

ON THE FIRST AND SECOND EIGENVALUES OF SCHRÖDINGER OPERATOR**

YU QIHUANG*

Abstract

The above boundary of the gap between the 2nd and 1st eigenvalues of Schrödinger operator and the above boundary of the ratio of the 2nd and 1st eigenvalues of Laplace operator are given.

§1. Introduction

Let Ω be a bounded domain in R^n , Δ be the Laplace operator and let $V : \Omega \rightarrow R$ be a non-negative function. The eigenvalues of the equation

$$-\Delta f + Vf = \lambda f \quad (1.1)$$

with the condition

$$f = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega \quad (1.2)$$

can be arranged in nondecreasing order as follows:

$$0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 \leq \lambda_3 \leq \dots$$

B. Wong, A. T. Yau and Stephep S. T. Yan^[1] proved

$$\lambda_2 - \lambda_1 \leq \frac{4\pi^2}{D^2} + \frac{4M}{n}, \quad (1.3)$$

where D is the diameter of the largest inscribed ball in Ω and $M = \sup_{\Omega} V$. In this paper more accurate evaluation will be given.

For $n = 2$, and $V \equiv 0$ Payne, Pöyne and Weinberger proved ^[5]

$$\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1} \leq 3 \quad (1.4)$$

and conjectured that

$$\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1} \leq \frac{\lambda_2(D)}{\lambda_1(D)} \simeq 2.539 \dots, \quad (1.5)$$

where $\lambda_1(D)$ and $\lambda_2(D)$ are the 1st and 2nd eigenvalues of unit disk in R^2 . In 1980 G. H. Hile and M. H. Protter proved ^[4]

$$\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1} < 2.686 \dots$$

In this paper the above bound will be discussed.

Manuscript received April 28, 1990. Revised November 18, 1991.

*Institute of Applied Mathematics, Academia Sinica, Beijing 100080, China.

**Project Supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China and supported in part by the Open Foundation of Institute of Mathematics, Academia Sinica.

§2. Symbols and Lemmas

f —the first eigenfunction of equation (1.1) with the condition (1.2) satisfying

$$\int_{\Omega} f^2 dx = 1, \text{ and } f(x) > 0^{[3]}, x \in \Omega;$$

x_i —the coordinate function in $R^n, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$;

$$A_s = \int_{\Omega} f^{s+2} dx; X_s = \frac{A_s^2}{A_{2s}}; X_{pq} = \frac{A_{p+q}^2}{A_{2p}A_{2q}};$$

$$Y = \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1} - 1; I_{s+t} = (s+t+1)Y - st.$$

Lemma 2.1. $\int_{\Omega} f^s |\nabla f|^2 dx = \frac{\lambda_1 A_s}{s+1} - \frac{\int_{\Omega} V f^{s+2} dx}{s+1}.$

Proof. $f^s \Delta f^2 = 2f^s (|\nabla f|^2 + f \Delta f) = 2f^s |\nabla f|^2 - 2\lambda_1 f^{s+2} + 2V f^{s+2}.$

Thus

$$\int_{\Omega} f^s \Delta f^2 dx = 2 \int_{\Omega} f^s |\nabla f|^2 dx - 2\lambda_1 A_s + 2 \int_{\Omega} V f^{s+2} dx. \quad (2.1)$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} f^s \Delta f^2 dx &= - \int_{\Omega} \nabla f^s \nabla f^2 dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} f^s \frac{\partial f^2}{\partial \nu} dl \\ &= - \int_{\Omega} \nabla f^s \nabla f^2 dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} f^2 \Delta f^s dx \\ &= s(s-1) \int_{\Omega} f^s |\nabla f|^2 dx - \lambda_1 s A_s + s \int_{\Omega} V f^{s+2} dx. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

From (2.1) and (2.2) it follows that

$$(2-s^2+s) \int_{\Omega} f^s |\nabla f|^2 dx + (2-s)\lambda_1 A_s - (2-s) \int_{\Omega} V f^{s+2} dx,$$

i.e.,

$$\int_{\Omega} f^s |\nabla f|^2 dx = \frac{\lambda_1}{s+1} A_s - \frac{1}{s+1} \int_{\Omega} V f^{s+2} dx.$$

Lemma 2.2. Suppose that $u : \Omega \rightarrow R$ is a C^∞ function satisfying $\int_{\Omega} u f^2 dx = 0$. Then

$$Y \int_{\Omega} u^2 f^2 dx \leq \frac{\int_{\Omega} f^2 |\nabla u|^2 dx}{\lambda_1}.$$

