Chin. Ann. of Math. 14B: 2(1993), 183-188.

# ISOMORPHISMS OF STABLE STEINBERG GROUPS\*\*

## LI FU'AN\*

### Abstract

In [2] the author discussed the isomorphisms between two unstable Steinberg groups  $St_m(A)$ and  $St_n(R)$  over commutative rings. The aim of the present paper is to determine the isomorphisms between two stable Steinberg groups St(A) and St(R), and the isomorphisms between the corresponding stable linear groups.

## §1. Introduction

Let R be an associative ring with identity, and  $V_n = R^{(n)}$  the free (right) R-module of rank n. Regard  $V_n$  as a submodule of  $V_{n+1}$  via  $V_{n+1} = V_n \oplus R$ . Under the standard basis of  $V_n$ , one has  $Aut_R(V_n) \cong GL_n(R)$ , and  $GL_n(R)$  can be viewed as a subgroup of  $GL_{n+1}(R)$  in a natural way. Let  $GL(R) = \lim_{n \to \infty} GL_n(R)$  be the direct limit of the  $GL_n(R)$ , called the stable general linear group over R.  $GL_n(R)$  can also be viewed as a subgroup of GL(R). Let  $E_n(R)$  be the subgroup of  $GL_n(R)$  generated by all elementary matrices  $e_{ij}(a) = 1 + ae_{ij}(1 \le i, j \le n, i \ne j, a \in R)$ . The stable elementary group E(R) is the direct limit of the  $E_n(R)$ . By the Whitehead lemma, E(R) is just the commutator subgroup of GL(R) (cf. [1]). Define  $K_1(R) = GL(R)/E(R)$ . Then, one has an exact sequence of groups

$$1 \to E(R) \to GL(R) \to K_1(R) \to 1.$$
(1.1)

For  $n \ge 3$ , the Steinberg group of dimension n over R,  $St_n(R)$ , is the group generated by the symbols  $x_{ij}(a)(1 \le i, j \le n, i \ne j, a \in R)$  subject to the following Steinberg relations

$$x_{ij}(a)x_{ij}(b) = x_{ij}(a+b), [x_{ij}(a), x_{jl}(b)] = x_{il}(ab), i \neq l, [x_{ij}(a), x_{kl}(b)] = 1, i \neq l \text{ and } j \neq k.$$
 (1.2)

Define the canonical homomorphism

 $\phi_n: St_n(R) \to E_n(R)$ 

which sends  $x_{ij}(a)$  to  $e_{ij}(a)$ . On the other hand, one has the canonical homomorphism  $St_n(R) \to St_{n+1}(R)$  sending  $x_{ij}(a)$  to  $x_{ij}(a)$ . Let the stable Steinberg group St(R) be the direct limit of the  $St_n(R)$  and

$$\phi = \lim_{\to} \phi_n : St(R) \to E(R).$$

Manuscript received November 6, 1990. Revised January 28, 1992.

<sup>\*</sup>Institute of Mathematics, Academia Sinica, Beijing 100080, China.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Project supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China .

1.1

Denote  $K_2(R) = \text{Ker}\phi$ . Then the following sequence of groups

$$1 \to K_2(R) \to St(R) \to E(R) \to 1 \tag{1.3}$$

is exact. Steinberg<sup>[6]</sup> and Milnor<sup>[3]</sup> have proved that  $\phi : St(R) \to E(R)$  is a universal central extension, and  $K_2(R)$  is just the center of St(R).

For two groups G and H, denote by Iso(G, H) the set of isomorphisms from G onto H. Let A be another associative ring with identity.

**Theorem 1.1.** There is a natural 1-1 correspondence between Iso(St(A), St(R)) and Iso(E(A), E(R)).

**Proof.** Let  $\Lambda : St(A) \to St(R)$  be a group isomorphism. Then,  $\Lambda \max K_2(A)$ , the center of St(A), onto  $K_2(R)$ , the center of St(R). Thus, by the exact sequence (1.3),  $\Lambda$  naturally induces an isomorphism from E(A) to E(R). Conversely, assume  $\Lambda : E(A) \to E(R)$  is a group isomorphism. Then,  $\Lambda$  can be naturally and uniquely lifted to an isomorphism from St(A) to St(R), since St(A) and St(R) are respectively universal central extensions of E(A)and E(R).

# set university #3 §2. Some Lemmas

By Theorem 1.1, the determination of Iso(St(A), St(R)) is equivalent to that of Iso(E(A), E(R)). Throughout this section, A and R are commutative rings, and  $\Lambda : E(A) \to E(R)$  is a group isomorphism. Denote by max(R) the set of maximal ideals of R.

