

# HOW BIG ARE THE LAG INCREMENTS OF A TWO PARAMETER WIENER PROCESS?\*\*

LU CHUANPONG(陆传荣)\*

## Abstract

The author discusses how big the lag increments of a two-parameter Wiener process are and proves the same results as in [4]. These results extend and improve the results of the increments of a two-parameter Wiener process in [1—3].

## §1. Introduction

Let  $\{W(x, y), 0 \leq x, y < \infty\}$  be a two-parameter Wiener process and let  $0 < a_T \leq \beta$ ,  $b_T \geq T^{1/2}$  be non-decreasing function of  $T$ . Set  $R = [x_1, x_2] \times [y_1, y_2] \in R_+^2$ .  $\lambda(R) = (x_2 - x_1)(y_2 - y_1)$  denotes the area of  $R$ . Let

$$W(R) \triangleq W(x_2, y_2) - W(x_1, y_2) - W(x_2, y_1) + W(x_1, y_1),$$

$$D_T \triangleq D_T(b_T) = \{(x, y) : xy \leq T, 0 \leq x, y \leq b_T\},$$

$$D_T^* \triangleq D_T^*(b_T) = \{(x, y) : xy = T, 0 \leq x, y \leq b_T\},$$

$$L_T \triangleq L_T(a_T, b_T) = \{R : R \subset D_T, \lambda(R) \leq a_T\},$$

$$L_T^* \triangleq L_T^*(a_T, b_T) = \{R : R \subset D_T, \lambda(R) = a_T\}.$$

Csörgő and Révész<sup>[1]</sup> have discussed how big the increments of a two-parameter Wiener process are. They proved

**Theorem A.** Define

$$\delta_T = \{2a_T(\log T a_T^{-1} + \log(\log b_T a_T^{-1/2} + 1) + \log \log T)\}^{-1/2}.$$

Suppose that

- (i)  $\delta_T$  is a non-increasing function of  $T$ ,
- (ii)  $T a_T^{-1}$  is a non-decreasing function of  $T$ ,
- (iii) for any  $s > 0$  there exists a  $\theta_0 = \theta_0(s) > 1$  such that

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \delta_{\theta^k} / \delta_{\theta^{k+1}} \leq 1 + s$$

if  $1 < \theta < \theta_0$ . Then

$$\limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{R \in L_T} \delta_T |W(R)| = \limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{R \in L_T^*} \delta_T |W(R)| = 1 \quad a.s. \quad (1)$$

If we also have

Manuscript received May 9, 1988. Revised September 3, 1989.

\* Department of Mathematics, Hangzhou University, Hangzhou Zhejiang, China.

\*\* The project supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China.

$$(iv) \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T a_T^{-1} + \log(\log b_T a_T^{-1/2} + 1)}{\log \log T} = \infty,$$

then limsup can be instead by lim in (1).

Lin Zhengyan<sup>[2]</sup> weakened (iv) to

$$(iv') \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T a_T^{-1} + \log(\log b_T a_T^{-1/2} + 1)}{\log \log \log T} = \infty,$$

and defined

$$\lambda_T = \{2a_T(\log T a_T^{-1} + \log(\log b_T a_T^{-1/2} + 1))\}^{-1/2}.$$

Suppose that  $\lambda_T$  is a non-increasing function of  $T$  and for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a  $\theta_0 = \theta_0(\varepsilon) > 1$  such that

$$(iii') \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{\theta^k} / \lambda_{\theta^{k+1}} \leq 1 + \varepsilon$$

if  $1 < \theta \leq \theta_0$ . Then

$$\liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{R \in L_T} |\lambda_T| |W(R)| + \liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{R \in L_T^*} |\lambda_T| |W(R)| = 1 \quad \text{a. s.} \quad (2)$$