Proof. It is well-known that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_2 &= \inf_{g \perp f, g|_{\partial\Omega}=0} \frac{\int_{\Omega} -g \Delta g dx + \int_{\Omega} V g^2 dx}{\int_{\Omega} g^2 dx} \\ &= \inf_{g \perp f, g|_{\partial\Omega}=0} \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla g|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} V g^2 dx}{\int_{\Omega} g^2 dx}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 \lambda_2 \int_{\Omega} u^2 f^2 dx &\leq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u f|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} V u^2 f^2 dx \\
 &= \int_{\Omega} |u \nabla f + f \nabla u|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} V u^2 f^2 dx \\
 &= \int_{\Omega} (u^2 |\nabla f|^2 + f^2 |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \nabla f^2 \cdot \nabla u^2) dx + \int_{\Omega} V u^2 f^2 dx \\
 &= \int_{\Omega} u^2 |\nabla f|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} f^2 |\nabla u|^2 dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} u^2 \Delta f^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} V u^2 f^2 dx \\
 &= \int_{\Omega} u^2 |\nabla f|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} f^2 |\nabla u|^2 dx - \int_{\Omega} u^2 |\nabla f|^2 dx \\
 &\quad - \int_{\Omega} u^2 f \Delta f dx + \int_{\Omega} V u^2 f^2 dx \\
 &= \int_{\Omega} f^2 |\nabla u|^2 dx - \int_{\Omega} u^2 f^2 (-\lambda_1 + V) dx + \int_{\Omega} V u^2 f^2 dx \\
 &= \int_{\Omega} f^2 |\nabla u|^2 dx + \lambda_1 \int_{\Omega} u^2 f^2 dx.
 \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 2.1. Let $q \geq 0, a_i = \frac{\int_{\Omega} x_i f^{q+2} dx}{\int_{\Omega} f^{q+2} dx}$. Then

$$I_{2q} \int_{\Omega} (a_i - x_i)^2 f^{2q+2} \leq \frac{1+q}{\lambda_1} A_{2q} \text{ for any } i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

In particular, for $q = 0$,

$$Y \int_{\Omega} (a_i - x_i)^2 f^2 dx \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \text{ for any } i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Proof. Set $u = (a_i - x_i) f^q$ in Theorem 2. Then $\int u f^2 dx = 0$. Also

$$|\nabla u|^2 = q^2 (a_i - x_i)^2 f^{2q-2} |\nabla f|^2 + f^{2q} - 2q(a_i - x_i) f^{2q-1} \nabla f \cdot \nabla x_i$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{\Omega} f^2 |\nabla u|^2 dx &= A_{2q} + q^2 \int_{\Omega} (a_i - x_i)^2 f^{2q} |\nabla f|^2 dx + \frac{q}{2(q+1)} \int_{\Omega} \nabla f^{2q+2} \cdot \nabla (a_i - x_i)^2 dx \\
 &= A_{2q} + q^2 \int_{\Omega} (a_i - x_i)^2 f^{2q} |\nabla f|^2 dx - \frac{q}{2(q+1)} \int_{\Omega} f^{2q+2} \cdot \Delta (a_i - x_i)^2 dx \\
 &= \frac{A_{2q}}{q+1} + q^2 \int_{\Omega} (a_i - x_i)^2 f^{2q} |\nabla f|^2 dx.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\int_{\Omega} (a_i - x_i)^2 \Delta f^{2q+2} \\
 &= 2(q+1)(2q+1) \int_{\Omega} (a_i - x_i)^2 f^{2q} |\nabla f|^2 dx - 2(q+1) \int_{\Omega} (a_i - x_i)^2 f^{2q+2} (\lambda_1 - V) dx,
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega} (a_i - x_i)^2 \Delta f^{2q+2} dx = \int_{\Omega} f^{2q+2} \Delta (a_i - x_i)^2 dx = 2A_{2q}. \tag{2.5}$$

Thus it follows that

$$\int_{\Omega} (a_i - x_i)^2 |\nabla f|^2 dx = \frac{A_{2q}}{(q+1)(2q+1)} + \frac{\lambda_1 \int_{\Omega} (a_i - x_i)^2 f^{2q+2} dx}{2q+1} - \frac{\int_{\Omega} V(a_i - x_i)^2 f^{2q+2} dx}{2q+1}. \quad (2.6)$$

