

COMPARISON BETWEEN MAXIMAL AND SQUARE FUNCTIONS OF 1-FORMS AND ITS APPLICATIONS**

CHEN JIECHENG (陈杰诚)*

Abstract.

A norm relation between nontangential square function and nontangential maximal function of 1-forms is obtained. As applications, the author gets L^p -boundedness of Littlewood-Paley-Stein g -function operator on 1-forms, and gives an analytic proof of L^p -boundedness of Riesz transform, where $1 < p < \infty$.

§ 1. Introduction

Let M be a noncompact complete Riemannian manifold of dimension n , $\text{Ric}(M) \geq 0$, Δ and Δ_1 the Laplace operators ($d\delta + \delta d$) on functions and 1-forms respectively, $\{P_t\}_{t>0}$ and $\{\mathbf{P}_t\}_{t>0}$ the corresponding Poisson semi-groups. For C_c^∞ function f and 1-form ω on M , define its square function and maximal function as follows

$$\begin{cases} A_{P,\alpha}(\omega)(x) = \left(\int_0^\infty \int_{B_x(\alpha t)} |\nabla \mathbf{P}_t(\omega)(y)|^2 V_x^{-1}(\alpha t) t \, dy \, dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ N_{P,\alpha}(\omega)(x) = \sup_{d(x,y) < \alpha t} |\mathbf{P}_t(\omega)(y)|, \end{cases} \quad (0 < \alpha < \infty). \quad (1)$$

$A_{P,\alpha}(f)$ and $N_{P,\alpha}(f)$ are similar, where $\nabla = (\nabla, \partial/\partial t)$, ∇ is gradient operator of M .

In [7], we developed the technique of [4] and proved (for product manifolds)

Theorem A. $\|A_{P,\alpha}(f)\|_p \leq C_{n,p,\alpha,\beta} \|N_{P,\beta}(f)\|_p$ for $0 < \alpha, \beta, p < +\infty$.

In this paper, we prove

Theorem 1. $\|A_{P,\alpha}(\omega)\|_p \leq C_{n,p,\alpha,\beta} \|N_{P,\beta}(\omega)\|_p$ for $0 < \alpha, \beta, p < +\infty$.

Applying this theorem, we give an analytic proof of L^p -boundedness of Riesz transform $\nabla(+\Delta)^{-1/2}$ ($1 < p < +\infty$), which was originally obtained in [1, 2, 5] and proposed in [11].

In this paper, $C_{n,p,\dots}$ denotes a positive number depending only on $n, p, \dots$, it may be different when it appears in different places.

I would like to express my many thanks to Prof. Cheng Minde for his enthusiastic support, Prof. Wang Silei for his patient guidance, and the referee for pointing out an error of the original form of Lemma 3.

Manuscript received July 4, 1989. Revised September 16, 1991.

* Department of Mathematics, Hangzhou University, Hangzhou, Zhejiang 310028, China.

** Partially Supported by NNSF of China.

§ 2. A Note on Carleson Measures

For a ball $B \subset M$, $\hat{B} = B \times [0, 2r_B]$ where r_B is radius of B . For a nonnegative Borel measure $d\mu$ on $M \times R_+^1$,

$$\|d\mu\|_{C.M.} \triangleq \sup\{\mu(\hat{B})/|B|: \text{for all ball } B\}.$$

Lemma 1. For $0 < \gamma, \beta < +\infty$, there hold

1°. $\forall C^\infty$ 1-form η on $M \times R_+^1$, there holds (where $\Delta_* = -\Delta + \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)^2$, $\Delta = -\Delta_1 + \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)^2$)

$$\Delta_* |\eta|^2 \geq 2 |\nabla \eta|^2 + 2 \langle \eta, \Delta_* \eta \rangle.$$

2°. $\exists \delta_0 = \delta_0(\beta, n) \in (0, 1)$ and $K_n > 0$, s. t.

a). $\forall$ ball B , $P_t(\chi_B) \geq \delta_0$ on $\hat{B}$, $P_t(\chi_B) \leq \delta_0/2$ out of $(K_n B)^\wedge$.

b). $\forall$ closed set E , $\exists$ closed set $F \subset E$, s. t.

$$|F^c| \leq C_{n,\gamma,\beta} |E^c|,$$

$$P_t(\chi_E) \geq 1 - \delta_0/2 \text{ on } \bigcup_{y \in F} \Gamma_\gamma(y),$$

$$P_t(\chi_E) \leq 1 - \delta_0 \text{ out of } \bigcup_{y \in E} \Gamma_\beta(y),$$

where $\Gamma_\alpha(y) \triangleq \{(x \in M \times R_+^1): d(x, y) < \alpha t\}$.

