

HAUSDORFF DIMENSION OF THE DOUBLE POINT SET OF THE WESTWATER PROCESS**

ZHOU XIANYIN (周先银)*

Abstract

Let $X = \{X_t\}_{t \in [0,1]}$ be the Westwater process which is the coordinate process under 3-dimensional polymer measure $\nu(g)$ constructed by J. Westwater. In this paper, the Hausdorff dimension problem for the double point set of X is investigated. As a result, it is proved that

$$\dim D_2 = 1, \quad \nu(g) - \text{a.e.},$$

where $D_2 = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3: X_s = X_t = x \text{ for some } s < t \in [0, 1]\}$ is the double point set of X

§ 1. Introduction

Let $X = \{X_t\}_{t \in [0,1]}$ denote the Westwater process which is the coordinate process under 3-dimensional polymer measure $\nu(g)$ constructed by M. J. Westwater. In [12], we have proved that X has intersection local time and its path has double points. In the same paper, it is also obtained that the Hausdorff dimension of the set of double times for X is $1/2$.

Let μ be the Wiener measure in 3-dimensions, and $B = \{B_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ be the Wiener process in 3-dimensions. It is well-known that the path of B has double points, and the Hausdorff dimension of the set of double points denoted by \bar{D}_2 is 1 (see [2], [10] or [8]). Recently, Le Gall^[7] greatly improved their results. He investigated the Hausdorff measure of \bar{D}_2 and proved that the correct measure function of \bar{D}_2 is

$$h_2(x) = x(\log |\log x|)^2, \quad x > 0.$$

We now denote the set of double points of X by D_2 . Then a natural problem is to compute the Hausdorff dimension of D_2 and, further, to give the correct measure function of D_2 . In the present paper, we discuss the above problem. The main result is as follows.

Theorem 1.1 *With probability 1 to $\nu(g)$*

$$\dim D_2 = 1$$

Manuscript received September 12, 1989. Revised May 19, 1990.

* Department of Mathematics, Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100875, China.

** projects supported in part by National Natural Science Foundation of China.

where $\dim D_2$ denotes the Hausdorff dimension of $D_2 = \{w \in R^3 \mid \exists s < t \in [0, 1], w = X_t - X_s\}$.

We prove this theorem in two steps. We estimate first the lower bound for $\dim D_2$, and then estimate the upper bound. Using Kanfman's idea^[4] and imitating an argument in [3, § 5], we can get the lower bound in Section 3. To get the upper bound, we apply the method used in [10] in Section 4. In Section 2, we make some preparations for the proof in the last two sections.

§ 2. Preliminary

In the present section, we state and prove several lemmas which are used in the next sections. For Brownian motion B , [10, Lemma 3] plays an important role in estimating the upper bound for $\dim \bar{D}_2$. To estimate the upper bound for $\dim D_2$, we need a result similar to [10, Lemma 3] for Westwater process X . In fact, Lemma 2.3 can help us to get the similar result. In [3, § 5], Geman, Horowitz and Rosen apply a Kaufman's lemma in [4] to get the lower bound for $\dim \bar{D}_2$. To conclude the desirable result, we need also a similar lemma for Westwater process X . And Lemma 2.4, although the result is perhaps known, helps us to realize the goal. Since [9, Corollary 2.1.4] is used for many times, for convenience, we state it as follows.

Lemma 2.1. *Given a probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) , let $X(\cdot, \omega)$ be continuous for all $\omega \in \Omega$, and $X: [0, 1] \times \Omega \rightarrow R^3$ be a $\mathcal{B}_{[0,1]} \times \mathcal{F}$ -measurable function. If there exist numbers $\alpha > 0$, $r > 0$ and $C < \infty$ such that*

$$E_P |X(t) - X(s)|^r \leq C |t - s|^{1+\alpha}, \quad 0 \leq s, t \leq 1,$$

then for any $\nu \in (2, 2+\alpha)$, and $\lambda > 0$,

$$P\left(\sup_{0 \leq s < t \leq 1} \frac{|X(t) - X(s)|}{|t - s|^\beta} \geq \frac{8\nu}{\nu - 2} (4\lambda)^{1/r}\right) \leq CA/\lambda$$

where $\beta = (\nu - 2)/r$ and

$$A = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 |t - s|^{1+\alpha-\nu} ds dt.$$

For Westwater process X , we have the following property^[11].

