

ON THE CONTACT COHOMOLOGY OF ISOLATED HYPERSURFACE SINGULARITIES

XIAO ERJIAN*

Abstract

The author defines, using jets, cohomology $H^p(\Lambda_{f,k}^\bullet)$ for hypersurfaces, which are invariant under contact transformations. For isolated hypersurface singularities, it is proved that

$$H^0(\Lambda_{f,k}^\bullet) = \mathcal{O}_{U,0}/f^{k+1}\mathcal{O}_{U,0},$$

$$H^p(\Lambda_{f,k}^\bullet) = 0, \quad 1 \leq p \leq N-3 \text{ or } p = N,$$

$$\dim H^{N-2}(\Lambda_{f,k}^\bullet) - \dim H^{N-1}(\Lambda_{f,k}^\bullet) = \binom{k}{N} \dim \mathcal{O}_{U,0} / \left(f, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_N} \right) \mathcal{O}_{U,0}.$$

The algorithm of computation for H^{N-2} and H^{N-1} is given, and it is proved that $H^{N-1} = 0$ when f is quasi-homogeneous.

Keywords Isolated singularity, Contact cohomology, Infinitesimal neighborhood,
Quasi-homogeneous hypersurface.

1991 MR Subject Classification 55N10, 53C45.

Suppose U is an open set in C^N , $0 \in U$, with coordinates $(x_1, \dots, x_N)$. $(V, 0) \subset (U, 0)$ is a variety germ at 0 defined by the ideal $I(V) = (f_1, \dots, f_r)\mathcal{O}_{U,0}$. $U^{(k)}$ (resp. $V^{(k)}$) is the k th infinitesimal neighborhood of U (resp. V) and $\mathcal{O}_U(k)$ (resp. $\mathcal{O}_V(k)$) is the structure sheaf of $U^{(k)}$ (resp. $V^{(k)}$). $f_i(y) = F_i = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|} f_i}{\partial x^\alpha}(y-x)^\alpha$, $i = 1, \dots, r$, is the truncated Taylor expansion of $f_i(x)$.

$$\mathcal{O}_V(k) = \mathcal{O}_U(k) / (f_1, \dots, f_r, F_1, \dots, F_r)\mathcal{O}_{U(k)}.$$

We investigate the differential form complex of $\mathcal{O}_V(k)$ and their cohomology groups in [1,2,3] for complete intersections and hypersurfaces with isolated singularities. But $\mathcal{O}_U(k)/(F_1, \dots, F_r)\mathcal{O}_U(k)$ and its differential form complex and cohomology groups still make sense. We will investigate them for hypersurface singularities in this paper.

Let $K = R$ or C , $U \subset K^N$, $0 \in U$ and $W \subset K^M$, $0 \in W$. $U' \subset K^{N'}$, $0 \in U'$ and $W' \subset K^{M'}$, $0 \in W'$. U, W, U' and W' are open sets in $K^N, K^M, K^{N'}$ and $K^{M'}$ respectively.

Definition. A contact mapping is a C^∞ (resp. real analytic or complex holomorphic) mapping $H : (U \times W, 0 \times 0) \rightarrow (U' \times W', 0 \times 0)$ such that there is a C^∞ (resp. real analytic or complex holomorphic) mapping $h : (U, 0) \rightarrow (U', 0)$ which makes the following diagram

commute

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} (U, 0) & \xrightarrow{l} & (U \times W, 0 \times 0) & \xrightarrow{\pi} & (U, 0) \\ \downarrow h & & \downarrow H & & \downarrow h \\ (U', 0) & \xrightarrow{l'} & (U' \times W', 0 \times 0) & \xrightarrow{\pi'} & (U', 0) \end{array}$$

where $l(x) = (x, 0)$, $\pi(x, z) = x$, $x \in V$, $z \in W$ and $l'(x') = (x', 0)$, $\pi'(x', z') = x'$, $x' \in V'$, $z' \in W'$.

If $U = U'$, $W = W'$, H and h are local diffeomorphisms (resp. local analytic isomorphisms), H is a contact transformation in the sense of Mather^[4].

Let $\mathcal{O}_{U,0}$ be the algebra of C^∞ (resp. real analytic or complex holomorphic) function germs and $\mathcal{M}_{U,0}$ be its maximal ideal. If $f : (U, 0) \rightarrow (W, 0)$ is a C^∞ (resp. real analytic or complex holomorphic) mapping germ, $V(f) = f^{-1}(0)$ is the space germ at 0 defined by f .

