

DAVENPORT'S THEOREM IN SHORT INTERVALS

ZHAN TAO (展 涛)*

Abstract

Let μ be the Möbius function. It is proved that for any $A > 0$

$$\sum_{x < n \leq x+y} \mu(n) e(n\alpha) \ll_{s,A} y (\log y)^{-A}$$

holds uniformly for real α and $y \geq x^{2/3+s}$ ($s > 0$), which generalizes H. Davenport's theorem for Möbius function to short intervals.

§ 1. Statement of the Results

In 1937 H. Davenport^[1] proved the following theorem for Möbius μ -function

Theorem^[1]. Let R denote the set of real numbers. For any given $A > 0$

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \mu(n) e(n\alpha) \ll (\log x)^{-A} \quad (1.1)$$

holds uniformly for $\alpha \in R$, where $e(\mu) = \exp(2\pi i \mu)$ and the $\ll$ constant depends only on A .

Using Vaughan's identity the author in [2] showed that the analogous result of (1.1) holds for arithmetic progressions. However, no results of type (1.1) in short intervals are known, and such a kind of result will be shown in the present paper. The main theorem is as follows

Theorem 1. For any given $A > 0$, $s > 0$,

$$\sum_{x < u \leq x+y} \mu(n) e(n\alpha) \ll y (\log y)^{-A} \quad (1.2)$$

holds uniformly in $\alpha \in R$ and $y \geq x^{2/3+s}$, where the $\ll$ constant depends only on A and s .

We shall use the following notations. $L = \log y$, $s' > 0$ is a constant which may be taken arbitrarily small and may be different at each occurrence. $\rho(q)$ is the function defined by

$$\rho(q) = \prod_{p|q} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}}\right),$$

p always denotes a prime. We also use the notation $\sum_{\chi}^*$ which represents the summation over all primitive characters modulus l ; in particular, if $l=1$, $\chi_{l(n)}^* \equiv 1$ for all n .

Manuscript received March 10, 1989

* Department of Mathematics, Shandong University, Jinan, Shandong, China.

** Project supported by National Natural Science Foundation

The proof of Theorem 1 is based on Heath-Brown's identity, Ramachandra's method in [3] and the mean square estimate of Dirichlet L -functions in short intervals which is due to the author [4]. Precisely speaking, we start from the well-known Dirichlet's lemma, which states that given $\tau > 0$, then for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ there exist a pair of integers a and q , $(a, q) = 1$, $1 \leq q \leq \tau$ such that

$$\left| \alpha - \frac{a}{q} \right| \leq \frac{1}{q\tau}. \quad (1.3)$$

(We shall choose $\tau = x^{1/3}$ in the proof.)

Lemma 7 below (§ 2) shows that our task in proving Theorem 1 is reduced to the estimation of the sum

$$\sum_{\lambda}^* \left| \sum_{\substack{a/d < m \leq (a+y)/d \\ (m, q) = 1}} \mu(m) \chi(m) e(m\lambda d) \right| \quad (1.4)$$

with $l \geq 1$, $ld | q$ and $\lambda = \alpha - \frac{a}{q}$ ($|\lambda| \leq \frac{1}{q\tau}$).

For α satisfying (1.3) with "large" q ($q \geq L^0$) and $l > 1$, Heath-Brown's identity and the mean square estimate of L -functions in short intervals enable us to give an estimate for sum (1.4), namely,

Theorem 2. Let $\tau = x^{1/3}$, $1 \leq q \leq \tau$, $|\lambda| \leq \frac{1}{q\tau}$ and $y = x^{2/3+s}$ ($s > 0$ sufficiently small). Then for any $A > 0$, $ld | q$ and $l > 1$ we have

$$\sum_{\lambda}^* \left| \sum_{\substack{a/d < m \leq (a+y)/d \\ (m, q) = 1}} \mu(m) \chi(m) e(m\lambda d) \right| \ll d^{-1/2} l^{(1-s)/2} y L^{c_1}$$

where $c_1 > 0$ is an absolute constant and independent of A and s , the $\ll$ constant depends only on A and s .

While α satisfying (1.3) with "small" q ($q \leq L^0$), or with "large" q and $l = 1$, we apply Ramachandra's method in [3] and zero density theorem for L -functions (Lemma 4 and Lemma 5 in § 2) to the sum (1.4) and obtain

Theorem 3. Let $\tau = x^{1/3}$, $1 \leq q \leq \tau$, $|\lambda| \leq \frac{1}{q\tau}$ and $y = x^{2/3+s}$ ($s > 0$ sufficiently small). If

i) $q \leq L^{c_2}$

($c_2 > 0$ is any given constant) or

ii) $q \geq L^{c_2}$ and $l = 1$,

then for $ld | q$ and $A > 0$ we have

$$\sum_{\lambda}^* \left| \sum_{\substack{a/d < m \leq (a+y)/d \\ (m, q) = 1}} \mu(m) \chi(m) e(m\lambda d) \right| \ll d^{-1/2} \rho(q) y L^{-A},$$

where the $\ll$ constant depends only on A and s .