Kong Fanchao<sup>[3]</sup> proved that if the conditions (i), (ii) and

$$(iv'') \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T a_T^{-1} + \log(\log b_T a_T^{-1/2} + 1)}{\log \log T} = r, \quad 0 \leq r < \infty,$$

are satisfied, then

$$\liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{R \in L_T} |\lambda_T| |W(R)| = \liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{R \in L_T^*} |\lambda_T| |W(R)| = \sqrt{\frac{r}{r+1}} \quad (3)$$

$$\limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{R \in L_T} |\lambda_T| |W(R)| = \limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{R \in L_T^*} |\lambda_T| |W(R)| = 1, \quad (4)$$

$$\limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{R \in L_T} |\lambda_T| |W(R)| = \limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{R \in L_T^*} |\lambda_T| |W(R)| = \sqrt{\frac{r+1}{r}}. \quad (5)$$

The lag increments of a one-parameter Wiener process have been discussed in [4] and [5]. In this paper, we discuss how big the lag increments of a two-parameter Wiener process are. Let

$$L_T^*(t) \triangleq L_T^*(t, b_T, T) = \{R : R \subset D_T, x_2 y_2 = T, \lambda(R) = t\},$$

$$L_T(t) \triangleq L_T(t, b_T, T) = \{R : R \subset D_T, x_2 y_2 = T, \lambda(R) \leq t\},$$

$$\tilde{L}_T(t) \triangleq \tilde{L}_T(t, b_T, T) = \{R : R \subset D_T, x_2 y_2 = t', \lambda(R) \leq t, t \leq t' \leq T\},$$

$$\beta_{T,t} \triangleq \beta(T, t) = \{2t(\log T t^{-1} + \log(\log b_T t^{-1/2} + 1) + \log \log t)\}^{-1/2}.$$

Here and in the sequel we shall define  $\log t = \log(\max(t, 1))$ ,

$$\log \log t = \log \log(\max(t, e)).$$

It is easy to see that  $L_T^*(t) \subset L_T(t) \cap \tilde{L}_T(t)$ ,

$$\bigcup_{0 < t \leq T} L_T^*(t) = \bigcup_{0 < t \leq T} L_T(t), \quad \bigcup_{0 < t \leq T} \tilde{L}_T(t) = \{R : R \subset D_T\}.$$

**Theorem 1.** Define

$$\gamma_T = \beta_{T,T} = \{2T(\log(\log b_T T^{-1/2} + 1) + \log \log T)\}^{-1/2}.$$

Suppose that

(a)  $\gamma_T$  is a non-increasing function of  $T$ ,

(b) for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a  $\theta_0 = \theta_0(\varepsilon) > 1$  such that

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{\theta^k} / \gamma_{\theta^{k+1}} \leq 1 + s$$

if  $1 < \theta \leq \theta_0$ . Then

$$\limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 < t \leq T} \sup_{R \in L_T^*(t)} \beta(T, t) |W(R)| = 1 \text{ a. s.} \quad (6)$$

$$\limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 < t \leq T} \sup_{R \in L_t(t)} \beta(T, t) |W(R)| = 1 \text{ a. s.} \quad (7)$$

$$\limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 < t \leq T} \sup_{R \in \tilde{L}_t(t)} \beta(T, t) |W(R)| = 1 \text{ a. s.} \quad (8)$$

**Theorem 2.** Let  $a > 0, t \geq 0$ . Suppose that

- (a)  $\beta(t+a, a)$  is a non-increasing function of  $a$ ,
- (b) for any  $s > 0$  there exists a  $\theta_0 = \theta_0(s) > 1$  such that

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} \frac{\beta(t+\theta^k, \theta^k)}{\beta(t+\theta^{k+1}, \theta^{k+1})} \leq \theta^{1/2}(1+s), \quad (9)$$

$$\sup_{n \geq 1} \frac{\beta(\theta^{(n-1)k}, \theta^k)}{\beta(\theta^{nk}, \theta^k)} \leq 1+s, \quad (10)$$

if integer  $n > 0$  and  $1 < \theta \leq \theta_0$ . Then we have

$$\limsup_{a \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 < t} \sup_{R \in L_{t+a}^*(a)} \beta(t+a, a) |W(R)| = 1 \text{ a. s.} \quad (11)$$

$$\limsup_{a \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 < t} \sup_{R \in L_{t+a}(a)} \beta(t+a, a) |W(R)| = 1 \text{ a. s.} \quad (12)$$

$$\limsup_{a \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 < t} \sup_{R \in \tilde{L}_{t+a}(a)} \beta(t+a, a) |W(R)| = 1 \text{ a. s.} \quad (13)$$