3°. $\forall c < d$, $\exists \varphi \in C_c^\infty(R^1)$, s. t. $\varphi(t) = 0$ for $t \leq c$, $\varphi(t) = 1$ for $t \geq d$, $|\varphi'(t)| + |\varphi''(t)| \leq C \cdot \varphi^{3/4}(t)$.

1° can be obtained from Westzenbock formula^[12] because $\text{Ric}(M \times R_+^1) \geq 0$; 2° and 3° are from [7, Lemma 2].

Now, for $\lambda > 0$, set $E_\lambda = \{x: N_{P,\beta}(\omega)(x) \leq \lambda\}$. By Lemma 1, there exists $\varphi_1 \in C_c^\infty(R^1)$ (which depends only on γ, β and n) and $F_\lambda \subset E_\lambda$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \varphi_1(v_\lambda) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{out of } \bigcup_{y \in E_\lambda} \Gamma_\beta(y), \\ 1 & \text{on } \bigcup_{y \in F_\lambda} \Gamma_\gamma(y), \end{cases} \\ 0 \leq \varphi_1 \leq 1, |\varphi_1'(t)| + |\varphi_1''(t)| \leq C \cdot \varphi_1^{3/4}(t), \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

where $v_\lambda \triangleq P_t(\chi_{E_\lambda})$. We have

Lemma 2. $\|d\mu_{\omega,\lambda}\|_{C.M.} \leq C_{n,\gamma,\beta} \cdot \lambda^2$ where

$$d\mu_{\omega,\lambda} \triangleq \Delta_* (|P_t(\omega)(y)|^2) \varphi_1(v_\lambda(y, t)) t \, dy \, dt.$$

Proof For a fixed ball B , set $u = P_t(\chi_B)$. By Lemma 1, there exists $\varphi_2 \in C_c^\infty(R^1)$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \varphi_2(u^2) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{on } \hat{B}, \\ 0 & \text{out of } (K_n B)^\wedge, \end{cases} \\ 0 \leq \varphi_2 \leq 1, |\varphi_2'(t)| + |\varphi_2''(t)| \leq C \cdot \varphi_2^{3/4}(t). \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

Set

$$A(B) = \iint_{M \times R_+^1} \varphi_2(u) d\mu_{\omega, \lambda} \quad (\geq \mu_{\omega, \lambda}(B)) \quad (4)$$

and take $h \in C_c^\infty(R^1)$ such that $h(t) = 1$ for $t \leq 1$ and $h(t) = 0$ for $t \geq 2$. Then (if necessary, we can replace $A(B)$ by

$$A_\varepsilon(B) = \iint_{M \times R_+^1} A_*(|P_{t+\varepsilon}(\omega)(y)|^2) \varphi_1(v_\lambda(y, t+\varepsilon)) \varphi_2(u(y, t+\varepsilon)) \cdot t dt dy,$$

then, a limit procedure will give our desired results)

$$\begin{aligned} A(B) &= \lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} \iint_{M \times R_+^1} h\left(\frac{t}{R}\right) \varphi_2(u) d\mu_{\omega, \lambda} \\ &= \lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} \iint_{M \times R_+^1} \left\{ \nabla(|P_t(\omega)|^2) \cdot \nabla(\varphi_1(v_\lambda) \varphi_2(u)) \right. \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)^2 (|P_t(\omega)|^2 \varphi_1(v_\lambda) \varphi_2(u)) - |P_t(\omega)|^2 \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t^2}\right)^2 (\varphi_1(v_\lambda) \varphi_2(u)) \\ &\quad \left. - 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (|P_t(\omega)|^2) \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\varphi_1(v_\lambda) \varphi_2(u)) \right\} h\left(\frac{t}{R}\right) t dt dy. \end{aligned}$$

Now, $|\nabla|P_t(\omega)|^2| = |\nabla \langle P_t(\omega), P_t(\omega) \rangle| \leq 2|\nabla P_t(\omega)| |P_t(\omega)|$, so

$$\begin{aligned} A(B) &\leq C_{n, \gamma, \beta} \iint_{M \times R_+^1} \{ |\nabla P_t(\omega)| |P_t(\omega)| |\nabla(\varphi_1(v_\lambda) \varphi_2(u))| \\ &\quad + |P_t(\omega)|^2 (|\nabla v_\lambda|^2 u^2 + |\nabla u|^2 + (|\nabla v_\lambda| |\nabla u| u)) \} t dy dt \\ &\quad + \lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} \iint_{M \times R_+^1} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)^2 (|P_t(\omega)|^2 \varphi_1(v_\lambda) \varphi_2(u)) \cdot h\left(\frac{t}{R}\right) \cdot t dy dt. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

