

HOLOMORPHIC VECTOR FIELDS AND CHARACTERISTIC FORMS ON A HERMITIAN MANIFOLD

MEI XIANGMING (梅向明)*

(Dedicated to the Tenth Anniversary of CAM)

Abstract

Let M be a compact Hermitian manifold, $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} M = m$, Ω be the curvature form of the Hermitian connection. F is a $U(m)$ -invariant polynomial of degree $k < m$ defined on the Lie algebra $u(m)$, $F(\Omega)$ is a characteristic form of M defined by F . Let σ be arbitrary closed $(2m-2k)$ -form M . In this paper, the author gives the integral formula

$$\int_M F(\Omega) \wedge \sigma = \sum_i \int_{N_i} \left\{ \text{The coefficient of } t^k \text{ of the Taylor expansion} \right. \\ \left. \text{of the expression } \frac{F(t\tilde{\Omega} + A_0)}{E(t\tilde{\Omega}^\perp + A_0^\perp)} \right\} \wedge \sigma',$$

where N_i are the connected components of the singular set N of $(m-k+1)$ involutive holomorphic vector fields $\{v_k, v_{k+1}, \dots, v_m\}$, defined on M , $\dim N_i = 2m - 2k + v_i$.

§ 1. Introduction

Let M be a compact Hermitian manifold, $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} M = m$, the Hermitian metric be H . There exists unique connection ∇ associated to H , the corresponding connection form is $\omega = \partial H \cdot H^{-1}$, it is a form of $(1, 0)$ -type; the corresponding curvature form is $\Omega = d\omega - \omega \wedge \omega = \bar{\partial}\omega$, it is a form of $(1, 1)$ -type. The structure group of the tangent bundle $T(M)$ of M is complex linear group $GL(m, \mathbb{C})$, the corresponding Lie algebra $gl(m, \mathbb{C})$ is the algebra of complex $(m \times m)$ -matrices. Let F be the $GL(m, \mathbb{C})$ -invariant complex valued polynomial of degree k defined on $gl(m, \mathbb{C})$, $F(\Omega)$ be global closed $2k$ -form of M , called the characteristic form of the tangent bundle $T(M)$ defined by F . The purpose of this paper is to calculate the integral

$$\int_M F(\Omega) \wedge \sigma,$$

where σ is any arbitrary closed $(2m-2k)$ -form of M .

When $\deg F = m$, this problem was solved by R. Bott^[1], but his method was failed to the case $\deg F < m$. In this paper, we hope to generalize Bott's result to the case $\deg F < m$, and give an integral formula.

Manuscript received March 13, 1989. Revised July 4, 1989.

* Department of Mathematics, Beijing Teacher's College, Beijing, China.

§ 2. Complex Vector Fields on the Hermitian Manifold

Given $(m-k+1)$ complex vector fields $\{u_k, u_{k+1}, \dots, u_m\}$ on the Hermitian manifold M . Let S be the set of the singular points of $\{u_{k+1}, \dots, u_m\}$:

$$S = \{x \in M : \text{the vectors } u_{k+1}(x), \dots, u_m(x) \text{ are linearly dependent}\},$$

S be the support of the dual cycle DC_{k+1} of the Chern class C_{k+1} on M ([2, p. 411—413]).

$$\dim S \geq \dim C_{k+1} = 2(m-k-1).$$

We assume that the vector fields $\{u_{k+1}, \dots, u_m\}$ are situated in general position. Then

$$\dim S = 2(m-k-1).$$

Let $S(\varepsilon)$ be the ε -tubular neighbourhood of S in M . On $M \setminus S(\varepsilon)$, the vector fields $\{u_{k+1}, \dots, u_m\}$ are linearly independent.