**Theorem 3.** Let  $0 < a_T \leq T$ ,  $a_T \rightarrow \infty$ , and conditions (a) and (b) of Theorem 2 are satisfied. Then

$$\limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 < t \leq T-a_T} \sup_{R \in L_{t+a_T}^*(a_T)} \beta(t+a_T, a_T) |W(R)| \leq 1 \text{ a. s.} \quad (14)$$

$$\limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 < t \leq T-a_T} \sup_{R \in L_{t+a_T}(a_T)} \beta(t+a_T, a_T) |W(R)| \leq 1 \text{ a. s.} \quad (15)$$

If  $a_T$  is onto and  $\gamma_T$  satisfies the conditions (a) and (b) in Theorem 1, then we have equality in (14) and (15).

Furthermore, if we also have

(c)  $a_T \rightarrow \infty$  continuously as  $T \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\beta(T, t)$ ,  $a_T/T$  is a non-increasing function of  $T$  and  $t$ , and

$$(iv) \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T a_T^{-1} + \log (\log b_T a_T^{-1/2} + 1)}{\log \log a_T} = \infty,$$

then  $\limsup$  can be instead by  $\lim$  and we have equality in (14) and (15).

## § 2. Proof of Theorem 1

1°) At first, from [1, Theorem 1.12.4] we have

$$\text{the left hand side of (6)} \geq \limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{(x, y) \in L_T^*} \gamma_T |W(x, y)| = 1 \text{ a. s.} \quad (16)$$

and it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{the left hand side of (6)} &\leq \text{the left hand side of (7)} \\ &\leq \limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 < t \leq T} \sup_{R \in \tilde{L}_t(t)} \beta(T, t) |W(R)|. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

2°) In order to prove that

$$\limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 < t < T} \sup_{R \in \tilde{L}_x(t)} \beta(T, t) |W(R)| \leq 1 \quad \text{a. s.} \quad (18)$$

we take real numbers  $\theta > 1$  and  $v > 1$  such that  $1 < 2(1+\varepsilon)^2 / ((2+\varepsilon)\theta) = 1 + 2s'(s' > 0)$ . For  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ;  $k = \dots, -1, 0, 1, \dots, k_n$ , denote  $T_n = v^n$ ,  $t_k = \theta^k$ , where  $k_n = [((n+1)\log v)/\log \theta] + 1$ ,  $\beta = 2/s'$ ,  $k_\theta = [(\log \theta)^{-1}]$ ,  $k'_n = [(\log \theta)^{-1} \log (T_{n+1}(\log T_n)^s)]$ . Here  $[\alpha]$  is the integer part of real number  $\alpha$ .

When  $T_n < T \leq T_{n+1}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{0 < t < T} \sup_{R \in \tilde{L}_x(t)} \beta(T, t) |W(R)| \\ & \leq \sup_{-\infty < k \leq k_n-1} \sup_{R \in \tilde{L}_{T_{n+1}}(t_k, t_{k+1})} [2t_k(\log T_n t_{k+1}^{-n} + \log(\log b_T t_{k+1}^{-1}) + \\ & \quad \log \log t_k)]^{-1/2} |W(R)| \\ & \triangleq \sup_{-\infty < k \leq k_n-1} A_{nk}, \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where  $\tilde{L}_{T_{n+1}}(t_k, t_{k+1}) = \{R; R \in D_v(b_T), t_k \leq \lambda(R) = t \leq t_{k+1}, t \leq t' \leq T_{n+1}\}$ .

