

DERIVATIONS OF THE GRADED LIE ALGEBRAS OF CARTAN TYPE**

CHIU SEN (邱 森)*

Abstract

Let F be an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p > 0$, 2 , $L = \bigoplus_{i=-r}^s L_{[i]}$ a graded Lie algebra of Cartan type over F . This paper gives a description of the adjoint module by means of the mixed product and the induced module so that the computation of $H^1(L, L)$ can be reduced to that of the cohomology of $L_{[0]}$. Then the structures of the derivation algebras of L is determined.

§ 0. Introduction

The Cartan's theorem shows that nonmodular semisimple Lie algebras do not have outer derivations. Let $L = \bigoplus_{i=-r}^s L_{[i]}$ be a graded Lie algebra of Cartan type over F , where F is an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p > 0$. In [16, Chap. 4, § 6], the derivation algebras of the restricted Cartan type Lie algebra $W(n, 1)$, $S(n, 1)$, $H(n, 1)$ and $K(n, 1)$ ($p > 2$) are investigated and the results illustrate that simple modular Lie algebras may possess outer derivations and every derivation of $W(n, 1)$ ($p > 2$) is inner. In this paper, we propose a new unifying approach to investigate the derivation algebras of Cartan type Lie algebras of characteristic p and determine the structures of $H^1(L, L)$ and the derivation algebras of L , where $L = W(n, m)$ for $p > 0$, $S(n, m)$ for $p > 2$, $H(n, m)$ for $p > 2$ and $K(n, m)$ for $p > 2$. Our results show that if $p = 2$, then $W(n, 1)$ may possess outer derivations.

Our method is mainly based on the computation of $H^1(L, L)$. We first give a description of the adjoint module L by means of the mixed product (cf. [13]) and the induced module (cf. [9, § 3]). Thus the computation of $H^1(L, L)$ is reduced to that of the cohomology of $L_{[0]}$ which is the Lie algebra of a certain reductive algebraic group and we exploit certain techniques in the representation theory of reductive algebraic groups.

Manuscript received March 31, 1990.

* Department of Mathematics, East China Normal University, Shanghai 200062, China.

** Projects supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China.

§ 1. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper we shall assume that F is an algebraically closed field, $\text{char } F = p > 0$. Let L be a finite dimensional Lie algebra over F and V an L -module. A linear mapping $\varphi: L \rightarrow V$ is called a derivation from L into V if

$$\varphi([l_1, l_2]) = l_1\varphi(l_2) - l_2\varphi(l_1), \quad \forall l_1, l_2 \in L.$$

Thus $\varphi \in Z^1(L, V)$ is a derivation if and only if $\varphi \in Z^1(L, V)$. Hence the set of all derivations $\varphi: L \rightarrow V$ has an F -vector space structure; we shall denote the vector space by $\text{Der}_F(L, V) (= Z^1(L, V))$. A derivation $\varphi: L \rightarrow V$ is called inner if there is $v \in V$ such that $\varphi(x) = x \cdot v$, $\forall x \in L$. The inner derivations in $\text{Der}_F(L, V)$ clearly form an F -subspace, which we denote by $\text{Ider}_F(L, V)$. It is clear that $\text{Ider}_F(L, V) = B^1(L, V)$. It implies that

$$H^1(L, V) \cong \text{Der}_F(L, V) / \text{Ider}_F(L, V).$$

In case of $V = L$, we denote $\text{Der}_F(L, L)$ and $\text{Ider}_F(L, L)$ by $\text{Der}_F(L)$ and $\text{Ider}_F(L)$, respectively. By abuse of language, $\text{Der}_F(L) / \text{Ider}_F(L) (\cong H^1(L, L))$ is referred to as the algebra of outer derivations. If L is simple, then the adjoint representation $\text{ad}: L \rightarrow \text{End}_F(L)$ gives an isomorphism of L onto $\text{Ider}_F(L)$. It implies that the structure of $H^1(L, L)$ determines that of the derivation algebra of L .

§ 2. The Adjoint Modules of the Graded Cartan Type Lie Algebras

Throughout this paper we shall assume that F is an algebraically closed field, $\text{char } F = p > 0$, and all Lie algebras and modules are finite-dimensional.