Lemma 2.2. *There exists a number $C(n, s) < \infty$ for each $n \geq 1$ and $s \in (0, 1)$ such that*

$$E_{\nu(s)} |X_t - X_s|^n \leq C(n, s) |t - s|^{n/2-s}, \quad \forall t, s \in [0, 1].$$

Now we prove the next two lemmas.

Lemma 2.3. *There exists a constant $C(s) < \infty$ for each $s \in (0, 1/2)$ such that*

$$\nu(g) \left(\bigcup_{i=2^{m-1}}^{2^m} \{|X(i2^{-m})| \leq 2^{-(1/2-s)m}\} \right) \leq C(s) 2^{-(1/2-2s)m}$$

for any $m \geq 1$.

Proof Note [9, Lemma 3], then

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{i=2^{m-1}}^{2^m} \{|B(i2^{-m})| \leq 2^{-(1/2-s)m}\}\right) \leq O_s 2^{-(1/2-s)m}.$$

According to [6, Theorem 2.1] (or see [11]), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nu(g)\left(\bigcup_{i=2^{m-1}}^{2^m} \{|X(i2^{-m})| \leq 2^{-(1/2-s)m}\}\right) \\ = E_\mu\{f_m I_{\left(\bigcup_{i=2^{m-1}}^{2^m} \{|B(i2^{-m})| \leq 2^{-(1/2-s)m}\}\right)}\} \\ \leq E_\mu^{\delta}\{f_m^{1/\delta}\} E_\mu^{1-\delta}\left(I_{\left(\bigcup_{i=2^{m-1}}^{2^m} \{|B(i2^{-m})| \leq 2^{-(1/2-s)m}\}\right)}\right) \\ \leq O(\delta) \rho^m 2^{-(1/2-s)m(1-\delta)} \\ \leq O(s) 2^{-(1/2-2s)m} \end{aligned}$$

where $\rho = 2^{1/2s+\delta s} > 1$, and δ is chosen to be s . Moreover, the definition of $\{f_m\}_{m \geq 1}$ is given in [6], and f_m satisfies that there exists a $O(\delta) < \infty$ for each $\rho > 1$ such that

$$E_\mu f_m^{1/\delta} \leq O^{1/\delta}(\delta) (\rho^{1/\delta})^m, \quad \forall m \geq 1.$$

This completes our proof.

Lemma 2.4. For 3-dimensional Wiener process $B = (B_t)_{0 \leq t < \infty}$, if F denotes the set of $B(\cdot)$ for which there exists an N such that for any interval I of length 2^{-m} , $m \geq N$, $B^{-1}(I) \cap [0, 1]$ is contained in the union of less than m^2 intervals of the form $[k4^{-m}, (k+1)4^{-m}]$, $0 \leq k \leq 4^m$, then

$$\mu(F) = 1. \quad (2.1)$$

Proof The following argument is quite similar to that in [4]. In fact, for one dimensional Wiener process $W = (W_t)_{0 \leq t < \infty}$, we have

$$\limsup_{s \rightarrow t} |W_s - W_t| / \sqrt{2|s-t| \log 1/|s-t|} = 1, \quad \text{a.e.}$$

Hence, for three dimensional Wiener process $B = (B_t)_{0 \leq t < \infty}$,

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow s} |B_t - B_s| / \sqrt{2|t-s| |\log |t-s||} \leq \sqrt{3}.$$

It follows that for any $k = 0, 1, \dots, 4^m - 1$,

$$\sup_{t \in [k4^{-m}, (k+1)4^{-m}]} |B_t - B_{k4^{-m}}| \leq \sqrt{64^{-m} m \log 4} \leq \sqrt{12\sqrt{2} m} 2^{-m}, \quad \text{a.e.}$$

Therefore, it is sufficient to prove that

$$\mu(G) = 1 \quad (2.2)$$

where G denotes the set of $B(\cdot)$ for which there exists an N such that for any interval I' of length $5\sqrt{m} 2^{-m}$, $m \geq N$, $B^{-1}(I') \cap [0, 1]$ contains less than m^2 points of the form $k4^{-m}$ for $k = 0, 1, \dots, 4^m$.

