

## THE IDEAL INTERSECTION PROPERTY OF SKEW GROUP RING

CHEN CAOYU\*

### Abstract

Let  $A$  be a prime ring, and let  $G$  be a group (possibly infinite) as automorphisms acting on  $A$ . When the  $X$ -inner subgroup  $G_{\text{inn}}$  of  $G$  is finite, a criterion is obtained for every non-zero ideal of the skew group ring  $AG$  to have non-zero intersection with  $A$ .

Throughout this paper,  $A$  will denote a prime associative ring which does not necessarily have a unity. Let  $G$  be a group (possibly infinite) as automorphisms acting on  $A$ . For  $a \in A$  and  $g \in G$  we will let  $a^g$  denote the image of  $a$  under  $g$ . The skew group ring  $AG$  is defined to be  $AG = \bigoplus_{g \in G} Ag$  with addition given component-wise and multiplication given as follows: if  $a, b \in A$  and  $g, h \in G$ , then  $(ag)(bh) = ab^{g^{-1}}gh \in Agh$ .

Let  $F$  denote the set of all two-sided ideals of  $A$ , and let  $A_F$  denote the ring of left Martindale quotients of  $A$  with respect to  $F$ , i.e.  $A_F = \varinjlim_{I \in F} \text{Hom}_A({}_A I, A)$ . An alternate description of  $A_F$  is as follows. Consider the set of all left  $A$ -module homomorphisms  $f \in \text{Hom}_A({}_A I, A)$  where  $I$  ranges over  $F$ . Two such functions are said to be equivalent if they agree on their common domain, which is a non-zero ideal since  $A$  is prime. It is easy to see that this is an equivalence relation. We let  $\hat{f}$  denote the equivalence class of  $f$  and let  $A_F$  be the set of all such equivalence classes. The arithmetic in  $A_F$  is defined in a fairly obvious manner. Suppose  $f \in \text{Hom}_A({}_A I, A)$  and  $g \in \text{Hom}_A({}_A J, A)$ . Then  $\hat{f} + \hat{g}$  is the class of  $f + g \in \text{Hom}_A(I \cap J, A)$  and  $\hat{f}\hat{g}$  is the class of the composite function  $fg \in \text{Hom}_A(JI, A)$ . It is easy to see that these definitions make sense and that they respect the equivalence relation. Furthermore  $A_F$  is a ring with 1, and  $A$  is a subring of  $A_F$  regarded as ring multiplications. It is well-known that  $A_F$  is also prime, the center  $C$  of  $A_F$  is a field<sup>[1]</sup>, and every automorphism of  $A$  may be uniquely extended to an automorphism of  $A_F$  as follows: if  $\hat{f} \in A_F$ ,  $f \in \text{Hom}_A(I, A)$ , then for  $a \in I^g$ , let  $af' = (a^{g^{-1}}f)^g$ . Then  $f' \in \text{Hom}_A(I^g, A)$  and then  $\hat{f}' = \hat{f}^g$ . Thus we are able to form the skew group ring  $A_F G$ .

An automorphism  $g$  of  $A$  is said to be  $X$ -inner if it is induced by conjugation by a unit of  $A_F$ . Let  $G_{\text{inn}} = \{g \in G / g \text{ is } X\text{-inner}\}$ . Then we can see that  $G_{\text{inn}}$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$ .

For each  $g \in G_{\text{inn}}$  choose a unit  $u_g \in A_F$  inducing the automorphism on  $A$  and let  $\bar{g} = u_g^{-1}g$ . Then  $E = \sum_{g \in G_{\text{inn}}} C\bar{g}$  is a subring of  $A_F G$ . With respect to the basis  $\{\bar{g} / g \in G_{\text{inn}}\}$ ,  $E$  has the structure of  $C^t[G_{\text{inn}}]$ , a twisted group algebra of  $G_{\text{inn}}$  over the center  $C$ .

---

Manuscript received September 3, 1990.

\*Department of Mathematics, Shanghai Normal University, Shanghai 200234, China.