Lemma 1. *There exists another closed $(2m-2k)$ -form σ' of M and sufficient small ε such that $\sigma - \sigma' = d\theta$ for some $(2m-2k-1)$ -form θ of M and $\sigma'|_{\overline{S(\varepsilon)}} = 0$.*

Proof See [3, Lemma 1].

$$\text{Corollary.} \quad \int_M F(\Omega) \wedge \sigma = \int_M F(\Omega) \wedge \sigma' = \int_{M \setminus S(\varepsilon)} F(\Omega) \wedge \sigma'.$$

Proof Since M is compact, $\partial M = \emptyset$, so that

$$\int_M F(\Omega) \wedge d\theta = \int_M d(F(\Omega) \wedge \theta) = \int_{\partial M} F(\Omega) \wedge \theta = 0.$$

Let N be the set of the singular points of $\{u_k, u_{k+1}, \dots, u_m\}$ on $M \setminus S(\varepsilon)$,

$$\dim N \geq 2m - 2k,$$

$N = \bigcup_i N_i$, where N_i are the connected components of N . $\dim N_i = 2m - 2k + \nu_i$, where ν_i are non-negative integers.

Now we suppose $\{u_k, u_{k+1}, \dots, u_m\}$ to be involutive holomorphic vector fields, where "involutive" means that on any open set of $M \setminus S(\varepsilon)$, $[u_\lambda, u_\mu]$ ($\lambda, \mu = k, k+1, \dots, m$) are linear combinations of $\{u_k, u_{k+1}, \dots, u_m\}$ with holomorphic coefficients. On the singular set N , the vector fields $\{u_{k+1}, \dots, u_m\}$ are involutive, the well-known theorem of Frobenius asserts that, at every point $p \in N$, there exists a coordinate chart U with holomorphic coordinate system (z^1, \dots, z^m) such that u_α ($\alpha = k+1, \dots, m$) are linear combinations of $\left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{k+1}}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial z^m} \right\}$ with holomorphic coefficients, or

$$dz^\alpha(u_\alpha) = 0, \quad (\alpha = 1, \dots, k; \alpha = k+1, \dots, m).$$

Consider another coordinate chart V with holomorphic coordinate system $(z'^1, \dots,$

z'^m), $dz^a = 0 = dz'^a = 0$ ($a=1, \dots, k$), so that

$$\frac{\partial z'^a}{\partial z^\alpha} = 0, \quad (\alpha=1, \dots, k; \alpha=k+1, \dots, m).$$

It means that on $U \cap V$, z'^a ($a=1, \dots, k$) are holomorphic functions of (z^1, \dots, z^k) . So there exists a subbundle E of $T(M)$ on $N(\varepsilon)$, whose fibres are spanned by $\left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial z^1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial z^k} \right\}$ with transition functions $\left(\frac{\partial z'^a}{\partial z^b} \right)$ ($a, b=1, \dots, k$). E is a holomorphic vector bundle of complex dimension k .

Restricting the connection of $T(M)$ to E , we get the connection ∇^E of E as follows:

$$\nabla_{e_a}^E = \sum_{b=1}^k \omega_{ab} e_b \quad (\alpha=1, \dots, k),$$

where $e_a = \frac{\partial}{\partial z^a}$ ($a=1, \dots, k$). Extending this connection to $T(M)$, we get the connection ∇^E of $T(M)$ on $N(\varepsilon)$:

$$\nabla_{e_a}^E = \sum_{b=1}^k \omega_{ab} e_b \quad (\alpha=1, \dots, k),$$

$$\nabla_{u_\alpha}^E = 0, \quad (\alpha=k+1, \dots, m).$$

We can extend this connection ∇^E to the tangent bundle $T(M)$ on $M \setminus S(\varepsilon)$ as follows:

$$\nabla_{e_a}^E = \nabla_{e_a} \pmod{u_k, u_{k+1}, \dots, u_m} \quad (\alpha=1, \dots, k),$$

$$\nabla^E u_\alpha = 0, \quad (\alpha=k+1, \dots, m).$$

Note that, on N , $\text{mod. } u_k, u_{k+1}, \dots, u_m$ reduces to $\text{mod. } u_{k+1}, \dots, u_m$, then this connection ∇^E becomes the connection of the bundle E .

