# WEAKLY ALMOST PERIODIC POINT AND ERGODIC MEASURE\*\*

ZHOU ZUOLING (周作领)\*

#### Abstract

Let X be a compact metric space and  $f: X \rightarrow X$  be continuous.

This pape introduces the notion of weakly almost periodic point, which is a generalization of the notion of almost periodic point, proves that each of f-invariant ergodic measures can be generated by a weakly almost periodic point of f and gives some equivalent conditions for that f has an invariant ergodic measure whose support is X and ones for that f has no non-atomic invariant ergodic measure, the latter is a generalization of the Blokh's work on self-maps of the interval. Also two formulae for calculating the togological entropy are obtained.

## § 1. Introduction

Let X be a compact metric space and  $f: X \rightarrow X$  be continuous. When X = [0, 1], [1] has announced the following

Theorem A. The following (i) and (ii) are equivalent.

- (i) R(f) = P(f), that is, each recurrent point of f is periodic,.
- (ii) f has no non-atomic invariant ergodic probability measure.

For the general case, one may prove that in Theorem A (i) is only sufficient but not necessary for (ii). We hope to look for the necessary and sufficient condition for (ii) in Theorem A in general case. It involves the structure of ergodic measure and the levels of the set of recurrent points. [2] has introduced the notion of almost periodic point and proved that  $x \in X$  is almost periodic iff  $\overline{\text{orb}(x)} = w(x, f)$  is a minimal set of f. It is easy to prove that the existence of a minimal set which is not a periodic orbit implies the existence of a non-atomic ergodic measure. Thus, that each almost periodic point is periodic is necessary for the non-existence of non-atomic ergodic measure. One may conjecture that there is such a subset of R(f) that it coincides with P(f) is a necessary and sufficient condition for (ii) in Theorem A. In this paper, we introduce the notion of weakly almost periodic point

Manuscript received April 11, 1989,

<sup>\*</sup> Lingnan College, Zhongshan University, Guangzhou, Guangdong 510275, China:

<sup>\*\*</sup> Projects supported partly by NSFC and by FZUARC,

and give a characterization of the set mentioned above. We also obtain some other related results.

## § 2. Definitions and Lemmas

Let  $f: X \rightarrow X$  be the same as in § 1. In the following we refer to [3].

Suppose that  $\mathscr{B}(X)$  is the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra of X. Denote by M(X) the set of all probability measures on  $\mathscr{B}(X)$ , by M(X, f) the set of all elements of M(X) which are invariant for f and by E(X, f) the set of all elements of M(X, f) which are ergodic for f. M(X) is convex compact metrizable under the weak-topology and  $M(X) \supset M(X, f) \supset E(X, f) \neq \emptyset$ . Each  $x \in X$  determines a member  $\delta_x$  of M(X) defined by

$$\delta_{x}(A) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \in A \\ 0, & \text{if } x \in A, \end{cases} \text{ for all } A \in \mathcal{B}(X).$$

 $m \in M(X)$  is called atomic if there exist  $x_i \in X$ ,  $p_i \ge 0$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ , with  $\sum p_i = 1$  and  $m = \sum p_i \delta_{x_i}$ .

With respect to ergodic measure, we have

**Theorem B**<sup>[3]</sup>. Let  $m \in M(X, f)$ . Then  $m \in E(X, f)$  iff there is a  $Y \in \mathcal{B}(X)$  with m(Y) = 1 such that

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\delta_{f^i(x)}\to m \text{ for all } x\in Y.$$

As m(R(f)) = 1 for all  $m \in M(X, f)$ , the following corollary is clear.

Corollary. Let  $m \in E(X, f)$ . Then there is  $x \in R(f)$  such that

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\delta_{f^i(x)}\to m$$

and the set of all such x has m-measure 1 and m(w(x, f)) = 1. In addition, when m is atomic, there is  $x \in P(f)$  with the period N such that

$$\frac{1}{m}\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\delta_{f^i(x)}\rightarrow \frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=0}^{N-1}\delta_{f^i(x)}=m.$$

Recall<sup>[2]</sup> that  $x \in X$  is called an almost periodic point of f if for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  one may find N > 0 such that for any  $q \ge 0$  there is an integer r with  $q \le r < N + q$  satisfying  $f^r(x) \in V(x, \varepsilon)$ , where  $V(x, \varepsilon)$  denotes the  $\varepsilon$ -spherical neighborhood of x. Denote by A(f) the set of all almost periodic points of f. It is easy to see that  $P(f) \subset A(f) \subset R(f)$ .