Substitution (2.6) to (2.5), we have

$$\int_{\Omega} f^2 |\nabla u|^2 dx = \frac{q+1}{2q+1} A_{2q} + \frac{\lambda_1 q^2}{2q+1} \int_{\Omega} (a_i - x_i)^2 f^{2q+2} dx - \frac{q^2}{2q+1} \int_{\Omega} V(a_i - x_i)^2 f^{2q+2} dx.$$

By Lemma 2.2, we obtain

$$I_{2q} \int_{\Omega} (a_i - x_i)^2 f^{2q+2} dx \leq \frac{q+1}{\lambda_1} A_{2q} - \frac{q^2}{\lambda_1} \int_{\Omega} V(a_i - x_i)^2 f^{2q+2} dx.$$

Corollary 2.2. If $p, q \geq 0$, then

$$\frac{2A_p A_q I_{p+q}}{p+q+1} A_{p+q} \geq \frac{A_q^2 I_{2p}}{2p+1} A_{2p} + \frac{A_p^2 I_{2q}}{2q+1} A_{2q};$$

in particular, for $q = 0$

$$X_p \geq \frac{I_{2p}}{(2p+1)Y}.$$

Proof. Set $u = A_q f^p - A_p f^q$ in Lemma 2.2. Then

$$|\nabla u|^2 = p^2 A_q^2 f^{2p-2} |\nabla f|^2 + q^2 A_p^2 f^{2q-2} |\nabla f|^2 - 2pq A_p A_q f^{p+q-2} |\nabla f|^2.$$

By Lemma 2.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} f^2 |\nabla u|^2 dx &= p^2 A_q^2 \int_{\Omega} f^{2p} |\nabla f|^2 dx + q^2 A_p^2 \int_{\Omega} f^{2q} |\nabla f|^2 dx - 2pq A_p A_q \int_{\Omega} f^{p+q} |\nabla f|^2 dx \\ &= \frac{\lambda_1 p^2 A_q^2}{2p+1} A_{2p} + \frac{\lambda_1 q^2 A_p^2}{2q+1} A_{2q} - \frac{2pq A_p A_q}{p+q+1} A_{p+q} - B(p, q), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} B(p, q) &= \int_{\Omega} V \left(\frac{p^2 A_q^2}{2p+1} f^{2p+2} + \frac{q^2 A_p^2}{2q+1} f^{2q+2} - \frac{2pq A_p A_q}{p+q+1} f^{p+q+2} \right) dx \\ &\geq \int_{\Omega} 2V p q A_p A_q f^{p+q+2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{(2p+1)(2q+1)}} - \frac{1}{p+q+1} \right) dx \\ &\geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

The inequalities are from inequalities of average. From Lemma 2.2, it follows that

$$\lambda_1 Y \int_{\Omega} (A_q f^p - A_p f^q)^2 f^2 dx \leq \frac{\lambda_1 p^2 A_q^2}{2p+1} A_{2p} + \frac{\lambda_1 q^2 A_p^2}{2q+1} A_{2q} - \frac{2pq A_p A_q}{p+q+1} A_{p+q}.$$

On the other hand,

$$Y \int_{\Omega} (A_q f^p - A_p f^q)^2 f^2 dx = Y A_q^2 A_{2p} + Y A_p^2 A_{2q} - 2Y A_p A_q A_{p+q}.$$

Hence

$$\frac{2A_p A_q I_{p+q}}{p+q+1} A_{p+q} \geq \frac{A_q^2 I_{2p}}{2p+1} A_{2p} + \frac{A_p^2 I_{2q}}{2q+1} A_{2q}.$$

§3. The Above Bound of $\lambda_2 - \lambda_1$

Theorem 3.1. If λ_1 and λ_2 are the 1st and 2nd eigenvalues of the equation (1.1) with the condition 2, then

$$\lambda_2 - \lambda_1 \leq \frac{(\sqrt{(n+1)(n+9)} + 3 - n)\pi^2}{2D^2} + \frac{\sqrt{(n+1)(n+9)} + 3 - n}{2n} M,$$

where D is the diameter of the largest inscribed ball in Ω and $M = \sup_{\bar{\Omega}} V$.