Let the connection form of ∇^E be ω^E , it is still the form of the $(1, 0)$ -type, the corresponding curvature form being $\nabla^E = \bar{\partial} \omega^E$. Constructing the homotopy between the connections ∇ and ∇^E of the tangent bundle $T(M)$ on $M \setminus S(\varepsilon)$, we have

Lemma 2. *There exists a $(2k-1)$ -form τ on $M \setminus S(\varepsilon)$ such that*

$$F(\Omega) - F(\Omega^E) = d\tau.$$

Proof Let $u = \omega - \omega^E$, $\omega_t = \omega^E + tu$,

$$\Omega_t = d\omega_t = d\omega - \omega_t \wedge \omega_t.$$

According to the Weil Lemma [4, p. 39, (B)], we get

$$\tau = k \int_0^1 F(u, \underbrace{\Omega_t, \dots, \Omega_t}_{k-1}) dt.$$

Corollary.

$$\int_M F(\Omega) \wedge \sigma = \int_M F(\Omega^E) \wedge \sigma'.$$

Define an operator \tilde{L}_X of $T(M)$ associated to the vector field X . For any $Y \in \Gamma(T(M))$,

$$\tilde{L}_X = [X, Y] \pmod{u_k, u_{k+1}, u_m}.$$

Then define the third connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ of $T(M)$ on $M \setminus S(s)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\nabla}_{e_a} &= \nabla_{e_a}^E, \quad (a=1, \dots, k), \\ \tilde{\nabla}_{u_\alpha} &= \tilde{L}_{u_\alpha}, \quad (\alpha=k+1, \dots, m).\end{aligned}$$

Let the connection form of $\tilde{\nabla}$ be $\tilde{\omega}$, it is a form of $(1, 0)$ -type, and $\tilde{\omega}(u_\alpha)$ ($\alpha=k+1, \dots, m$) are holomorphic functions. The corresponding curvature form be $\tilde{\Omega} = \tilde{\Omega}_1 + \tilde{\Omega}_2$, where $\tilde{\Omega}_1 = \partial\tilde{\omega} - \tilde{\omega} \wedge \tilde{\omega}$ is the form of $(2, 0)$ -type, and $\tilde{\Omega}_2 = \bar{\partial}\tilde{\omega}$ is the form of $(1, 1)$ -type.

Constructing the homotopy of the connections ∇^E and $\tilde{\nabla}$ of the bundle $T(M)$ on $M \setminus S(s)$, we have

Lemma 3.

$$\int_M F(\Omega^E) \wedge \sigma' = \int_M F(\tilde{\Omega}) \wedge \sigma'.$$

§ 3. The Vanishing Theorem

Define the fourth connection ∇' of $T(M)$ on $(M \setminus S(s)) \setminus N$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla'_{e_a} &= \tilde{\nabla}_{e_a} = \nabla_{e_a}^E = \nabla_{e_a} \pmod{u_k, u_{k+1}, \dots, u_m} \quad (a=1, \dots, k), \\ \nabla'_{u_k} &= \tilde{L}_{u_k}, \\ \nabla'_{u_\alpha} &= \tilde{\nabla}_{u_\alpha} = \tilde{L}_{u_\alpha}, \quad (\alpha=k+1, \dots, m).\end{aligned}$$

Let the connection form of ∇' be ω' , it is also a form of $(1, 0)$ -type, and $\omega'(u_\lambda)$ ($\lambda=k, k+1, \dots, m$) are holomorphic functions. The curvature form is $\Omega' = \Omega'_1 + \Omega'_2$, where $\Omega'_1 = \partial\omega' - \omega' \wedge \omega'$ and $\Omega'_2 = \bar{\partial}\omega'$ are the forms of $(2, 0)$ - and $(1, 1)$ -type respectively.