We are ready to give a brief description of the graded Lie algebras of Cartan type. Let $A(n)$ be the set of n -tuples of nonnegative integers, $\varepsilon_i = (\delta_{1i}, \dots, \delta_{ni}) \in A(n)$. For $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in A(n)$, set

$$|\alpha| = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i.$$

Let $\mathfrak{U}(n)$ be the divided power algebra with basis $\{x^{(\alpha)} | \alpha \in A(n)\}$ and multiplication

$$x^{(\alpha)} x^{(\beta)} = C_{\alpha}^{\alpha+\beta} x^{(\alpha+\beta)}, \quad \alpha, \beta \in A(n),$$

where

$$C_{\beta}^{\alpha} = \prod_{i=1}^n C_{\beta_i}^{\alpha_i}, \quad \text{for } \alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n), \beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) \in A(n).$$

If $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_n)$ is an n -tuple of positive integers and $A(n, \mathbf{m}) = \{\alpha \in A(n) | \alpha_i < p^{m_i}, i = 1, \dots, n\}$, then $\mathfrak{U} = \mathfrak{U}(n, \mathbf{m}) = \langle x^{(\alpha)} | \alpha \in A(n, \mathbf{m}) \rangle$ is a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{U}(n)$.

Define derivations $D_i, i = 1, \dots, n$, by

$$D_i x^{(\alpha)} = x^{(\alpha - \varepsilon_i)}$$

(We set $x^{(\alpha)}=0$, if $\alpha \notin A(n)$). Then

$$W = W(n, m) = \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n a_j D_j \mid a_j \in \mathfrak{U}(n, m) \right\}$$

is a derivation algebra of $\mathfrak{U}(n, m)$. The bracket operation of $W(n, m)$ is

$$\left[\sum_i a_i D_i, \sum_j b_j D_j \right] = \sum_i \sum_j (a_j D_j(b_i) - b_j D_j(a_i)) D_i. \quad (2.1)$$

Set $\mathfrak{U}_{[i]} = \langle x^{(\alpha)} \mid |\alpha| = i \rangle$ and $W_{[i]} = \langle x^{(\alpha)} D_j \mid x^{(\alpha)} \in \mathfrak{U}_{[i+1]}, j=1, \dots, n \rangle$. Then $W = \bigoplus_{i \geq -1} W_{[i]}$ is a graded Lie algebra of depth 1.

The subspace $S(n, m)$ ($n > 3$) spanned by

$$D_{i,j}(f) := D_j(f) D_i - D_i(f) D_j, f \in \mathfrak{U}(n, m), i, j=1, \dots, n, \quad (2.2)$$

is a Lie subalgebra of $W(n, m)$. Write $\pi = \{p^{m_1}-1, \dots, p^{m_n}-1\}$. If $n=2r$, let

$$\sigma(i) := \begin{cases} 1, & 1 \leq i \leq r, \\ -1, & r < i \leq n, \end{cases}$$

$$i' = i + (i)r, i=1, \dots, n.$$

The subspace $H(n, m)$ spanned by

$$D_H(x^{(\alpha)}) := \sum \sigma(i') D_{i'}(x^{(\alpha)}) D_i, \alpha \in A(n, m), \alpha \neq \pi, \quad (2.3)$$

is a Lie subalgebra of $S(n, m)$.

If L is anyone of $W(n, m)$, $S(n, m)$ and $H(n, m)$, then $L = \bigoplus_{i \geq -1} L_{[i]} = \bigoplus_{i \geq -1} L \cap W_{[i]}$ is a graded Lie algebra of depth 1 and under the linear map $x^{(e_i)} D_j \mapsto E_{ij}$, $L_{[0]}$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$, $\mathfrak{sl}(n)$ and $\mathfrak{sp}(n)$, respectively, where E_{ij} is the matrix whose (k, l) -component is $\delta_{ik} \delta_{jl}$.

We proceed to construct a class of graded modules of $L = W(n, m)$, $S(n, m)$ or $H(n, m)$. Let ρ_0 be a representation of $L_{[0]}$ in the module V_0 and $\tilde{V}_0 = \mathfrak{U} \otimes V_0$. If $D = \sum a_i D_i \in L$, then $\tilde{D} = \sum D_i(a_j) \otimes E_{ij} \in \mathfrak{U} \otimes L_{[0]}$. Let $\tilde{D} = \sum g_i \otimes l_i$, where $g_i \in \mathfrak{U}$, $l_i \in L_{[0]}$. Define a linear transformation $\tilde{\rho}_0(D)$ of $\tilde{V}_0$ by

$$\tilde{\rho}_0(D)(f \otimes v) = D(f) \otimes v + \sum g_i f \otimes \rho_0(l_i) v, f \in \mathfrak{U}, v \in V_0. \quad (2.4)$$

According to [13, Theorem 1.2], $\tilde{\rho}_0$ is a representation of L in $\tilde{V}_0$ and $\tilde{V}_0 = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \langle x^{(\alpha)} \otimes V_0 \mid |\alpha| = i \rangle$ is a graded L -module such that the base space of $\tilde{V}_0$ is $1 \otimes v_0 \cong v_0$. The module $\tilde{V}_0$ is called the mixed product of $\mathfrak{U}$ and V_0 . We shall give the adjoint modules $W(n, m)$, $S(n, m)$ and $H(n, m)$ in the forms of mixed products.