Since  $\tilde{L}_{T_{n+1}}(t_k, t_{k+1}) \subset \hat{L}_{T_{n+1}}(t_{k+1}, b_T, T_{n+1}) \triangleq \{R; R \in D_{T_{n+1}}(b_T), \lambda(R) \leq t_{k+1}\}$ , using [1, Theorem 1.12.6] for  $-\infty < k \leq k_\theta$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} P(A_{nk} \geq 1 + \varepsilon) & \leq P\left\{\sup_{R \in \tilde{L}_{T_{n+1}}(t_k, t_{k+1})} |W(R)| \right. \\ & \geq (1+\varepsilon)\{2t_k(\log T_n t_{k+1}^{-1} + \log b_T t_{k+1}^{-1/2} + 1) + \log \log t_k\}^{1/2}\} \\ & \leq P\left\{\sup_{R \in \tilde{L}_{T_{n+1}}(t_{k+1}, b_T, T_{n+1})} |W(R)| t_{k+1}^{-1/2} \right. \\ & \geq (1+\varepsilon)(2\theta^{-1}(\log T_n t_{k+1}^{-1} + \log(\log b_T t_{k+1}^{-1/2} + 1)))^{1/2}\} \\ & \leq C \frac{T_{n+1}}{t_{k+1}} \left(1 + \log \frac{T_{n+1}}{t_{k+1}}\right) \left(1 + \log \frac{b_T}{\sqrt{t_{k+1}}}\right) \\ & \quad \exp\left\{-\frac{2(1+\varepsilon)^2}{(2+\varepsilon)\theta} \left(\log \frac{T_{n+1}}{t_{k+1}} + \log \left(\log \frac{b_T}{\sqrt{t_{k+1}}} + 1\right)\right)\right\} \\ & \leq C(\theta^{k+1} v^{-n})^{2s'} (1 + \log v^{n+1} \theta^{-k-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Here and in the sequel  $C$  denotes a constant, and can be assumed to be different values on each of its appearance even within the same formula. Hence it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{-\infty < k \leq k_\theta} P(A_{nk} \geq 1 + \varepsilon) \\ & \leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\theta^{-k} v^{-n})^{2s'} \log(v^{n+1} \theta^k) + C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (k_\theta + 1) \frac{(\theta e)^{2s'}}{v^{2ns'}} < \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

For the case  $k_\theta < k \leq k_n - 1$ , from [1, Theorem 1.12.6], we have

$$\begin{aligned} & P(A_{nk} \geq 1 + \varepsilon) \\ & \leq C \frac{T_{n+1}}{t_{k+1}} \left(1 + \log \frac{T_{n+1}}{t_{k+1}}\right) \left(1 + \log \frac{b_T}{\sqrt{t_{k+1}}}\right) \exp\left\{-\frac{2(1+\varepsilon)^2}{(2+\varepsilon)\theta} \left(\log \frac{T_{n+1}}{t_{k+1}} \right.\right. \\ & \quad \left.\left. + \log \left(\log \frac{b_T}{\sqrt{t_{k+1}}} + 1\right) + \log \log t_k\right)\right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq C \left( \frac{t_{k+1}}{T_n} \right)^{2s'} (\log t_k)^{-1-2s'} \left( 1 + \log \frac{T_{n+1}}{t_{k+1}} \right).$$

By the same discussion as [5], we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=k'_{n+1}}^{k_n} P\{A_{nk} \geq 1+s\} < \infty \quad (21)$$

and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=k'_{n+1}}^{k_n-1} P\{A_{nk} \geq 1+s\} < \infty, \quad (22)$$

so that we get

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P\{ \sup_{-\infty < k < k_n-1} A_{nk} \geq 1+s \} < \infty,$$

and (18) follows by the Borel-Cantelli lemma. Thus, merging (16), (17) and (18) together, we proved (6) and (7).