We say that a ring extension  $A \subseteq B$  has the ideal intersection property if every non-zero ideal of  $B$  has a non-zero intersection with  $A$ . The importance of the ideal intersection property derives from the fact that if a ring extension  $A \subseteq B$  has the ideal intersection property then many semigroup properties (for instance, prime, semiprime, simple) of all ideals of  $A$  can be lift onto  $B$ . In [2], we obtained a criterion for the smash product of a group graded ring to have the ideal intersection property, and then obtained criteria for the smash product to be prime or simple, which were proven by S.Montgomery and D.Passman with different method.

A subset  $K$  of  $A$  is said to be  $G$ -stable if  $K^g \subseteq K$  for all  $g \in G$ .

In [9, Proposition 2.11], it was shown that  $AG \supseteq A$  has the ideal intersection property if and only if  $C^t[G_{\text{inn}}]$  is  $G$ -simple (i.e. every  $G$ -stable ideal is trivial).

In this paper, we will give an interior characteristic for  $AG \supseteq A$  to have the ideal intersection property when  $G_{\text{inn}}$  is finite. Incompletely, we are not able to remove the condition  $|G_{\text{inn}}| < \infty$ .

In [5], using Kharchenko's crucial proposition<sup>[6]</sup>, J.Fisher and S.Montgomery showed that  $AG \supseteq AG_{\text{inn}}$  has the ideal intersection property. If  $G$  is  $X$ -outer (i.e.  $G_{\text{inn}} = \{e\}$ ), then  $AG \supseteq A$  has the ideal intersection property. The following theorem improves this result. Before the statement of our theorem, we give two definitions.

Define  $A$  to be an  $AG$ -module via  $(\sum_{g \in G} a_g g) \cdot a = \sum_{g \in G} a_g a^g$  for  $a, a_g \in A$ , and say a subring  $B$  of  $A$  is hereditary if  $B = RL$  for some non-zero  $G$ -stable right ideal  $R$  and some non-zero  $G$ -stable left ideal  $L$  of  $A$ .

**Theorem.** *Let  $A$  be a prime ring and let  $G$  be a group acting as automorphisms on  $A$ . If  $G_{\text{inn}}$  is finite, then the ring extension  $AG \supseteq A$  has the ideal intersection property if and only if*

- i)  $A$  is a faithful  $AG_{\text{inn}}$ -module and
- ii)  $t \cdot B \neq 0$  for all hereditary subrings  $B$ , where  $t = \sum_{g \in G_{\text{inn}}} g$ .

**Proof.** We define  $G$  acting on  $A_F G$  by

$$(\sum_{g \in G} s_g g)^h = h^{-1} (\sum_{g \in G} s_g g) h = \sum_{g \in G} s_g^h g^h$$

for all  $h \in G$  and  $s_g \in A_F$ . For every  $G$ -stable ideal  $I$  of  $AG_{\text{inn}}$ ,  $IG$  is an ideal of  $AG$ . Since every non-zero ideal of  $AG$  has a non-zero intersection with  $A$  and since  $A$  is prime,  $AG$  is also prime and hence  $AG_{\text{inn}}$  is  $G$ -prime (i.e. two non-zero  $G$ -stable ideals have non-zero intersection).

Since  $G_{\text{inn}}$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$ ,  $At$  is a  $G$ -stable left ideal of  $AG_{\text{inn}}$ . Therefore,  $\text{ann}_{AG_{\text{inn}}}(A) = 0$  and hence  $A$  is a faithful  $AG_{\text{inn}}$ -module.

Let  $B = RL$  for some non-zero  $G$ -stable right ideal  $R$  and some non-zero  $G$ -stable left ideal  $L$  of  $A$ . Since  $Lt$  is a non-zero  $G$ -stable left ideal of  $AG_{\text{inn}}$  and  $tR$  is a non-zero  $G$ -stable right ideal of  $AG_{\text{inn}}$ , we have  $(t \cdot B)t = (tR)(Lt) \neq 0$ , and then  $t \cdot B \neq 0$ . This proves the necessity.