Let $\mathfrak{h}(L_{[0]})$ be the standard Cartan subalgebra of $L_{[0]} = \mathfrak{gl}(n)$, $\mathfrak{sl}(n)$ or $\mathfrak{sp}(n)$ and Λ_i , $i=1, \dots, n$, the linear functions on $\mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{gl}(n)) = \langle E_{11}, \dots, E_{nn} \rangle$ such that

$$\Lambda_i(E_{jj}) = \delta_{ij}. \quad (2.5)$$

The restriction of Λ_i on every $\mathfrak{h}(L_{[0]})$ will also be denoted by Λ_i . Let

$$\lambda_0 = 0, \lambda_i = \sum_{j=1}^i \Lambda_j, i=1, \dots, n. \quad (2.6)$$

Then λ_i ($i=1, \dots, n$, for $L_{[0]} = \mathfrak{gl}(n)$; $i=1, \dots, n-1$, for $L_{[0]} = \mathfrak{sl}(n)$; $i=1, \dots, r$, for $L_{[0]} = \mathfrak{sp}(n)$) are the fundamental weights of $L_{[0]}$. Every weight of $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$, $\mathfrak{sl}(n)$ or

$\mathfrak{sp}(n)$ is a linear combination of the fundamental weights. We have

$$\Lambda_i = \lambda_i - \lambda_{i-1}, \quad i=1, \dots, n.$$

If $\lambda \in (\mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{gl}(n)))^*$, denote by $V_0(\lambda)$ the irreducible module of $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$ with highest weight λ . Let N_0 be an n -dimensional linear space with basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$. On N_0 , we have the natural representation ν_0 of $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$:

$$\nu_0(E_{ij})e_k = \delta_{jk}e_i.$$

It is obvious that

$$\wedge^i N_0 = N_0 \wedge \dots \wedge N_0 \text{ (factors)} = V_0(\lambda_i), \quad i=1, \dots, n.$$

By [13, Lemma 2.2], we have

$$W(n, \mathbf{m}) \cong \tilde{V}_0^*(\lambda_1), \quad (2.7)$$

that is, the adjoint module $W(n, \mathbf{m})$ is isomorphic to the mixed product of $\mathfrak{U}$ and the dual module $V_0^*(\lambda_1)$ of $V_0(\lambda_1)$. Let $I = E_{11} + \dots + E_{nn} \in \mathfrak{gl}(n) \cong W_{[0]}$. Then the action of I on $V_0^*(\lambda_1)$ is the scalar multiplication by $p-1$. As $\mathfrak{sl}(n)$ -modules we have

$$V_0^*(\lambda_1) = V_0(\lambda_{n-1}). \quad (2.8)$$

Note that the $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$ -module $V_0(\lambda_i)$ can be also regarded as an $\mathfrak{sl}(n)$ -module which is $\mathfrak{sl}(n)$ -irreducible and $V_0(\lambda_i) = \mathfrak{U} \otimes V_0(\lambda_i)$ is also a mixed product of $S(n, \mathbf{m})$. As $\mathfrak{sl}(n)$ -modules, the adjoint module $S(n, \mathbf{m})$ is isomorphic to the minimum submodule of $W(n, \mathbf{m}) \cong \tilde{V}_0(\lambda_{n-1})$ (by [14, Theorem 2.2]). Since [15, Theorem 2.2] is also true for $p > 0$, we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow S(n, \mathbf{m}) \rightarrow \tilde{V}_0(\lambda_{n-1}) \rightarrow F^{n+1} \rightarrow 0. \quad (2.9)$$

Next, we shall consider the case $H(n, \mathbf{m})$ ($n=2r$) for $p > 2$. Let $\mathfrak{U}' = \bigoplus_{\alpha \neq \sigma} \langle x^{(\alpha)} \rangle$.

Since the base space (homogeneous space of degree 0) $H_{[-1]} = W_{[-1]}$ of the adjoint module $H(n, \mathbf{m})$ is the natural $H_{[0]}(\cong \mathfrak{sp}(n))$ -module $V_0(\lambda_1)$ and [15, Theorem 2.3] is also true for $p > 2$, by [14, Theorem 2.2], $H(n, \mathbf{m})$ is isomorphic to the minimum submodule of $\tilde{V}_0(\lambda_1)$ and there are three exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{U}' \rightarrow \mathfrak{U} (\cong \tilde{V}_0(\lambda_0)) \rightarrow F \rightarrow 0, \quad (2.10)$$

$$0 \rightarrow F \rightarrow \mathfrak{U}' \rightarrow H(n, \mathbf{m}) \rightarrow 0, \quad (2.11)$$

$$0 \rightarrow H(n, \mathbf{m}) \rightarrow \tilde{V}_0(\lambda_1) \rightarrow \tilde{V}_0(\lambda_1)/H(n, \mathbf{m}). \quad (2.12)$$