3°) In order to prove (8), it is enough to show that

$$I = \liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 < t \leq T} \sup_{R \in \tilde{L}_T(t)} \beta(T, t) |W(R)| \geq 1. \text{ a. s.} \quad (23)$$

Denote  $n = [T]$ ,  $\tilde{L}_n(1, b_T) = \{R: R \subset D_T, x_2 y_2 = t', \lambda(R) = 1, 1 \leq t' \leq n\} \subset \tilde{L}_T(1)$ . Let

$$A_{i+1} = \left[ \left( \frac{n-1}{n} \right)^{i+1} b_T, \left( \frac{n-1}{n} \right)^i b_T \right] \times \left[ 0, \frac{n^{i+1}}{(n-1)^i b_T} \right], i = 0, 1, \dots, l,$$

where  $l = \max\{i: n^{i+1} < (n-1)^i b_T^2\}$ . It is easy to see that  $A_i \in \tilde{L}_n(1, b_T)$  ( $1 < i \leq l+1$ ),  $l \approx Cn \log(b_T^2 n^{-1})$  and we have

$$\begin{aligned} I &\geq \liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{R \in \tilde{L}_T(1)} \beta(T, 1) |W(R)| \\ &\geq \liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{R \in \tilde{L}_n(1, b_T)} \{2(\log(n+1) + \log(\log b_T + 1))\}^{-1/2} |W(R)| \\ &\geq \liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} \max_{1 \leq i \leq l+1} \{2(\log(n+1) + \log(\log b_T + 1))\}^{-1/2} |W(A_i)|. \end{aligned}$$

By using the wellknown estimate of the tail probability

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left( \frac{1}{x} - \frac{1}{x^3} \right) \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2}\right) \leq 1 - \Phi(x) \leq \frac{1}{x\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2}\right) \quad (x > 0),$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P\{ \max_{1 \leq i \leq l+1} |W(A_i)| \leq (2(1-s)(\log(n+1) + \log(\log b_T + 1)))^{\frac{1}{2}} \} \\ \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \{1 - \exp(-(1-s)(\log(n+1) + \log(\log b_T + 1)))\}^{Cn \log(b_T^2 n^{-1})} \\ \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \exp\{-C(n \log b_T)^s\} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by using the Borel-Cantelli lemma, it implies that (23) is true.

### § 3. Proof of Theorem 2

Let  $1 < \theta \leq \theta_0$  be as in (b),  $a_k = \theta^k$ ,

$$L_{k,n} = L_{a_n}(a_k, b_{a_n}), \bar{L}_{t+a_n}(a_k) \subset \{R: R \subset D_{t+a_n}, \lambda(R) \leq a_k, x_2 y_2 = t' \leq t + a_n\}.$$

we have  $\bar{L}_{t+a_k}(a_k) \subset L_{k,n}$  for  $a_k^{n-1} - a_k \leq t \leq a_k^n - a_k$ . Denote

$$A_k = \sup_{2 \leq n < \infty} \sup_{a^{n-1} - a_k \leq t \leq a^n - a_k} \sup_{R \in \bar{L}_{t+a_k}(a_k)} \beta(t + a_k, a_k) W(R).$$

From [1, Theorem 1.12.6] and (10), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P(A_k \geq 1+2\epsilon) &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} P\left\{\sup_{R \in \bar{L}_{t+a_k}(a_k)} |\beta(a_k^n, a_k)| |W(R)| \geq 1+\epsilon\right\} \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} C a_k^{n-1} (1 + \log a_k^{n-1}) (1 + \log b_{a_k} a_k^{-1/2}) \\ &\quad \times \exp\left\{-\frac{2(1+\epsilon)^2}{2+\epsilon} (\log a_k^{n-1} + \log(\log b_{a_k} a_k^{-1/2} + 1) + \log \log a_k)\right\} \\ &\leq C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \theta^{-\frac{3}{2} n k} (1 + n k \log \theta) (\log k)^{-(1+\epsilon)} (1 + \log b_{a_k} a_k^{-1/2})^{-\epsilon} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

By the Borel-Cantelli lemma that implies

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} A_k \leq 1 \text{ a. s.}$$