Now it is easy to see that (1.2) follows from Lemma 7 (below), Theorem 2 and Theorem 3 if $y = x^{2/3+s}$ and s is sufficiently small. As for $y > x^{2/3+s}$ we could find an integer K such that $y = Kx^{2/3+s_1}$ ($s/2 \leq s_1 \leq s$). Then we have

$$\sum_{x < n \leq x+y} \mu(n) e(n\alpha) = \sum_{0 \leq k \leq K-1} \sum_{x+kx^{2/3} \leq y < x+(k+1)x^{2/3}} \mu(n) e(n\alpha) \ll_{\epsilon} \Delta y L^{-4}.$$

Hence Theorem 1 is proved.

§ 2. Some Lemmas

Several preliminary results will be needed in the proofs. We state them here as lemmas.

Lemma 1.^[5] Let $F(u)$ and $G(u)$ be real functions in (a, b) , $|G(u)| \leq M$ and $G(u)/F'(u)$ be monotonic.

a) If $|F'(u)| \geq m > 0$, we have

$$\int_a^b G(u) \exp(iF(u)) du \ll \frac{M}{m}.$$

b) If $|F''(u)| \geq r > 0$, we have

$$\int_a^b G(u) \exp(iF(u)) du \ll \frac{M}{\sqrt{r}}.$$

Lemma 2.^[6] Let

$$H(s, \chi) = \sum_{n=M+1}^{M+N} a_n \chi(n) n^{-s}, \quad \chi = \chi \bmod l, \quad s = \sigma + it.$$

Then for all $T \geq 1$ and real U we have

$$\int_U^{U+T} \sum_{\chi} |H(s, \chi)|^2 dt \ll \sum_{n=M+1}^{M+N} (lT+n) |a_n|^2 n^{-2\sigma}.$$

Lemma 3.^[4] For $H \geq T^{1/3}$ and $l \geq 1$,

$$\sum_{\chi}^* \int_T^{T+H} |L(1/2 + it, \chi)|^2 dt \ll \varphi(l) H \log(lH).$$

Lemma 4.^[7] Let $N(\alpha, T, l)$ be the number of zeros of $\prod_{\chi} L(s, \chi)$ in

$$\text{Re } s \geq \alpha \text{ and } |\text{Im } s| \leq T.$$

For $l \leq (\log T)^c$ there exists $D = D(c) > 0$ such that

$$N(\alpha, T, l) \ll T^{1600(1-\alpha)/3} (\log T)^D, \quad 1/2 \leq \alpha \leq 1.$$

Lemma 5.^[4] Use the notation in Lemma 4. Let

$$N(\alpha, T, H, l) = N(\alpha, T+H, l) - N(\alpha, T, l).$$

Then for $H \leq T^{1/3}$ and $l \geq 1$ we have

$$N(\alpha, T, H, l) \ll (lH)^{8(1-\alpha)/3} (\log lH)^{216}, \quad 1/2 \leq \alpha \leq 1.$$

Lemma 6.^[8] For $l \geq 1$, $\prod_{\chi} L(s, \chi)$ ($s = \sigma + it$) has no zeros in

$$\lambda \geq 1 - \frac{C_0}{\log q + (\log(T+2))^{1/5}} \text{ and } |t| \leq T \quad (C_0 > 0)$$

except the only exceptional zero $\tilde{\beta}$. And for $l \leq (\log T)^c$ no such exceptional zero exists.

Lemma 7. Let

$$S(\alpha, x, y) = \sum_{x < u \leq x+y} \mu(n) e(n\alpha), \quad \alpha = \frac{a}{q} + \lambda, \quad (a, q) = 1.$$

Then

$$S(\alpha, x, y) \ll L \sum_{d|q} \left(\frac{q}{d}\right)^{-1/2} \mu^2(d) \sum_{\chi}^* \left| \sum_{\substack{x/d < m < (x+y)/d \\ (m, q/d)=1}} \mu(m) \chi(m) e(m\lambda d) \right|.$$

