + A l^{-c_{10}}, \quad (10)$$

where

$$I(\lambda, q) = q^{-1/2} \sum_{\chi \bmod q} \left| \sum_{|y| \leq T} y^{p-1} e(\lambda y) dy \right|. \quad (11)$$

Thus, the proof of Theorem 3 is reduced to the estimation of $I(\lambda, q)$. First we are going to prove the following lemma.

Lemma 6. Let x be a sufficiently large positive number,

$$2 \leq A \leq x/100. \quad (12)$$

Then, (i) if

$$0 \leq |\lambda| \leq xA^{-2}/10, \quad (13)$$

we have

$$I(\lambda, q) \ll q^{-1/2} A l^2 \max_{\substack{1/2 \leq \beta \leq 1 \\ 0 \leq T_1 \leq 2T}} x^{\beta-1} N(\beta, T_1, xA^{-1}, q); \quad (14)$$

(ii) if

$$|\lambda| > xA^{-2}/10, \quad (15)$$

we have

$$I(\lambda, q) \ll q^{-1/2} A t^2 \sqrt{\frac{x}{|\lambda| A^2}} \max_{\substack{1/2 \leq \beta \leq 1 \\ 0 \leq T_1 \leq 2T}} x^{\beta-1} N(\beta, T_1, 10|\lambda|A, q), \quad (16)$$

where $N(\theta, T_1, H_1, q)$ is defined in Lemma 3, and T is given by (9).

Proof Obviously, we may assume $\lambda \geq 0$. By Lemma 2 we have

$$\int_{x-A}^x y^{\beta-1} e(\lambda y) dy \ll x^{\beta-1} \min\left(A, \frac{x}{\sqrt{|\gamma|}}, x \left(\min_{x-A \leq y \leq x} (\gamma + 2\pi\lambda y)\right)^{-1}\right). \quad (17)$$

Setting $y = x + v$, for $x - A \leq y \leq x$ we have $-A \leq v \leq 0$; hence

$$|2\pi\lambda v| \leq 2\pi\lambda A. \quad (18)$$

Let $H \geq 1$ be a parameter satisfying

$$T > H \geq 10\lambda A. \quad (19)$$

Noticing (18) and (19), it follows from (11) and (17) that

$$\begin{aligned} I(\lambda, q) &\ll q^{-1/2} \sum_{x \bmod q} \sum_{\substack{|\gamma| \leq T \\ |r+2\pi\lambda x| < H}} x^{\beta-1} \min\left(A, \frac{x}{\sqrt{|\gamma|}}\right) \\ &\quad + q^{-1/2} \sum_{x \bmod q} \sum_{k=2}^K \sum_{\substack{|\gamma| \leq T \\ (k-1)H \leq |\gamma+2\pi\lambda x| < kH}} x^{\beta-1} \frac{x}{(k-1)H}, \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

where $K = [T/H] + 1$. If (13) holds we take $H = xA^{-1}$, and then from (20) and (9) we obtain

$$I(\lambda, q) \ll q^{-1/2} A l \max_{|T_1| \leq 2T} \sum_{x \bmod q} \sum_{T_1 \leq \gamma < T_1 + xA^{-1}} x^{\beta-1},$$

from which (14) is derived at once in a standard way. If (15) holds, we take $H = 10\lambda A$. Now, by (12) we have

$$-7\lambda x \leq \gamma \leq -6\lambda x, \text{ for } |\gamma + 2\pi\lambda x| \leq H.$$

Hence it follows that, for $|\gamma + 2\pi\lambda x| \leq H$,

$$\min(A, x/\sqrt{|\gamma|}) \ll \min(A, \sqrt{x/\lambda}) \ll \sqrt{x/\lambda}.$$

By use of this and $x/H \ll \sqrt{x/\lambda}$, it is derived from (20) that

$$I(\lambda, q) \ll q^{-1/2} A l \sqrt{\frac{x}{\lambda A^2}} \max_{|T_1| \leq 2T} \sum_{x \bmod q} \sum_{T_1 \leq \gamma < T_1 - 10\lambda A} x^{\beta-1},$$

and then (16) follows immediately.