To prove (2.2), we now concern the number of m -tuples $0 \leq k_1 < \dots < k_m \leq 4^m$ for which

$$|B(k_{i+1}4^{-m}) - B(k_i4^{-m})| \leq 10\sqrt{m} 2^{-m}, \quad 1 \leq i < m. \quad (2.3)$$

Obviously, the probability which appears such m -tuple is that

$$\leq (C_1 m^{1/2})^{m-1} (k_2 - k_1)^{-3/2} \dots (k_m - k_{m-1})^{-3/2}$$

where $C_1 \in (0, \infty)$ is a constant. The sum of this probability for all m -tuple is

$$\leq C_2 (C_1 m^{1/2})^{m-1} 4^m \leq (C_3 m^{1/2})^m$$

where $C_2, C_3 \in (0, \infty)$ are constants.

Now, we denote by $c_{k_i, k_{i+1}}$ the event that (2.3) occurs. Then, the number of m -tuples is

$$A_m = \sum_{0 \leq k_1 < \dots < k_m < 4^m} I_{\prod_{i=1}^{m-1} c_{k_i, k_{i+1}}}.$$

By Chebyshev inequality, we have

$$\mu(A_m \geq m^2 (C_3 m^{1/2})^m) \leq m^{-2}.$$

Thus, Borel-Cantelli lemma implies that almost surely there exists an N such that

$$A_m < m^2 (C_3 m^{1/2})^m, \quad \forall m \geq N.$$

However, if there exists an interval I' of length $5\sqrt{m} 2^{-m}$ such that $B(k4^{-m}) \in I'$ for s values of k , then

$$\binom{s}{m} \leq m^2 (C_3 m^{1/2})^m.$$

It is not difficult to see that $s \leq m^2$. Clearly, s is exactly what we wanted.

The proof is finished.

§ 3. Lower Bound for $\dim D_2$

In the present section, we begin with a lemma which is used again in the next section.

Lemma 3.1. *There exist finite positive constants C_1 and C_2 for any given $M \geq 1$ and $s \in (0, 1/2)$ such that*

$$\nu(g) \left(\sup_{|t-s| \leq n^{-1}} |X_t - X_s| \geq C_2 n^{-1/2+s} \right) \leq C_1 n^{-M}, \quad \forall n \geq 1. \quad (3.1)$$

Proof. Actually, (3.1) is obtained immediately from Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2. More precisely, in Lemma 2.1, we choose $r = 2M/s$, $\lambda = n^M$. By Lemma 2.2, we can choose $\alpha = 2M/(2s) - 1 - s$. Thus, $\nu = M/s - M + 2$, $\beta = (1-s)/2$, and the left hand side of (3.1)

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \nu(g) \left(\sup_{|t-s| \leq n^{-1}} \frac{|X_t - X_s|}{|t-s|^\beta} \geq C_2 n^{s/2} \right) \\ &\leq \nu(g) \left(\sup_{0 \leq t < s < 1} \frac{|X_t - X_s|}{|t-s|^\beta} \geq \frac{8\nu}{\nu-2} (4n^M)^{s/2M} \right) \\ &\leq C_4 n^{-M} \end{aligned}$$

where we pick $C_2 = \frac{8\nu}{\nu-2} n^{s/2M}$, and $C_1 = C_4$.

Remark 2.1. Since $s \in (0, 1/2)$ and $M \geq 1$ are arbitrary, the constant C_2 in (3.1) may be chosen to be 1. Moreover, from the above proof we easily see that

$$\nu(g) \left(\sup_{|t-s| \leq n^{-1}} |X(t) - X(s)| \geq Ln^{-1/2+\varepsilon} \right) \\ \leq O_1 L^{-M} n^{-M}, \quad \forall n \geq 1$$

for any $L \geq 1$ and $M \geq 1$, where $O_1 \in (0, \infty)$ does not depend on L and n .

Having Lemma 2.4 and Lemma 3.1, we can prove the next lemma.