Proof

$$\begin{aligned} S(\alpha, x, y) &= \sum_{d|q} \sum_{\substack{x < u < x+y \\ (u, q) = d}} \mu(n) e\left(\frac{\alpha}{q} n\right) e(n\lambda) \\ &= \sum_{d|q} \sum_{\substack{x/d < m < (x+y)/d \\ (m, q/d)=1}} \mu(dm) e\left(\frac{\alpha}{q/d} m\right) e(m\lambda) \\ &= \sum_{d|q} \sum_{l=1}^{q/d} e\left(\frac{\alpha}{q/d} l\right) \sum_{\substack{x/d < m < (x+y)/d \\ m \equiv l \pmod{q/d} \\ (m, q/d)=1}} \mu(dm) e(m\lambda) \\ &= \sum_{d|q} \frac{1}{\varphi(q/d)} \sum_{l=1}^{q/d} e\left(\frac{\alpha}{q/d} l\right) \sum_{\chi_{q/d}} \bar{\chi}(l) \sum_{x/d < m < (x+y)/d} \mu(dm) \chi(m\lambda d) \\ &= \sum_{d|q} \frac{1}{\varphi(q/d)} \sum_{\chi_{q/d}} \bar{\chi}(l) e\left(\frac{\alpha}{q/d} l\right) \sum_{x/d < m < (x+y)/d} \chi(m) \mu(dm) \lambda(m/d) \\ &\ll L \sum_{d|q} \left(\frac{q}{d}\right)^{-1/2} \sum_{\chi_{q/d}} \left| \sum_{x/d < m < (x+y)/d} \mu(dm) \chi(m) e(m\lambda d) \right| \\ &= L \sum_{d|q} \left(\frac{q}{d}\right)^{-1/2} \sum_{l|q/d} \sum_{\chi}^* \left| \sum_{\substack{x/d < m < (x+y)/d \\ (m, q/d)=1}} \mu(dm) \chi(m) e(m\lambda d) \right| \\ &= L \sum_{d|q} \left(\frac{q}{d}\right)^{-1/2} \mu^2(d) \sum_{\chi}^* \left| \sum_{\substack{x/d < m < (x+y)/d \\ (m, q)=1}} \mu(m) \chi(m) e(m\lambda d) \right| \end{aligned}$$

The lemma is proved.

§ 3. The Proof of Theorem 2

In this section we assume all the conditions of Theorem 2.

Let $S_1(\chi) = \sum_{\substack{x_1 < m < x_1+y_1 \\ (m, q)=1}} \mu(m) \chi(m) e(m\lambda d)$ (χ is primitive character modulus l)

and

$$S_1(l, d) = \sum_{\chi}^* |S_1(\chi)|,$$

where

$$x_1 = \frac{x}{d}, \quad y_1 = \frac{y}{d}.$$

In Heath-Brown's identity for Möbius function

$$\frac{1}{\zeta} = \sum_{j=1}^k (-1)^{j-1} \binom{k}{j} \zeta^{j-1} M^j + \frac{1}{\zeta} (1 - \zeta M)^k$$

we choose $k=3$, $M = M_X(s) = \sum_{n \leq X} \mu(n) n^{-s}$ and $X = \sqrt[3]{2x_1}$. It is easy to see that for $x_1 \leq u \leq 2x_1$, the sum

$$\sum_{\substack{x_1 < m \leq u \\ (m, q)=1}} \mu(m) \chi(m) \quad (3.1)$$

is a linear combination of $O(1)$ sums of the form

$$S_2 = \sum_{\substack{\omega_1 < n_1 n_2 \cdots n_5 < u \\ u_j < X, 3 \leq j \leq 5}} a_1(n_1) \chi(n_1) a_2(n_2) \chi(n_2) \cdots a_5(n_5) \chi(n_5)$$

with

$$a_j(n) = \begin{cases} 1, & 1 \leq j \leq 2, \\ \mu(n), & 3 \leq j \leq 5, \end{cases} \quad \text{if } (n, q) = 1,$$

and $a_j(n) = 0$ otherwise (in fact, some n_k in S_2 may only take the value 1, however, this does not affect the following proof).

By Perron's summation formula we have

$$S_2 = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-iT}^{c+iT} \sum_{\substack{\omega_1 < n_1 \cdots n_5 < 2\omega_1 \\ u_j < X, 3 \leq j \leq 5}} \frac{a_1(n_1) \chi(n_1) \cdots a_5(n_5) \chi(n_5)}{(n_1 n_2 \cdots n_5)^s} \frac{u^s - x_1^s}{s} ds + O\left(\frac{x_1^{1+s'}}{T}\right). \quad (3.2)$$

On splitting up each range of the summation in (3.2) into intervals of the form $N < n \leq 2N$, we find that S_2 is the sum of $O(L^5)$ sums of the following form

$$S_3 = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-iT}^{c+iT} \sum_{n_j \in I_j} \frac{a_1(n_1) \chi(n_1) \cdots a_5(n_5) \chi(n_5)}{(n_1 \cdots n_5)^s} \cdot \frac{u^s - x_1^s}{s} ds + O\left(\frac{x_1^{1+s'}}{T}\right), \quad (3.3)$$

where

$$I_j = (N_j, 2N_j), \quad x_1 < \prod_{j=1}^5 N_j \ll x_1 \text{ and } N_j \leq X, \quad 3 \leq j \leq 5.$$