By Lemma 1 and the proof of [7, Lemma 11], we have

$$|\nabla P_t(\omega)|^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} A_*(|P_t(\omega)|^2),$$

$$\iint_{M \times R_+^1} |\nabla v_\lambda|^2 u^2 t dy dt \leq C_n \cdot |B| \cdot \lambda^2,$$

$$\iint_{M \times R_+^1} |\nabla u|^2 t dy dt \leq C_n \cdot |B| \cdot \lambda^2;$$

on the other hand, noting that $(h(t/R)t)''_{tt} = O(1/R)$, $(h(t/R)t)'_t = O(1)$, we have the last term of (5) (without $\lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty}$)

$$\begin{aligned} &= - \iint_{M \times R_+^1} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (|P_t(\omega)|^2 \varphi_1(v_\lambda) \varphi_2(u)) \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(h\left(\frac{t}{R}\right) t \right) dt dy \\ &= C(1) \int_M |P_0(\omega)|^2 \varphi_1(v_\lambda) \varphi_2(u) dy \\ &\quad + \iint_{M \times R_+^1} |P_t(\omega)|^2 \varphi_1(v_\lambda) \varphi_2(u) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t^2}\right)^2 \left(h\left(\frac{t}{R}\right) t \right) dt dy \\ &= O(1) \cdot \lambda^2 \cdot |B| + O(1) \cdot \int_M \sup_{t \geq R} |u(y, t)|^2 dy, \end{aligned}$$

where $\int_M \sup_{t \geq R} |u(y, t)|^2 dy \xrightarrow{R \rightarrow \infty} 0$. Thus

$$A(B) \leq C_{n, \gamma, \beta} ((A(B) \cdot \lambda^2 |B|)^{1/2} + \lambda^2 |B|),$$

so, $A(B) \leq C_{n, \gamma, \beta} \lambda^2 |B|$, and $\mu_{\omega, \lambda}(B) \leq C_{n, \gamma, \beta} \lambda^2 |B|$ (by (4)), i. e., $\|\tilde{d}\mu_{\omega, \lambda}\|_{C, M} \leq C_{n, \gamma, \beta} \cdot \lambda^2$.

§ 3. A Note on BMO(M) Functions

For an $f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(M)$

$$\|f\|_{BMO} \triangleq \sup \left\{ |B|^{-1} \int_B |f(y) - (f)_B| dy : \text{for all ball } B \right\},$$

where $(f)_B \triangleq |B|^{-1} \int_B f(y) dy$. We have

Lemma 3. If $\|f\|_{BMO} = 1$, $\lambda > 1$ and $\delta > \varepsilon > 0$, then

1°. $\forall$ ball B

$$|\{x \in B : |f(x) - (f)_B| > \lambda\}| \leq C_n \cdot e^{-\lambda/C_n} \cdot |B|.$$

2°. $|\{x \in M : |f(x)| > \lambda \delta\}| \leq C_n \cdot e^{-\lambda/C_n} |\{x \in M : |f(x)| > \delta\}|.$

Proof 1° is a easy corollary of [9, Proposition 1]. To prove 2°, set $E = \{x \in M : |f(x)| > \delta\}$, and suppose $|E| < +\infty$. Fix $x_0 \in M$ and $R > 0$. Take a numerable dense subset of $E - E_0$, $\{x_j\}_j$, where E_0 is the set of nondensity points of E (i. e., $x \in E - E_0 \Leftrightarrow x \in E$ and $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} V_x^{-1}(r) |B_x(r) \cap E| \neq 1$). Without loss of generality, we assume $E_0 = \emptyset$. Let

$$B_{i,j} = B_{x_j}(2^i), \quad i = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$$

B^j is the maximal ball of $\{B_{i,j}\}_i$ which satisfies

$$|E \cap B^j| \geq |B^j|/2 \text{ and } |E \cap B^j/2| \geq |B^j/2|/2,$$

$$\mathcal{F} = \{B^j\}_j,$$

$$\mathcal{F}_R = \{B^j \in \mathcal{F} : \text{center of } B^j \in B_{x_0}(R)\}.$$

By density of x_j , B^j is well-defined; and, by the denseness of $\{x_j\}_j$ in E and the fact $|E| < +\infty$, we see that

$$i_R \triangleq \max \{i : \mathcal{F}_R \cap \{B_{i,j}\}_j \text{ is nonempty}\} < +\infty.$$

$\mathcal{F}_R$ is an open covering of $E \cap B_{x_0}(R)$.