Lemma 3.2. For the Westwater process $X = (X_t)_{0 \leq t \leq 1}$ and any given $\varepsilon \in (0, 1/2)$, if \bar{F} denotes the set of $X(\cdot)$ for which there exists an N such that for any interval of length $2^{-m+\varepsilon}$, $m \geq N$, $X^{-1}(I) \cap [0, 1]$ is contained in the union of less than 2^{2sm} intervals of the form $[k4^{-m}, (k+1)4^{-m}]$, $0 \leq k \leq 4^m$, then

$$\nu(g)(\bar{F}) = 1. \quad (3.2)$$

Proof Actually, Lemma 3.1 tells us that for any given $M \geq 1$

$$\nu(g) \left(\sup_{|t-s| \leq 4^{-m}} |X(t) - X(s)| \geq 2^{-(1-\varepsilon)m} \right) \leq O_M 2^{-Mm}, \quad \forall m \geq 1$$

where the constant $O_M \in (0, \infty)$. According to this property, we easily see that for proving (3.2) it is sufficient to prove that

$$\nu(g)(\bar{G}) = 1, \quad (3.3)$$

where \bar{G} denotes the set of $X(\cdot)$ for which there exists an N such that for any interval I' of length $2 \cdot 2^{-(1-\varepsilon)m}$, $m \geq N$, $X^{-1}(I') \cap [0, 1]$ contains less than 2^{2sm} points of the form $k4^{-m}$, for $k=0, 1, \dots, 4^m$.

To show (3.3), we also concern the number of m -tuples $0 \leq k_1 < \dots < k_m \leq 4^m$ for which

$$|X(k_{i+1}4^{-m}) - X(k_i4^{-m})| \leq 4 \cdot 2^{-(1-\varepsilon)m}. \quad (3.4)$$

Meanwhile, let $\bar{C}_{k_i, k_{i+1}}$ denote the event that (3.4) occurs, and

$$\bar{A}_m = \sum_{0 \leq k_1 < \dots < k_m \leq 4^m} I_U,$$

where

$$U = \bigcap_{i=1}^{m-1} \bar{C}_{k_i, k_{i+1}}.$$

Thus [6, Theorem 2.1] tells us that

$$\begin{aligned} \nu(g)(\bar{A}_m \geq 2^{2sm}(O_1 2^{sm})^m) \\ = E_\mu \{ f_{2m} I_{(A'_m \geq 2^{2sm}(O_1 2^{sm})^m)} \} \\ \leq E_\mu^\delta f_{2m}^{1/\delta} \cdot E_\mu^{1-\delta} I_{(A'_m \geq 2^{2sm}(O_1 2^{sm})^m)} \\ \leq O_\delta \rho^m [\mu(A'_m \geq 2^{2sm}(O_1 2^{sm})^m)]^{1-\delta}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

where $\rho > 1$ and A'_m is got from \bar{A}_m only when $\bar{C}_{k_i, k_{i+1}}$ is replaced by $C'_{k_i, k_{i+1}}$, which denotes the event (3.4) as soon as X is changed into B . Since

$$\mu \left\{ \bigcap_{i=1}^{m-1} C'_{k_i, k_{i+1}} \right\} \leq (O_1 2^{sm})^m (k_2 - k_1)^{-3/2} \dots (k_m - k_{m-1})^{-3/2},$$

by a similar argument in Lemma 2.4, we have

$$\mu(A'_m \geq 2^{2sm}(O_1 2^{sm})^m) \leq 2^{-2sm}.$$

Thus, choosing suitable $\delta \in (0, 1/2)$ and $\rho > 1$, we get that

$$\text{the right hand side of (3.5)} \leq O 2^{-sm}.$$

From the discussion in Lemma 2.4, we know that the above estimation leads us to the desirable result (3.3).

The proof is completed.

Now we prove that

$$\dim D_2 \geq 1, \nu(g)\text{-a.e.} \quad (3.6)$$

Otherwise, we can assume that

$$\dim D_2 = \alpha < 1 - s_0. \quad (3.7)$$

Then for any $\delta > 0$ and $s > 0$, we can find arbitrarily large n , and a sequence of discs B_i of radii $\leq 2^{-(1-s)n}$ such that $D_2 \subset \bigcup_i B_i$ and

$$\sum_i (\text{radius } B_i)^{1-s_0} \leq \delta.$$

Define n_i by $2^{-(1-s)n_i} \leq \text{radius } B_i \leq 2^{-(1-s)(n_i-1)}$, and let \bar{B}_i be the disc centered at B_i with radius $2^{-(1-s)(n_i-1)}$. Meanwhile, we let

$$M_0 = \{(t, s) \mid X_t = X_s\},$$

and

$$\bar{X}(t_1, t_2) = (X_{t_1}, X_{t_2}),$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} M_0 \cap [0, 1]^2 &\subset \bigcup_i \bar{X}^{-1}(B_i^2) \cap [0, 1]^2 \\ &\subset \bigcup_i \{\text{the union of } 2^{4s n_i} \text{ cubes of the form: } \prod_{i=1}^2 [k_i 4^{-n_i+1}, (k_i+1) 4^{-n_i+1}]\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let I_{ij} , $1 \leq j \leq 2^{4s n_i}$, denote these cubes. By (3.7) we have for large n_i

