# SOME CONTRACTIONS OF THREEFOLD ALGEBRAIC FAMILY\*\*

### CHEN MENG\*

#### Abstract

The objects in this paper are all projective 3-folds over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0. After simply generalizing the Rationality theorem, a kind of contractions of non-minimal 3-folds is given

Keywords Threefold algebraic family, Extremal ray, Contraction. 1991 MR Subject Classification 14E05, 14A10.

### §1. Known Results

**Theorem M1.** Let X be a non-singular projective 3-fold with an ample divisor L such that  $K_X$  is not nef. If X has an extremal ray  $R = \mathbf{R}_+[l]$  which is generated by an extremal rational curve l, then

- (1) there exists a morphism  $\Phi: X \longrightarrow Y$  to a projective variety Y such that  $\Phi_*O_X = O_Y$  and for any irreducible curve C in  $X, [C] \in R$  iff  $\dim \Phi(C) = 0$ ;
  - (2) there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \longmapsto Pic\mathbf{Y} \stackrel{\Phi^*}{\longmapsto} Pic\mathbf{X} \stackrel{(.l)}{\longmapsto} \mathbf{Z},$$

 $-K_X$  is  $\Phi$ -ample;

- (3) if R is not nef, then there exists a divisor D such that  $\Phi|_{X-D}$  is an isomorphism and  $\dim \Phi(D) \leq 1$  and we have five types:
- (b<sub>1</sub>)  $\Phi(D)$  is a non-singular curve and Y is non-singular,  $\Phi|_D: D \longmapsto \Phi(D)$  is a  $\mathbf{P}^1$ -bundle;
  - (b<sub>2</sub>)  $\Phi(D)$  is a point and Y is non-singular,  $D \cong \mathbf{P}^2$  and  $\mathbf{O}_D(D) \cong \mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{P}}(-1)$ ;
- (b<sub>3</sub>)  $\Phi(D)$  is a point,  $D \cong \mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1$ ,  $\mathbf{O}_D(D)$  is of bidegree (-1, -1) and  $s \times \mathbf{P}^1 \approx \mathbf{P}^1 \times t$  on  $X, s, t \in \mathbf{P}^1$ ;
- (b<sub>4</sub>)  $\Phi(D)$  is a point,  $D \cong$  an irreducible, reduced and quadric surface in  $\mathbf{P}^3$ ,  $\mathbf{O}_D(D) \cong \mathbf{O}_D \otimes \mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{P}}(-1)$ ;
  - (b<sub>5</sub>)  $\Phi(D)$  is a point,  $D \cong \mathbf{P}^2$  and  $\mathbf{O}_D(D) \cong \mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{P}}(-2)$ ;
  - (4) If R is nef, then Y is non-singular and we have three types:
- (c<sub>1</sub>) dimY = 2 and for any point P of Y,  $X_P$  is isomorphic to a conic of  $\mathbf{P}^2(X$  is called a conic bundle);

Manuscript received November 12, 1991. Revised April 23, 1992.

<sup>\*</sup>Deparatment of Mathematics, Shanghai Institute of Building Materials, Shanghai 200434, China.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Project supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of Shanghai.

(c<sub>2</sub>) dim Y = 1 and for any point P of Y,  $X_P$  is an irreducible, reduced surface such that  $\omega_{X_P}^{-1}$  is ample (X is called a del pezzo fibre space);

(c<sub>3</sub>) dimY = 0,  $\rho(X) = 1$ ,  $-K_X$  is ample (X is called a Fano-variety).

Rationality Theorem. Suppose that X is a projective variety with only canonical singularities on which  $K_X$  is not nef. Let H be an ample divisor on X. Then  $\mu(H)$  is a rational number of the form  $\frac{u}{v}$ , where  $0 < v \le (index \ of \ X)(\dim X + 1)$ .

## §2. Rationality and Contractions

Let X be a normal projective 3-fold over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic 0. Let  $K_X$  be the canonical divisor of X.

$$N(X) = (\{1 - \text{cycles on } X\}/\equiv) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{R},$$

where " $\equiv$ " denotes numerical equivalence. Let  $NE(X) \subset N(X)$  be the smallest convex cone containing all the effective 1-cycles. Via the intersection pair (.) of 1-cycles and Cartier divisors, N(X) is dual to  $NS(X) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{R} = N(X)^*$ .  $\overline{NE}(X)$  is the closure of NE(X) for metrix topology. Let  $D(X) \subset N(X)^*$  be the cone generated by all the effective divisors of X, and  $\overline{D}(X)$  its closure in  $N(X)^*$ .

**Denifition 2.1.** A divisor E is called pseudo-effective if  $E \in \overline{D}(X)$ . For  $D \in N(X)^*$ , we say that D is nef if  $D.Z \ge 0$  for all  $Z \in NE(X)$ .

It is easy to show that E is pseudo-effective if E is nef.