**Lemma 2.1.**  $\{E(R) \cap GL(R, M) | M \in \max(R)\}$  is the set of maximal normal subgroups of E(R), where GL(R, M) is the principal congruence subgroup of level M, i.e., the kernel of the canonical homomorphism  $GL(R) \rightarrow GL(R/M)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $M \in \max(R)$ . Then,  $E(R) \cap GL(R, M)$  is a maximal normal subgroup of E(R), since the quotient group  $E(R)/(E(R) \cap GL(R, M))$  is isomorphic to E(R/M) which is a simple group. Conversely, assume N is an arbitrary maximal normal subgroup of E(R). By a theorem of Bass ([1], Chap. V, Theorem (2.1)), there is a unique ideal I of R such that

$$E(R,I) \subseteq N \subseteq GL(R,I),$$

where E(R, I) is the elementary congruence subgroup of level *I*, i.e., the normal subgroup of E(R) generated by all  $e_{ij}(b)(i \neq j, b \in I)$ . Since  $N \neq E(R)$ ,  $I \neq R$ . Thus, there is an  $M \in \max(R)$  containing *I*. Hence,  $N \subseteq E(R) \cap GL(R, M)$ . It follows that  $N = E(R) \cap GL(R, M)$  by the maximality of *N*.

By Lemma 2.1, the isomorphism  $\Lambda : E(A) \to E(R)$  yields a 1-1 correspondence between  $\max(A)$  and  $\max(R)$ ,  $J \leftrightarrow M$ , such that

 $\Lambda(E(A)\cap GL(A,J))=E(R)\cap GL(R,M)$ 

Thus,  $\Lambda$  induces an isomorphism of quotient groups,  $\bar{\Lambda} : E(A/J) \to E(R/M)$ , which has been made clear.

**Lemma 2.2.** There exist a field isomorphism  $\bar{\sigma} : A/J \to R/M$ , and an infinite invertible matrix g over R/M, such that either

 $ar{\Lambda}(x)=gx^{ar{\sigma}}g^{-1} ext{ for all } x\in E(A/J),$ 

or

No.2

$$\bar{\Lambda}(x) = g\check{x}^{\bar{\sigma}}g^{-1}$$
 for all  $x \in E(A/J)$ ,

where  $\check{x}$  is the transpose inverse of x.

**Proof.** See [4], Theorem 5.3.

Consider now the image of  $E_n(A)$  under  $\Lambda$ . Obviously, there is a smallest integer N(n)such that  $\Lambda(E_n(A)) \subseteq E_{N(n)}(R)$ , and  $N(n) \leq N(m)$  whenever  $n \leq m$ . For  $M \in \max(R)$ , let  $r_M : E(R) \to E(R_M)$  be the group homomorphism induced by the localization  $R \to R_M$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** Let  $M \in \max(R)$ . Then there exists a set of matrices

$$g(n,M)\in GL_{N(n)}(R_M)|n\geq 4\},$$

such that either

$$(r_M \circ \Lambda)e_{ij}(1) = g(n, M)e_{ij}(1)g(n, M)^{-1}$$
(2.1)

for all  $n \ge 4, 1 \le i, j \le n, i \ne j$ , or

$$(r_M \circ \Lambda)e_{ij}(1) = g(n, M)e_{ji}(-1)g(n, M)^{-1}$$
(2.2)

for all  $n \ge 4, 1 \le i, j \le n, i \ne j$ . Moreover, (2.1) and (2.2) cannot occur simultaneously.

**Proof.** Use Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, and proceed as in Sections 3-5 of [5].

Denote  $\tilde{R} = \prod_{M \in \max(R)} R_M$ . Then, one has a canonical embedding  $R \hookrightarrow R$ , i.e.,  $\tilde{R}$  can

be regarded as an extension of R. Thus,  $GL_n(R) \subseteq GL_n(\widetilde{R}) = \prod_{M \in \max(R)} GL_n(R_M)$ . For  $n \ge 4$ , let  $g(n) = \prod_{\substack{M \in \max(R) \\ M \in \max(R)}} g(n, M) \in GL_{N(n)}(\widetilde{R})$ , where g(n, M) is given by Lemma 2.3. Lemma 2.4. There is an idempotent s in R such that

$$\Lambda e_{ij}(1) = g(n)[e_{ij}(1)s + e_{ji}(-1)(1-s)]g(n)^{-1}$$
(2.3)

holds for all  $n \ge 4$ ,  $1 \le i, j \le n$ ,  $i \ne j$ .