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i,j} [e(I_{ij})]^{1/2-s_1/2} &\leq \sum_i 2^{4s n_i} 4^{-(1/2-s_1/2)(n_i-1)} \\ &= 4^{1/2-s_1/2} \sum_i 2^{-(1-s_1-4s)n_i} \\ &\leq 4^{1/2-s_1/2} \sum_i 2^{-(1-s_0)n_i} \leq \sum_i (\text{radius } B_i)^{1-s_0} \\ &\leq \delta \end{aligned}$$

where we choose $s, s_1 \in (0, 1/2)$ such that

$$s_1 - 4s \leq s_0.$$

This implies $\dim (M_0 \cap [0, 1]^2) \leq 1 - s_1$.

However, [12, Theorem 5.2] tells us that

$$\dim (M_0 \cap [0, 1]^2) \geq 1, \nu(g)\text{-a.e.}$$

This contradiction shows that we must have (3.6).

§ 4. Upper Bound for $\dim D_2$

We begin with a lemma.

Lemma 4.1. *There exists a constant $O(s) \in (0, \infty)$ for any $s \in (0, 1/2)$, such that*

$$\nu(g) \{ |X(t)| \leq 2^{-(1/2-s)m} \text{ for some } t \in [1/2, 1] \} \leq O(s) 2^{-(1/2s)m} \quad (4.1)$$

for any $m \geq 1$.

Proof. Actually, the left hand side of (4.1)

$$= \nu(g) \left(\bigcup_{i=2^{m-1}}^{2^m-1} \{ |X(t)| \leq 2^{-(1/2-s)m} \text{ for some } t \in [i2^{-m}, (i+1)2^{-m}] \} \right)$$

However, Lemma 3.1 tells us that it is sufficient to prove that

$$\nu(g) \left\{ \bigcup_{i=2^{m-1}}^{2^m-1} |X(i2^{-m})| \leq 2 \cdot 2^{-(1/2-s)m} \right\} \leq O(s) 2^{-(1/2-2s)m}$$

for any $m \geq 1$. This is just the conclusion of Lemma 2.3.

The proof is finished.

Remark 4.1. Similarly, we have for any fixed $s \in (0, 1/2)$,

$$\nu(g) \{ |X(t) - X(s)| \leq 2^{-(1/2-s)m} \text{ for some } t \in [1/2, 1] \} \\ \leq O(s, s) 2^{-(1/2-2s)m}, \quad \forall m \geq 1.$$

Proof. Without loss of the generality, we assume that (this is reasonable because of Lemma 3.1) $s = i \cdot 2^{-m}$ for some $i = 0, 1, \dots, 2^{m-1}$. Observing the proof of Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 4.1, we see that it is sufficient to show

$$\mu \left(\bigcup_{j=2^{m-1}}^{2^m-1} \{ |B(j2^{-m}) - B(i2^{-m})| \leq 2 \cdot 2^{-(1/2-s)m} \} \right) \\ \leq O(\delta, i) 2^{-(1/2-s)m(1-\delta)}. \quad (4.2)$$

Since

$$|s - i2^{-m}| < 2^{-m},$$

we easily know that

$$|j2^{-m} - i2^{-m}| \geq O'(s) > 0$$

for any $j = 2^{m-1}, \dots, 2^m-1$. Noting this property, we get (4.2) immediately from [10, Lemma 3].

Actually, from the above proof we also see that for any fixed $s \in (0, 1)$ and $s_0 \in (0, 1-s)$,

$$\nu(g) \{ |X(t) - X(s)| \leq 2^{-(1/2-s)m} \text{ for some } t \in (s+s_0, 1] \} \\ \leq O(s, s_0, s) 2^{-(1/2-2s)m}, \quad \forall m \geq 1. \quad (4.3)$$

Remark 4.2. It is not difficult to get the following from (4.3)

$$\nu(g) \{ |X(t) - X(s)| \leq m^{-(1/2-s)}, \text{ for some } t \in (s+s_0, 1] \} \\ \leq O(s, s_0, s) m^{-(1/2-2s)}, \quad \forall m \geq 1,$$

where $s \in (0, 1)$ is any fixed point, and $s_0 \in (0, 1-s)$.