We now prove the sufficiency. Let  $J$  be a non-zero  $G$ -stable ideal of  $C^t[G_{\text{inn}}]$ . For any

$s \in A_F$ ,

$$\bar{g}s = u_g^{-1}gs = u_g^{-1}s^{g^{-1}}g = u_g^{-1}u_gsu_g^{-1}g = su_g^{-1}g = s\bar{g}$$

and  $cs = sc$  for all  $c \in C$ . Thus  $J_1 = A_F J = J A_F$  is a non-zero  $G$ -stable ideal of  $A_F G_{\text{inn}}$ . By the property of the Martindale quotient ring (i.e. if  $0 \neq s_i \in A_F$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , then there exists  $a \in A$  with  $0 \neq as_i \in A$  for all  $i$ ),

$$J_2 = J_1 \cap AG_{\text{inn}}$$

is a non-zero  $G$ -stable ideal of  $AG_{\text{inn}}$ .

Let  $0 \neq \sum_{g \in G_{\text{inn}}} a_g g \in J_2$ . Then  $0 \neq \sum_{g \in G_{\text{inn}}} a_g g^{-1} \in AG_{\text{inn}}$ . By the condition i), there exists  $a \in A$  with

$$\sum_{g \in G_{\text{inn}}} a_g a^{g^{-1}} \neq 0.$$

Thus

$$0 \neq \sum_{g \in G_{\text{inn}}} a_g a^{g^{-1}} t = \left( \sum_{g \in G_{\text{inn}}} a_g g \right) at \in J_2 t \subseteq J_2.$$

Set

$$L = \{a \in A / at \in J_2\}.$$

Since  $a^g t = a^g t^g = (at)^g \in J_2$  for all  $a \in L$  and  $g \in G$ , we can see that  $L$  is a non-zero  $G$ -stable left ideal of  $A$  such that  $Lt \subseteq J_2$ .

Let  $J'$  be any non-zero ideal of  $C^t[G_{\text{inn}}]$ . Then, as shown above,  $J'_1 = J' A_F = A_F J'$  is a non-zero  $G$ -stable left ideal of  $A_F$ . By the condition ii), there exists  $0 \neq a \in t \cdot L' \subseteq L'$  which is fixed by  $G_{\text{inn}}$  (i.e.  $a^g = a$  for all  $g \in G_{\text{inn}}$ ).

Since  $G_{\text{inn}}$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$ , for all  $g \in G$  and  $h \in G_{\text{inn}}$

$$(a^g)^h = (a^{ghg^{-1}})^g = a^g.$$

Hence  $ta^g = a^g t \in J'_2$ .

Since  $0 \neq \sum_{g \in G} a^g A$  is a  $G$ -stable right ideal of  $A$ , by the condition ii) we have

$$\begin{aligned} J'_2 J_2 &\supseteq \left( \sum_{g \in G} a^g t A \right) (Lt) = \left( t \left( \sum_{g \in G} a^g A \right) \right) (Lt) \\ &= \left( t \cdot \left( \sum_{g \in G} a^g AL \right) \right) t \neq 0 \end{aligned}$$

since  $\sum_{g \in G} a^g AL$  is a hereditary subring of  $A$ . It follows that

$$A_F(J'J)A_F = J'_1 J_1 \supseteq J'_2 J_2 \neq 0.$$

This proves that  $J'J \neq 0$ , that is,  $C^t[G_{\text{inn}}]$  is  $G$ -prime.

$C^t[G_{\text{inn}}]$  is a finite dimensional algebra over  $C$ ; furthermore  $C^t[G_{\text{inn}}]$  is semisimple since the nilpotent radical of  $C^t[G_{\text{inn}}]$  is  $G$ -stable and since  $C^t[G_{\text{inn}}]$  is  $G$ -prime. Since the images of simple components under automorphisms are still simple components, it is clear from the Wedderburn-Artin theorem that  $C^t[G_{\text{inn}}]$  is  $G$ -simple. Our result now follows from Montgomery-Passman's result ([9, Proposition 2.11]).