**Definition 1.**  $x \in X$  is called a weakly almost periodic point of f if for any s > 0 one may find N > 0 such that  $\# (\{r | f^r(x) \in V(x, s), 0 \le r \le nN\}) \ge n$  for all  $n \ge 0$ , where # (.) denotes the cardinality.

Denote by W(f) the set of all weakly almost periodic points of f. It is easy to see that  $A(f) \subset W(f) \subset R(f)$ .

We shall prove that  $A(.) \subseteq W(.) \subseteq R(.)$  are possible.

The proofs of the following Lemmas 1 and 2 are straightforward.

**Lemma 1.**  $f(W(f)) \subset W(f)$ .

**Lemma 2.** Let  $x \in X$ . Then  $x \in W(f)$  iff for any s > 0,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\inf\frac{1}{n}\#(\{r|f^r(x)\!\in\!\!V(x,\,s),\,0\!\leqslant\!r\!<\!n\})\!>\!0.$$

**Definition 2.** Let  $m \in M(X, f)$ . A subset F of X is called the f-invariant minimal closed support of m if  $f(F) \subset F$ ,  $\overline{F} = F$ , m(F) = 1 and there is no any proper subset of F satisfying these conditions.

**Lemma 3.** Let  $m \in M(X, f)$ . Then the f-invariant minimal closed support of m exists uniquely.

Proof Let  $S_m = \{x \in X \mid m(V(x, s) > 0, \forall s > 0\}$ . It is easy to prove that  $S_m$  is non-empty, closed and f-invariant. For each  $x \in X - S_m$ , there is s > 0 such that m(V(x, s)) = 0 and  $\alpha = \{V(x, s) \mid m(V(x, s)) = 0, \forall x \in X - S_m\}$  is an open cover of  $X - S_m$ . Since X is a Lindelof space satisfying the second countability axiom and so is  $X - S_m$  (see [4]). Thus,  $\alpha$  has a countable subcover and hence  $m(X - S_m) = 0$  and  $m(S_m) = 1$ . It is easy to prove that  $S_m$  is the f-invariant minimal support of m. The uniqueness is evident.

Let 
$$\mathscr{E} = \{F \subset X \mid f(F) \subset F, \ \overline{F} = F \text{ and } m(F) = 1\} \text{ for } m \in M(X, f).$$

**Lemmii 4.** Let  $m \in M(X, f)$  and  $F \in \mathcal{E}$ . Then F is the f-invariant minimal closed support of m iff each non-empty open subset of F has positive m-measure.

Proof Let F be the f-invariant minimal closed support of m and  $U \subset F$  be non-empty and open. If m(U) = 0, then  $m\left(\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} f^{-n}(U)\right) = 0$ . Obviously,  $F - \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} f^{-n}(U)$  is closed and invariant for f and  $m\left(F - \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} f^{-n}(U)\right) = 1$ . It is easy to see that the f-invariant minimal closed support of m is contained in  $F - \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} f^{-n}(U)$ , a contradiction.

Now suppose that each non-empty open subset of F has positive m-measure. If  $F_0 \subseteq F$  is the f-invariant minimal closed support of m, then  $F - F_0$  is non-empty and open, and so  $m(F - F_0) > 0$ . This contradicts  $m(F_0) = 1$ .

**Lemma 5.** Let  $m \in E(X, f)$  and F be the f-invariant minimal closed support of m. Then the restriction of f on F is topologically transitive, that is, there is  $x \in F$  such that  $\overline{\operatorname{orb}(x)} = F$ .

Proof By Corollary of Theorem B and m(F) = 1, there is  $x \in R(f) \cap F$  such that  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \delta_{f(x)} \to m$ . Clearly,  $w(x, f) \subset F$ . In the other hand, w(x, f) is a f-invariant closed support of m and so  $F \subset w(x, f)$ . Thus, F = w(x, f) and f is topologically transitive on F.

**Lemma 6.** Let  $m \in E(X, f)$  and  $x \in R(f)$  with  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \delta_{f(x)} \to m$ . Then for any s > 0, m(V(x, s)) > 0 iff  $x \in W(f)$ .