We are now in a position to estimate the upper bound of $\dim D_2$. Let

$$\mathcal{L}(s, t, w) = \{X(u, w) : s \leq u \leq t\}$$

for any $s, t \in [0, 1]$ and $s < t$, and

$$Q_2(\omega) = \mathcal{L}(0, 1/4, \omega) \cap \mathcal{L}(1/2, 1, \omega).$$

We first prove that for almost surely $\omega \in \Omega$

$$\dim Q_2(\omega) \leq 1. \quad (4.4)$$

Split up the interval $[0, 1/4]$ into m equal pieces by the time points

$$t_i = 1 + i/(4m) \quad (i = 0, 1, \dots, m).$$

The maximum displacement of $X(t) - X(t_i)$ in $t_i \leq t \leq t_{i+1}$ will be of the order less than $m^{-(1/2+s)}$ for any $s \in (0, 1/2)$. More precisely, if

$$Y_{i,m} = m^{-1/2+s} \sup_{t_i \leq t \leq t_{i+1}} |X(t) - X(t_i)|,$$

then $Y_{i,m}$, $i=0, 1, \dots, m-1$, are random variables and by Remark 2.1

$$\nu(g)\{Y_{i,m} \geq L\} \leq CL^{-M} m^{-M}$$

for sufficient large $M \geq 1$. Just as in [10, § 5], we define a discrete random variable $\rho_{i,m}$ as follows:

$$\text{if } Y_{i,m} \leq 1, \text{ put } \rho_{i,m} = m^{-(1/2-s)};$$

$$\text{if } 2^s < Y_{i,m} \leq 2^{s+1}, \text{ put } \rho_{i,m} = (1+2^{s+1})m^{-(1/2-s)}, s=0, 1, \dots$$

Now we consider the points of $\mathcal{L}(0, 1/4, \omega)$ which are approached within $m^{-(1/2-s)}$ by the piece $\mathcal{L}(1/2, 1, \omega)$. Clearly, if we cover this set of near- $m^{-(1/2-s)}$ returns then we will certainly have covered $Q_2(\omega)$. If any point of $\mathcal{L}(t_i, t_{i+1}, \omega)$ is to be a point of near- $m^{-(1/2-s)}$ return, then the piece $\mathcal{L}(1/2, 1, \omega)$ must at least enter the sphere $S_{i,m}$ with center $X(t_i)$ and radius $\rho_{i,m}$. By Remark 4.2 we know that the probability $p_{i,m}$ of a return $S_{i,m}$ in the interval $[1/2, 1]$ satisfies

$$p_{i,m} \leq C \rho_{i,m} \cdot m^s$$

Set

$$d_{i,m}(\omega) = 0 \text{ if in } [1/2, 1] \text{ no return occurs;}$$

$$d_{i,m}(\omega) = 2\rho_{i,m}(\omega) \text{ if the return occurs.}$$

$$l_m(\omega) = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} [d_{i,m}(\omega)]^{1+\delta}$$

for any fixed $\delta \in (0, 1/2)$. Then the random variable $l_m(\omega)$ is the sum of the $1+\delta$ -th powers of the diameters of the spheres $S_{i,m}$ which are re-entered at least one times in the intervals considered.

Obviously, by Remark 2.1

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\nu(g)}(d_{i,m}^{1+\delta}) &\leq E_{\nu(g)}[2\rho_{i,m}]^{1+\delta} \\ &\leq C_2 \{ [m^{-1/2+s}]^{1+\delta} [m^{-1/2+s}] \cdot m^s + \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \nu(g)(\rho_{i,m} = (1+2^s)m^{-(1/2-s)}) \\ &\quad \cdot [(1+2^s)m^{-(1/2-s)}]^{1+\delta} \cdot (1+2^s)m^{-(1/2-s)} \cdot m^s \} \\ &\leq C_2 \{ m^{-(1/2-s)} [2+\delta] \cdot m^s + \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} C_1 (1+2^s)^{-M} m^{-M} \cdot [(1+2^s)m^{-(1/2-s)}]^{2+\delta} \cdot m^s \} \\ &\leq C_3 m^{-1-(\delta/6-\delta^2/9)}, \forall m \geq 1 \end{aligned}$$

where we pick $\varepsilon = \delta/9$. Finally,

$$E_{\nu(g)}[l_m(\omega)] = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} E_{\nu(g)}[d_{i,m}(\omega)]^{1+\delta} \leq C_3 m^{-(\delta/6-\delta^2/9)}.$$