On having Lemma 6 we can apply Lemm3 to the estimation of $I(\lambda, q)$. Now,

we take

$$c_5 = 657 + 3c_4, \quad c_{10} = c_4, \quad (21)$$

and assume that

$$x^{2/3}l^{c_5} \leq A \leq 2x^{2/3}l^{c_5}. \quad (22)$$

Lemma 7. Under the conditions (21) and (22), if (13) holds and $q \leq x^{1/6}$, then we have

$$I(\lambda, q) \ll Al^{-c_4-1}.$$

Proof Not losing generality we can assume $\lambda \geq 0$. It is easy to see that under the conditions (13), (21), (22) and $q \leq x^{1/6}$, we have

$$T \ll x^2 A^{-2} q^{1/2} l^{c_4+2} \ll x^{3/4},$$

where T is given by (9), and then $xA^{-1} \gg T^{1/3}$. Thus, using Lemma 3 we find from (14) that

$$I(\lambda, q) \ll q^{-1/2} Al^2 \left\{ \max_{\substack{1/2 \leq \beta \leq 1 \\ |\gamma| \leq 3T}} x^{\beta-1} (qx A^{-1})^{4(1-\beta)/(3-2\beta)} l^9 \right. \\ \left. + \max_{\substack{3/4 \leq \beta \leq 1 \\ |\gamma| \leq 3T}} x^{\beta-1} (qx A^{-1})^{8(1-\beta)/3} l^{216} \right\}. \quad (24)$$

Now we are going to deal with the first term in the bracket. Let

$$g(\beta) = \log \{x^{\beta-1} (qx A^{-1})^{4(1-\beta)/(3-2\beta)}\}.$$

We have

$$g'(\beta) = \log x - 4(3-2\beta)^{-2} \log(qx A^{-1}).$$

Under the conditions of the lemma, $qx A^{-1} \leq x^{1/2}$. Therefore, for $1/2 \leq \beta \leq 3/4$,

$$g'(\beta) > 0.$$

Thus, we get

$$q^{-1/2} \max_{1/2 \leq \beta \leq 3/4} x^{\beta-1} (qx A^{-1})^{4(1-\beta)/(3-2\beta)} \ll q^{-1/2} x^{-1/4} (qx A^{-1})^{2/3} \\ \ll q^{1/6} x^{5/12} A^{-2/3} \ll l^{-438-20c_4}. \quad (25)$$

The second term in the bracket of (24) can be estimated as follows. Take $c_{11} = 218 + c_4$. If $q \leq l^{2c_{11}}$, by Lemmas 4 and 5 we can conclude that there is no zero of $L(s, \chi)$ ($\chi \bmod q$) in the region:

$$|\operatorname{Im} s| \ll x, \quad \operatorname{Re} s \geq 1 - c_{12} l^{-4/5}.$$

From this fact and $T \ll x$, it follows that under the conditions of the lemma we have

$$q^{-1/2} \max_{\substack{3/4 \leq \beta \leq 1/2 \\ |\gamma| \leq 3T}} x^{\beta-1} (qx A^{-1})^{8(1-\beta)/3} \\ \ll q^{1/6} x^{5/12} A^{-2/3} + (A^{8/3} x^{-5/3})^{-c_{11} l^{-4/5}} \ll l^{-438-20c_4}. \quad (26)$$

If $l^{2c_{11}} \leq q \leq x^{1/6}$, it is trivial that

$$q^{-1/2} \max_{3/4 \leq \beta \leq 1/2} x^{\beta-1} (qx A^{-1})^{8(1-\beta)/3} \ll q^{1/6} x^{5/12} A^{-2/3} + q^{-1/2} \ll l^{-219-c_4}. \quad (27)$$

From (24), (25), (26) and (27), the lemma follows.