Proof Because m is probability measure, it is easy to prove that the set  $\{s>0 \mid m(\partial V(x,s))=0\}$  is every where dense on  $(0,+\infty)$ , where  $\partial V(x,s)$  denotes the boundary of V(x,s). Obviously, it suffices to prove Lemma 6 for s>0 with  $m(\partial V(x,s))=0$ . According to the property of weak convergence (see [3]), we have

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \delta_i i_{(x)}(V(x, s)) = \frac{1}{n} \# (\{r | f^r(x) \in V(x, s), 0 \le r < n\}) \to m(V(x, s)).$$

Clearly, Lemma 6 follows from Lemma 2.

### § 3. Theorems

Set

$$W_0(f) = \left\{ x \in W(f) \middle| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \delta_{f(x)} \rightarrow m \in E(X, f) \right\}.$$

It is easy to check that  $f(W_0(f)) \subset W_0(f)$ .

A subset  $F \subset X$  is called an absolutely ergodic measure 1-set of f, if for each  $m \in E(x, f)$  there is a subset E of F with m(E) = 1.

**Theorem 1.**  $W_0(f)$  is an absolutely ergodic measure 1-set of f.

**Proof** By the definition of  $W_0(f)$  and Corollary of Theorem B, it is clear that  $W_0(f)$  is an absolutely ergodic measure 1-set of f.

**Theorem 2.**  $\operatorname{ent}(f) = \sup_{w \in W_{\bullet}(f)} \{ \operatorname{ent}(f|_{W(w,f)}) \} = \operatorname{ent}(f|_{\overline{W_{\bullet}(f)}}), \text{ where ent } (f) \text{ denotes: the topological entropy of } f.$ 

Proof By the variational principle<sup>(3)</sup> ent $(f) = \sup_{m \in E(X,f)} \{h_m(f)\}$ , where  $h_m(f)$  denotes the measure-theoretical entropy of f with respect to m, we have  $h_m(f|_F) \le \operatorname{ent}(f|_F)$ , where  $m \in E(X,f)$  and F is the f-invariant minimal closed support of m. By Corollary of Theorem B and Theorem 1, there is  $x \in W_0(f)$  such that F = w(x, f). Hence  $h_m(f) \le \sup_{x \in W_0(f)} \{\operatorname{ent}(f|_{w(x,f)})\}$  and so  $\operatorname{ent}(f) = \sup_{m \in E(x,f)} \{h_m(f)\} \le \sup_{x \in W_0(f)} \{\operatorname{ent}(f|_{w(x,f)})\} \le \operatorname{ent}(f|_{\overline{W_0(f)}}) \le \operatorname{ent}(f)$ .

**Theorem 3.** Let  $m \in E(X, f)$  and  $x \in R(f)$  with  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \delta_{f(x)} \to m$ . Then the following (i)—(iii) are equivalent.

- (i) X is the f-invariant minimal closed support of m,
- (ii) each non-empty open subset of X has positive m-measure,
- (iii)  $x \in W_0(f)$  with w(x, f) = X.

Proof The proof of (i)⇒(ii) is similar to the one of Lemma 4.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) For s>0, m(V(x, s))>0. By Lemma 6,  $x\in W(f)$  and so  $x\in W_0(f)$ .

w(x, f) = X is clear, because if not, then X - w(x, f) is a on-empty open set and so m(X - w(x, f)) > 0. It contradicts m(w(x, f)) = 1.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) For any non-empty open subset U of X, by w(x, f) = X, there is r with  $f^r(x) \in U$  and  $V(f^r(x), s) \subset U$  for some s > 0. As  $f^r(x) \in W_0(f) \subset W(f)$ , so m(U) > 0 by Lemma 6. If  $F \subseteq X$  is the f-invariant minimal closed support of m, then m(X - F) > 0, and it contradicts m(F) = 1.

Theorem 4. The following (i)—(iii) are equivalent.

- (i)  $W_0(f) = P(f)$ ,
- (ii) m(P(f)) = 1 for all  $m \in E(X, f)$ ,
- (iii) f has no non-atomic invariant ergodic probability measure.

Proof (i)⇒(ii) It is clear by Theorem 1.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) Let  $m \in E(X, f)$  and F be the f-invariant minimal closed support of m. By Theorem B, there is  $x \in F \cap P(f)$  such that  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \delta_{f(x)} \to m$ . Clearly, F = w(x, f) is a periodic orbit of f and m is atomic.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) If there is  $x \in W_0(f) - P(f)$ , then  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \delta_{f(x)} \to m \in E(X, f)$ . By Theorem 3, w(x, f) is the f-invariant minimal closed support of m. Evidently,  $F \subsetneq P(f)$  and so m can not be generated by a periodic point of f, that is, m is not atomic. It is a contradiction.