It is easy to see that there is almost surely a finite real number $M(\omega)$ such that for a subsequence m_1, m_2, \dots

$$l_{m_i}(\omega) \leq M(\omega), i=1, 2, \dots \quad (4.5)$$

On the other hand, if

$$q_m = \max_{0 \leq i \leq m-1} \rho_{i,m},$$

noting the definition of $\rho_{i,m}$ we have from Lemma 3.1

$$\nu(g)(q_m \geq \delta_1/2) \leq m \cdot O_4 m^{-M}, \quad \forall m \geq 1$$

for some constant $O_4 \in (0, \infty)$, where $M \geq 1$ is a large enough constant and $\delta_2 > 0$ is any fixed constant. Then the Borel-Cantelli lemma implies that only finitely many of the events $\{q_m \geq \delta_1/2\}$ occur, so we may assume that all the covering spheres have diameter less than δ_2 . Therefore (4.5) leads to

$$\dim Q_2(\omega) \leq 1 - \delta.$$

Since $\delta \in (0, 1/2)$ is arbitrary, (4.4) is correct.

By the same argument as before, we can show

$$\dim Q_2^{(s,t)}(\omega) \leq 1, \quad \nu(g) - \text{a.e.},$$

where $s < t$, and $s, t \in (0, 1)$, and

$$Q_2^{(s,t)}(\omega) = \mathcal{L}(0, s, \omega) \cap \mathcal{L}(t, 1, \omega).$$

Thus, it is not difficult to get

$$\dim Q_2^{(s)}(\omega) \leq 1, \quad \nu(g) - \text{a.e.}$$

where

$$Q_2^{(s)}(\omega) = \{x = X(s, \omega) = X(t, \omega) \text{ for some } s, t \in [0, 1] \text{ with } |s - t| \geq \delta\}.$$

Obviously, $Q_2^{(1/n)}(\omega) \uparrow D_2$ as $n \uparrow \infty$. Since $X(t, \omega)$ is continuous with respect to $t \in [0, 1]$, we easily see

$$\text{diam}(Q_2^{(1/n)}(\omega), D_2 \setminus Q_2^{(1/n+1)}(\omega)) > 0, \quad \forall n \geq 1.$$

[1, Lemma 1.4] helps us to obtain

$$\dim D_2 \leq 1, \quad \nu(g) - \text{a.e.}$$

References

- [1] Falconer, K. J., The Geometry of fractal sets, *Cambridge Tracts in Math.*, **85**(1985).
- [2] Fristedt, B., An extension of a theorem of S. J. Taylor concerning the multiple points of the symmetric stable process, *Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete*, **9**(1967) 62—64.
- [3] Geman, D., Horowitz, J., Rosen J., A local time analysis of intersections of Brownian paths in the plane, *Ann. Prob.* **12**(1984), 86—107.
- [4] Kaufman, R., Une propriété métrique du mouvement brownien, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A—B* **268**, (1969) A 727—A 728.
- [5] Kaufman, R. Brownian motion and dimension of perfect sets, *Can. J. Math.*, **22**;3(1970), 674—680.
- [6] Kusuoka, S., The path property of Edward's model for long polymer chains in three dimensions, *Res. Notes in Math.* Pitman, London, (1985), 48—65.
- [7] Le Gall, J. F., The exact Hausdorff measure of Brownian multiple points, *Seminar on Stoch. Proc.* Birkhäuser, (1986), 107—137.
- [8] Rosen, J., A local time approach to the self-intersections of Brownian paths in space, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **88**(1983), 327—338.
- [9] Stroock, D. W., Varadhan, S. R. S., *Multidimensional Diffusion Processes*, Springer-Verlag, 1979.
- [10] Taylor, S. J., Multiple points for the sample paths of the symmetric stable process, *Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete*, **5**(1966), 247—264.
- [11] Zhou, X. Y., The Hausdorff dimension of the sample path of the Westwater process *Acta Math. Sinica, New Series*, **8** (1992), to appear.
- [12] Zhou, X. Y., The local time of intersections of the Westwater process *Prob. Th., Rel. Fields*, to appear.