From (a), (b) and this inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\limsup_{a \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 \leq t} \sup_{R \in \bar{L}_{t+a}(a)} \beta(t+a, a) |W(R)| \\ &= \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{a_k \leq a \leq a_{k+1}} \sup_{0 \leq t} \sup_{R \in \bar{L}_{t+a}(a)} \beta(t+a, a) |W(R)| \\ &\leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 \leq t} \sup_{R \in \bar{L}_{t+a_{k+1}}(a_{k+1})} \beta(t+a_{k+1}, a_{k+1}) |W(R)| \sup_{0 \leq t} \frac{\beta(t+a_k, a_k)}{\beta(t+a_{k+1}, a_{k+1})} \\ &\leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} A_k \cdot \theta^{1/2} (1+\epsilon) \leq \theta^{1/2} (1+\epsilon) \text{ a. s.} \end{aligned}$$

if  $1 < \theta \leq \theta_0$ , so we prove

$$\limsup_{a \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 \leq t} \sup_{R \in \bar{L}_{t+a}(a)} \beta(t+a, a) |W(R)| \leq 1 \text{ a. s.}$$

In order to finish the proof of Theorem 2, we notice that

$$\begin{aligned} &\liminf_{a \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 \leq t} \sup_{R \in L^*_{t+a}(a)} \beta(t+a, a) |W(R)| \\ &\geq \liminf_{a \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{R \in L^*_{a^2}(a)} \beta(a^2, a) |W(R)| = \liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{R \in L^*_{T^2}(T^{1/2})} \beta(T, \sqrt{T}) |W(R)|. \end{aligned}$$

By the same proof as in the step 3 of the proof of [1, Theorem 1.12.5], if we take  $a_T = T$ , we have

$$\liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{R \in L^*_{T^2}(\sqrt{T})} \beta(T, \sqrt{T}) W(R) \geq 1 \text{ a. s.}$$

## § 4. Proof of Theorem 3

1°) It is easy to see that (11) and (12) imply (14) and (15). If  $a_T$  is onto, from [1, Theorem 1.12.4] we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T-a_T} \sup_{R \in L^*_{t+a_T}(a_T)} \beta(t+a_T, a_T) |W(R)| \\ &\geq \limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{R \in L^*_{t+a_T}(a_T)} \beta(a_T, a_T) |W(R)| = \limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{R \in L^*_{T^2}(T)} \beta(T, T) |W(R)| \end{aligned}$$

$$\geq \limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{(x,y) \in D^*_{T,x}} \gamma_T |W(x,y)| = 1 \text{ a. s.}$$

2°) If (c) and (iv) is satisfied, let us now to prove that

$$\liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 < t < T - a_T} \sup_{R \in L^*_{t+a_T}(x)} \beta(t + a_T, a_T) |W(R)| \geq 1 \text{ a. s.} \quad (24)$$

Not loss of generality, we can assumethat  $a_T$  is non-decreasing. In fact, let  $a'_T = \sup_{0 < t < T} a_T$ , then  $\{a'_T\}$  is non-decreasing, continuous and satisfies (iv), and there exists a  $T'$  such that  $0 \leq T' \leq T$ ,  $a'_{T'} = a_T$  for large  $T$ . Therefore we also have

$$\text{the left hand side of (24)} \geq \liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 < t < T - a'_T} \sup_{R \in L^*_{t+a'_T}(a'_T)} \beta(t + a'_T, a'_T) |W(R)|. \quad (25)$$

First we prove that there exists a sequence of integers  $\{T_k\}$ ,  $T_k \uparrow \infty$  ( $k \rightarrow \infty$ ), such that

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 < t < T_k - a_{T_k}} \sup_{R \in L^*_{t+a_{T_k}}(a_{T_k})} \beta(t + a_{T_k}, a_{T_k}) |W(R)| \geq 1 \text{ a. s.} \quad (26)$$