Next, let  $\Sigma_2$  be the one sided symbolic space with two symbols 0 and 1 and  $\sigma$ :  $\Sigma_2 \rightarrow \Sigma_2$  be the shift. The metric on  $\Sigma_2$  is defined by

$$\rho(x, y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|x_n - y_n|}{2^n}, \quad \forall \ x = (x_0 x_1 \cdots), \ y = (y_0 y_1 \cdots) \in \Sigma_2.$$

Theoem 5.  $A(\sigma) \subseteq W(\sigma) \subseteq R(\sigma)$ .

Proof Let m be the  $\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ -product measure on  $\Sigma_2$ . By [3],  $m \in E(\Sigma_2, \sigma)$  and each non-empty open subset of  $\Sigma_2$  has positive m-measure. By Theorem 3, there is  $x \in W_0(\sigma)$  such that  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \delta_{\sigma^i(x)} \to m$  and  $w(x, \sigma) = \Sigma_2$ . As  $\Sigma_2$  is not minimal, so  $x \in A(\sigma)$  (see § 1). This proves that  $A(\sigma) \subseteq W(\sigma)$ .

Let  $M = (i_0 i_1 \cdots i_{n-1})$  and  $N = (j_0 j_1 \cdots j_{m-1})$  be two finite sequences of  $\{0, 1\}$  whose lengths are n and m, respectively. Denote  $(MN) = (i_0 i_1 \cdots i_{n-1} j_0 j_1 \cdots j_{m-1})$  whose length is n+m. In the sequel, we form  $x \in \Sigma_2$  with  $x \in R(\sigma) - W(\sigma)$ .

Let  $P_1 = (01)$ ,  $P_2 = (00011011)$  and inductively, for k > 2,  $P_k$  be a finite sequence formed by arranging all permutations of k symbols 0, 1 with repetition one after another in a line in some order, whose length is  $2^k \cdot k$ . Set

$$x = (P_1Q_1P_2P_2\cdots P_kQ_k\cdots) \in \Sigma_2,$$

where  $Q_1 = (11)$ ,  $Q_2 = (11 \cdots 1)$  with the length = 2 times of the length of  $(P_1Q_1P_2)$ ,

and inductively, for k>2,  $Q_k=(11\cdots 1)$  with the length =k times of the length of  $(P_1Q_1\cdots P_k)$ .

By the above construction, it is easy to see that  $x \in R(\sigma)$  and  $w(x, \sigma) = \Sigma_{2}$ . Next, we prove  $x \notin W(\sigma)$ . By Lemma 2, it suffices to prove that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\inf\frac{1}{n}\#\left(\left\{r\mid\sigma^r(x)\in V\left(x,\frac{1}{2}\right),\ 0\leqslant r\leqslant n\right\}\right)=0.$$

Note that if  $y \in \Sigma_2$ , then  $y_0 = 1 \Rightarrow y \in V(x, \frac{1}{2})$ . Let l(.) denote the length and  $n_k = l((P_1Q_1\cdots P_kQ_k))$ ,  $k=1, 2, \cdots$ . It is easy to see that

$$\frac{1}{n_k} \# \left( \left\{ r \middle| \sigma^r(x) \in V\left(x, \frac{1}{2}\right), \ 0 \leqslant r \leqslant n_k \right\} \right) \leqslant \frac{l((P_1Q_1 \cdots P_k))}{n_k} = \frac{l((P_1Q_1 \cdots P_k))}{k \cdot l((P_1Q_1 \cdots P_k))} = \frac{1}{k} \to 0 \quad (\text{as } k \to \infty).$$

We are done.

Finally, as stated in § 1, A(f) = P(f) is necessary for f to have no non-atomic ergodic measure. But the author does not know whether it is sufficient also or not. Equivalently, is there any map which has a non-atomic ergodic measure but each of whose minimal sets is periodic orbit?

#### References

- [1] Blokh, A. M., The limit behavior of one-dimensional dynamical systems, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk, 37: 1 (1982), 137—178; MR 831: 58082.
- [2] Hedlund, G, A., Sturmian minimal sets, Amer. J. Math., 66 (1944). 605-620.
- [3] Walters, P., An introduction to ergodic theory, Springer, New york, 1982.
- [4] Kelley, J. L., General topology, Van Nostrand, New York, 1955.