Lemma 8. Under the conditions (15), (21) and (22), if $q \leq x^{1/6}$ and

$$|\lambda| \leq (qx^{1/6})^{-1} \quad (28)$$

hold, then we have

$$I(\lambda, q) \ll Al^{-c_4-1}.$$

Proof The argument is similar to that of Lemma 7. Assume that $\lambda \geq 0$. Under the conditions of this lemma, the parameter T given by (9) satisfies

$$T \ll \lambda x q^{1/2} l^{c_4+2} \ll x^{5/6} l^{c_4+2},$$

and hence $\lambda A \gg x A^{-1} \gg x^{1/3} l^{-c_4} \gg T^{1/3}$. Now, we can apply Lemma 3 to (16), and obtain

$$I(\lambda, q) \ll q^{-1/2} A l^2 \sqrt{\frac{x}{\lambda A^2}} \left\{ \max_{1/2 \leq \beta \leq 3/4} x^{\beta-1} (\lambda q A)^{4(1-\beta)/(3-2\beta)} l^9 \right. \\ \left. + \max_{\substack{1/2 \leq \beta \leq 3/4 \\ |\gamma| \leq 3T}} x^{\beta-1} (\lambda q A)^{8(1-\beta)/3} l^{217} \right\}. \quad (29)$$

The first term in the bracket can be estimated in a similar way as we estimate that in (24). Noticing that

$$-1/2 + 4(1-\beta)/(3-2\beta) > 0, \quad 1/2 \leq \beta \leq 3/4,$$

we find from (28) that

$$q^{-1/2} \sqrt{\frac{x}{\lambda A^2}} \max_{1/2 \leq \beta \leq 3/4} x^{\beta-1} (\lambda q A)^{4(1-\beta)/(3-2\beta)} \\ \ll x^{1/12} x^{1/2} A^{-1} \max_{1/2 \leq \beta \leq 3/4} x^{\beta-1} (x A^{-1/6})^{4(1-\beta)/(3-2\beta)} \\ \ll (x^{1/6})^{-1/6} x^{1/4} A^{-1/3} \ll l^{-219-c_4}. \quad (30)$$

Similarly to proving (26) and (27), we can get under the conditions of the lemma:

(i) if $q \leq l^{2c_{12}}$, it follows that

$$q^{-1/2} \sqrt{\frac{x}{\lambda A^2}} \max_{\substack{3/4 \leq \beta \leq 1 \\ |\gamma| \leq 3T}} x^{\beta-1} (\lambda q A)^{8(1-\beta)/3} \\ \ll x^{1/4} (\lambda q)^{1/6} A^{-1/2} + q^{-1/2} (\lambda A^2 x^{-1})^{-1/2} ((\lambda q A)^{8/3} x^{-1})^{c_{12} l^{-c_4}} \\ \ll x^{1/4} (\lambda q)^{1/6} A^{-1/3} + (A^{8/3} x^{-5/3})^{-c_{12} l^{-c_4}} \ll l^{-219-c_4}, \quad (31)$$

by Lemmas 4 and 5; (ii) if $q > l^{2c_{12}}$, it is easy to see that

$$q^{-1/2} \sqrt{\frac{x}{\lambda A^2}} \max_{\substack{3/4 \leq \beta \leq 1 \\ |\gamma| \leq 3T}} x^{\beta-1} (\lambda q A)^{8(1-\beta)/3} \\ \ll x^{1/4} (\lambda q)^{1/6} A^{-1/3} + q^{-1/2} \ll l^{219-c_4}. \quad (32)$$

Summing up (29), (30), (31) and (32), we complete the proof of the lemma.

Proof of Theorem 3. Now, we are going to prove that Theorem 3 is true for

$$c_6 = 2c_4 + 2, \quad c_7 = c_4 + 1, \quad (33)$$

and c_5 given by (21). At first, from Lemmas 7 and 8 we can easily conclude that the theorem is true if (22) holds. In fact, when $q \leq x^{1/6}$ and $|\lambda q| \leq x^{-1/6}$, the parameter T given by (9) ($c_{10} = c_4$) satisfies $T \ll x$ (see the proofs of Lemmas 7 and 8). Therefore, from (10), Lemmas 7 and 8, the desired conclusion follows at once.