Letting

$$f_j(s, \chi) = \sum_{n \in I_j} a_j(n) n^{-s} \chi(n) \quad (1 \leq j \leq 5),$$

$$F(s, \chi) = \prod_{j=1}^5 f_j(s, \chi)$$

and shifting the integral line on the right-hand side of (3.3) to $\text{Re } s = 1/2$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} S_3 &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{1/2-iT}^{1/2+iT} F(s, \chi) \frac{u^s - x_1^s}{s} ds + O\left(\frac{x_1^{1+s'}}{T}\right) + O\left(\max_{1/2 < \sigma < c} \frac{x_1^\sigma \cdot x_1^{1-\sigma}}{T}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-T}^T F(1/2+it, \chi) \frac{u^{1/2+it} - x_1^{1/2+it}}{1/2+it} dt + O\left(\frac{x_1^{1+s'}}{T}\right). \end{aligned}$$

The above discussion shows that the sum (3.1) is a linear combination of $O(L^5)$ sums of form S_3 . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} S_1(\chi) &= \int_{x_1}^{x_1+y_1} e(\lambda du) d \sum_{\substack{\omega_1 < m \leq u \\ (m, q) = 1}} \mu(m) \chi(m) \\ &\ll L^5 \max_{(I_j)} \left| \int_{x_1}^{x_1+y_1} e(\lambda du) du \int_{-T}^T F(1/2+it, \chi) u^{-1/2+it} dt \right| + \frac{1+|\lambda|y}{T} L^5 x_1^{1+s'}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking

$$T = (dl)^{1/2+s} y^{-1} x_1^{1+s} + (dl)^{1/2+s} |\lambda| x_1^{1+s} \ll x^{2/3+s}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_1(\chi) &\ll L^5 \max_{(I_j)} \left| \int_{-T}^T F(1/2+it, \chi) dt \int_{x_1}^{x_1+y_1} u^{-1/2} e\left(\frac{t}{2\pi} \log u + \lambda u\right) du \right| \\ &\quad + d^{-1/2} l^{-1/2-s} y. \end{aligned}$$

Now we apply Lemma 1 to the inner integral on the right-hand side of (3.4) and obtain

$$\int_{x_1}^{x_1+y_1} u^{-1/2} e^{\left(\frac{t}{2\pi} \log u + \lambda du\right)} du \ll x_1^{-1/2} \min\left(y_1, \frac{x_1}{\sqrt{|t|}}, \frac{x_1}{\min_{x_1 \leq u \leq x_1+y_1} |t+2\pi\lambda du|}\right).$$

Therefore

$$S_1(\chi) \ll L^5 x_1^{-1/2} \max_{(I_j)} \int_{-T}^T \min\left(y_1, \frac{x_1}{\sqrt{|t|}}, \frac{x_1}{\min_{x_1 \leq u \leq x_1+y_1} |t+2\pi\lambda du|}\right) |F(1/2+it\chi)| dt \\ + y d^{-1/2} l^{-1/2-\varepsilon}.$$

Let $H = xy^{-1} + 10|\lambda|y$. Since for $|t+2\pi\lambda dx_1| \leq H$

$$\min\left(y_1, \frac{x_1}{\sqrt{|t|}}\right) \ll \min\left(y_1, \sqrt{\frac{x_1}{|\lambda|d}}\right)$$

holds and for

$$|t+2\pi\lambda dx_1| \geq kH \quad (k \geq 1)$$

$$|t+2\pi\lambda du| \geq kH - 2\pi|\lambda|y \gg kH$$

holds, we have

$$\int_{-T}^T \min\left(y_1, \frac{x_1}{\sqrt{|t|}}, \frac{x_1}{\min_{x_1 \leq u \leq x_1+y_1} |t+2\pi\lambda du|}\right) |F(1/2+it, \chi)| dt \\ \ll \int_{|t+2\pi\lambda dx_1| \leq H} \min\left(y_1, \frac{x_1}{\sqrt{|t|}}\right) |F(1/2+it, \chi)| dt \\ + \sum_{\substack{k \geq 2T \\ kH < |t+2\pi\lambda dx_1| < (k+1)H}} \int \frac{x_1}{\min_{x_1 \leq u \leq x_1+y_1} |t+2\pi\lambda du|} |F(1/2+it, \chi)| dt \\ \ll L \max_{|T_1| \leq 2T} \int_{T_1}^{T_1+H} \left(\min\left(y_1, \sqrt{\frac{x_1}{|\lambda|d}}\right) + \frac{x_1}{H}\right) |F(1/2+it, \chi)| dt.$$