In the case of  $\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} a_T/T = P < 1$ , denote that  $E_1 = \{T: b_T a_T^{-1/2} \geq T a_T^{-1}\}$ ,  $E_2 = \{T: b_T a_T^{-1/2} < a_T^{-1}\}$ , and we may as well assume that the two sets of positive real numbers are unbounded. Take

$$A'_i = \left[ \left( \frac{T - a_T}{T} \right)^{i+1} b_T, \left( \frac{T - a_T}{T} \right)^i b_T \right] \times \left[ 0, \frac{T^{i+1}}{(T - a_T)^i b_T} \right], i = 0, 1, \dots, l,$$

where  $l$  is the greatest integer satisfying  $T^{l+1} \leq (T - a_T)^l b_T^2$ . Then we have  $x_2 y_2 = T$ ,  $\lambda(A'_i) = a_T$  and  $l \approx C \frac{T}{a_T} \log \frac{b_T^2}{T}$ . By the estimate of the tail probability,  $1 - \Phi(x)$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} P \{ \max_{0 < t < 1} \beta(T, a_T) |W(A'_i)| \leq 1 - \varepsilon \} \\ \leq \left\{ 1 - \left( T a_T^{-1} \left( 1 + \log \frac{b_T}{\sqrt{a_T}} \right) \log a_T \right)^{-(1-\varepsilon)} \right\}^{OT a_T^{-1} \log b_T^2 T^{-1}} \\ \leq \exp \left\{ - (T a_T^{-1} (1 + \log b_T a_T^{-1/2}))^\varepsilon (\log a_T)^{-(1-\varepsilon)} \times \frac{\log b_T^2 T^{-1}}{\log b_T a_T^{-1/2}} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

Since  $(\log b_T^2 T^{-1}) / (\log b_T a_T^{-1/2}) \geq 1$  for large  $T \in E_1$  and from (iv) we have

$$(T a_T^{-1} (1 + \log b_T a_T^{-1/2}))^\varepsilon (\log a_T)^{-(1-\varepsilon)} \geq (\log a_T)^2. \quad (28)$$

Let us take  $T_k$  such that  $a_{T_k} = \theta^k$ . Thus, using the Borel-Cantelli lemma together with (27) and (28), we get

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \max_{0 < t < l} \beta(T_k, \theta^k) |W(A'_i)| \geq 1 \text{ a. s.}$$

So we have

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{R \in L^*_{T_k}(\theta^k)} \beta(T_k, \theta^k) |W(R)| \geq 1 \text{ a. s.,} \quad (29)$$

and then (26) is true for  $T_k \in E_1$ .

For the large  $T \in E_2$ , we take  $0 = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_m \leq b_T < x_{m+1}$  so that  $(x_i - x_{i-1}) y_i = a_T$ . Here  $y_i = b_T$ , if  $0 < i < i_0$ ;  $y_i = T/x_i$ , if  $i_0 < i \leq m+1$ ,  $i_0 = [T a_T^{-1}] + 1$ . It is easy to see that

$$m > [T a_T^{-1}] - 1 + (\log b_T^2 T^{-1}) / (\log T (T - a_T)^{-1}) > T a_T^{-1} - 1.$$

Take

$$A_i = [x_{i-1}, x_i] \times [0, y_i].$$

Since  $\beta(t+a_T, a_T)$  is a non-increasing function of  $t$ , we have

$$\text{the left hand side of (24)} \geq \liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} \beta(T, a_T) |W(A_i)|,$$

and  $\log b_T a_T^{-1/2} < \log T a_T^{-1} = o(T a_T^{-1})$  for large  $T \in E_2$ . Then by the estimate of the tail probability  $1 - \Phi(x)$  and (iv) we have

$$\begin{aligned} P\left\{\max_{0 \leq i \leq m} |W(A_i)| \leq (1-\varepsilon) \beta(T, a_T)^{-1}\right\} \\ \leq \{1 - O(T a_T^{-1} (1 + \log b_T a_T^{-1/2}) \log a_T)^{-(1-\varepsilon)}\}^m \\ \leq \exp\{-(\log a_T)^2\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us take  $T_k$  such that  $a_{T_k} = \theta^k$ . Then by the same discussion as above, it implies that (29) is also true. So it proved that (26) holds true.

For the case of  $\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} a_T/T = 1$ , we can discuss it similarly as in [2].