Proposition. $f : (U, 0) \rightarrow (W, 0)$ and $f' : (U', 0) \rightarrow (W', 0)$ are C^∞ (resp. real analytic or complex holomorphic) mapping germs. The following are equivalent:

(1) there exists a contact mapping $H : (U \times W, 0 \times 0) \rightarrow (U' \times W', 0 \times 0)$ such that the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (U, 0) & \xrightarrow{(1, f)} & (U \times W, 0 \times 0) \\ \downarrow h & & \downarrow H \\ (U', 0) & \xrightarrow{(1, f')} & (U' \times W', 0 \times 0) \end{array}$$

where $(1, f)(x) = (x, f(x))$, $x \in U$ and $(1, f')(x') = (x', f'(x'))$, $x' \in U'$;

(2) there exists $h : (U, 0) \rightarrow (U', 0)$ such that

$$h^*(f'^* \mathcal{M}_{W',0}) \subset f^* \mathcal{M}_{W,0};$$

(3) for analytic cases, there exists $h : (U, V(f)) \rightarrow (U', V(f'))$.

For the sake of simplicity we consider the C^∞ case only; all results and proofs are also true for real analytic and complex holomorphic cases.

We take the following complex for the mapping $f : (U, 0) \rightarrow (W, 0)$

$$\begin{array}{c} \frac{\mathcal{O}_{U^{(k)},0}}{\sum_{i=1}^r F_i \mathcal{O}_{U^{(k)},0}} \xrightarrow{D} \frac{\Omega_{U,k-1,0}}{\sum_{i=1}^r F_i \Omega_{U,k-1,0} + \sum_{i=1}^r \mathcal{O}_{U^{(k-1)},0} DF_i} \xrightarrow{D} \\ \dots \xrightarrow{D} \frac{\Omega_{U,k-1,0}}{\sum_{i=1}^r F_i \Omega_{U,k-p,0}^p + \sum_{i=1}^r DF_i \wedge \Omega_{U,k-p,0}^{p-1}} \xrightarrow{D} \dots \end{array}$$

where $\Omega_{U,k-1}$ is the differential module with differentials $Dy_1, \dots, Dy_N$ (see [1,5]) and $\Omega_{U,k-p,0}^p = \Lambda^p \Omega_{U,k-p,0}$.

If (H, h) (resp. h) satisfies (1) (resp. (2)) of Proposition, it induces a morphism of the

complexes

$$h^* : \frac{\Omega_{U',k-,0}}{\sum_{i=1}^{r'} F'_i \Omega_{U',k-,0} + \sum_{i=1}^{r'} DF_i \wedge \Omega_{U',k-,0}^{-1}} \rightarrow \frac{\Omega_{U,k-,0}}{\sum_{i=1}^{r'} F_i \Omega_{U,k-,0} + \sum_{i=1}^{r'} DF_i \wedge \Omega_{U,k-,0}^{-1}}$$

and hence the morphisms of their cohomology groups. If f and f' are contact equivalent, h^* is an isomorphism of the complexes and induces isomorphisms of their cohomology groups.

Let $f : (U, 0) \rightarrow (R, 0)$ be a C^∞ function. Denote

$$\Lambda_{f,k}^0 = \frac{\mathcal{O}_{U^{(k)},0}}{F\mathcal{O}_{U^{(k)},0}},$$

$$\Lambda_{f,k-p}^p = \frac{\Omega_{U,k-p,0}^p}{F\Omega_{U,k-p,0}^p + DF \wedge \Omega_{U,k-p,0}^{p-1}}, \quad p \geq 1.$$

We get a complex

$$\Lambda_{f,k-}^\bullet : \Lambda_{f,k}^0 \xrightarrow{D} \cdots \rightarrow \Lambda_{f,k-p}^p \xrightarrow{D} \Lambda_{f,k-p-1}^{p+1} \rightarrow \cdots.$$

We have defined in [5]

$$\Omega_{f,k-p,0}^p = \frac{\Omega_{U,k-p,0}^p}{DF \wedge \Omega_{U,k-p,0}^{p-1}}.$$

Hence

$$\Lambda_{f,k-p}^p = \frac{\Omega_{f,k-p,0}^p}{F\Omega_{f,k-p,0}^p}.$$

Theorem 1. $f : (U, 0) \rightarrow (R, 0)$ is a C^∞ function with $\dim_R \mathcal{O}_{U,0} / (\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_N}) \mathcal{O}_{U,0} < \infty$. Then

(1) if $n \geq 3$,

$$\begin{aligned} H^0(\Lambda_{f,k-}^\bullet) &= \mathcal{O}_{U,0} / f^{k+1} \mathcal{O}_{U,0}, \\ H^p(\Lambda_{f,k-}^\bullet) &= 0, \quad 1 \leq p \leq N-3 \text{ or } p = N, \\ \dim_R H^{N-2}(\Lambda_{f,k-}^\bullet) - \dim_R H^{N-1}(\Lambda_{f,k-}^\bullet) \\ &= \binom{k}{N} \dim_R \mathcal{O}_{U,0} / (f, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_N}) \mathcal{O}_{U,0}; \end{aligned}$$

(2) if $N = 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\dim_R H^0(\Lambda_{f,k-}^\bullet) / (\mathcal{O}_{U,0} / f^{k+1} \mathcal{O}_{U,0}) - \dim_R H^1(\Lambda_{f,k-}^\bullet) \\ &= \binom{k}{N} \dim_R \mathcal{O}_{U,0} / (f, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_N}) \mathcal{O}_{U,0}, \\ &\dim_R H^2(\Lambda_{f,k-}^\bullet) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. First we prove the sequences

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_{f,k-p,0}^p \xrightarrow{F} \Omega_{f,k-p,0}^p \rightarrow \Lambda_{f,k-p}^p \rightarrow 0,$$

$0 \leq p \leq N-1$, are exact.