**Proof.** Let  $S_1$  (resp.  $S_2$ ) be the product of the  $R_M$  where M is so that (2.1) (resp. (2.2)) in Lemma 2.3 holds. Then,  $\tilde{R} = S_1 \times S_2$ . Denote by s the identity of  $S_1$ . Since  $Ae_{ij}(1)$  is completely determined by the set

$$\{(r_M \circ \Lambda)e_{ij}(1) | M \in \max(R)\},\$$

it follows that (2.3) holds from Lemma 2.3. It can be proved that s belongs to R by using the method in Section 6 of [5].

Write  $R = R_1 \times R_2$  where  $R_1 = Rs$  and  $R_2 = R(1-s)$ . Then,  $GL(R) = GL(R_1) \times GL(R_2)$ . The idempotent s of R determines a generalized contragradient automorphism k of GL(R):

$$k(x_1, x_2) = (x_1, \check{x}_2)^{-1}$$

for all  $(x_1, x_2) \in GL(R_1) \times GL(R_2)$ . Clearly,  $k(GL_n(R)) = GL_n(R)$  and  $k(E_n(R)) = E_n(R)$ . On the other hand, for any  $M \in \max(R)$ , either  $R_1$  or  $R_2$  is contained in M since  $s(1-s) = 0 \in M$ . If  $s \notin M$ , then 1-s=0 in  $R_M$ , and  $R_M = (R_1)_{M_1}$  where  $M_1 = M \cap R_1$ . So

$$\widetilde{R}_1 = \prod_{M_1 \in \max(R_1)} (R_1)_{M_1} = \prod_{\substack{M \in \max(R)\\ s \notin M}} R_M = S$$

Similarly,  $\tilde{R}_2 = S_2$ . Use the same letter k to denote the generalized contragradient automorphism of  $GL(\tilde{R})$  determined by s. Evidently,  $k^2 = 1$ .

185

Applying k to the two sides of (2.3), one obtains

$$(k \circ \Lambda)e_{ij}(1) = k(g(n))e_{ij}(1)k(g(n)^{-1}).$$

After replacing the original k(g(n)) by g(n), we see that the equality

$$(k \circ \Lambda)e_{ij}(1) = g(n)e_{ij}(1)g(n)^{-1}$$
 (2.4)

holds for all  $n \ge 4, 1 \le i, j \le n, i \ne j$ .

A marter della

Assume  $m > n \ge 4$ . One sees, by (2.4), that  $g(n)^{-1}g(m)$  commutes with all  $e_{ij}(1)(1 \le i, j \le n, i \ne j)$ . Thus,  $g(n)^{-1}g(m)$  is equal to  $\begin{pmatrix} c & 0 \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix}$ , where c is a scalar matrix of order n. The equality (2.4) will not change if we replace  $c^{-1}g(m)$  by g(m). Thus, there is a set of matrices

$$\{g(n)\in GL_{N(n)}(\widetilde{R})|n\geq 4\}$$

such that (2.4) holds, and g(n) and g(m) have the same first *n* columns, and  $g(n)^{-1}$  and  $g(m)^{-1}$  have the same first *n* rows whenever m > n. This means that  $\{g(n)|n \ge 4\}$  is compatible in some sense.

An infinite matrix is said to be column-finite (resp. row-finite) if each of its columns (resp. rows) has only a finite number of nonzero entries. Now construct an infinite matrix g over  $\tilde{R}$  whose first n columns are the same as those of g(n) for all  $n \ge 4$ . By the compatibility in the above sense, g is well-defined, and column-finite. (We say that g is glued by the columns of the g(n).) Similarly, construct an infinite matrix G whose first n rows are the same as those of  $g(n)^{-1}$ . G is also well-defined, and row-finite. By the construction, Gg = 1 where 1 is the infinite identity matrix. Although one does not know, at the moment, whether gG = 1 (which will be proved in Theorem 3.1), anyhow, (2.4) can be rewritten as

$$(k \circ \Lambda)e_{ij}(1) = 1 + ge_{ij}G$$
(2.5)

for all  $i \neq j$ , where j is the state of the state of

**Lemma 2.5.** There is an injective homomorphism of rings,  $\sigma: A \to R$ , such that

$$(k \circ \Lambda)e_{ij}(a) = g(n)e_{ij}(\sigma(a))g(n)^{-1}$$
(2.6)

holds for all n > 4,  $1 \le i, j \le n$ ,  $i \ne j$ , and  $a \in A$ , or in other words,

$$(k \circ \Lambda)e_{ij}(a) = 1 + \sigma(a)ge_{ij}G \tag{2.7}$$

for all  $i \neq j$ , and  $a \in A$ .