As an illustration, we consider the following G.Bergman's example.

**Example 1.** Let  $F$  be a field of characteristic  $p \neq 0$ , with an element  $w \neq 0, 1$  of finite multiplicative order, say  $w^n = 1$ . Let  $A' = M_2(F\{x, y\})$ . Let  $G \subseteq \text{Aut}(A')$  be generated by the inner automorphisms induced by  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & y \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} w & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ , and let  $A$  be the subring of  $A'$  of those matrices whose entries have zero constant term.  $A$  is certainly prime,  $G = G_{\text{inn}}$ ,  $|G| < \infty$ , and  $A^G$ , the fixed subring of  $G$ , is zero (see [8, Example 1.1] for detail). Since  $A$  is a hereditary subring and since  $t \cdot A \subseteq A^G = 0$ , by our theorem, the extension  $AG \supseteq A$  has no the ideal intersection property.

The next two examples show that the conditions i) and ii) are independent. The example 2 appears in [3], which gives the negative answer to S. Montgomery's conjecture.

**Example 2.** Let  $A = M_2(\mathbb{C})$ , where  $\mathbb{C}$  is the complex number field, let  $w$  be a cube root,  $u, v \in \text{Aut}(A)$  be the inner automorphisms induced by  $\begin{pmatrix} w & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  respectively, and let  $G$  be generated by  $u, v$ .  $A$  is the unique hereditary subring and  $t \cdot A = A^G \neq 0$ . But  $A$  is not faithful  $AG$ -module, for instance,

$$\left( \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} u + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} u^2 \right) \cdot A = 0.$$

**Example 3.** Let  $A = M_2(\mathbb{C})$ , and let  $g \in \text{Aut}(A)$  be the inner automorphism induced by  $\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $G = \langle g \rangle$ . It is straightforward that  $A$  is a faithful  $AG$ -module. However,  $(e+g) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \mathbb{C} & 0 \end{pmatrix} = 0$ , where  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \mathbb{C} & \mathbb{C} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{C} & 0 \\ \mathbb{C} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  is a hereditary subring; thus the condition ii) is not satisfied.

In the case of  $G$  to be a finite group, the following result was shown in [4], which gives a correct statement of Montgomery's conjecture. Let  $A$  be a prime  $k$ -algebra with a finite group  $G$  of automorphisms. Then the skew group ring  $AG$  is prime (or equivalently the ring extension  $AG \supseteq A$  has the ideal intersection property) if and only if  $A$  is a faithful  $AG$ -module and  $h \cdot B \neq 0$  for all  $0 \neq h \in k[G]$  and all hereditary subalgebras  $B$ .

Here, our theorem improves that result.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Amitsur, S. A., Rings of quotients, *Symposia Mathematica*, **8** (1972), 149-164.
- [2] Chen Caoyu, Ideal intersection property of smash products of graded rings, *Comm. in Algebra*, **18:5** (1990), 1497-1503.
- [3] Chen Caoyu, The Connes spectrum of finite non-abelian groups, *Comm. in Algebra*, **18:5** (1990), 1505-1515.
- [4] Chen Caoyu, The Connes spectrum of finite dimensional Hopf algebras, (to appear in *Chinese Annals of Mathematics*).
- [5] Fisher, J. & Montgomery, S., Semiprime skew group rings, *J. Algebra*, **52** (1978), 241-247.
- [6] Kharchenko, V. K., Generalized identities with automorphisms, *Algebra i Logika*, **14** (1975), 215-237 (in Russian), (English transl. March 1976, 132-148).
- [7] Martindale, W. S., III, Prime rings satisfying a generalized polynomial identity, *J. Algebra*, **12** (1969), 576-584.
- [8] Montgomery, S., Fixed rings of finite automorphism groups of associative rings, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, Vol. 818.
- [9] Montgomery, S. & Passman, D., Crossed products over prime rings, *Israel J. Math.*, **31** (1978), 224-256.