We conclude this section by considering the case of $K(n, \mathbf{m})$ ($p > 2$). Adopting the notation of [16, Chap. 4, § 5] and putting $n_i = 2r+1$, $\|\alpha\| = |\alpha| + \alpha_n - 2$, $\mathfrak{U}(n, \mathbf{m})_{[i]} = \langle x^{(\alpha)} \mid \|\alpha\| = i \rangle$ and $\|\pi\| = s$, then we have

$$K(n, \mathbf{m}) \cong \begin{cases} \mathfrak{U}(n, \mathbf{m}), & n+3 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}, \\ \langle x^{(\alpha)} \mid \alpha \in \pi \rangle, & n+3 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}, \end{cases} \quad (2.13)$$

where

$$\langle x^{(\alpha)}, x^{(\beta)} \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{2r} \sigma(j) x^{(\alpha - \varepsilon_j)} x^{(\beta - \varepsilon_j)} + \left(\|\beta\| \binom{\alpha + \beta - \varepsilon_{j'}}{\beta} - \|\alpha\| \binom{\alpha + \beta - \varepsilon_n}{\alpha} \right) x^{(\alpha + \beta - \varepsilon_n)} \quad (2.14)$$

is Lie product of $\mathfrak{U}(n, \mathbf{m})$ and

$$\sigma(j) = \begin{cases} 1, & j \leq r, \\ -1, & j \geq r+1, \end{cases} \quad j' = \begin{cases} j+r, & j \leq r, \\ j-1, & j \geq r+1. \end{cases}$$

Let $K = K(n, m)$, $K_{[j]} = \mathfrak{U}(n, m)_{[j]}$ and $K_i = \bigoplus_{j \geq i} K_{[j]}$. The $K = \bigoplus_{i=-2}^0 K_{[i]}$. Let V be a K_0 -module, and $U(K)$ and $U(K_0)$ the universal enveloping algebra of K and K_0 , respectively. We shall define the subalgebra $\theta(K, K_0)$ of $U(K)$ and consider the induced module $U(K) \otimes_{\theta(K, K_0)} V$. It is obvious that the elements

$$z_i = (x^{(s_i)})^{p^{m_i}}, \quad i=1, \dots, 2r, \\ z_n = 1^{p^{m_n}}$$

belong to the center of $U(K)$. Let $\theta(K, K_0)$ be the subalgebra of $U(K)$ which is generated by $U(K_0)$ and $\{z_1, \dots, z_n\}$. Then it is isomorphic to $F[z_1, \dots, z_n] \otimes_F U(K_0)$. The action of $U(K_0)$ on V can be extended to $\theta(K, K_0)$ by letting the polynomial algebra $F[z_1, \dots, z_n]$ operate via its canonical supplementation. Henceforth all K_0 -modules will be considered $\theta(K, K_0)$ -modules in this fashion. Let ζ denote the natural representations of K_0 in K/K_0 . Then there exist unique homomorphism $\sigma: U(K_0) \rightarrow F$ of F -algebras such that $\sigma(x) = \text{tr}(\zeta(x))$. We introduce a twisted action on V by setting $x \cdot v = xv + \sigma(x)v$. The new K_0 -module will be called V_σ . By [9, Theorem 3.3], there is a natural isomorphism of $U(K)$ -modules

$$U(K) \otimes_{\theta(K, K_0)} V_\sigma \cong \text{Hom}_{\theta(K, K_0)}(U(K), V).$$

Let V be the $\langle x^{(s_i+s_j)} | 1 \leq i, j \leq 2r \rangle$ -module (i. e., $\text{sp}(2r)$ -module) with the highest weight λ such that the action of $x^{(s_n)}$ on V is the scalar multiplication by c . We denote the $K_{[0]}$ -module V by $V(\lambda, c)$ and extend the operations on $V(\lambda, c)$ to K_0 by letting K_1 act trivially. By [8, Proposition 4.3], there is an injective homomorphism $\psi: \mathfrak{U}(n, m) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\theta(K, K_0)}(U(K), V(0, -2))$ of K -modules. Since $\dim_F \mathfrak{U}(n, m) = \dim_F \text{Hom}_{\theta(K, K_0)}(U(K), V(0, -2))$, we have

$$\mathfrak{U}(n, m) \cong U(K) \otimes_{\theta(K, K_0)} V(0, -2)_\sigma. \quad (2.15)$$

Therefore, if $n+3 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, then

$$K(n, m) \cong U(K) \otimes_{\theta(K, K_0)} V(0, -2)_\sigma; \quad (2.16)$$

if $n+3 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, then there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow K(n, m) \rightarrow U(K) \otimes_{\theta(K, K_0)} V(0, -2)_\sigma \rightarrow F \rightarrow 0. \quad (2.17)$$

§ 3. The Derivation Algebras of $W(n, m)$

Suppose that $p > 0$ and $W(n, m)$ is simple. Put $W = W(n, m)$ and $W_i = \bigoplus_{j \geq i} W_{[j]}$.