If $\omega \in \Omega_{U,k-p,0}^p$, $F\omega = DF \wedge \theta$, $\theta \in \Omega_{U,k-p,0}^{p-1}$, multiplying $DF \wedge$, we have $FDF \wedge \omega = 0$, $DF \wedge \omega = 0$. Hence $\omega = DF \wedge \eta$, $\eta \in \Omega_{U,k-p,0}^{p-1}$. Therefore the exactness is proved.

If we take O 's to supplant $\Omega_{f,k-N,0}^N$ and $\Lambda_{f,k-N}^N$ and form new complexes

$$\mathcal{O}_{U^{(k)},0} \xrightarrow{D} \Omega_{f,k-1,0} \xrightarrow{D} \cdots \xrightarrow{D} \Omega_{f,k-N+1,0}^{N-1} \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$\Lambda_{f,k}^0 \xrightarrow{D} \Lambda_{f,k-1}^1 \xrightarrow{D} \cdots \xrightarrow{D} \Lambda_{f,k-N+1}^{N-1} \rightarrow 0,$$

we have short exact sequence of these new complexes and long exact sequence of cohomology groups of these complexes.

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow H^0(\Omega_{f,k-,0}) \xrightarrow{F} H^0(\Omega_{f,k-,0}) \rightarrow H^0(\Lambda_{f,k-}) \rightarrow H^1(\Omega_{f,k-,0}) \\ \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow H^p(\Omega_{f,k-,0}) \rightarrow H^p(\Lambda_{f,k-}) \rightarrow H^{p+1}(\Omega_{f,k-,0}) \rightarrow \cdots \\ \rightarrow H^{N-2}(\Omega_{f,k-,0}) \rightarrow H^{N-2}(\Lambda_{f,k-}) \rightarrow \frac{\Omega_{f,k-N+1,0}^{N-1}}{D\Omega_{f,k-N+2,0}^{N-2}} \xrightarrow{F} \\ \frac{\Omega_{f,k-N+1,0}^{N-1}}{D\Omega_{f,k-N+2,0}^{N-2}} \rightarrow \frac{\Omega_{f,k-N+1,0}^{N-1}}{F\Omega_{f,k-N+1,0}^{N-1} + D\Omega_{f,k-N+2,0}^{N-2}} \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

For $N = 2$, we get exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow H^0(\Omega_{f,k-,0}) \xrightarrow{F} H^0(\Omega_{f,k-,0}) \rightarrow H^0(\Lambda_{f,k-}) \rightarrow \\ \frac{\Omega_{f,k-1,0}}{D\mathcal{O}_{U^{(k)},0}} \xrightarrow{F} \frac{\Omega_{f,k-1,0}}{D\mathcal{O}_{U^{(k)},0}} \rightarrow \frac{\Omega_{f,k-1,0}}{F\Omega_{f,k-1,0} + D\mathcal{O}_{U^{(k)},0}} \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned} \quad (\#)$$

For $N \geq 3$, we proved $H^p(\Omega_{f,k-,0}) = 0$, $1 \leq p \leq N-2$, in [5]. We have exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(\Omega_{f,k-,0}) \xrightarrow{F} H^0(\Omega_{f,k-,0}) \rightarrow H^0(\Lambda_{f,k-}) \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$H^p(\Lambda_{f,k-}) = 0, \quad 0 \leq p \leq N-3,$$

and exact sequence too

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow H^{N-2}(\Lambda_{f,k-}) \rightarrow \frac{\Omega_{f,k-N+1,0}^{N-1}}{D\Omega_{f,k-N+2,0}^{N-2}} \xrightarrow{F} \frac{\Omega_{f,k-N+1,0}^{N-1}}{D\Omega_{f,k-N+2,0}^{N-2}} \\ \rightarrow \frac{\Omega_{f,k-N+1,0}^{N-1}}{F\Omega_{f,k-N+1,0}^{N-1} + D\Omega_{f,k-N+2,0}^{N-2}} \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned} \quad (*)$$