**Definition 2.2.** For any nef Q-divisor H, we define

$$\mu(H) = \sup\{t|t \in \mathbf{R}, H_t = H + tK_X \text{ is nef }\}.$$

**Definition 2.3.** A Q-divisor D on X is called ample if some multiple of it is an irreducible, reduced very ample divisor.

Kieiman's criterion for ampleness is well-known: D is ample iff D.Z>0 for all  $Z\in \overline{NE}(X)-0$ .

**Definition 2.4.** A divisor H on X is called  $Gnef(or\ good\ nef)$  if  $H^{\perp} \cap K_X^{\perp} \cap \overline{NE}(X) = 0$  and  $\mu(H) > 0$ .

**Proposition 2.1.** For a nef divisor H, if H is Gnef, then  $H+qK_X$  is an ample  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor for all rational number  $q \in (0, \mu(H))$ . Conversely if there is a positive rational number q such that  $H+qK_X$  is ample, then H is Gnef.

**Proof.** If H is Gnef, then for any rational number  $q \in (0, \mu(H))$ ,  $H + qK_X$  is nef. If there exists an element  $Z \in \overline{NE}(X) - 0$  such that  $(H + qK_X) \cdot Z = 0$ , then  $H \cdot Z = -qK_X \cdot Z > 0$ . Thus we have

$$(H + \mu(H)K_X) \cdot Z = (\mu(H) - q)K_X \cdot Z < 0,$$

this is impossible. Hence  $H + qK_X$  is ample.

The converse part is obvious.

**Theorem 2.1.** Suppose that X is a projective variety with only canonical singularities on which  $K_X$  is not nef. If X admits a Gnef Cartier divisor H, then  $\mu(H)$  is a positive

rational number of the form

$$\mu(H) = \frac{1}{n_0} \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{I} \frac{u(n_0)}{v(n_0)} \right] = \frac{1}{n_0 + 1} \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{I} \frac{u(n_0 + 1)}{v(n_0 + 1)} \right]$$
$$= \dots = \frac{1}{n_0 + k} \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{I} \frac{u(n_0 + k)}{v(n_0 + k)} \right] = \dots,$$

where  $\{u(n)\}$  and  $\{v(n)\}$  are both sequences of positive integers, I= canonical index of X,  $0 < v(n) \le I(\dim X + 1)$ ,  $\lim_{n \to \infty} u(n) = +\infty$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\epsilon = \frac{1}{n_0} < \mu$ . From Proposition 2.1, we know that  $H + \epsilon K_X$  is an ample **Q**-divisor.

$$H + \mu K_X = (H + \frac{1}{n_0}K_X) + (\mu - \frac{1}{n_0})K_X.$$

Let  $H' = n_0 I(H + \epsilon K_X) = I n_0 H + I K_X$ . Then H' is an ample Cartier divisor.

$$n_0I(H + \mu K_X) = H' + n_0I(\mu - \frac{1}{n_0})K_X.$$

Thus we get  $\mu(H') = n_0 I(\mu - \frac{1}{n_0})$ . On the other hand, we know from Rationality Theorem that

$$\mu(H') = \frac{u(n_0)}{v(n_0)}, \quad 0 < v(n_0) \le I(\dim X + 1).$$

Therefore  $u(n_0) = I(n_0\mu - 1)v(n_0)$ ,

$$I(n_0\mu-1) \le u(n_0) \le I^2(\dim X+1)(n_0\mu-1).$$

For any  $n > n_0$ , we obtain u(n) and v(n) in a similar way and

$$u(n) = I(n\mu - 1)v(n), \quad \mu = \frac{1}{n} \Big[ 1 + \frac{1}{I} \frac{u(n)}{v(n)} \Big].$$

As a simple application of proposition 2.1, Corollary 2.1 is a minor generalization of Rationality Theorem of V. V. Batyrev.

**Theorem.** Let X be a projective QFT-threefold such that  $K_X$  is not pseudo-effective and H is an ample Cartier divisor,

$$\sigma_X(H) = \sup\{t \in \mathbf{R} | H_t = H + tK_X \in \overline{D}(X)\}.$$

Then  $\sigma_X(H)$  is a rational number.

Corollary 2.1. Let X be a projective QFT-threefold such that  $K_X$  is not pseudo-effective. If H is a Gnef divisor, then  $\sigma_X(H)$  is a positive rational number.

**Proof.** Take a rational number  $q \in (0, \mu(H))$ . Then  $H + qK_X$  is an ample **Q**-divisor. From the Rationality Theorem of Batyrev, we deduce that  $\sigma_X(H + qK_X)$  is rational. Then  $\sigma_X(H) = q + \sigma_X(H + qK_X)$  is rational too.

**Remark 2.1.** According to the results of Y. Kawamata, we know that under the condition as in Theorem 2.1, there exists a curve  $C \subset X$  such that  $(H + \mu(H)K_X).C = 0$ .

From now on we take a partly view of 3-fold with negative Kodaira dimension.

Remark 2.2. Let X be a projective QFT-threefold. Then  $\kappa(X) = -\infty \iff K_X$  is not pseudo-effective  $\iff X$  is uniruled.