Secondly, we prove that the theorem holds if

$$2x^{2/3}l^{c_5} < A \leq x/100.$$

Let $x_1 = x$, $A_1 = x_1^{2/3}(\log x_1)^{c_5}$, and

$$x_{j+1} = x_j - A_j, \quad A_{j+1} = x_{j+1}^{2/3}(\log x_{j+1})^{c_5}.$$

Now, there exists a positive integer J satisfying

$$x_{J+2} < x - A \leq x_{J+1}.$$

Trivially, $x_1 > x_2 > \dots > x_{J+1} \geq 99x/100$, and

$$x^{2/3}(\log x)^{c_5} \geq A_1 > A_2 > \dots > A_{J+1} \geq (1/2)x^{2/3}(\log x)^{c_5}$$

for sufficient large x . Putting $B = x_J - x + A$, we have

$$S(\alpha; x, A) = \sum_{j=1}^{J-1} S(\alpha; x_j, A_j) + (S(\alpha; x_J, B)).$$

Noticing the definition of A_j and $A_j \leq B \leq 2A_J$, from the above discussion we obtain

$$S(\alpha; x, A) \ll l^{-c_4} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{J-1} A_j + B \right) = Al^{-c_4};$$

this is what we need.

At last, we prove that the theorem is also true for $x/100 < A \leq x$. Obviously, we only need to treat the case $A = x$.

Before giving the proof, it is necessary to give the following remark. In the above we have proved that the theorem holds for

$$x^{2/3}l^{c_5} < A \leq x/100. \quad (34)$$

In fact, from the proofs of Lemmas 7 and 8 we can assert that under condition (34) the theorem is still true if conditions (6) and (7) are replaced by

$$1 \leq q \ll l^{c_5}, \quad A^{-1}l^{c_5} \ll |\lambda| \leq (q(xf(x))^{1/6})^{-1}, \quad (35)$$

and

$$l^{c_5} \ll q \leq (xf(x))^{1/6}, \quad |\lambda| \leq (q(xf(x))^{1/6})^{-1}, \quad (36)$$

respectively where $f(x)$ is a real function satisfying

$$l^{-c_{15}} \ll f(x) \ll l^{c_{16}}, \quad (37)$$

c_{15} and c_{16} being any given positive constants.

Let $x_1 = x$, $A_1 = x_1/100$, and

$$x_{j+1} = x_j - A_j, \quad A_{j+1} = x_{j+1}/100.$$

Clearly, $x_j = (99/100)^{j-1}x$, and hence there exists an integer J such that $x_{J+1} < xl^{-c_4} < x_J$. Thus we have

$$S(\alpha; x, x) = \sum_{j=1}^J S(\alpha; x_j, A_j) + O(xl^{-c_4}). \quad (38)$$

Now, take $f_j(x_j)x_j = x$ for $1 \leq j \leq J$. Clearly, condition (37) is true for x_j and f_j , and so we have

$$S(\alpha; x_j, A_j) \ll A_j(\log x_j)^{-c_4}$$

for $1 \leq j \leq J$ if condition (35) or (36) is true for x_j , A_j and f_j ; that means the above estimate follows if

$$1 \leq q \ll l^{c_5}, \quad A_j^{-1}l^{c_5} \ll |\lambda| \leq (qx_j^{1/6})^{-1}. \quad (39)$$

or

$$l^{c_5} \ll q \leq x^{1/6}, \quad |\lambda| \leq (qx^{1/6})^{-1} \quad (40)$$

holds. From this, (38), and the definition of A , it is derived that if the condition

$$1 \leq q \leq l^{c_5}, \quad x^{-1} l^{c_7+c_4} \leq |\lambda| \leq (qx^{1/6})^{-1}$$

or

$$l^{c_5} \ll q \leq x^{1/6}, \quad |\lambda| \leq (qx^{1/6})^{-1}$$

holds, we have

$$S(\alpha; x, x) \ll xl^{-c_4}.$$

This shows that the theorem is true for $A=x$ when $c_5=657+3c_4$, $c_6=2c_4+2$ and $c_7=2c_4+1$. The proof is completed.

Remark. Theorem 3 is still true if conditions (6) and (7) are replaced by (35) and (36) respectively.