Because $D : \Lambda_{f,k-N+1}^{N-1} \rightarrow \Lambda_{f,k-N+1}^N$ is surjective,

$$H^N(\Lambda_{f,k-}) = 0.$$

In [5] we also proved

$$\dim_R \frac{\Omega_{f,k-N+1,0}^{N-1}}{D\Omega_{f,k-N+2,0}^{N-2}} < \infty, \quad N \geq 2.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_R \frac{\Omega_{f,k-N+1,0}^{N-1}}{F\Omega_{f,k-N+1,0}^{N-1} + D\Omega_{f,k-N+2,0}^{N-2}}, \\ \dim_R H^{N-2}(\Lambda_{f,k-}) < \infty \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\dim_R H^{N-2}(\Lambda_{f,k-}) = \dim_R \frac{\Omega_{f,k-N+1,0}^{N-1}}{F\Omega_{f,k-N+1,0}^{N-1} + D\Omega_{f,k-N+2,0}^{N-2}}.$$

On the other hand

$$\frac{\Omega_{f,k-N+1}^{N-1}}{F\Omega_{f,k-N+1,0}^{N-1} + D\Omega_{f,k-N+2,0}^{N-2}} = \frac{\Lambda_{f,k-N+1}^{N-1}}{D\Lambda_{f,k-N+2}^{N-2}}$$

and the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^{N-1}(\Lambda_{f,k-}) \rightarrow \frac{\Lambda_{f,k-N+1}^{N-1}}{D\Lambda_{f,k-N+2}^{N-2}} \rightarrow \Lambda_{f,k-N}^N \rightarrow 0. \quad (**)$$

Therefore

$$\dim_R H^{N-2}(\Lambda_{f,k-}) - \dim_R H^{N-1}(\Lambda_{f,k-}) = \dim_R \Lambda_{f,k-N}^N.$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_{f,k-N}^N &= \frac{\Omega_{U,k-N,0}^N}{F\Omega_{U,k-N,0}^N + DF \wedge \Omega_{U,k-N,0}^{N-1}} \\ &= \frac{\mathcal{O}_{U^{(k-N)},0}}{\left(F, \frac{\partial F}{\partial y_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial F}{\partial y_N}\right) \mathcal{O}_{U^{(k-N)},0}} Dy_1 \wedge \dots \wedge Dy_N. \\ \dim_R \Lambda_{f,k-N}^N &= \binom{k}{N} \dim_R \frac{\mathcal{O}_{U,0}}{\left(f, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_N}\right) \mathcal{O}_{U,0}}. \end{aligned}$$

In [5], we also proved that for $N \geq 2$

$$H^0(\Omega_{f,k-,0}) = \sum_{l=0}^k \mathcal{O}_{U,0} F^l$$

and the sum is a direct sum. If

$$\sum_{l=0}^k a_l F^l \in H^0(\Omega_{f,k-,0}), \quad a_l \in \mathcal{O}_{U,0},$$

$$F \sum_{l=0}^k a_l F^l = a_k F^{k+1} + \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} a_l F^{l+1}.$$

Because $H^0(\Omega_{f,k-.,0}) \subset \mathcal{O}_{U^{(k)},0}$,

$$\begin{aligned} O &= (F - f)^{k+1} = F^{k+1} + \sum_{l=0}^k (-1)^{k+1-l} \binom{k+1}{l} f^{k+1-l} F^l, \\ F^{k+1} &= \sum_{l=0}^k (-1)^{k-l} \binom{k+1}{l} f^{k+1-l} F^l, \\ F \sum_{l=0}^k a_l F^l &= (-1)^k a_k f^{k+1} + \sum_{l=1}^k \left[(-1)^{k-l} \binom{k+1}{l} f^{k+1-l} a_k + a_{l-1} \right] F^l. \\ FH^0(\Omega_{f,k-.,0}) &= f^{k+1} \mathcal{O}_{U,0} + \sum_{l=1}^k \mathcal{O}_{U,0} F^l. \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{H^0(\Omega_{f,k-.,0})}{FH^0(\Omega_{f,k-.,0})} = \frac{\mathcal{O}_{U,0}}{f^{k+1} \mathcal{O}_{U,0}}.$$

Hence for $n \geq 3$

$$H^0(\Lambda_{f,k-.,0}) = \frac{\mathcal{O}_{U,0}}{f^{k+1} \mathcal{O}_{U,0}}.$$

For $N = 2$, (#) and the above results induce the conclusions of (2).

The groups $H^{N-2}(\Lambda_{f,k-.,0})$ and $H^{N-1}(\Lambda_{f,k-.,0})$ are computable. We describe the computational details in the following.