Since $V^*(\lambda_1)$ is a nontrivial irreducible $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$ -module with a highest weight; by [6, Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 3.1,] we have

$$H^1(W, W) \cong H^1(W, V_0^*(\lambda_1)) \cong H^1(W_0, V_0^*(\lambda_1)). \quad (3.1)$$

Since the action of I on $V_0^*(\lambda_1)$ is the scalar multiplication by $p-1$, by [1, Lemma 3.2, (3.2) and (3.4)], we have

$$\begin{aligned} H^1(W_0, V_0^*(\lambda_1)) &\cong H^1(W_1, V_0^*(\lambda_1)) \cong \text{Hom}_{gl(n)}(W_1/[W_1, W_1], V_0^*(\lambda_1)) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_{gl(n)}(Y_1, V_0^*(\lambda_1)) \oplus \sum_{\mu > 0} \text{Hom}_{gl(n)}(Y_{p^\mu-1}, V_0^*(\lambda_1)) \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

where Y_1 is the contribution to $W_1/[W_1, W_1]$ coming from $W_{[1]}$ and $Y_{p^\mu-1}$ is isomorphic to a direct sum of $\#\{j | m_j > \mu\}$ -copies of $V_0^*(\lambda_1)$. Then there are $\#\{j | m_j > \mu\}$ -isomorphisms, denoted by $\psi_j^{(\mu)}$ for $j=1, \dots, n$ and $m_j > \mu$, which form a basis of $\text{Hom}_{gl(n)}(Y_{p^\mu-1}, V_0^*(\lambda_1))$. Hence

$$\bigoplus_{\mu > 0} \text{Hom}_{gl(n)}(Y_{p^\mu-1}, V_0^*(\lambda_1)) = \langle \psi_j^{(\mu)} | j=1, \dots, n, m_j > \mu > 0 \rangle. \quad (3.3)$$

If $p > 2$, then

$$\text{Hom}_{gl(n)}(Y_1, V_0^*(\lambda_1)) = 0.$$

Since the action of I on Y_1 is the scalar multiplication by 1. If $p=2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{gl(n)}(Y_1, V_0^*(\lambda_1)) &\cong \text{Hom}_{sl(n)}(Y_1, V_0(\lambda_{n-1})) \cong \text{Hom}_{gl(n)}(W_{[1]}, V_0(\lambda_{n-1})) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_{gl(n)}(\mathfrak{U}_{[2]} \otimes W_{[-1]}, V_0(\lambda_{n-1})). \end{aligned}$$

As $sl(n)$ -modules we have $\mathfrak{U}_{[2]} \cong V_0(2\lambda_1)$ and $W_{[-1]} \cong V_0(\lambda_{n-1})$. In prime characteristic, we know that the tensor product $\mathfrak{U}_{[2]} \otimes W_{[-1]}$ has a good filtration with two factors. These two factors are the Weyl modules $\bar{V}_{2\lambda_1+\lambda_{n-1}}$ and $\bar{V}_{\lambda_1}$ with highest weights $2\lambda_1+\lambda_{n-1}$ and λ_1 , respectively. We claim that

$$\text{Hom}_{gl(n)}(\bar{V}_{2\lambda_1+\lambda_{n-1}}, \bar{V}_{\lambda_{n-1}}) = 0,$$

where $\bar{V}_{\lambda_{n-1}}$ is the Weyl module with highest weight λ_{n-1} . Let the maximal torus T be the subgroup of $G = GL(n)$ consisting of diagonal matrices, $X(T)$ the lattice of all weights of T and $X(T)^+$ the set of dominant weights in $X(T)$. Then any weight $\mu \in X(T)$ is of the form

$$\mu(g) = (g_{11})^{\mu_1} \dots (g_{nn})^{\mu_n},$$

where $g = (g_{ij}) \in T$ and $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n$ are integers, so we can identify μ with the vector $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. By definition

$$X(T)^+ = \{\mu \in (T) | \mu_1 \geq \mu_2 \geq \dots \geq \mu_n \geq 0\}.$$

Clearly $X(T)^+$ is in 1-1 correspondence with the set of partitions with at most n parts. Then $2\lambda_1+\lambda_{n-1}$ and λ_{n-1} can be identified with the partitions $\mu = (3, 1, 1, \dots, 1, 0)$ and $\mu' = (1, 1, \dots, 1, 0)$ respectively. Since $\sum \mu_i (=n+1) \neq \sum \mu'_i (=n-1)$ in $\mathbb{Z}$, by [2, § 3.8, Remark 2)], we obtain the asserted result. It implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{gl(n)}(Y_1, V_0^*(\lambda_1)) &= \text{Hom}_{gl(n)}(\bar{V}_{\lambda_1}, \bar{V}_{\lambda_{n-1}}) = \text{Hom}_{gl(n)}(V_0(\lambda_1), V_0(\lambda_{n-1})) \\ &\cong \begin{cases} F, & \text{if } n=2, \\ 0, & \text{if } n>2. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