Since it is a simple matter to show that

$$\min\left(y_1, \sqrt{\frac{x_1}{|\lambda|d}}\right) + \frac{x_1}{H} \ll \sqrt{\frac{x_1 y_1}{H}} = \frac{1}{d} \sqrt{\frac{xy}{H}},$$

we have

$$S_1(\chi) \ll L^6 \max_{(I_j)} \max_{|T_1| \leq 2T} d^{-1/2} (yH^{-1})^{1/2} \int_{T_1}^{T_1+H} |F(1/2+it, \chi)| dt + y d^{-1/2} l^{-1/2-\varepsilon}.$$

To prove Theorem 2, now it is sufficient to show that for all possible (I_j) and $|T_1| \leq 2T$

$$H^{-1/2} \sum_{x_1}^* \int_{T_1}^{T_1+H} |F(1/2+it, \chi)| dt \ll y^{1/2+\varepsilon} l^{(1-2)L\alpha-6}. \quad (3.5)$$

We consider three cases separately.

Case I. The indices $j=1, 2, \dots, 5$ may be divided into two blocks J_1 and J_2 such that

$$M = \max\left(\prod_{j \in J_1} N_j, \prod_{j \in J_2} N_j\right) \ll y l^{-\varepsilon}.$$

Let

$$M_i = \prod_{j \in J_i} N_j, \quad M_1 M_2 \ll x_1 \quad (i=1, 2).$$

$$F(s, \chi) = \prod_{j \in J_1} f_j(s, \chi) = \sum_{n \in M_1} b_i(n) \chi(n) n^{-s},$$

where

$$|b_i(n)| \ll d_5(n) \ll d^5(n) \quad (i=1, 2).$$

By Cauchy's inequality, Lemma 2 and the well-known estimates

$$\sum_{n \leq N} d^{10}(n) \ll N (\log N)^{1023}$$

and

$$\sum_{n \leq N} \frac{d^{10}(n)}{n} \ll (\log N)^{1024},$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & H^{-1/2} \sum_{\chi}^* \int_{T_1}^{T_1+H} |F(1/2+it, \chi)| dt \\ & \ll H^{-1/2} \left(\sum_{\chi}^* \int_{T_1}^{T_1+H} |F_1(1/2+it, \chi)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{\chi}^* \int_{T_1}^{T_1+H} |F_2(1/2+it, \chi)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \\ & \ll H^{-1/2} ((lH)^{1/2} + M_1^{1/2}) ((lH)^{1/2} + M_2^{1/2}) L^{1024} \\ & \ll (lH^{1/2} + l^{1/2} M_1^{1/2} + H^{-1/2} x_1^{1/2}) L^{1024} \\ & \ll y^{1/2} (l^{(1-s)/2} \tau^{(1+s)/2} x_1^{1/2} y^{-1} + l^{1/2} \tau^{-1/2} + l^{(1-s)/2}) L^{1024} \\ & \ll y^{1/2} l^{(1-s)/2} L^{1402}. \end{aligned}$$

So in this case (3.5) holds with $c_1=1030$.

Case II. There exists $N_{j_0} \geq 2x^{1/3}$.

Since for $3 \leq j \leq 5$, $N_j \leq X < 2x^{1/3}$, we must have $j_0=1, 2$. Without loss of generality we suppose $j_0=1$.

Now we first show

$$\sum_{\chi}^* \int_{T_1}^{T_1+H} |f_1(1/2+it, \chi)|^2 dt \ll L^3 l H \rho^2(q). \quad (3.6)$$

By Perron's summation formula it follows that

$$f_1(1/2+it, \chi) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\sigma'-it}^{\sigma'+it} L_1(1/2+it+\omega, \chi) \frac{(2N_1)^\omega - N_1^\omega}{\omega} d\omega + O\left(\frac{N_1^{1/2}}{T_0} L\right), \quad (3.7)$$

where

$$L_1(s, \chi) = \sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ (n, q)=1}}^{\infty} \chi(n) n^{-s} \quad (\text{Res} > 1) \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma' = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{L}.$$

For $\text{Res} > 1$

$$L_1(s, \chi) = \prod_{p|q} \left(1 - \frac{\chi(p)}{p^s}\right)^{-1} = L(s, \chi) \prod_{p|p} \left(1 - \frac{\chi(p)}{p^s}\right). \quad (3.8)$$

This gives an analytic continuation for $L_1(s, \chi)$ in the whole s -plane, since $L(s, \chi)$ is an entire function for primitive character $\chi_{\text{mod } l} (l > 1)$. It follows that (3.8) holds for all s and

$$|L_1(\sigma+it, \chi)| \ll \rho(q) |L(\sigma+it, \chi)|, \quad \sigma \geq 1/2. \quad (3.9)$$