In [5] we proved that the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^{N-1}(\Omega_{f,k-.,0}) \rightarrow \frac{\Omega_{f,k-N+1,0}^{N-1}}{D\Omega_{f,k-N+2,0}^{N-2}} \xrightarrow{D} \Omega_{f,k-N,0}^N \rightarrow 0$$

is exact and the following mappings are $\mathcal{O}_{U,0}$ -isomorphisms

$$H^{N-1}(\Omega_{f,k-.,0}) \xrightarrow{\partial} \frac{DF \wedge \Omega_{U,k-N,0}^{N-1}}{DF \wedge D\Omega_{U,k-N+1,0}^{N-2}} \xleftarrow{DF \wedge} \frac{\Omega_{f,k-N,0}^{N-1}}{D\Omega_{f,k-N+1,0}^{N-2}}.$$

Denote

$$\delta_{k-N+1} = (DF \wedge)^{-1} \partial : H^{N-1}(\Omega_{f,k-.,0}) \xrightarrow{\approx} \frac{\Omega_{f,k-N,0}^{N-1}}{D\Omega_{f,k-N+1,0}^{N-2}}.$$

We define a filter in $\frac{\Omega_{f,k-N+1,0}^{N-1}}{D\Omega_{f,k-N+2,0}^{N-2}}$,

$$\begin{aligned} F_0 \left(\frac{\Omega_{f,k-N+1,0}^{N-1}}{D\Omega_{f,k-N+2,0}^{N-2}} \right) &= \frac{\Omega_{f,k-N+1,0}^{N-1}}{D\Omega_{f,k-N+2,0}^{N-2}}, \\ F_l \left(\frac{\Omega_{f,k-N+1,0}^{N-1}}{D\Omega_{f,k-N+2,0}^{N-2}} \right) &= \delta_{k-N+1}^{-1} \cdots \delta_{k-N+2-l}^{-1} \frac{\Omega_{f,k-l-N+1,0}^{N-1}}{D\Omega_{f,k-l-N+2,0}^{N-2}}. \end{aligned}$$

$$F_0 \supset F_1 \supset \cdots \supset F_l \supset F_{l+1} \supset \cdots \supset F_{k-N} \supset F_{k-N+1} = 0.$$

The filter has the following simple properties

$$F_1 = H^{N-1}(\Omega_{f,k-.,0})$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{k-N+2-t} \cdots \delta_{k-N+1} F_l \left(\frac{\Omega_{f,k-N+1,0}^{N-1}}{D\Omega_{f,k-N+2,0}^{N-2}} \right) &\approx F_{l-t} \left(\frac{\Omega_{f,k-N+1-t,0}^{N-1}}{D\Omega_{f,k-N+2-t,0}^{N-2}} \right), \\ \frac{F_l}{F_{l+1}} &\xrightarrow{\delta_{k-N+2-t} \cdots \delta_{k-N+1}} \frac{\Omega_{f,k-l-N+1,0}^{N-1}}{D\Omega_{f,k-l-N+2,0}^{N-2}} / H^{N-1}(\Omega_{f,k-l,0}) \\ &\xrightarrow{D} \Omega_{f,k-N-l,0}^N, \end{aligned}$$

where $t \leq l$, $l \geq 1$ and the isomorphisms are $\mathcal{O}_{U,0}$ -isomorphisms.

The natural projection

$$\Pi_{k-N+1} : \Omega_{f,k-N+1,0}^{N-1} \rightarrow \Omega_{f,k-N,0}^{N-1}$$

induces the projection

$$\Pi_{k-N+1} : \frac{\Omega_{f,k-N+1,0}^{N-1}}{D\Omega_{f,k-N+2,0}^{N-2}} \rightarrow \frac{\Omega_{f,k-N,0}^{N-1}}{D\Omega_{f,k-N+1,0}^{N-2}}.$$

Lemma 1. (1) $\Pi_{k-N} \delta_{k-N+1} = \delta_{k-N} \Pi_{k-N+1}$.

(2) $\delta_{k-N+1}^{-1} \Pi_{k-N+1} F_l \left(\frac{\Omega_{f,k-N+1,0}^{N-1}}{D\Omega_{f,k-N+2,0}^{N-2}} \right) = F_{l+1} \left(\frac{\Omega_{f,k-N+1,0}^{N-1}}{D\Omega_{f,k-N+2,0}^{N-2}} \right)$, $l \geq 0$.

We denote

$$\Lambda dy = dy_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dy_N,$$

$$dy_i = dy_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \hat{dy}_i \wedge \cdots \wedge dy_N, \quad i = 1, \dots, N,$$

$$\hat{dy}_i \wedge \hat{dy}_j = dy_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \hat{dy}_i \wedge \cdots \wedge \hat{dy}_j \wedge \cdots \wedge dy_N, \quad 1 \leq i < j \leq N,$$

where “ $\wedge$ ” means “omit”. If $\omega \in \Omega_{U,k-N+1,0}^{N-1}$, $\omega = \sum_{i=1}^N (-1)^{i-1} \omega_i \hat{dy}_i$, $\omega_i \in \mathcal{O}_{U(k-N+1),0}$, then

$$DF \wedge \omega = \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\partial F}{\partial y_i} \omega_i \wedge dy, \text{ and } D\omega = \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\partial \omega_i}{\partial y_i} \wedge dy.$$

$\theta \in \Omega_{U,k-N,0}^N$, $\theta = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k-N} \theta_\alpha (y-x)^\alpha \wedge dy$, $\theta_\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_{U,0}$, we define

$$\int \theta = \sum_{0 \leq |\alpha| \leq k-N} \frac{\theta_\alpha}{\alpha_1 + 1} (y_1 - x_1) (y-x)^\alpha \hat{dy}_1.$$

It is clear that $D \int \theta = \theta$ and $\Pi_{k-N+1} \int \theta = \int \Pi_{k-N} \theta$.