By (3.2), (3.3) and (3.4), we have

Theorem 3.1. Suppose that $p > 0$ and $W(n, m)$ is simple. Then

$$H^1(W(n, m), W(n, m)) \cong \begin{cases} \langle \psi_j^{(\mu)} | j=1, \dots, n, m_j > \mu > 0 \rangle \oplus F, & \text{if } p=2 \text{ and } n=2, \\ \langle \psi_j^{(\mu)} | j=1, \dots, n, m_j > \mu > 0 \rangle, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Remark 3.1. Now we shall determine the derivation algebra of $W(2, 1)$ for $p=2$. In the same way, we can also deal with the other case. Since the $\mathfrak{gl}(2)$ -modules $\langle x^{(\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_2)} D_2, x^{(\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_2)} D_1 \rangle (\subseteq W_{[1]})$ and $\langle D_2, D_1 \rangle$ are isomorphic to $V_D(\lambda_1)$ and $V_0^*(\lambda_1)$, respectively, we can easily see that

$$\varphi(x^{(\alpha)} D_j) = \begin{cases} D_j, & \text{if } \alpha = \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 \text{ and } j=1, 2, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

is a 1-cocycle on W_0 . Using the method in the proof of [7, Lemma 2.1], φ can be extended to a 1-cocycle $\tilde{\varphi}$ on W such that

$$\tilde{\varphi}(x^{(\alpha)} D_j) = x^{(p-\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_2)} D_j, \text{ for } \alpha \in A(n, m), j=1, 2,$$

is an outer derivation of $W(2, 1)$ and

$$H^1(W(2, 1), W(2, 1)) \cong \langle \tilde{\varphi} \rangle.$$

§ 4. The Derivation Algebras of $S(n, m)$,

Let $\text{char. } F = p > 0$, $S = S(n, m)$ and $S_i = \bigoplus_{j \geq i} S_{[j]}$. First, we shall compute $H^1(S(n, m), \tilde{V}_0(\lambda_{n-1}))$. By [5, Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2] or [8, Corollary 4.5], we have

$$H^1(S, \tilde{V}_0(\lambda_{n-1})) \cong H^1(S, S_{[-1]}, \tilde{V}_0(\lambda_{n-1})) \cong H^1(S_0, V_0(\lambda_{n-1})). \quad (4.1)$$

Using the cohomology five-term sequence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow H^1(\mathfrak{sl}(n), V_0(\lambda_{n-1})^{S_1}) &\rightarrow H^1(S_0, V_0(\lambda_{n-1})) \\ &\rightarrow H^1(S_1, V_0(\lambda_{n-1}))^{S_1(n)} \rightarrow H^1(\mathfrak{sl}(n), V_0(\lambda_{n-1})^{S_1}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

As S_1 acts trivially on $V_0(\lambda_{n-1})$,

$$H^1(S_1, V_0(\lambda_{n-1}))^{S_1(n)} \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{sl}(n)}(S_1/[S_1, S_1], V_0(\lambda_{n-1})).$$

We regard $S_1/[S_1, S_1]$ as an $S_{[0]} (\cong \mathfrak{sl}(n))$ -module and investigate its weight vectors

with the weight $\lambda_{n-1} = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} A_i$. Let $D_{i,j}(x^{(\alpha)}) + [S_1, S_1]$ be one of them, where $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathfrak{A}(n, m)$. Write $D = D_{i,j}(x^{(\alpha)})$ and note that

$$\begin{cases} \alpha_k \equiv \delta_{ki} + \delta_{kj} + \alpha_1 - \delta_{1i} - \delta_{1j} \pmod{p}, & k=2, \dots, n-1, \\ \alpha_n \equiv \delta_{ni} + \delta_{nj} + \alpha_1 - 1 - \delta_{1i} - \delta_{1j} \pmod{p} \end{cases} \quad (4.3)$$

and

$$[x^{(k)} D_l, D] \in [S_1, S_1], \text{ for } 1 \leq k \leq l \leq n. \quad (4.4)$$