Now, select a subfamily of $\mathcal{F}_R$, $\mathcal{F}^R \triangleq \bigcup_{i \leq i_R} \{B^i_1\}_1$, as follows. When $i = i_R$, $\{B^i_1\}_1$ is chosen to be a maximal subfamily of $\mathcal{F}_R \cap \{B_{i,j}\}_j$ (which is a finite family) such that any ball in which does not contain centers of other balls. If we have chosen $\{B^i_1\}_1$ ($i^* \leq i \leq i_R$), then choose $\{B^{i^*-1}_1\}_1$ to be a maximal subfamily of $\mathcal{F}_R \cap \{B_{i^*-1,j}\}_j$ (which is also a finite family) such that any ball in which does not contain centers of others and its own center is not in $\bigcup_{i^* \leq i \leq i_R} (\bigcup_i B^i_1)$. By induction, we have got $\mathcal{F}^R$ which satisfies

$$a^\circ. \sum_{B \in \mathcal{F}^R} |B| \leq C_n \cdot |E|,$$

$$b^\circ. \bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{F}^R} 2B \supset E \cap B_{x_0}(R),$$

$$c^\circ. |E^\circ \cap 2B| \geq |2B|/2, (\forall B \in \mathcal{F}^R).$$

Proof of a° By definition of $\mathcal{F}^R$, $\{B/2\}_{B \in \mathcal{F}^R}$ is disjoint mutually, and by definition of $\mathcal{F}$ (note that $\mathcal{F}^R \subset \mathcal{F}$), $|B/2| \leq 2|E \cap B/2|$ for any $B \in \mathcal{F}^R$, so

$$\sum_{B \in \mathcal{F}^R} |B| \leq 2^n \cdot \sum_{B \in \mathcal{F}^R} |B/2| \leq 2^{n+1} \cdot \sum_{B \in \mathcal{F}^R} |E \cap B/2| \leq 2^{n+1} |E|.$$

Proof of b° By maximality of $\{B_i^1\}_1$

$$\bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{F}^R \cap \{B_{t,j}\}_j} B \subset \bigcup_{t \leq s \leq t_R} (\bigcup_i 2B_i^1).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} E \cap B_{x_0}(R) &\subset \bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{F}^R} B = \bigcup_{-\infty < t \leq t_R} \left(\bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{F}^R \cap \{B_{t,j}\}_j} B \right) \\ &\subset \bigcup_{t \leq t_R} \bigcup_{t \leq s \leq t_R} \bigcup_i 2B_i^1 = \bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{F}^R} 2B. \end{aligned}$$

Proof of c° $\forall B \in \mathcal{F}^R$, by definition of $\mathcal{F}$, $|2B \subset E| \leq \frac{1}{2}|2B|$, so, $|2B \cap E^\circ| \geq \frac{1}{2}|2B|$. Now by c° , we get $(\forall B \in \mathcal{F}^R)$

$$|(f)_{2B}| \leq 2(|f|)_{2B} \cdot |E^\circ \cap 2B|/|2B|$$

$$\leq 2 \left\{ |2B|^{-1} \int_{2B \cap E^\circ} |f(x)| dx - |2B|^{-1} \int_{2B \cap E^\circ} |f(x) - (f)_{2B}| dx \right\}$$

$$\leq 2(\delta + \|f\|_{BMO}) \leq 2\delta + 4.$$

Thus from a° , b° and c° , we have (without loss of generality, we may assume $\lambda > 2 - \frac{4}{\delta}$)

$$|\{x \in B_{x_0}(R) : |f(x)| > \lambda\delta\}| \leq \sum_{B \in \mathcal{F}^R} |\{x \in 2B : |f(x)| > \lambda\delta\}|$$

$$\leq \sum_{B \in \mathcal{F}^R} |\{x \in 2B : |f(x) - (f)_{2B}| > \lambda\delta - 2\delta - 4\}|$$

$$\leq C_n \cdot \sum_{B \in \mathcal{F}^R} e^{-\lambda/C_n} |2B| \leq C_n \cdot e^{-\lambda/C_n} \cdot |E|.$$

Finally, letting $R \rightarrow +\infty$, we get 2° .