Under the hypothesis of Theorem 1, let $e_i(y)$, $i = 1, \dots, \mu$, where

$$\mu = \dim_R \mathcal{O}_{U,0} / \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_N} \right) \mathcal{O}_{U,0}$$

be the R -basis of $\mathcal{O}_{U,0}(y) / \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial y_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_N} \right) \mathcal{O}_{U,0}(y)$, then

$$e_i(y)(x-y)^\alpha \wedge Dy \in \Omega_{U,k-N-l,0}^N, \quad i = 1, \dots, \mu, \quad 0 \leq |\alpha| \leq k-N-l.$$

Their cosets $[e_i(y)(x-y)^\alpha \wedge Dy] \in \Omega_{f,k-N-l,0}^N$, $i = 1, \dots, \mu$, $0 \leq |\alpha| \leq k-N-l$, are the R -basis of $\Omega_{f,k-N-l,0}^N$. For $0 \leq l \leq k-N$, $\int e_i(y)(x-y)^\alpha \wedge Dy$, $i = 1, \dots, \mu$, $0 \leq |\alpha| \leq k-N-l$.

Their images in $\frac{\Omega_{f,k-N+1-l,0}^{N-1}}{D\Omega_{f,k-N+2-l,0}^{N-2}}$ denoted by $e_{i,\alpha}^{(0)}(k-N+1-l)$, $i = 1, \dots, \mu$, $0 \leq l \leq k-N$,

$0 \leq |\alpha| \leq k - N - l$, are the R -basis of

$$F_0 \left(\frac{\Omega_{f,k-N+1-l,0}^{N-1}}{D\Omega_{f,k-N+2-l,0}^{N-2}} \right) / F_1 \left(\frac{\Omega_{f,k-N+1-l,0}^{N-1}}{D\Omega_{f,k-N+2-l,0}^{N-2}} \right).$$

For $1 \leq l \leq k - N$, let $e_{i,\alpha}^{(l)}(k - N + 1) = \delta_{k-N+1}^{-1} \cdots \delta_{k-N+2-l}^{-1} e_{i,\alpha}^{(0)}(k - N + 1 - l)$, $i = 1, \dots, \mu$, $0 \leq |\alpha| \leq k - N - l$. They form an R -basis of

$$F_l \left(\frac{\Omega_{f,k-N+1,0}^{N-1}}{D\Omega_{f,k-N+2,0}^{N-2}} \right) / F_{l+1} \left(\frac{\Omega_{f,k-N+1,0}^{N-1}}{D\Omega_{f,k-N+2,0}^{N-2}} \right).$$

Clearly for $0 \leq l \leq k - N$, $e_{i,\alpha}^{(t)}(k - N + 1)$, $l \leq t \leq k - N$, $i = 1, \dots, \mu$, $0 \leq |\alpha| \leq k - N - t$, are the R -basis of $F_l \left(\frac{\Omega_{f,k-N+1,0}^{N-1}}{D\Omega_{f,k-N+2,0}^{N-2}} \right)$.

Lemma 2. For $0 \leq l \leq k - N$,

(1) $\delta_{k-N+1-l} e_{i,\alpha}^{(t)}(k - N + 1 - l) = e_{i,\alpha}^{(t-1)}(k - N - l)$, $1 \leq t \leq k - N - l$, $i = 1, \dots, \mu$, $0 \leq |\alpha| \leq k - N - l - t$,

(2)

$$\Pi_{k-N+1-l} e_{i,\alpha}^{(t)}(k - N + 1 - l) = \begin{cases} e_{i,\alpha}^{(t)}(k - N - l), & 0 \leq |\alpha| \leq k - N - l - t - 1, \\ 0, & |\alpha| = k - N - l - t, \end{cases}$$

where $0 \leq t \leq k - N - l$, $i = 1, \dots, \mu$.

For the sake of simplicity, let $e_{i,\alpha}^{(t)}(k - N + 1 - l) = 0$, if $0 \leq t \leq k - N - l$, $|\alpha| \geq k - N - l - t$ or $t \geq k - N - l + 1$.