§ 4. Proof of Theorem 4

Let $N_1=N/3-U$, $N_2=N/3+U$, and take $c_4=3$, $c_6=8$, $c_7=4$, $c=c_5=666$. By Theorem 3 ($x=N_2$, $A=2U$), there is

$$S(\alpha; N_2, 2U) \ll U \log^{-3} N,$$

if (6) or (7) holds. From this and

$$S(\alpha; N_2, 2U) = \log(N/3) \sum_{N_1 < p \leq N_2} e(p\alpha) + O(N^{-1}U^2), \quad (41)$$

it follows that if (6) or (7) holds we have

$$\sum_{N_1 < p \leq N_2} e(p\alpha) \ll U \log^{-4} N.$$

Let $Q_1 = \log^8 N_2$, $Q = N_2^{1/6}$, $\tau = U \log^{-4} N_2$, and $I(q, a)$ denote the interval $[a/q - \tau^{-1}, a/q + \tau^{-1}]$,

$$E_1 = \bigcup_{1 \leq q \leq Q_1} \bigcup_{\substack{0 \leq a < q \\ (a, q) = 1}} I(q, a),$$

and

$$E_2 = [-Q^{-1}, 1 - Q^{-1}] \setminus E_1.$$

By the well-known Dirichlet's lemma [3, Lemma 19.3.5], it is derived that for every $\alpha \in E_2$ (6) or (7) ($x=N_2$, $A=2U$) holds. Hence, we have

$$\sum_{N_1 < p \leq N_2} e(p\alpha) \ll U \log^{-4} N, \quad \alpha \in E_2$$

and then

$$\int_{E_2} \left| \sum_{N_1 < p \leq N_2} e(p\alpha) \right|^3 d\alpha \ll U^3 \log^{-4} N.$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} T(N, U) &= \int_{-1/Q}^{1-1/Q} \left(\sum_{N_1 < p \leq N_2} e(p\alpha) \right)^3 e(-N\alpha) d\alpha \\ &= \int_{E_1} + \int_{E_2} = \int_{E_1} + O(U^3 \log^{-4} N). \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

Now we are going to calculate the integral $\int_{E_1}$. By (41) we have

$$\left(\sum_{N_1 < p \leq N_2} e(p\alpha) \right)^3 = (\log N/3)^{-3} S^3(\alpha; N_2, 2U) + O(N^{-1}U^4 \log^{-1} N).$$

The measure of E_1 is equal to

$$\sum_{q \leq Q_1} \phi(q) (2U^{-1} \log^4 N_2) \ll U^{-2} Q_1^2 \log^4 N \ll U^{-1} \log^{20} N. \quad (43)$$

From the last two formulas we obtain

$$T_1(N, U) = \int_{E_1} = (\log N/3)^{-3} \int_{E_1} S^3(\alpha; N_2, 2U) e(-N\alpha) d\alpha + O(N^{-1}U^3 \log^{19} N). \quad (44)$$

By Lemma 1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{N_1 < n \leq N_2} \chi(n) \Lambda(n) e(n\lambda) &= E_0 \int_{N_1}^{N_2} e(\lambda y) dy - \sum_{|\gamma| \leq T} \int_{N_1}^{N_2} e(\lambda y) y^{c-1} dy \\ &\quad + \int_{N_1}^{N_2} e(\lambda y) dR_1(y, \chi, T), \end{aligned}$$

where $T = NU^{-1}(\log N)^{c_{17}}$, c_{17} is a positive constant to be chosen later. When $\alpha = a/q + \lambda \in E_1$, there is $|\lambda| \leq U^{-1} \log^4 N$, and hence

$$\int_{N_1}^{N_2} e(\lambda y) dR_1(y, \chi, T) \ll NT^{-1} \log^2 N + |\lambda| UNT^{-1} \log^2 N \ll U (\log N)^{-c_{17}+6}.$$

From the last two formulas it follows that if $\alpha = a/q + \lambda \in E_1$, there is

$$\begin{aligned} S\left(\frac{a}{q} + \lambda; N_2, 2U\right) &= \frac{1}{\phi(q)} \sum_{\chi \bmod q} \left(\sum_{h=1}^q \bar{\chi}(h) e\left(\frac{ah}{q}\right) \right) \sum_{N_1 < n \leq N_2} \chi(n) \Lambda(n) e(n\lambda) \\ &\quad + O(\log^2 N) \\ &= \frac{\mu(q)}{\phi(q)} \int_N^{N_2} e(\lambda y) dy + I + O(q^{1/2} U (\log N)^{-c_{17}+6}), \end{aligned}$$

where $\mu(n)$ is Möbius function, and

$$I \ll \frac{q^{1/2}}{\phi(q)} U \sum_{\chi \bmod q} \sum_{|\gamma| \leq T} N^{\beta-1}.$$