The remainder of the proof consists of filling in the gaps of the sequence  $T_k$ . For any given  $T > 0$  there is a  $k$  such that  $T_k \leq T \leq T_{k+1}$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T-a_T} \sup_{R \in L^{t+a_T}(a_T)} \beta(t+a_T, a_T) |W(R)| \\ & \geq \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T_k-a_T} \sup_{R \in L^{t+a_T}(a_T)} \beta(t+a_T, a_T) |W(R)| \\ & \quad - 4 \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T-a_T} \sup_{R \in L^{t+a_T}(a_T-a_{T_k})} \beta(t+a_T, a_T) |W(R)| \\ & \triangleq I_1 + 4I_2. \end{aligned}$$

From (26) and the conditions (a), (b) of Theorem 2, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} I_1 & \geq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T_k-a_{T_k}} \sup_{R \in L^{t+a_T}(a_{T_k})} \beta(t+a_{T_k}, a_{T_k}) |W(R)| \\ & \times \frac{\beta(t+\theta^{k+1}, \theta^{k+1})}{\beta(t+\theta^k, \theta^k)} \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, from the proof of Theorem 2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} I_2 & \leq \limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T-(a_T a_{T_k})} \sup_{R \in L^{t+a_T-a_{T_k}}(a_T-a_{T_k})} \beta(t+a_T-a_{T_k}, a_T-a_{T_k}) |W(R)| \\ & \times |W(R)| \frac{\beta(t+a_{T_k}, a_{T_k})}{\beta(t+a_T-a_{T_k}, a_T-a_{T_k})} \\ & \leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 \leq t} \frac{\beta(t+\theta^k, \theta^k)}{\beta(t+\theta^k(\theta-1), \theta^k(\theta-1))} \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

when  $\theta \rightarrow 1$ . So it proved that (24) is true.

**Remark** The corresponding conclusions of (2) and (3) can be reached, for example we have

**Theorem 4. Define**

$$\lambda(T, t) = \{2t(\log T t^{-1} + \log(\log b_T t^{-1/2} + 1))\}^{-1/2}.$$

Let  $0 < a_T \leq T$ ,  $a_T \nearrow \infty$  continuously and suppose

(a')  $\lambda(t+a, a)$  is a non-increasing function of  $a$ ,

(b') for any given  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a  $\theta_0 = \theta_0(\varepsilon) > 1$  such that for any  $k > 0$  and

$1 < \theta \leq \theta_0$ , we have

$$\sup_{n \geq 1} \lambda(\theta^{(n-1)k}, \theta^k) / \lambda(\theta^{nk}, \theta^k) \leq 1 + \varepsilon.$$

and

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} \lambda(t + \theta^k, \theta^k) / \lambda(t + \theta^{k+1}, \theta^{k+1}) \leq \theta^{1/2}(1 + \varepsilon),$$

if (iv') is satisfied. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T - a_T} \sup_{R \in L^k_{t+a_T}(a_T)} \lambda(t + a_T, a_T) |W(R)| \\ &= \liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T - a_T} \sup_{R \in L_{t+a_T}(a_T)} \lambda(t + a_T, a_T) |W(R)| = 1 \quad \text{a. s.} \end{aligned}$$

The proof of Theorem 4 is similar to the proof of Theorem 3 and [2], so it is omitted here.

### References

- [1] Csörgő, M. & Révész, P., Strong approximations in probability and statistics, Academic Press, New York, 1981.
- [2] Lin Zhengyan, On increments of 2-parameter Wiener process, *Science Sinica*, 36(1985), 579-588.
- [3] Kong Fanchao, Some results on increments of 2-parameter Wiener process, *Chinese J. of Applied Probab. and Statist.*, 3(1987), 144-150.
- [4] Hanson, D. L. & Russo, R. P., Some results on increments of the Wiener process with applications to lag sums of IID RV, *Ann. Probab.*, 11(1983), 609-623, 1009-1015.
- [5] Chen Guijing, Kong Fanchao & Lin Zhengyan, Answers to some questions about increments of a Wiener process, *Ann. Probab.*, 14(1986), 1252-1261.