Lemma 3. If $0 \leq l \leq k - N - 1$, $1 \leq t \leq k - N - l$, $0 \leq |\alpha| \leq k - N - l - t$, $0 \leq h \leq t - 1$,

$$\delta_{k-N+1-l-h} \cdots \delta_{k-N+1-l} (F e_{i,\alpha}^{(t)}(k - N + 1 - l)) = (h + 1) e_{i,\alpha}^{(t-h)}(k - N - l - h) + F e_{i,\alpha}^{(t-h-1)}(k - N - l - h).$$

Corollary. If $i = 1, \dots, \mu$, $0 \leq |\alpha| \leq k - N - 1$, $0 \leq l \leq k - N$,

$$F e_{i,\alpha}^{(l)}(k - N + 1) = l e_{i,\alpha}^{(l+1)}(k - N + 1) + \delta_{k-N+1}^{-1} \cdots \delta_{k-N+2-l}^{-1} (F e_{i,\alpha}^{(0)}(k - N + 1 - l)).$$

It is sufficient to compute the transformation defined by multiplying $\frac{\Omega_{f,k-N+1,0}^{N-1}}{D\Omega_{f,k-N+2,0}^{N-2}}$ by $f(y)$, in order to compute $H^{N-2} \Lambda_{f,k..}$ and $H^{N-1}(\Lambda_{f,k..})$ because of (*) and (**).

We can write, in $\mathcal{O}_{U,0}$,

$$f(y) = \sum_{j=1}^{\mu} b_j e_j(y) + \sum_{a=1}^N g_a(y) \frac{\partial f(y)}{\partial y_a}, \quad b_j \in R, g_a(y) \in \mathcal{O}_{U,0}(y),$$

and

$$e_i(y) e_j(y) = \sum_{m=1}^{\mu} a_{ij}^m e_m(y) + \sum_{a=1}^N u_{ij}^a(y) \frac{\partial f(y)}{\partial y_a}, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, \mu,$$

where $a_{ij}^m \in R$ and $u_{ij}^a(y) \in \mathcal{O}_{U,0}$.

For $0 \leq l \leq k - N$, $0 \leq |\alpha| \leq k - N - l$, $i = 1, \dots, \mu$,

$$f(y) e_i(y) = \sum_{j,m=1}^{\mu} b_j a_{ij}^m e_m(y) + \sum_{a=1}^N \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\mu} b_j u_{ij}^a(y) + e_i(y) g_a(y) \right) \frac{\partial f(y)}{\partial y_a},$$

$$f(y)[e_i(y)(x-y)^\alpha \wedge Dy] = \sum_{j,m=1}^{\mu} b_j a_{ji}^m [e_m(y)(x-y)^\alpha \wedge Dy],$$

$$f(y)e_{i,\alpha}^{(0)}(k-N+1-l) - \sum_{j,m=1}^{\mu} b_j a_{ji}^m e_{m,\alpha}^{(0)}(k-N+1-l) \in F_1 \left(\frac{\Omega_{f,k-N+1-l,0}^{N-1}}{D\Omega_{f,k-N+2-l,0}^{N-2}} \right).$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \delta_{k-N+1-l} \left\{ f(y)e_{i,\alpha}^{(0)}(k-N+1-l) - \sum_{j,m=1}^{\mu} b_j a_{ji}^m e_{m,\alpha}^{(0)}(k-N+1-l) \right\} \\ &= \left[\sum_{a=1}^N (-1)^{a-1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\mu} b_j u_{ij}^a(y) + e_i(y)g_a(y) \right) (x-y)^\alpha \hat{D}y_a \right] \end{aligned}$$

where $[\dots]$ means the coset of the element in $[\]$ in $\frac{\Omega_{f,k-N-l,0}^{N-1}}{D\Omega_{f,k-N-l+1,0}^{N-2}}$. If

$$\begin{aligned} & D \left(\sum_{a=1}^N (-1)^{a-1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\mu} b_j u_{ij}^a(y) + e_i(y)g_a(y) \right) (x-y)^\alpha \hat{D}y_a \right) \\ &= \sum_{|\beta| \leq k-N-l-1} \left(\sum_{r=1}^{\mu} c_{r\beta} e_r(y) + \sum_{b=1}^N h_{b\beta}(y) \frac{\partial f(y)}{\partial y_b} \right) (x-y)^\beta \wedge Dy, \\ & \delta_{k-N-l} \left(\delta_{k-N+1-l} \left(f(y)e_{i,\alpha}^{(0)}(k-N+1-l) - \sum_{j,m=1}^{\mu} b_j a_{ji}^m e_{m,\alpha}^{(0)}(k-N+1-l) \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - e_{i,\alpha}^{(1)}(k-N+1-l) \right) - \sum_{0 \leq |\beta| \leq k-N-l-1} \sum_{r=1}^{\mu} c_{r\beta} e_{r,\beta}^{(0)}(k-N-l) \\ &= \left[\sum_{b=1}^N \sum_{0 \leq |\beta| \leq k-N-l-1} (-1)^{b-1} h_{b\beta}(y) (x-y)^\beta \hat{D}y_b \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Continuing this process, we can compute $f(y)e_{i,\alpha}^{(0)}(k-N+1-l)$ and hence $f(y)e_{i,\alpha}^{(l)}(k-N+1)$ by the corollary of Lemma 3. For example we have the following results.