By Lemmas 5 and 6 we have, for $q \leq Q_1$,

$$\begin{aligned} I &\ll U q^{-1/2} \log N \max_{\substack{1/2 \leq \beta \leq 1 \\ |\gamma| \leq T}} N^{\beta-1} N(\beta, 0, T, q) \\ &\ll U q^{-1/2} \log^{24} N \max_{\substack{1/2 \leq \beta \leq 1 \\ |\gamma| \leq T}} N^{\beta-1} (qT)^{5(1-\beta)/2} \\ &\ll U q^{-1/2} \log^{14} N \{ N^{-1/2} (qT)^{5/4} + (N^{-1} (qT)^{5/2})^{c_{18}(\log N)^{-4/5}} \} \\ &\ll U \exp(-c_{19}(\log N)^{1/5}), \end{aligned}$$

using $T = NU^{-1}(\log N)^{c_{17}} = T^{1/3}(\log N)^{-c_{17} c_{17}}$ in the last step. Therefore, for $a/q + \lambda \in E_1$ there is

$$S\left(\frac{a}{q} + \lambda; N_2, 2U\right) = \frac{\mu(q)}{\phi(q)} e\left(\frac{\lambda N}{3}\right) \frac{\sin(2\pi\lambda U)}{\pi\lambda} + O(U (\log N)^{-c_{17}+10}),$$

and hence

$$S^3\left(\frac{a}{q} + \lambda; N_2, 2U\right) = \frac{\mu(q)}{\phi^3(q)} e(\lambda N) \frac{\sin^3(2\pi\lambda U)}{(\pi\lambda)^3} + O(U^3 (\log N)^{-c_{17}+10}).$$

From this and (43), we deduce that

$$\int_E S^3(\alpha; N_2, 2U) e(-N\alpha) d\alpha \\ = \sum_{q \leq Q_1} \frac{\mu(q)}{\phi^3(q)} \left(\sum_{\substack{a=1 \\ (a,q)=1}}^q e\left(\frac{-a}{q} N\right) \int_{-1/\tau}^{1/\tau} \frac{\sin^3(2\pi\lambda U)}{(\pi\lambda)^3} d\lambda + O(U^2 (\log N)^{-c_{17}+30}) \right).$$

Using

$$\int_{-1/\tau}^{1/\tau} \frac{\sin^3(2\pi\lambda U)}{(\pi\lambda)^3} d\lambda = \frac{4U^2}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sin^3 y}{y^3} dy + O(U^2 \log^{-8} N) \\ = 3U^2 + O(U^2 \log^{-8} N)$$

and taking $c_{17}=31$, from the last formula and (44) we have

$$T_1(N, U) = \frac{3U^2}{\log^3 N} \sum_{q \leq Q_1} \frac{\mu(q)}{\phi^3(q)} \left(\sum_{\substack{a=1 \\ (a,q)=1}}^q e\left(\frac{-a}{q} N\right) \right) + O(U^4 \log^{-4} N) \\ = 3U^2 \log^{-3} N \mathfrak{S}(N) + O(U^2 \log^{-4} N).$$

From this and (41) the asymptotic formula (3) is derived. Since (4) is a well-known result (see [3, (20.2.11)]), the proof is completed.

References

- [1] Pan Chengdong & Pan Chengbiao, On estimations of trigonometric sums over primes in short intervals I, *Sci. Sin. A*, **32**(1989), 408—416.
- [2] Pan Chengdong & Pan Chengbiao, On estimations of trigonometric sums over Primes in short Intervals II, *Sci. Sin. A*, **32**(1989), (to appear).
- [3] 潘承洞, 潘承彪, 解析数论基础, 科学出版社, 1989.
- [4] Zhan Tao, On the mean square of Dirichlet L -functions, *Advances in Mathematics*, **18** (1989), (to appear).