Proof. By Schwartz' inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} f^{2p} (\nabla f \cdot \nabla X_i)^2 dx \int_{\Omega} (a_i - x_i)^2 f^2 dx &\geq \left[\int_{\Omega} (a_i - x_i) f^{p+1} \nabla f \cdot \nabla x_i dx \right]^2 \\ &= \left[-\frac{1}{2(p+2)} \int_{\Omega} \nabla f^{p+2} \cdot \nabla (a_i - x_i)^2 dx \right]^2 = \frac{A_p^2}{(p+2)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\Omega} f^{2p} (\nabla f \cdot \nabla x_i)^2 dx \int_{\Omega} (a_i - x_i)^2 f^2 dx \geq \frac{nA_p^2}{(p+2)^2}.$$

From Corollary 2.1 and Lemma 2.1, it follows that

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_1 Y} \int_{\Omega} f^{2p} |\nabla f|^2 \geq \frac{nA_p^2}{(p+2)^2}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_1 Y} \left[\lambda_1 A_{2p} - \frac{\int_{\Omega} V f^{2p+2} dx}{2p+1} \right] \geq \frac{nA_p^2}{(p+2)^2}.$$

By Corollary 2.2, we have

$$1 \geq \frac{n(2p+1)YX_p}{(p+2)^2} \geq \frac{nI_{2p}}{(p+2)^2},$$

i. e.,

$$[(p+2)^2 + np^2]\lambda_1 \geq n(2p+1)(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1). \quad (3.1)$$

Now suppose that g and $\lambda_1(V \equiv 0)$ are the 1st eigenfunction and the 1st eigenvalue of the equation

$$-\Delta g = \lambda g$$

respectively, and satisfy the condition $g|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$. Then the formulas

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1 &= \inf_{h|_{\partial\Omega}=0} \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla h|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} V h^2 dx}{\int_{\Omega} h^2 dx} \\ &= \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla g|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} V g^2 dx}{\int_{\Omega} g^2 dx} \leq \lambda_1(V \equiv 0) + M \end{aligned}$$

hold. According to the Theorem in [2], $\lambda_1(V \equiv 0) \geq \frac{n\pi^2}{D^2}$. Hence

$$\lambda_1 \leq \frac{n\pi^2}{D^2} + M. \quad (3.2)$$

Setting

$$p = \frac{1}{2} \left(\sqrt{1 + \frac{8}{n+1}} - 1 \right) \quad (3.3)$$

and substituting (3.2) and (3.3) to (3.1), we complete the proof of Theorem 3.1.

§4. The Case for $n = 2, V \equiv 0$

For $n = 2, V \equiv 0$ we can obtain more precise estimate than Theorem 1.

Theorem 4.2 Let $\Omega \subset R^2$ be a bounded domain, and let λ_1 and λ_2 be the first and second eigenvalues of the Laplacian with zero boundary. Then

$$\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1} \leq 2.618.$$

Proof. If Theorem 4.2 is not true i.e., $\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1} > 2.618$, then $Y = \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1} - 1 > 1.618$. By [5], we have $Y \leq 2$. Set $p \cdot q \in [0, 1]$, $0 \leq q < p \leq 1$. Then

$$I_{2p} > 0, I_{2q} > 0, \quad I_{p+q} > 0.$$

From Lemma 2.2, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2A_p A_q I_{p+q}}{p+q+1} A_{p+q} &\geq \frac{A_q^2 I_{2p}}{2p+1} A_{2p} + \frac{A_p^2 I_{2q}}{2q+1} A_{2q} \\ &\geq \frac{2A_p A_q \sqrt{I_{2p} I_{2q}} \sqrt{A_{2p} A_{2q}}}{\sqrt{(2p+1)(2q+1)}}, \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$\sqrt{X_{pq}} \geq \frac{(p+q+1) \sqrt{I_{2p} I_{2q}}}{\sqrt{(2p+1)(2q+1)} I_{p+q}}. \quad (4.1)$$

For a given q , $0 \leq q \leq 1$, we can assume that

$$\int_{\Omega} f^{2q} \langle \nabla f \cdot \nabla x_i \rangle^2 dx = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} f^{2q} |\nabla f|^2 dx \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2.$$

If not, we can obtain the equality by rotation of axes.