It is known that for $0 \leq \sigma \leq 1$, $|t| \geq 2$

$$L(\sigma+it, \chi) \ll (l|t|)^{(1-\sigma)/2} \log l|t|. \quad (3.10)$$

Now moving the integral line in (3.7) to $\text{Re } \omega = 0$, by (3.9), (3.10) and the fact $\rho(q) \ll q^{\epsilon'}$ we get

$$f_1(1/2+it, \chi) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-T}^T L_1(1/2+it+iv, \chi) \frac{(2N_1)^{iv} - N_1^{iv}}{iv} dv \\ + O\left(\max_{0 \leq \sigma \leq \sigma'} \frac{(l(T+T_0))^{1-\sigma/2}}{T_0} N_1^\sigma \log l(T+T_0)\right) + O\left(\frac{N_1^{1/2}}{T_0} L\right).$$

On taking

$$T_0 = x^{2/3+\varepsilon} l^{1/3} + T \ll x^{7/9+\varepsilon},$$

it follows

$$|f_1(1/2+it, \chi)|^2 \ll \left(\int_{-T_0}^{T_0} \rho(q) |L(1/2+it+iv, \chi)| \frac{dv}{1+|v|} \right)^2 + 1 \\ \leq \rho^2(q) L \int_{-T_0}^{T_0} |L(1/2+it+iv, \chi)|^2 \frac{dv}{1+|v|} + 1.$$

Hence

$$\sum_{n_i}^* \int_{T_1}^{T_1+H} |f_1(1/2+it, \chi)|^2 dt \\ \ll L \rho^2(q) \sum_{n_i}^* \int_{T_1}^{T_1+H} dt \int_{-T_0}^{T_0} |L(1/2+it+iv, \chi)|^2 \frac{dv}{1+|v|} + lH \\ = L \rho^2(q) \int_{-T_0}^{T_0} \frac{dv}{1+|v|} \sum_{n_i}^* \int_{T_1}^{T_1+H} |L(1/2+it+iv, \chi)|^2 dt + lH \\ = L \rho^2(q) \int_{-T_0}^{T_0} \frac{dv}{1+|v|} \int_{T_1+v}^{T_1+v+H} \sum_{n_i}^* |L(1/2+iu, \chi)|^2 du + lH.$$

By Lemma 3 we obtain

$$\sum_{n_i}^* \int_{T_1}^{T_1+H} |f_1(1/2+it, \chi)|^2 dt \ll \rho^2(q) l H L^3,$$

which is (3.6).

From Lemma 2 and (3.6) it follows that

$$H^{-1/2} \int_{T_1}^{T_1+H} \sum_{n_i}^* |F(1/2+it, \chi)| dt \\ \leq H^{-1/2} \left(\sum_{n_i}^* \int_{T_1}^{T_1+H} |f_1(1/2+it, \chi)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{n_i}^* \int_{T_1}^{T_1+H} \left| \prod_{j=2}^5 |f_j(1/2+it, \chi)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \\ \ll H^{-1/2} (lH)^{1/2} \left((lH)^{1/2} + \left(\frac{x_1}{N_1} \right)^{1/2} \right) L^{514} \\ \ll y^{1/2} (lH^{1/2} y^{-1/2} + l^{1/2} y^{-1/2} x^{1/3} l^{-1/2}) L^{514} \\ \ll y^{1/2} l^{(1-\varepsilon)/2} L^{514}.$$

Hence (3.5) is true in case II with $c_1 \geq 520$.

Case III. all $N_j \leq 2x^{1/3}$ ($1 \leq j \leq 5$).

Suppose that $N_{j_1} \leq N_{j_2} \leq \dots \leq N_{j_r}$. Since $x_1 \leq \prod_{i=1}^5 N_i \leq x_1$, there exists r , $1 \leq r \leq 4$ and

$$N_{j_1} N_{j_2} \dots N_{j_r} \leq 2x^{1/3}, \quad N_{j_1} \dots N_{j_r} N_{j_{r+1}} > 2x^{1/3}.$$

Let

$$M_1 = N_{j_1} \dots N_{j_r}, \quad M_2 = N_{j_{r+1}} \dots N_{j_5}.$$

We have

$$M_1 \ll x^{2/3} = yx^{-\varepsilon} \ll yl^{-\varepsilon}$$

and

$$M_2 \ll x^{2/3} \ll y t^{-s}.$$

So $N_j (1 \leq j \leq 5)$ satisfy the condition of case I, and (3.5) follows.

Now we have finished the proof of Theorem 2.

§ 4. The Proof of Theorem 3

In this section we assume all the conditions of Theorem 3 and use the notations $S_1(x)$ and $S_1(l, d)$ in § 3.