§ 4. Proof of Theorem 1

Take $\psi \in C_c^\infty(R^1)$ such that

$$\psi(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & t \leq 1 \\ 0, & t \geq 2 \end{cases} \text{ and } 0 \leq \psi \leq 1.$$

Then, for $\alpha > 0$, let

$$\Psi_t^\alpha(x, y) = \psi\left(\frac{d(x, y)}{\alpha t}\right) / \int_M \psi\left(\frac{d(x, y)}{\alpha t}\right) dy. \quad (6)$$

We have

Lemma 4. For $f \in H^1(M)$, $0 < \alpha < +\infty$, $\|N_\Psi(f)\|_1 \leq C_{n, \psi, \alpha} \|f\|_{H^1}$, where

$$N_{\Psi}(f)(x) \triangleq \sup_{d(x,y) \leq t} \left| \int_M \Psi_t^{\alpha}(x, y) f(y) dy \right|.$$

Proof By [6, Theorem 1], it is enough to prove

$$\|N_{\Psi}(a)\|_1 \leq C_{n,\psi,\alpha}$$

for any H^1 -atom a . Suppose a is an H^1 -atom, i. e., $\text{supp } a \subset B = B_{x_0}(r_0)$, $\|a\|_2 \leq |B|^{-1/2}$, $\int_M a(x) dx = 0$. For $z \in 2B$ and $d(y, z) \leq t$, if $x \in B$, then (note that $|\nabla d(x, y)| = 1$) $\exists \xi_x \in \overline{B_{x_0}}$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} |\Psi_t^{\alpha}(x, y) - \Psi_t^{\alpha}(x_0, y)| &\leq \left| \psi' \left(\frac{d(\xi_x, y)}{\alpha t} \right) \right| \frac{d(x_0, x)}{\alpha t} / \int_M \psi \left(\frac{d(\xi_x, y)}{\alpha t} \right) dy \\ &\quad + \psi \left(\frac{d(\xi_x, y)}{\alpha t} \right) \int_M \left| \psi' \left(\frac{d(\xi_x, y)}{\alpha t} \right) \right| \frac{dy}{\alpha t} \frac{d(x_0, x)}{\alpha t} / \left(\int_M \psi \left(\frac{d(\xi_x, y)}{\alpha t} \right) dy \right)^2 \\ &\leq C_{n,\psi} \cdot \frac{r_0}{\alpha t} (V_y(\alpha t) + V_{\xi_x}(\alpha t))^{-1} \chi_{d(\xi_x, y) \leq 2\alpha t} \triangleq C_{n,\psi} \cdot r_0 \cdot \Phi_t^{\alpha}(\xi_x, y), \end{aligned}$$

because

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M \psi \left(\frac{d(\xi_x, y)}{\alpha t} \right) dy &\geq \int_{B_{\xi_x}(\alpha t)} dy = V_{\xi_x}(\alpha t) \geq C_n \cdot V_y(\alpha t), \\ \int_M \left| \psi' \left(\frac{d(\xi_x, y)}{\alpha t} \right) \right| dy &\leq \int_{B_{\xi_x}(\alpha t)} \|\psi'\|_{\infty} dy \leq C_{n,\psi} \cdot V_y(\alpha t). \end{aligned}$$

Now, when $d(x_0, z)/3 \leq d(\xi_x, y) \leq 3d(x_0, z)$

$$\Phi_t^{\alpha}(\xi_x, y) \leq \frac{2}{d(\xi_x, y)} V_y^{-1} \left(\frac{d(\xi_x, y)}{2} \right) \leq C_n \cdot d^{-1}(x_0, z) V_{x_0}^{-1}(d(x_0, z));$$

when $d(\xi_x, y) \geq 3d(z, x_0)$

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_t^{\alpha}(\xi_x, y) &\leq \frac{2}{d(\xi_x, y)} V_{\xi_x}^{-1} \left(\frac{d(\xi_x, y)}{2} \right) \leq C_n \cdot d^{-1}(x_0, z) V_{\xi_x}^{-1} \left(\frac{d(z, x_0)}{2} \right) \\ &\leq C_n \cdot d^{-1}(x_0, z) V_{x_0}^{-1} \left(\frac{d(z, x_0)}{2} \right); \end{aligned}$$

when $d(\xi_x, y) \leq d(z, x_0)/3$, $d(x_0, z)/2 \leq d(\xi_x, z) \leq d(\xi_x, y) + d(y, z) \leq (1+\alpha)t$

$$\Phi_t^{\alpha}(\xi_x, y) \leq C_n \cdot \left(\frac{1+\alpha}{\alpha} \right)^{n+1} \cdot d^{-1}(x_0, z) V_{x_0}^{-1}(d(x_0, z)).$$

Thus, for $z \in 2B$, $d(y, z) \leq t$ and $x \in B$

$$|\Psi_t^{\alpha}(x, y) - \Psi_t^{\alpha}(x_0, y)| \leq C_{n,\psi} \cdot \left(\frac{1+\alpha}{\alpha} \right)^{n+1} \cdot \frac{r_0}{d(x_0, z)} V_{x_0}^{-1}(d(x_0, z)).$$