Theorem 2. $f : (U, 0) \rightarrow (C, 0)$ is a quasi-homogeneous function with weight $(w_1, \dots, w_N)$, $w_i > 0$, $i = 1, \dots, N$, and $\dim_R \mathcal{O}_{U,0} / (\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_N}) \mathcal{O}_{U,0} < \infty$, then

- (1) $\dim_R H^{N-2}(\Lambda_{f,k-}) = \binom{k}{N} \dim_R \mathcal{O}_{U,0} / (f, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_N}) \mathcal{O}_{U,0}$,
- (2) $H^{N-1}(\Lambda_{f,k-}) = 0$.

Proof. We know $f = \sum_{i=1}^N c_i x_i \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}$, $c_i = \frac{w_i}{d} > 0$, $i = 1, \dots, N$ and $d = \deg f$. Let the monomials $x^a = x_1^{a_1} \dots x_N^{a_N}$, $a \in \Lambda$, a multi-index set, be the R -basis of $\mathcal{O}_{U,0} / (\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_N}) \mathcal{O}_{U,0}$, $[e_a(y)(x-y)^\alpha \wedge Dy]$, $a \in \Lambda$, $|\alpha| \leq k-N-l$, are the R -basis of $\Omega_{f,k-N-l}^N$.

$$e_{a,\alpha}^{(0)}(k-N+1-l) = \int e_a(y)(x-y)^\alpha \wedge Dy, \quad a \in \Lambda, \quad 0 \leq |\alpha| \leq k-N-l,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} e_{a,\alpha}^{(l)}(k-N+1) &= \delta_{k-N+1}^{-1} \dots \delta_{k-N+2-l}^{-1} e_{a,\alpha}^{(0)}(k-N+1-l), \\ a &\in \Lambda, \quad 0 \leq |\alpha| \leq k-N-l, \quad 0 \leq l \leq k-N. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4. If $0 \leq l \leq k - N$, $a \in \Lambda$, $0 \leq |\alpha| \leq k - N - l$,

$$\begin{aligned} f(y)e_{a,\alpha}^{(l)}(k-N+1) &= \left(l+1 + \sum_{i=1}^N c_i(a_i+1) \right) e_{a,\alpha}^{(l+1)}(k-N+1) \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{b \in \Lambda} \alpha_i c_i \mu_{ib}^a e_{a,\alpha-1_i}^{(l+1)}(k-N+1) \\ &\quad + \sum_{t \geq 2} \sum_{b \in \Lambda} \sum_{|\alpha|-t \leq |\beta| \leq |\alpha|-t+1} \lambda_{b\beta}^{(t)} e_{b,\beta}^{(1+t)}(k-N+1). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 5. $f(y) \frac{\Omega_{f,k-N+1,0}^{N-1}}{D\Omega_{f,k-N+2,0}^{N-2}} = F_1 \left(\frac{\Omega_{f,k-N+1,0}^{N-1}}{D\Omega_{f,k-N+2,0}^{N-2}} \right).$

Theorem 2 follows immediately from Lemma 5.

Remark. If V is an analytic variety, $V \subset U \subset C^N$, U is an open set of C^N . $0 \in V$, $I(V) = (f_1, \dots, f_M)\mathcal{O}_{U,0}$. The complex

$$\Lambda_{f,k-} = \Omega_{U,k-,0} / \sum_{i=1}^M f_i \Omega_{U,k-,0} + \sum_{i=1}^M Df_i \wedge \Omega_{U,k-,0}^{-1}$$

and its cohomology groups

$$H^n(\Lambda_{V,k-}, D), \quad n = 0, 1, \dots,$$

are the invariances of $(U, V, 0)$, i.e., if V' is another analytic variety, $V' \subset U' \subset C^N$, U' is an open set of C^N , $0 \in V'$. If $\phi : (U, V, 0) \rightarrow (U', V', 0)$ is a biholomorphic isomorphism, ϕ induces isomorphisms $\Lambda_{V',k-} \approx \Lambda_{V,k-}$ and $H^n(\Lambda_{V',k-}) \approx H^n(\Lambda_{V,k-})$.

If V is a hypersurface defined by $f \in \mathcal{O}_{U,0}$, and O is an isolated singularity, the above results are still true.

REFERENCES

- [1] Xiao Erjian, On cohomology of complete intersections with isolated singularities (in Chinese, preprint).
- [2] Xiao Erjian, On cohomology of complete intersections with isolated singularities (II), *Chin. Ann. of Math.*, **12A:2** (1990), 137-144.
- [3] Xiao Erjian, On cohomology of complete intersections with isolated singularities (3), (in Chinese, preprint).
- [4] Mather, J., Stability of C^∞ mappings (3): Finitely determined map-germs, *Publ. Math. IHES*, **35** (1968), 127-156.
- [5] Xiao Erjian, On cohomology of singularities of C^∞ mapping, *Math. Ann.*, **262** (1983), 255-272.