We start from Perron's summation formula. For $x_1 < u \leq 2x_1$

$$\sum_{\substack{m_1 \leq m \leq x_1 + y_1 \\ (m, q) = 1}} \mu(m) \chi(m) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-iT}^{c+iT} L_2(s, \chi) \frac{u^s - x_1^s}{s} ds + O\left(\frac{x_1^{1+s'}}{T}\right), \quad (4.1)$$

where $c = 1 + \frac{1}{L}$ and

$$L_2(s, \chi) = \sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ (n, q)=1}}^{\infty} \mu(n) \chi(n) n^{-s}, \quad \text{Re } s > 1.$$

For $\text{Re } s > 1$,

$$L_2(s, \chi) = \prod_{p|d} \left(1 - \frac{\chi(p)}{p^s}\right) = L^{-1}(s, \chi) \prod_{p|q} \left(1 - \frac{\chi(p)}{p^s}\right)^{-1}.$$

In fact, this gives a continuation for $L_2(s, \chi)$ in the s -plane. Moreover,

$$L_2(\sigma + it, \chi) \ll |L^{-1}(\sigma + it, \chi)| \prod_{p|q} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}}\right)^{-1} \ll L\rho(q) |L^{-1}(\sigma + it, \chi)|$$

for $\text{Re } s = \sigma > 1/2$.

Let M be the so-called Huxley-Hooley contour as described by K. Ramachandra^[3]. Briefly speaking, we take the rectangle

$$\frac{1}{2} \leq \sigma \leq 1, \quad |t| \leq T + 2000(\log T)^2,$$

and divide it into equal rectangles of height $400(\log T)^2$ (the real line cuts one of these rectangles into two equal parts, we denote this rectangle by R_0). Let R^n ($n = -n_1, \dots, 0, \dots, n_1$) be all these rectangles. In R^n we fix a new right side and obtain a new rectangle as follows. Consider R^{n-1} , R^n and R^{n+1} whenever all of the three are defined. Pick out a zero of $\prod_{p|d} L(s, \chi)$ with the greatest real part β_n and $\text{Re } s = \beta_n$ is the new right side of R^n . Now we join all the right edges of the new rectangles by horizontal lines. These form the contour M' .

The Huxley-Hooley contour, or briefly, the H-H contour is obtained by making the following changes on M' .

Let a , b and θ be positive constants to be chosen later, satisfying $0 < \theta < 1$, a shall be small and b shall be close to 1. If $\beta_n < \theta$, then in place of β_n we take $\beta'_n = \beta_n + 3a(1 - \beta_n)$. If $\beta_n \geq \theta$, then β_n is replaced by $\beta'_n = \beta_n + b(1 - \beta_n)$. These form the

H-H contour.

Now we join the points $c \pm iT$ to M by horizontal lines H_1 and H_2 . T will be chosen as a suitable power of x . Since

$$|L^{-1}(s, \chi)| \ll T^{\sigma'}$$

for s on H_1 and H_2 , as shown in [3], shifting the integral line in [(4.1) to M we get

$$\sum_{\substack{\sigma_1 < u \\ (m, q) = 1}} \mu(m) \chi(m) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_M L_2(s, \chi) \frac{u^s - x_1^s}{s} ds + O\left(\frac{x^{1+\sigma'}}{T}\right).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} s_1(\chi) &= \int_{\sigma_1}^{\sigma_1+y_1} e(\lambda du) d \sum_{\substack{\sigma_1 < m < u \\ (m, p) = 1}} \mu(m) \chi(m) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\sigma_1}^{\sigma_1+y_1} e(\lambda du) du \int_M L_2(s, \chi) u^{s-1} ds + O\left(\frac{1+|\lambda|y}{T} x^{1+\sigma'}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_M L_2(s, \chi) ds \int_{\sigma_1}^{\sigma_1+y_1} u^{s-1} e(\lambda du) du + O\left(\frac{1+|\lambda|y}{T} x^{1+\sigma'}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Take

$$T = d^{1/2} x^{1+s} y^{-1} + d^{1/2} x^{1+s} |\lambda| \quad (x^{1/3} \ll T \ll x^{2/3+s}),$$

$$H = xy^{-1} + 10|\lambda|y.$$

Let $M(H)$ denote the part of M satisfying

$$T_1 \leq \text{Res} \leq T_1 + H, \quad |T_1| \leq 2T.$$

In the same way as in § 3 we could obtain

$$s_1(\chi) \ll L d^{-1/2} \rho(q) (xy^{-1}H)^{1/2} \max_{|T_1| \leq 2T} \int_{M(H)} x^{\sigma-1} |L^{-1}(s, \chi)| |ds| + y d^{-1/2} x^{-s/2}.$$