By Schwartz' inequality it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\Omega} f^{2q} \langle \nabla f \cdot \nabla x_i \rangle^2 dx \int_{\Omega} [(a_i - x_i) f^{q+1} - \epsilon f^p \nabla f \cdot \nabla x_i]^2 dx \\ &\geq \sum_{i=1}^2 \left[\int_{\Omega} (a_i - x_i)^{2q+1} \nabla f \cdot \nabla x_i dx - \epsilon \int_{\Omega} f^{p+q} \langle \nabla f \cdot \nabla x_i \rangle^2 dx \right]^2 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^2 \left[\frac{A_{2p}}{2(q+1)} - \epsilon \int_{\Omega} f^{p+q} \langle \nabla f \cdot \nabla x_i \rangle^2 dx \right]^2, \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

where

$$\epsilon = \frac{(p-q) I_{p+q} \sqrt{I_{2p} I_{2q}}}{(q+1)(p+q+2) [I_{p+q}^2 - I_{2p} I_{2q}]} \sqrt{\frac{2p+1}{2q+1}} \sqrt{\frac{A_{2q}}{A_{2p}}}. \quad (4.3)$$

Since $g(\xi) = \xi^2$ is a convex function, $\frac{1}{n} \sum g(\xi_i) \geq g(\frac{\sum \xi_i}{n})$. Hence have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\Omega} f^{2q} \langle \nabla f \cdot \nabla x_i \rangle^2 dx \left\{ \int_{\Omega} (a_i - x_i)^2 f^{2q+2} dx \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \epsilon^2 \int_{\Omega} f^{2p} \langle \nabla f \cdot \nabla x_i \rangle^2 dx - \int_{\Omega} 2\epsilon(a_i - x_i) f^{q+p+1} \langle \nabla f \cdot \nabla x_i \rangle dx \right\} \\
& \geq \sum_{i=1}^2 \left[\frac{A_{2q}}{2(q+1)} - \epsilon \int_{\Omega} f^{p+q} \langle \nabla f \cdot \nabla x_i \rangle^2 dx \right]^2 \\
& \geq 2 \left\{ \frac{A_{2q}}{2(q+1)} - \frac{\epsilon}{2} \int_{\Omega} f^{p+q} |\nabla f|^2 dx \right\}^2 \\
& = 2 \left\{ \frac{A_{2\epsilon}}{2(q+1)} - \frac{\epsilon A_{p+q}}{2(p+q+1)} \right\}^2. \tag{4.4}
\end{aligned}$$

Using the equality

$$\int_{\Omega} f^{2p} \langle \nabla f \cdot \nabla x_i \rangle^2 dx = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} f^{2p} |\nabla f|^2 dx = \frac{A_{2p}}{2(2p+1)}, \quad i = 1, 2 \tag{4.5}$$

and (4.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{A_{2q}}{2(2q+1)} \left[\sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\Omega} (a_i - x_i)^2 f^{2q+2} dx + \frac{\epsilon^2 A_{2p}}{2p+1} - \frac{4\epsilon A_{p+q}}{p+q+2} \right] \\
& \geq 2 \left\{ \frac{A_{2q}}{2(q+1)} - \frac{\epsilon A_{p+q}}{2(p+q+1)} \right\}. \tag{4.6}
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} (a_i - x_i)^2 f^{2q+2} dx \leq \frac{q+1}{I_{2q}} A_{2q}, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

The inequality (4.6) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{q+1}{2(2q+1)I_{2q}} - \frac{1}{4(q+1)^2} \geq \frac{(p-q)\sqrt{X_{pq}}}{2(q+1)(2q+1)(p+q+1)(p+q+2)} \sqrt{\frac{A_{2p}}{A_{2q}}} \epsilon \\
& \quad - \frac{(p+q+1)^2 - (2p+1)(2q+1)X_{pq}}{4(2p+1)(2q+1)(p+q+1)^2} \cdot \frac{A_{2p}}{A_{2q}} \epsilon^2. \tag{4.7}
\end{aligned}$$

From (4.1), (4.3) and (4.7), it follows that

$$\frac{1+q}{I_{2q}} - \frac{2q+1}{2(q+1)^2} \geq \frac{(p-q)^2 I_{2p} I_{2q}}{2(q+1)^2 (p+q+2)^2 (2q+1) (I_{p+q}^2 - I_{2p} I_{2q})}. \tag{4.8}$$