By (4.3) and (4.4), we can show that

$$D = D_{i,n}(x^{(\varepsilon_i + p^k \varepsilon_1)}), \text{ for } i=1, \dots, n-1, l=1, \dots, n \text{ and } 1 \leq k < m_1.$$

Since $D_{i,n}(x^{(\varepsilon_i + p^k \varepsilon_1)}) = -x^{(p^k \varepsilon_1)} D_n$, $i, l=1, \dots, n-1$, and $D_{1,n}(x^{(\varepsilon_1 + p^k \varepsilon_n)}) = D_{i,n}(x^{(\varepsilon_i + p^k \varepsilon_n)})$, $i=2, \dots, n-1$, we have

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{sl}(n)}(S_1/[S_1, S_1], V_0(\lambda_{n-1})) \cong \langle D_{1,n}(x^{(\varepsilon_1 + p^k \varepsilon_n)}) \mid l=1, \dots, n, 1 \leq k < m_l \rangle. \quad (4.5)$$

Suppose that $p \geq 2$. By [10, Theorem III. 2], we have

$$H^*(\mathfrak{sl}(n), V_0(\lambda_{n-1})) = 0. \quad (4.6)$$

Therefore, we have

Lemma 4.1. Suppose that $p > 2$. Then

$$H^1(S(n, \mathbf{m}), \tilde{V}_0(\lambda_{n-1})) \cong \langle D_{1,n}(\omega^{(e_1 + p^i e_j)}) \mid 1 \leq i < m_j, j = 1, \dots, n \rangle.$$

Next, we shall compute $H^1(S, S)$. Since [15, Theorem 2.2] is also true for $p > 0$, we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow S \rightarrow \tilde{V}_0(\lambda_{n-1}) \rightarrow F^{n+1} \rightarrow 0.$$

Then we have the long exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(S, F^{n+1}) \rightarrow H^1(S, S) \rightarrow H^1(S, \tilde{V}_0(\lambda_{n-1})) \rightarrow H^1(S, F^{n+1}). \quad (4.7)$$

Since $H^0(S, F^{n+1}) \cong F^{n+1}$ and $H^1(S, F^{n+1}) = 0$, by Lemma 4.1, we have

Theorem 4.1. Suppose that $p > 2$. Then

$$H^1(S(n, \mathbf{m}), S(n, \mathbf{m})) \cong F^{n+1} \oplus \langle D_{1,n}(\omega^{(e_1 + p^i e_j)}) \mid 1 \leq i < m_j, j = 1, \dots, n \rangle.$$

Remark 4.1. In (4.7), the linear map

$H^1(S, S) \rightarrow H^1(S, \tilde{V}_0(\lambda_{n-1})) (\cong \langle D_{1,n}(\omega^{(e_1 + p^i e_j)}) \mid 1 \leq i < m_j, j = 1, \dots, n \rangle)$ sends the outer derivation $(\text{ad } D_j)^{p^i}$ of $S(n, \mathbf{m})$ onto $D_{1,n}(\omega^{(e_1 + p^i e_j)})$.

§ 5. The Derivation Algebras of $H(n, \mathbf{m})$

Since $H(n, \mathbf{m})$ ($p > 2$) possesses a nondegenerate associative form (of, [16, Char. 4, Theorem 6.5]), $H(n, \mathbf{m})^* \cong H(n, \mathbf{m})$ (regarded as $H(n, \mathbf{m})$ -modules). By [6, Theorem 5.1], we have

Theorem 5.1. Suppose that $p > 2$. Then

$$\dim_F H^1(H(n, \mathbf{m}), H(n, \mathbf{m})) = |\mathbf{m}| + 2.$$

§ 6 The Derivation Algebras of $K(n, \mathbf{m})$

First, suppose that $n+3 \equiv 6 \pmod{p}$. By (2.17), we have the long exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow F \rightarrow H^1(K(n, \mathbf{m}), K(n, \mathbf{m})) \rightarrow H^1(K(n, \mathbf{m}), U(K) \otimes_{\theta(K, K_0)} V(0, -2)_\sigma) \rightarrow 0. \quad (6.1)$$

By [8, Theorem 4.1],

$$H^1(K, U(K) \otimes_{\theta(K, K_0)} V(0, -2)_\sigma) \cong H^1(K_0, V(0, -2)). \quad (6.2)$$

Using the cohomology five-term sequence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow H^1(K_{[0]}, V(0, -2)) &\rightarrow H^1(K_0, V(0, -2)) \\ &\rightarrow H^1(K_1, V(0, -2))^{K_{[0]}} \rightarrow H^1(K_{[0]}, V(0, -2)). \end{aligned} \quad (6.3)$$