To prove Theorem 3, now it is sufficient to show that for $|T_1| \leq 2T$,

$$\sum_{\sigma_1}^* \int_{M(H)} x^{\sigma-1} |L^{-1}(s, \chi)| |ds| \ll (Hyx^{-1})^{1/2} L^{-A-1},$$

or, since $H \geq xy^{-1}$ we only have to prove

$$\sum_{\sigma_1}^* \int_{M(H)} x^{\sigma-1} |L^{-1}(s, \chi)| |ds| \ll L^{-A-1}. \quad (4.3)$$

To prove (4.3), we just follow the method of Ramachandra^[3]. It is shown in [3] that

$$|L^{-1}(s, \chi)| \ll T^{\sigma'}, \text{ if } s \in M(H) \text{ and } \text{Re } s \leq \theta + b(1-\theta),$$

$$|L^{-1}(s, \chi)| \ll \exp(\log T)^{2(1-b)} \text{ if } s \in M(H) \text{ and } \text{Re } s > \theta + b(1-\theta).$$

We divide the smallest vertical strip containing $M(H)$ into vertical strips of width $1/\log T$. Consider the bit of $M(H)$, say $M(H, \sigma')$, in the vertical strip about the abscissa σ' . Then we have

$$\int_{M(H, \sigma')} |ds| \ll N(\sigma, T_1, H, l) (\log T)^{10},$$

where σ' is $\sigma + 3a(1-\sigma)$ or $\sigma + b(1-\sigma)$ according as $\sigma' \leq \theta$ or $\sigma' > \theta$. By the above discussion and Lemmas 4-6 we obtain ($l \ll L^a$)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\chi}^* \int_{M(H)} x^{\sigma-1} |L^{-1}(s, \chi)| |ds| \\
&= \sum_{\chi}^* \int_{\substack{M(H) \\ \sigma' < \theta}} x^{\sigma'-1} |L^{-1}(s, \chi)| |ds| + \sum_{\chi}^* \int_{\substack{M(H) \\ \theta \leq \sigma' < \theta+b(1-\theta)}} x^{\sigma'-1} |L^{-1}(s, \chi)| |ds| \\
&\quad + \sum_{\chi}^* \int_{\substack{M(H) \\ \sigma' > \theta+b(1-\theta)}} x^{\sigma'-1} |L^{-1}(s, \chi)| |ds| \quad (s = \sigma' + it) \\
&\ll T^{\varepsilon'} \left(\frac{H^{8/3(1-3a)}}{x} \right)^{1-\theta} + T^{\varepsilon'} \left(\frac{T^{1600(1-\theta)-2/3} (1-\theta)^{1/3}}{x} \right)^{(1-b)(1-\theta)} \\
&\quad + \exp((\log T)^{3(1-b)}) \cdot \left(\frac{T^{1600(1-\theta)-(1-b)^{-1/3}}}{x} \right)^{c_0(1-b)(\log T)^{-4/3}}
\end{aligned}$$

provided a, b and θ satisfy

$$H^{8/3(1-3a)} \leq x^{1-\varepsilon}, \quad (4.4)$$

$$T^{1600(1-\theta)^{1/3}(1-b)^{-3/2}} \leq x. \quad (4.5)$$

In fact, we may first choose a such that

$$\frac{8}{3} \left(\frac{1}{3} + \varepsilon \right) (1-3a)^{-1} < 1 - \varepsilon \quad (H \leq x^{1/3+\varepsilon}),$$

b such that $3(1-b) = \frac{1}{100}$ and then θ such that (4.5) holds. Hence

$$\sum_{\chi}^* \int_{M(H)} x^{\sigma-1} |L^{-1}(s, \chi)| |ds| \ll \exp(-c'_0 L^{1/3}) \quad (c'_0 > 0)$$

and (4.3) follows.

Acknowledgement. I would like to express my gratitude to Professor Pan Chengdong for suggesting this topics. And in preparing this paper I received constantly encouragement and guidance from him.

References

- [1] Davenport, H., On some infinite series involving arithmetical functions (II), *Quart. J. Math. Oxford*, **32**:8(1957), 313—320.
- [2] Zhan Tao, On a theorem of Davenport, *Chinese Quart. J. Math.*, **2**:2(1987), 52—58.
- [3] Ramachandra, K., Some problems of analytic number theory, *Acta Arith.*, **31** (1976), 313—324.
- [4] Zhan Tao, On the mean square of Dirichlet L-functions (to appear).
- [5] Titchmarsh, E. C., The theory of Riemann zeta-function, Oxford Press, 1951.
- [6] Pan Chengdong & Pan Chengbiao, Goldbach's conjecture, Sci. Press Sinica, 1981.
- [7] Montgomery, H. L., Topics in multiplicative number theory, Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 227, Springer-Verlag, 1971.
- [8] Prachar, K., Primzahlverteilung, Springer-Verlag, 1957.