It is easy to see that

$$I_{p+q}^2 - I_{2p} I_{2q} = (p-q)^2 Y(Y+1). \tag{4.9}$$

Substituting (4.9) to (4.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
0 & \geq \frac{2q+1}{2(q+1)^2} - \frac{1+q}{I_{2q}} + \frac{I_{2p} I_{2q}}{2(q+1)^2 (p+q+2)^2 (2q+1) Y(Y+1)} \\
& = \frac{2q+1}{2(q+1)^2} - \frac{1+q}{(2q+1)Y - q^2} \\
& \quad + \frac{(2p+1)(2q+1)Y^2 - [2pq(p+q) + p^2 + q^2]Y + p^2 q^2}{2(q+1)^2 (p+q+2)^2 (2q+1) Y(Y+1)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Define a real function $F : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \times [\frac{3}{2}, 2] \rightarrow R$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} F(p, q, \xi) &= \frac{2q+1}{2(q+1)^2} - \frac{1+q}{(2q+1)\xi - q^2} \\ &\quad + \frac{(2p+1)(2q+1)\xi^2 - [2pq(p+q) + p^2 + q^2]\xi + p^2q^2}{2(q+1)^2(p+q+2)^2(2q+1)(\xi+1)} \\ &= \frac{2q+1}{2(q+1)^2} - \frac{1+q}{(2q+1)\xi - q^2} + \frac{(2p+1)(2q+1)\xi}{2(q+1)^2(p+q+2)^2(2q+1)(\xi+1)} \\ &\quad - \frac{2pq(p+q)}{2(q+1)^2(p+q+2)^2(2q+1)(\xi+1)} \\ &\quad - \frac{(p^2+q^2)\xi + p^2q^2}{2(q+1)^2(p+q+2)^2(2q+1)(\xi+1)\xi}. \end{aligned}$$

For $0 \leq q < p \leq 1$ and $3/2 \leq \xi \leq 2$, we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \left[-\frac{1+q}{(2q+1)\xi - q^2} \right] > 0,$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \left[\frac{(2p+1)(2q+1)\xi}{2(q+1)^2(p+q+2)^2(2q+1)(\xi+1)} \right] > 0,$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \left[\frac{-2pq(p+q)}{2(q+1)^2(p+q+2)^2(2q+1)(\xi+1)} \right] > 0,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \left[-\frac{(p^2+q^2)\xi - p^2q^2}{\xi(\xi+1)} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{\xi^2(\xi+1)^2} [\xi^2(p^2+q^2) - p^2q^2(2\xi+1)] \\ &= \frac{1}{\xi^2(\xi+1)^2} \left[p^2(\xi^2 - q^2\xi - \frac{q^2}{2}) + q^2(\xi - p^2\xi - \frac{p^2}{2}) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{\xi^2(\xi+1)^2} \left\{ \left[p^2(\xi - \frac{q^2}{2})^2 - \frac{p^2}{2} - \frac{p^4}{4} \right] + \left[q^2(\xi - \frac{p^2}{2})^2 - \frac{q^2}{2} - \frac{q^4}{4} \right] \right\} \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $F(p, q, \xi)$ is a monotone increasing function of ξ , and if $Y > 1.618$, we should have

$$0 \geq F(p, q, \xi)|_{\xi=Y} > F(p, q, \xi)|_{\xi=1.618}. \quad (4.10)$$

(4.10) is valid for $0 \leq q < p \leq 1$. Now setting $q = 0.19$, $p = 0.505625$, we obtain

$$F(p, q, \xi)|_{\xi=1.618} > 0.000296. \quad (4.11)$$

(4.11) contradicts (4.10). Hence $Y \leq 1.618$, i.e., $\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1} \leq 2.618$.

REFERENCES

- [1] Wong, B., Yau, S. T. & Yan, Stephen S. T., An estimate of the gap of the first two eigenvalues in the Schrödinger operator, *Ann Scuola Norm. Sup., Pisa cl Sci*(4), **19:2** (1985), 319-333.
- [2] Chang, S. Y., Eigenvalue comparison theorems and its geometric application, *Math. Z.*, **143** (1975), 289-297.
- [3] Courant & Hilbert, *Methods of mathematical physics*, Vol. I.
- [4] Hile, G. N. & Protter, M.H., *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, **29** (1980), 523-538.
- [5] Payne, P. & Weinberger, On the ration of consecutive eigenvalues, *J. Math. Phys.*, **35:3** (1956), 289-298.
- [6] Yau, S. T., Problem section, On differential geometry, Princeton University Press.
- [7] Yu Qihuang & Zhong Jiaqing, Low boundary of the gap between the first and second eigenvalues of Schrödinger operator, *Transaction of the AMS*, **294:1** (1986).