Electron and the second second

. .

**Proof.** Let  $a \in A$ . Since  $e_{ij}(a)$  commutes with all  $e_{pq}(1)$   $(p \neq j \text{ and } q \neq i)$ , applying the isomorphism  $k \circ \Lambda$ , one sees, by (2.5), that  $(k \circ \Lambda)e_{ij}(a)$  commutes with all  $1 + ge_{pq}G$  $(p \neq j \text{ and } q \neq i)$ . It follows that  $(k \circ \Lambda)e_{ij}(a) = g(n)e_{ij}(\sigma(a))g(n)^{-1}$  for some  $\sigma(a) \in \widetilde{R}$ . But,  $\sigma(a)$  belongs to R just as the element s in Lemma 2.4 does. By using the Steinberg relations and the fact that  $k \circ \Lambda$  is an isomorphism, one derives that  $\sigma : A \to R$  is an injective homomorphism of rings.

**Remark.** It follows from Lemma 2.2 that the homomorphism  $\sigma$  given by Lemma 2.5 is compatible with the 1-1 correspondence between  $\max(A)$  and  $\max(R)$ , i.e., if  $J \in \max(A)$  corresponds to  $M \in \max(R)$ , then  $\sigma(J) \subseteq M$ , and  $\sigma(A-J) \subseteq R-M$ . Thus,  $\sigma$  induces a

homomorphism  $A_J \rightarrow R_M$ . Their product

$$\widetilde{A} = \prod_{J \in \max(A)} A_J \to \prod_{M \in \max(R)} R_M = \widetilde{R}$$

is also denoted by  $\sigma$ .

## §3. Main Results

**Theorem 3.1.** Let A and R be commutative rings, and let  $\Lambda : E(A) \to E(R)$  be a group isomorphism. Then, there exist

(i) a ring isomorphism  $\sigma: A \to R$ ,

(ii) a generalized contragradient automorphism k of E(R) determined by an idempotent in R, and

(iii) an infinite invertible matrix g over R which is both column-finite and row-finite, such that

$$(k \circ \Lambda)e_{ij}(a) = ge_{ij}(\sigma(a))g^{-1}$$
(3.1)

holds for all  $i \neq j$ , and  $a \in A$ , or in other words,

$$(k \circ \Lambda)x = gx^{\sigma}g^{-1} \tag{3.2}$$

for all  $x \in E(A)$ .

**Proof.** Section 2 has given an injective homomorphism of rings  $\sigma : A \to R$ , and a generalized contragradient automorphism k of E(R) determined by an idempotent s in R, and two infinite matrices g and G over  $\widetilde{R}$ , such that (2.6) and (2.7) hold. Here, g is column-finite, and G is row-finite, and Gg = 1.

Apply Lemmas 2.1-2.5 to the isomorphism  $\Lambda^{-1} : E(R) \to E(A)$ . One obtains an injective homomorphism  $\tau : R \to A$ , a generalized contragradient automorphism k' of E(A) determined by an idempotent t in A, and infinite matrices h and H over  $\widetilde{A}$ , such that

$$(k' \circ \Lambda^{-1})e_{ij}(r) = h(n)e_{ij}(\tau(r))h(n)^{-1}$$

holds for all  $n \ge 4$ ,  $1 \le i, j \le n$ ,  $i \ne j$  and  $r \in R$ , i.e.,  $(k' \circ \Lambda^{-1})e_{ij}(r) = 1 + \tau(r)he_{ij}H$  for all  $i \ne j$  and  $r \in R$ . Here h is glued by the columns of the h(n), and H is glued by the rows of the  $h(n)^{-1}$ , and Hh = 1.

Fix an  $n \ge 4$ . (2.6) implies that  $(k \circ \Lambda)x = g(n)x^{\sigma}g(n)^{-1}$  holds for all  $x \in E_n(A)$ , i.e.,

$$\Lambda(x) = k(g(n))(x^{\sigma}s + \check{x}^{\sigma}(1-s))k(g(n)^{-1}).$$

$$\begin{aligned} xt + \check{x}(1-t) = &k'(x) = (k' \circ \Lambda^{-1} \circ \Lambda)(x) = (k' \circ \Lambda^{-1})[k(g(n))(x^{\sigma}s + \check{x}^{\sigma}(1-s))k(g(n)^{-1})] \\ = &h(m)[k(g(n))]^{\tau}[x^{\sigma}s + \check{x}^{\sigma}(1-s)]^{\tau}[k(g(n)^{-1})]^{\tau}h(m)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $\tau(s) = t$ , and  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  are both isomorphisms, and  $\tau = \sigma^{-1}$ . Moreover,  $h(m)[k(g(n))]^{\tau}$  is equal to  $\begin{pmatrix} c & 0 \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix}$ , where c is a scalar matrix of order n. This shows that, for all m large enough, h(m) and  $c[k(g(n)^{-1})]^{\tau}$  have the same first n rows, and  $h(m)^{-1}$  and  $c^{-1}[k(g(n))]^{\tau}$  have the same first n columns. Thus, the infinite matrix h glued by the columns of the h(n) is not only column-finite but also row-finite, so is H. Moreover,