Lemma 4.

$$\Omega'(u_\lambda, u_\mu) = 0. \quad (\lambda, \mu = k, k+1, \dots, m).$$

For any vector field \bar{X} of $(0, 1)$ -type,

$$\Omega'(u_\lambda, \bar{X}) = 0. \quad (\lambda = k, k+1, \dots, m).$$

Proof Let $R'(X, Y)Z, \forall X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(T(M))$, be the curvature tensor of the connection ∇' . We have

$$R'(X, Y)e_a = \sum_{b=1}^k \Omega_{ab}(X, Y)e_b, \quad (a=1, \dots, k),$$

$$\begin{aligned}R'(u_\lambda, u_\mu)e_a &= \nabla'_{u_\lambda} \nabla'_{u_\mu} e_a - \nabla'_{u_\mu} \nabla'_{u_\lambda} e_a - \nabla'_{[u_\lambda, u_\mu]} e_a \\ &= \tilde{L}_{u_\lambda} \tilde{L}_{u_\mu} e_a - \tilde{L}_{u_\mu} \tilde{L}_{u_\lambda} e_a - \nabla'_{[u_\lambda, u_\mu]} e_a \\ &= ([u_\lambda, [u_\mu, e_a]] \pmod{u_k, \dots, u_m}) \\ &\quad - [u_\mu, [u_\lambda, e_a]] \pmod{u_k, \dots, u_m}) \pmod{u_k, \dots, u_m} \\ &\quad - \nabla'_{[u_\lambda, u_\mu]} e_a.\end{aligned}$$

Since the holomorphic vector fields $\{u_k, u_{k+1}, \dots, u_m\}$ are involutive

$$\begin{aligned}&[u_\lambda, [u_\mu, e_a]] \pmod{u_k, \dots, u_m} \pmod{u_k, \dots, u_m} \\ &= [u_\lambda, [u_\mu, e_a]] \pmod{u_k, \dots, u_m},\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & [u_\mu, [u_\lambda, e_a]] \pmod{u_k, \dots, u_m} \pmod{u_k, \dots, u_m} \\ &= [u_\mu, [u_\lambda, e_a]] \pmod{u_k, \dots, u_m}, \\ & \nabla'_{[u_\lambda, u_\mu]} e_a = \tilde{L}_{[u_\lambda, u_\mu]} e_a = [[u_\lambda, u_\mu], e_a] \pmod{u_k, \dots, u_m}. \end{aligned}$$

According to the Jacobi's identity,

$$\begin{aligned} R'(u_\lambda, u_\mu) e_a &= ([u_\lambda, [u_\mu, e_a]] - [u_\mu, [u_\lambda, e_a]] - ([u_\lambda, u_\mu], e_a]) \pmod{u_k, \dots, u_m} \\ &= ([u_\lambda, [u_\mu, e_a]] + [u_\mu, [e_a, u_\lambda]] + [e_a, [u_\lambda, u_\mu]]) \pmod{u_k, \dots, u_m} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

$$R'(u_\lambda, u_\mu) = 0, \quad \Omega'_{ab}(u_\lambda, u_\mu) = 0.$$

$$\Omega'(u_\lambda, \bar{X}) = \Omega'(u_\lambda, \bar{X}) = i(u_\lambda) \bar{\partial} \omega'(\bar{X}) = -\bar{\partial}(i(u_\lambda) \omega'(\bar{X})) = 0.$$

The Lemma is proved.