So (note that $\|a\|_1 \leq 1$)

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{d(y,z) \leq t} \left| \int_M \Psi_t^{\alpha}(y, x) a(x) dx \right| &= \sup_{d(y,z) \leq t} \left| \int_M (\Psi_t(y, x) - \Psi_t(y, x_0)) a(x) dx \right| \\ &\leq C_{n,\psi,\alpha} \cdot \frac{r_0}{d(x_0, z)} V_{x_0}^{-1}(d(x_0, z)), \end{aligned}$$

$$\|N_{\Psi}(a)\|_{L^1(2B)^c} \leq C_{n,\psi,\alpha} \cdot \int_{d(x_0,z) \geq 2r_0} \frac{r_0 dz}{d(x_0, z) V_{x_0}(d(x_0, z))} \leq C_{n,\psi,\alpha}. \quad (7)$$

On the other hand, $N_{\Psi}(a) \leq C_{\psi,\alpha} M_0(a)$ (Hardy-Littlewood maximal function of a),

so

$$\|N_{\Psi}(a)\|_{L^1(2B)} \leq |2B|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|N_{\Psi}(a)\|_2 \leq C_{\psi,n,\alpha} \|a\|_2 \cdot |B|^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C_{n,\psi,\alpha}.$$

Combining this with (7), we get $\|N_{\Psi}(a)\|_1 \leq C_{n,\psi,\alpha}$. Lemma 4 is proved.

Now, let E_λ , F_λ and $d\mu_{\omega,\lambda}$ as in section 2. Set

$$A_{P,\psi,\alpha}(\omega)(x) = \left(\iint_{M \times R_+^1} \Psi_t^\alpha(y, x) A_*(|P_t(\omega)(y)|^2) t dt dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

$$f_{\psi,\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\lambda}(x) = \iint_{M \times R_+^1} \Psi_t^\alpha(y, x) d\mu_{\omega,\lambda}.$$

Then, by duality of $H^1(M)$ and $BMO(M)^{[6]}$, and Carleson inequality (see [7, Lemma 8])

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_{\psi,\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\lambda}\|_{BMO} &= \sup_{|g|_{H^1} \leq 1} \left| \int_M f_{\psi,\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\lambda}(x) g(x) dx \right| \\ &= \sup_{|g|_{H^1} \leq 1} \left| \iint_{M \times R_+^1} \left(\int_M \Psi_t^\alpha(y, x) g(x) dx \right) d\mu_{\omega,\lambda} \right| \\ &\leq \sup_{|g|_{H^1} \leq 1} \|N_{\Psi}(g)\|_1 \|d\mu_{\omega,\lambda}\|_{CM} \leq C_{\psi,\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\eta} \cdot \lambda^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by Lemma 3, we get (for $\delta \geq 1$)

$$|\{x: f_{\psi,\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\lambda}(x) > \delta^2 \lambda^2\}| \leq C_{n,\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\psi} e^{-\delta^2/C_n} |\{x: f_{\psi,\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\lambda}(x) > \lambda^2\}|.$$

Finally, if we take γ such that $\gamma \leq \alpha$, then

$$\begin{aligned} A_{P,\psi,\alpha}^2(\omega)(x) &= f_{\psi,\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(x) \text{ on } F_\lambda, \\ A_{P,\psi,\alpha}^2(\omega)(x) &\geq f_{\psi,\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(x) \text{ on } M. \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} &|\{x: A_{P,\psi,\alpha}(\omega)(x) > \delta \lambda\}| \\ &\leq |F_\lambda^c| + |\{x \in F_\lambda: A_{\psi,\alpha}(\omega)(x) > \lambda \delta\}| \\ &\leq C_{n,\alpha,\beta,\psi} |E_\lambda^c| + |\{x: f_{\psi,\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\lambda}(x) > \delta^2 \lambda^2\}| \\ &\leq C_{n,\alpha,\beta,\psi} (|E_\lambda^c| + e^{-\delta^2/C_n} |\{x: f_{\psi,\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\lambda}(x) > \lambda^2\}|) \\ &\leq C_{n,\alpha,\beta,\psi} (|E_\lambda^c| + e^{-\delta^2/C_n} |\{x: A_{P,\psi,\alpha}^2(\omega)(x) > \lambda^2\}|), \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

Lemma 5. For $0 < \alpha, \beta < \infty$, $\delta > 1$

$$\begin{aligned} &|\{x: A_{P,\psi,\alpha}(\omega)(x) > \delta \lambda\}| \\ &\leq C_{n,\alpha,\beta,\psi} (|\{x: N_{P,\beta}(\omega)(x) > \lambda\}| + e^{-\delta^2/C_n} |\{x: A_{P,\psi,\alpha}(\omega)(x) > \lambda\}|). \end{aligned}$$