Hh = hH = 1. Similarly, g and G are both column-finite and row-finite, and Gg = gG = 1, i.e.,  $G = g^{-1}$ . Hence, (2.7) can be rewritten as (3.1).

Since there is a natural 1-1 correspondence between Iso(St(A), St(R)) and Iso(E(A), E(R)), every isomorphism from St(A) to St(R) has also been made clear. In particular, for the g in Theorem 3.1,  $gx_{ii}(r)g^{-1}$  makes sense, and

$$k(x_{ij}(r)) = (x_{ij}(rs), x_{ji}(-r(1-s))) \in St(R_1) \times St(R_2) = St(R).$$

By using Theorem 3.1, every isomorphism from GL(A) to GL(R) can also be determined. **Theorem 3.2.** Let A and R be commutative rings, and let  $\Lambda : GL(A) \to GL(R)$  be a group isomorphism. Then there exist

(i) a ring isomorphism  $\sigma: A \to R$ ,

(ii) a generalized contragradient automorphism k of GL(R) determined by an idempotent in R, and

(iii) an infinite invertible matrix g over  $\widetilde{R}$  which is both column-finite and row-finite, such that  $(k \circ \Lambda)x = gx^{\sigma}g^{-1}$  for all  $x \in GL(A)$ .

**Proof.** Since E(A) and E(R) are the commutator subgroups of GL(A) and GL(R) respectively,  $\Lambda$  induces an isomorphism from E(A) to E(R). By Theorem 3.1, one obtains suitable  $\sigma$ , k and g, such that  $(k \circ \Lambda)y = gy^{\sigma}g^{-1}$  for all  $y \in E(A)$ . Now,  $xyx^{-1} \in E(A)$  for any  $x \in GL(A)$  and  $y \in E(A)$ . Therefore,

$$g(xyx^{-1})^{\sigma}g^{-1} = (k \circ \Lambda)(xyx^{-1}) = (k \circ \Lambda)(x)gy^{\sigma}g^{-1}(k \circ \Lambda)(x^{-1}).$$

Thus,  $(x^{-1})^{\sigma}g^{-1}(k \circ \Lambda)(x)g$  commutes with all  $y^{\sigma} \in E(R)$ . This implies that  $(x^{-1})^{\sigma}g^{-1}(k \circ \Lambda)(x)g = 1$ , i.e.,  $(k \circ \Lambda)x = gx^{\sigma}g^{-1}$ .

**Corollary 3.1.** Let A and R be commutative rings. Then,

 $Iso(St(A), St(R)) \cong Iso(E(A), E(R)) \cong Iso(GL(A), GL(R)).$ 

In particular,  $Aut(St(R)) \cong Aut(E(R)) \cong Aut(GL(R))$ .

**Proof.** It follows from Theorems 1.1, 3.1 and 3.2.

**Corollary 3.2.** Let A and R be commutative rings. Then the following statements are equivalent.

(i)  $A \cong R$ . (ii)  $St(A) \cong St(R)$ . (iii)  $E(A) \cong E(R)$ . (iv)  $GL(A) \cong GL(R)$ .

Here, (i) is an isomorphism of rings, and (ii)-(iv) are isomorphisms of groups.

**Proof.** It follows from Theorems 1.1, 3.1 and 3.2.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Bass, H., Algebraic K-theory, Benjamin, New York, 1968.
- [2] Li Fu'an, Isomorphisms of Steinberg groups over commutative rings, Acta Math. Sinica (New Ser.), 5 (1989), 146-158.
- [3] Milnor, J., Introduction to algebraic K-theory, Annals of Math. Studies, 72, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1971.
- [4] O'Meara, O. T., A general isomorphism theory for linear groups, J. Algebra, 44 (1977), 93-142.
- [5] Petechuk, V. M., Automorphisms of matrix groups over commutative rings, Math. USSR Sb., 45 (1983), 527-542.
- [6] Steinberg, R., Lectures on Chevalley groups (Notes prepared by J. Faulkner & R. Wilson), Yale Univ., New Haven, 1968.

188