Theorem 1 (Vanishing Theorem). *On $(M \setminus S(s)) \setminus N$, we have*

$$F(\Omega') = 0.$$

$$\text{Proof } F(\Omega') = F(\Omega'_1 + \Omega'_2) = \sum_{p=0}^k C_k^p F(\underbrace{\Omega'_1, \dots, \Omega'_1}_{k-p}, \underbrace{\Omega'_2, \dots, \Omega'_2}_p).$$

Let $X_i (i=1, \dots, m)$ and $\bar{Y}_i (i=1, \dots, m)$ be vector fields of $(1, 0)$ - and $(0, 1)$ -types on $(M \setminus S(s)) \setminus N$ respectively.

$$F(\underbrace{\Omega'_2, \dots, \Omega'_2}_k)(X_1, \dots, X_k; \bar{Y}_1, \dots, \bar{Y}_k) = F(\Omega'_2(X_1, \bar{Y}_1), \dots, \Omega'_2(X_k, \bar{Y}_k)).$$

Take $X_a = e_a (a=1, \dots, k)$. Since u_k, u_{k+1}, \dots, u_m are linearly independent, e_k should be linearly dependent to $e_1, \dots, e_{k-1}, u_k, u_{k+1}, \dots, u_m$, so that one of the $\Omega'_2(X_a, \bar{Y}_a)$ should be $\Omega'_2(u_\lambda, \bar{Y}_a) = 0$. Then

$$F(\underbrace{\Omega'_2, \dots, \Omega'_2}_k) = 0.$$

$$F(\underbrace{\Omega'_1, \dots, \Omega'_1}_{k-p}, \underbrace{\Omega'_2, \dots, \Omega'_2}_p)(X_1, \dots, X_{2k-p}, \bar{Y}_1, \dots, \bar{Y}_p)$$

$$= F(\Omega'_2(X_1, \bar{Y}_1), \dots, \Omega'_2(X_p, \bar{Y}_p), \Omega'_1(X_{p+1}, X_{p+2}), \dots, \Omega'_1(X_{2k-p-1}, X_{2k-p})).$$

Take $X_a = e_a (a=1, \dots, p)$. Then at most $(k-p)$ of the remaining X 's are $e_b (b=p+1, \dots, k)$, so that at least one of the $\Omega'_1(X_i, X_j)$ is $\Omega'_1(e_k, u_\lambda) = 0$ or $\Omega'_1(u_\lambda, u_\mu) = 0, (\lambda, \mu = k, \dots, m)$. We have also

$$F(\underbrace{\Omega'_1, \dots, \Omega'_1}_{k-p}, \underbrace{\Omega'_2, \dots, \Omega'_2}_p) = 0.$$

The theorem is proved.

Corollary. *There exists a $(2k-1)$ -form η on $(M \setminus S(s)) \setminus N$ such that*

$$F(\Omega) = -d\eta.$$

Proof Construct the homotopy of the connections $\tilde{\nabla}$ and ∇' of the tangent bundle $T(M)$ on $(M \setminus S(s)) \setminus N$. Let $u' = \omega' - \tilde{\omega}$,

$$\omega'_i = \tilde{\omega} + tu',$$

$$\Omega'_i = d\omega'_i - \omega'_i \wedge \omega'_i.$$

Then

$$-F(\tilde{\Omega}) = F(\Omega') - F(\tilde{\Omega}) = d\eta.$$

§ 4. The Transgressive Form

On $N(s) \setminus N$, the tangent bundle $T(M)$ is the direct sum of the bundle E and E_1 , where E_1 is the subbundle of $T(M)$ whose fibres are spanned by $\{u_{k+1}, \dots, u_m\}$, it is a holomorphic vector bundle of complex dimension $m-k$. Let H^E and H^{E_1} be the restrictions of the Hermitian metric H to the subbundles E and E_1 respectively, Define another Hermitian metric H' of $T(M)$ on $N(s) \setminus N$ as follows

$$H' = H^E + H^{E_1}.$$

On a coordinate chart U of $N(s)$,

$$u_k = \sum_{a=1}^k v^a \frac{\partial}{\partial z^a} + \sum_{a=k+1}^m v^a u_a,$$

where v^a and v^a are holomorphic functions of U . Let

$$v = \sum_{a=1}^k v^a e_a = u_k - \sum_{a=k+1}^m v^a u_a,$$

where v is a vector field on $N(s)$. Since $\{u_k, u_{k+1}, \dots, u_m\}$ are linearly dependent on N , $v=0$ on N , i.e. N is the set of zero points of v , so that $v^a=0$ ($a=1, \dots, k$) on N .