From this lemma and the fact

$$\|g\|_p = p \int_0^\infty \lambda^{p-1} |\{x: |g(x)| > \lambda\}| d\lambda \quad (0 < p < \infty),$$

we can easily get

$$\|A_{P,\psi,\alpha}(\omega)\|_p \leq C_{n,\alpha,\beta,p} \|N_{P,\beta}(\omega)\|_p \quad (0 < p < \infty).$$

Finally, noting that $A_{P,\alpha}(\omega) \leq A_{P,\psi,\alpha}(\omega)$, we get Theorem 1.

§ 5. Applications

As an application of Theorem 1, we have

Theorem 2. Riesz transform $\nabla(+\Delta)^{-1/2}$ is L^p -bounded for $1 < p < +\infty$.

Proof Let $p' = p/(p-1)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|\nabla(+\Delta)^{-1/2}(f)\|_p &= \sup_{\|\omega\|_{p'} \leq 1} |\langle d(+\Delta)^{-1/2}(f), \omega \rangle_L| \quad (\omega \text{ is 1-form}) \\
 &= \sup_{\|\omega\|_{p'} \leq 1} \left| \int_0^\infty \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial t} P_t(d(+\Delta)^{-1/2}(f)), \frac{\partial}{\partial t} P_t(\omega) \right\rangle_{L^1} t dt \right| \\
 &\quad \text{(by Polarization identity)} \\
 &= \sup_{\|\omega\|_{p'} \leq 1} \left| \int_0^\infty \left\langle dP_t(f), \frac{\partial}{\partial t} P_t(\omega) \right\rangle_{L^1} t dt \right| \\
 &\quad \left(\text{because } \frac{\partial}{\partial t} P_t(d(+\Delta)^{-1/2}) = dP_t \right) \\
 &\leq \sup_{\|\omega\|_{p'} \leq 1} \iint_{M \times \mathbb{R}_+^1} |dP_t(f)| \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} P_t(\omega) \right| t dt dx \\
 &= \sup_{\|\omega\|_{p'} \leq 1} \iint_{M \times \mathbb{R}_+^1} \left(\int_{d(x,y) \leq t} |\nabla P_t(f)(y)| \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} P_t(\omega)(y) \right| V_y^{-1}(t) dy \right) t dt dx \\
 &\leq C_n \sup_{\|\omega\|_{p'} \leq 1} \int_M A_{p,1}(\omega)(x) A_{p,1}(f)(x) dx \\
 &\quad \text{(by Hölder inequality and the fact that } V_y^{-1}(t) \leq C_n V_x^{-1}(t) \text{ for } d(x,y) \leq t) \\
 &\leq C_n \|A_{p,1}(f)\|_{p'} \sup_{\|\omega\|_{p'} \leq 1} \|A_{p,1}(\omega)\|_p \leq C_n \|f\|_p,
 \end{aligned}$$

by Theorem A and Theorem 1. Theorem 2 is proved.

Now, let

$$\begin{aligned}
 g_P(f)(x) &= \left(\int_0^\infty \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} P_t(f)(x) \right|^2 t dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\
 g_P(\omega)(x) &= \left(\int_0^\infty \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} P_t(\omega)(x) \right|^2 t dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

In [5], we proved (by OZO-method and estimates of kernel of g_P)

Theorem B. g_P is weak-type $(1,1)$ bounded and BMO bounded, so it is L^p -bounded for $1 < p < \infty$.

But, for g_P , any gradient estimates of its kernel will involve more curvature properties of the manifold, so, OZO-method fails now. On the other hand, we have no "integral" of forms (such as, 1-forms), the method developed by E. M. Stein^[10] also fails.

Now, as Lemma 1 (1°), we have

$$4_* \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} P_t(\omega) \right|^2 \geq 2 \left| \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t} P_t(\omega) \right|^2 \geq 0$$

i.e., $\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} P_t(\omega) \right|^2$ is subharmonic on $M \times \mathbb{R}_+^1$, so (see the proof of [7, Lemma 3])

$$\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} P_t(\omega)(x) \right|^2 \leq C_{n,\alpha} t^{-1} V_x^{-1} \left(\frac{\alpha t}{3} \right) \int_{B_x(\frac{\alpha t}{3}) \times [\frac{t}{2}, \frac{3}{2}t]} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial s} P_s(\omega)(y) \right|^2 dy ds.$$