Since $\langle \omega^{(e_n)} \rangle$ is an ideal of $K_{[0]}$, there is the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence $\{E_r^{i,j}\}$, whose E_∞ term is associated with $H^*(K_{[0]}, V(0, -2))$, that is,

$$E_2^{i,j} = H^i(K_{[0]}/\langle \omega^{(e_n)} \rangle, H^j(\langle \omega^{(e_n)} \rangle, V(0, 2))) \Rightarrow H^k(K_{[0]}, V(0, -2))$$

for $k = i + j$. Since $H^j(\langle \omega^{(e_n)} \rangle, V(0, -2)) = 0$, we have

$$H^k(K_{[0]}, V(0, -2)) = 0, \text{ for } k \geq 0. \quad (6.4)$$

By (6.3), (6.4) and direct computation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} H^1(K_0, V(0, -2)) &\cong H^1(K_1, V(0, -2))^{K_{[0]}} \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_{K_{[0]}}(K_1/[K_1, K_1], V(0, -2)) \\ &\cong \langle x^{(p^i e_j)} \mid i=1, \dots, m_j-1, j=1, \dots, n \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (6.5)$$

By (6.1), (6.2) and (6.5), we have

$$H^1(K, K) \cong F \oplus \langle x^{(p^i e_j)} \mid i=1, \dots, m_j-1, j=1, \dots, n \rangle. \quad (6.6)$$

Next, suppose that $n+3 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. By (2.16), (6.2) and (6.5), we have

$$H^1(K, K) \cong \langle x^{(p^i e_j)} \mid i=1, \dots, m_j-1, j=1, \dots, n \rangle. \quad (6.7)$$

Therefore, we have

Theorem 6.1. Suppose that $p > 2$. Then

$$H^1(K(n, m), K(n, m)) \cong \begin{cases} F \oplus \langle x^{(p^i e_j)} \mid i=1, \dots, m_j-1, j=1, \dots, n \rangle, \\ \quad \text{if } n+3 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}, \\ \langle x^{(p^i e_j)} \mid i=1, \dots, m_j-1, j=1, \dots, n \rangle, \\ \quad \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

References

- [1] Andersen, H. H. & Jantzen, J. C., Cohomology of induced representations for algebraic groups, *Math. Ann.* **269** (1984), 487—525.
- [2] Carter, R. W. & Lusztig, G., On the modular representations of the general linear and symmetric groups, *Math. Z.*, **136** (1974), 193—242.
- [3] Ju. Celousov, M., Derivations of Lie algebras of Cartan type, *Izv. Vyss. Uchebn. Zaved Matematika* **7**, 126—134.
- [4] Chiu Sen, On the restricted cohomology of the Lie algebras of the simple algebraic groups (in Chinese), *Chin. Ann. of Math.*, **6A**: 3 (1985), 281—289.
- [5] Chiu Sen, Cohomology of graded Lie algebras of Cartan type $S(n, m)$, *Chin. Ann. of Math.*, **10B**: 1 (1989), 105—114.
- [6] Chiu Sen, Central extensions and $H^1(L, L^*)$ of the graded Lie algebras of Cartan type, *J. Algebra* (to appear).
- [7] Chiu, S. & Yu. Shen, G., Cohomology of graded Lie algebras of Cartan type of characteristic p , *Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg*, **57** (1987), 139—156.
- [8] Farnsteiner, R., Extension functors of modular Lie algebras and Frobenius algebras (preprint).
- [9] Farnsteiner, R. & Strade, H., Cohomology theory of modular Lie algebras: Shapiro's lemma and its consequences (preprint).
- [10] Feldvoss, J., Homologische Aspekte der Darstellungstheorie modularer Lie-Algebren, der Universität Hamburg, Dissertation, 1989.
- [11] Humphreys, J., Introduction to Lie algebras and representation theory, Springer, New York, 1972.
- [12] Rotman, J., An introduction to homological algebra, New York, Academic Press, 1979.
- [13] Shen Guangyu, Graded modules of graded Lie algebras of Cartan type (I) mixed product of modules, *Scientia Sinica (Ser. A)*, **29**: 6 (1986), 570—581.
- [14] Shen Guangyu, Graded modules of graded Lie algebras of Cartan type (II)—positive and negative graded modules, *Scientia Sinica (Ser. A)*, **29**: 10 (1986), 1009—1019.
- [15] Shen Guangyu, Graded modules of graded Lie algebras of Cartan type (II)—irreducible modules, *Chin. Ann. of Math.*, **9B**: 4 (1988), 404—417.
- [16] Strane, R. & Farnsteiner, R., Modular Lie algebras and their representations, Dekker 126, New York, 1988.
- [17] Wan Zhexian, Lie algebras, Science Publishing House, Beijing, 1964 (in Chinese).
- [18] Wilson, R., A structural characterization of the simple Lie algebras of generalized Cartan type over fields of prime characteristic $J. Algebra$, **40** (1976), 418—465.