With respect to the new Hermitian metric H' , we have

$$H'(v, v_a) = 0, \quad (\alpha = k+1, \dots, m).$$

Choose a frame $\{v_1, \dots, v_{k-1}, v\}$ of the bundle E such that

$$H'(v, v_a) = 0, \quad (\alpha = 1, \dots, k-1).$$

Then the definition of the connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ of $T(M)$ on $V(s)$ can be rewritten in the following form:

$$\tilde{\nabla}_{v_a} = \nabla_{v_a}^E, \quad (\alpha = 1, \dots, k-1),$$

$$\tilde{\nabla}_v = \nabla_v^E,$$

$$\tilde{\nabla}_{u_a} = \tilde{L}_{u_a}, \quad (\alpha = k+1, \dots, m),$$

because v is the linear combination of $\{e_1, \dots, e_k\}$ and v_a ($\alpha=1, \dots, k-1$) is the linear combinations of $\{e_1, \dots, e_{k-1}, v\}$.

Similarly, the definition of the connection ∇' of $T(M)$ on $N(s) \setminus N$ can be rewritten in the following form:

$$\nabla'_{v_a} = \tilde{\nabla}_{v_a} \quad (\alpha = 1, \dots, k-1),$$

$$\nabla'_v = \tilde{L}_v,$$

$$\nabla'_{u_a} = \tilde{\nabla}_{u_a} = \tilde{L}_{u_a} \quad (\alpha = k+1, \dots, m),$$

because

$$u'_v = \nabla'_{u_k - \sum_a v^a u_a} = \nabla'_{u_k} - \sum_a v^a \nabla'_{u_a} = \tilde{L}_{u_k} - \sum_a v^a \tilde{L}_{u_a} = \tilde{L}_{u_k - \sum_a v^a u_a} = \tilde{L}_v.$$

Now we shall give an explicit expression of the transgressive form η on $N(s) \setminus N$. Define a 1-form π on $N(s) \setminus N$ such that

$$\pi(X) = \frac{H'(X, v)}{H'(v, v)}, \quad \forall X \in \Gamma(T(M)).$$

Then define another operator of the tangent bundle $T(M)$ as follows:

$$A_v = \tilde{L}_v - \tilde{\nabla}_v.$$

Lemma 5. On $N(\varepsilon) \setminus N$, we have

$$u' = \pi \otimes A_v.$$

Proof

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(v) \otimes A_v &= A_v = \tilde{L}_v - \tilde{\nabla}_v = \omega'(v) - \tilde{\omega}(v) = u'(v). \\ \pi(v_\alpha) \otimes A_v &= 0 = \tilde{\nabla}_{v_\alpha} - \tilde{\nabla}_{v_\alpha} = \nabla'_{v_\alpha} - \tilde{\nabla}_{v_\alpha} = \omega'(v_\alpha) - \tilde{\omega}(v_\alpha) = u'(v_\alpha). \\ \pi(u_\alpha) \otimes A_v &= 0 = \tilde{\nabla}_{u_\alpha} - \tilde{\nabla}_{u_\alpha} = \nabla'_{u_\alpha} - \tilde{\nabla}_{u_\alpha} = \omega'(u_\alpha) - \tilde{\omega}(u_\alpha) = u'(u_\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$u' = \pi \otimes A_v.$$