And

$$\begin{aligned}
g_P(\omega)(x) &\leq C_{n,\alpha} \int_0^\infty \left(V_x^{-1} \left(\frac{\alpha t}{3} \right) \int_{B_x(\frac{\alpha t}{3}) \times [\frac{t}{2}, \frac{3}{2}t]} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial s} P_s(\omega)(y) \right|^2 dy ds \right) dt \\
&\leq C_{n,\alpha} \int_0^\infty \left(\int_{B_x(\frac{\alpha t}{3}) \times [\frac{t}{2}, \frac{3}{2}t]} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial s} P_s(\omega)(y) \right|^2 V_x^{-1}(s) ds dy \right) dt \\
&\leq C_{n,\alpha} \int_0^\infty \int_{B_x(\frac{\alpha s}{2})} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial s} P_s(\omega) \right|^2 V_x^{-1} \left(\frac{\alpha s}{2} \right) s ds dy \\
&\leq C_{n,\alpha} A_{P,\alpha}(\omega)(x) \leq C_{n,\alpha} A_{P,\alpha}(\omega)(x).
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 5 (α by $\alpha/2$), we get

Theorem 3. For C_c^∞ 1-form ω , there holds

$$\begin{aligned}
|\{x: g_P(\omega)(x) > \lambda\}| &\leq C_{n,\alpha} |\{x: N_{P,\alpha}(\omega)(x) > \lambda\}| \\
&\quad + C_{n,\alpha} |\{x: A_{P,\alpha}(\omega)(x) > \lambda\}|;
\end{aligned}$$

especially, g_P is L^p -bounded for $1 < p < \infty$ and weak-type $(1, 1)$ bounded and $\|g_P(\omega)\|_p \leq C_{n,p,\alpha} \|N_{P,\alpha}(\omega)\|_p$ for $0 < p, \alpha < +\infty$.

The L^p -boundedness of g_P for $1 < p \leq 2$ has been obtained by D. Bakry^[2] (probabilistic method).

As corollaries of Theorem 2, we have

Corollary 1. $C_{c,0}^\infty(M) \triangleq \{f \in C_c^\infty(M): \int_M f(x) dx = 0\}$ is dense in $L^p(M)$ ($1 < p < +\infty$) when M is noncompact.

It is obviously not true for $p=1$.

Corollary 2. For any $\omega \in L^p_{(1,0)}(M) \triangleq \{\text{all } L^p\text{-integrable 1-forms}\}$, there exist $f_j \in C_c^\infty(M)$, $j=1, 2, \dots$, such that $\omega = L^p\text{-}\lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} d(f_j)$, when M is noncompact.

We do not give details of them here. We also notice that Theorem 1 holds for positively curved product manifolds.

References

- [1] Bakry, D., Transformations de Riesz pour les semigroupes symetriques, L. N. M., n°1123, Springer-Verlag, 1985, 130—174.
- [2] Bakry, D., Etude des transformations de Riesz dans les Varietes riemanniennes a courbure de Ricci majoree, L. N. M., n°1247, Springer-Verlag, 1987, 137—172.
- [3] Burkholder, D. L. Gundy, R.F. & Silverstein, M. L., A maximal function characterization of the class H^p , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 157 (1971), 137—153.
- [4] Chen Jiecheng H^p -spaces related to multi-parameters and their characterizations, Thesis for Master's Degree, Hangzhou University, 1984.
- [5] Chen Jiecheng Heat kernels on positively curved manifolds and their applications, Ph. D. Thesis, Hangzhou University, 1987.
- [6] Chen Jiecheng, Duality of H^1 and BMO on positively curved manifolds and their characterizations L. N. M., n°1494, Springer-Verlag, 1991, 23—38.
- [7] Chen Jiecheng & Wang Silai, Comparison between maximal function and square function on positively curved manifolds, Science in China (Series A), 33 (1990), 385—396.

-
- [8] Fefferman, C. & Stein, E. M., H^p spaces of several variables, *Acta Math.*, **129** (1972), 137—193.
 - [9] Long Ruilin, Shen Zhongwei & Yang Yudi, Weighted inequities concernig maximal operator and operator on spaces of homogeneous type, *Approximation theory and its applications*, **1:3** (1985), 53—72.
 - [10] Stein, E. M., *Topics in harmonic analysis*, Princeton University Press, 1972.
 - [11] Strittrartz, R. S., Analysis of the Laplacian on the complete Riemannian manifold, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **52** (1983), 48—79.
 - [12] Wu, H., Bochner techniques in differential geometry (in Chineses), *Adv. in Math. (P. B. China)*, **10** (1981), 57—76.