Corollary. On $N(\varepsilon) \setminus N$,

η = The coefficient of t^{k-1} in the Taylor expansion of the

$$\text{expression } F(t\tilde{\Omega} + A_v) \frac{\pi}{1 - t d\pi}.$$

Proof

$$\begin{aligned} \omega'_t &= d\omega'_t - \omega'_t \wedge \omega'_t = d(\tilde{\omega} + t\pi) - (\tilde{\omega} + t\pi) \wedge (\tilde{\omega} + t\pi) \\ &= d(\tilde{\omega} + t\pi \otimes A_v) - (\tilde{\omega} + t\pi \otimes A_v) \wedge (\tilde{\omega} + t\pi \otimes A_v) \\ &= \tilde{\Omega} + t d\pi \otimes A_v - 2\tilde{\omega} \wedge \pi \otimes A_v. \\ \eta &= k \int_0^1 F(u', \underbrace{\Omega'_t, \dots, \Omega'_t}_{k-1}) dt \\ &= k \int_0^1 F(\pi \otimes A_v, \underbrace{\tilde{\Omega} + t d\pi \otimes A_v - 2\tilde{\omega} \wedge \pi \otimes A_v, \dots}_{k-1}) dt \\ &= k \int_0^1 F(\pi \otimes A_v, \underbrace{\tilde{\Omega} + t d\pi \otimes A_v, \dots, \tilde{\Omega} + t\pi \otimes A_v}_{k-p}) dt \\ &= k \sum_{p=0}^{k-1} C_{k-1}^p F(\underbrace{\tilde{\Omega}, \dots, \tilde{\Omega}}_p, \underbrace{A_v, \dots, A_v}_{k-p}) \pi \wedge (d\pi)^{k-p-1} \int_0^1 t^{k-p-1} dt \\ &= \sum_{p=0}^k C_k^p F(\underbrace{\tilde{\Omega}, \dots, \tilde{\Omega}}_p, \underbrace{A_v, \dots, A_v}_{k-p}) \cdot \pi \wedge (d\pi)^{k-p-1} \\ &= \text{The coefficient of } t^{k-1} \text{ in the Taylor expansion of the} \\ &\quad \text{expression } F(t\tilde{\Omega} + A_v) \cdot \frac{\pi}{1 - t d\pi}. \end{aligned}$$

§ 5. The Integral Formula of Characteristic Forms

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M F(\Omega) \wedge \sigma &= \int_M F(\Omega^B) \wedge \sigma' = \int_M F(\tilde{\Omega}) \wedge \sigma' = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{M \setminus N(\varepsilon)} F(\tilde{\Omega}) \wedge \sigma' \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{M \setminus N(\varepsilon)} -d(\eta \wedge \sigma') = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\partial N(\varepsilon)} \eta \wedge \sigma'. \end{aligned}$$

So we need only to consider the transgressive form η in $N(s)$. We have just given the explicit expression of η , so that we are in the position to give the integral formula of characteristic forms.

Let N_i be the connected components of the singular set N , $\dim N_i = 2m - 2k + 2\nu_i$, ($\nu_i \geq 0$). They are in fact the sets of zeros of the holomorphic vector field v in $N(s)$. We suppose that N_i are non-degenerated zero sets. It means that: First, N_i are complex submanifolds of M , since they are closed sets, they are also compact and finite in number; Second, every tangent space $T_x M$, $x \in N_i$, splits into two parts: $T_x N_i$ and $(T_x N_i)^\perp$, where $(T_x N_i)^\perp$ is the orthogonal complement of $T_x N_i$ in $T_x M$ with respect to the Hermitian metric H' . "Non-degenerated" requires that $T_x N_i$ should be just the kernel of the operator $A_v = \tilde{L}_v$ (since $v = 0$ on N_i , $\tilde{\nabla}_v = 0$). Because $\{u_{k+1}, \dots, u_m\} \subset \ker \tilde{L}_v$, $E|_{N_i} \subset T_x N_i$ and $E|_{N_i} \supset (T_x N_i)^\perp$. Let $T^\perp N_i$ be the bundle on N_i with the fibres $(T_x N_i)^\perp$, $x \in N_i$; it is a holomorphic vector bundle of complex dimension $2k - 2\nu_i$, $T^\perp N_i \subset E$. Denote the restriction of A_v to $T^\perp N_i$ by A_v^\perp , and the restriction of the curvature form $\tilde{\Omega}$ be $\tilde{\Omega}^\perp$. We can prove:

Lemma 6. *Let $S^1(s)$ be the sphere with radius s in the fibres of the holomorphic vector bundle $T^\perp N_i$. Then we have*

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \int_{S^1(s)} \frac{\pi}{1-t d\sigma} = \frac{t^{k-\nu_i-1}}{E(t\tilde{\Omega}^\perp + A_v^\perp)},$$

where E is the Euler characteristic form of the bundle $T^\perp N_i$.

Proof See Kobayashi [5, p. 120—121].

Theorem 2.

$$\int_M F(\Omega) \wedge \sigma = \sum_i \int_{N_i} \left\{ \text{The coefficient of } t^{\nu_i} \text{ in the Taylor expansion of the expression } \frac{F(t\tilde{\Omega} + A_v)}{E(t\tilde{\Omega}^\perp + A_v^\perp)} \right\} \wedge \sigma'.$$

Proof

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M F(\Omega) \wedge \sigma &= \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \int_{\partial N(s)} \eta \wedge \sigma' = \sum_i \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \int_{\partial N_i(s)} \eta \wedge \sigma' \\ &= \sum_i \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \int_{\partial N_i(s)} \left\{ \text{The coefficient of } t^{k-1} \text{ of the expression } F(t\tilde{\Omega} + A_v) \frac{\pi}{1-t d\sigma} \right\} \wedge \sigma'. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\partial N_i(s)$ can be considered as a bundle on N_i with the fibres $S^1(s)$. When $s \rightarrow 0$, the factor $F(t\tilde{\Omega} + A_v)$ becomes the form of the base space N_i , so that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M F(\Omega) \wedge \sigma &= \sum_i \int_{N_i} \left\{ \text{The coefficient of } t^{k-1} \text{ in the Taylor expansion of the expression } F(t\tilde{\Omega} + A_v) \cdot \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \int_{S^1(s)} \frac{\pi}{1-t d\sigma} \right\} \wedge \sigma'. \end{aligned}$$

$$= \sum_i \int_{N_i} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{The coefficient of } t^{\nu_i} \text{ in the Taylor expansion of} \\ \text{the expression } \frac{F(t\tilde{\Omega} + A_v)}{E(t\tilde{\Omega}^{\perp} + A_v^{\perp})} \end{array} \right\} \wedge \sigma'.$$

The theorem is proved.

When $\deg F = m$, there exists only one holomorphic vector field v . The zero set of v is $N = \bigcup_i N_i$, where N_i are the connected components of N . Let $\dim N_i = 2\nu_i$ ($\nu_i < m$). We get the result of Bott [1] from Theorem 2:

$$\int_M F(\Omega) = \sum_i \int_{N_i} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{The coefficient of } t^{\nu_i} \text{ in the Taylor expansion} \\ \text{of the expression } \frac{F(t\tilde{\Omega} + A_v)}{E(t\tilde{\Omega}^{\perp} + A_v^{\perp})} \end{array} \right\}.$$

References

- [1] Bott, R., A residue formula for holomorphic vector fields, *J. Diff. Geometry.*, **1**(1967), 311—330.
- [2] Griffiths P. & Harris, J., *Principles of Algebraic Geometry*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc. New York (1978).
- [3] Chen, K. T., Degeneracy indices and Chern classes, *Adv. in Math.*, **45**(1982), 73—91.
- [4] Chern, S. S., *Complex manifolds without potential theory*, D. Van Nostrand Company, Inc. Princeton (1967).
- [5] Kobayashi, S., *Transformation groups in Differential Geometry*, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin (1972).