If X is a non-singular projective 3-fold on which  $K_X$  is not pseudo-effective,  $\rho(X) = 2$ , then X admits at least one extremal ray R. Therefore by Theorem M1 we can get an

elementary contraction  $\phi$  related to R,  $\phi = Cont_R : X \longmapsto Y$ , Y is a projective variety. If  $\dim Y = 3$ , then Y is a Fano 3-fold; if  $\dim Y = 2$ , then  $\phi$  is a conic bundle  $(c_1 \text{ type})$ ; if  $\dim Y = 1$ , then  $\phi$  is a del pezzo fibre space  $(c_2 \text{ type})$ . In general, X admits a good structure.

**Theorem 2.2.** Let X be a non-singular projective 3-fold,  $\kappa(X) = -\infty$ ,  $\rho(X) = 3$ . If X admits a Gnef divisor H such that  $\mu(H)$  is non-integral, then there exists a contraction  $\phi: X \longmapsto Y$ ,  $\phi$  has three types:

- (1) Y is non-singular projective,  $\dim Y = 3$ ,  $\rho(Y) = 2$ , so the type of Y is clear according to the arguments in above.  $\phi$  is just blowing down a plane  $\mathbf{P}^2$ ;
  - (2) Y is a non-singular surface and  $\phi$  is a conic bundle;
  - (3) Y is a non-singular curve and  $\phi$  is a del pezzo fibre space.

From Theorem M1, we obtain the following datum after calculation.

**Lemma 2.1.** In the situation (3) in Theorem M1, l is the general extremal curve such that  $R = \mathbf{R}_{+}[l]$ , and we have

- (b<sub>1</sub>)  $K_X = \Phi^* K_Y + D$ ,  $K_X . l = D . l = -1$ ,  $D^3 = 2(1 g(C))$ ;
- (b<sub>2</sub>)  $K_X = \Phi^* K_Y + 2D$ ,  $K_X \cdot l = -2$ ,  $D \cdot l = -1$ ,  $D^3 = 1$ ;
- (b<sub>3</sub>)  $K_X = \Phi^* K_Y + D$ ,  $K_X \cdot l = D \cdot l = -1$ ,  $D^3 = 2$ ;
- (b<sub>4</sub>)  $K_X = \Phi^* K_Y + D$ ,  $K_X . l = D . l = -1$ ,  $D^3 = 2$ ;
- (b<sub>5</sub>)  $K_X = \Phi^* K_Y + \frac{1}{2}D$ ,  $K_X \cdot l = -1$ ,  $D \cdot l = -2$ ,  $D^3 = 4$ .

**Proof of Theorem 2.2.** Because H is Gnef,  $\mu(H)$  is rational, thus there exists a curve  $C \subset X$  such that

$$(H + \mu(H)K_X).C = 0, \quad H.C = -\mu(H)K_X.C > 0, \quad K_X.C < 0.$$

According to Cone theory, we find that there exists at least one extremal rational curve I such that  $(H + \mu(H)K_X).l = 0$ . Let  $R = \mathbf{R}_+[l]$ , for any curve C such that  $\mathbf{R}_+[C] = R$ , we have  $(H + \mu(H)K_X).C = 0$ . Hence  $1 \le -K_X.C = H.C/\mu(H)$ , whereas  $\mu(H)$  is non-integral,  $H.C \ne \mu(H)$ , i.e,  $-K_X.C > 1$  or  $-K_X.C \ge 2$ .

Now if  $Cont_R$  is birational, then  $Y = Cont_R(X)$  is a non-singular projective 3-fold on which  $\rho(Y) = 2$ .  $Cont_R$  is of  $b_2$ -type i.e.,

$$D \stackrel{\sim}{=} \mathbf{P}^2, O_D(D) \stackrel{\sim}{=} O_{\mathbf{P}}(-1).$$

If  $Cont_R$  is not birational, then it is either a conic bundle or a del pezzo fibre space.

**Theorem 2.3.** Let X be a non-singular Fano 3-fold. If H is an ample divisor on X such that  $\mu(H)$  is non-integral and  $[\mu(H)] = [\sigma(H)]$ , then X has good contractions  $f: X \longmapsto Y$  and  $g: Y \longmapsto Z$ , which satisfy

- (1) Y and Z are both non-singular projective variety, dim Y = 3, dim  $Z \le 2$ ;
- (2) f is just blowing down several planes or trivial. g is a Fano fibration,  $\rho(Y) = \rho(Z) + 1$ , and one of the following is true:
  - (i) Z is a rational surface, g is a conic bundle and  $\rho(Y) \geq 2$ ;
  - (ii)  $Z \stackrel{\sim}{=} \mathbf{P}^1$ , g is a del pezzo fibre space and  $\rho(Y) = 2$ ;
  - (iii) dim Z = 0, Y is a Fano 3-fold,  $\rho(Y) = 1$  and Fano index

$$r(Y) \leq \left[\frac{1}{\mu(H) - [\mu(H)]}\right].$$

I was told by M. Reid that some experts had already known the result. I greatly appreciate his help.

**Lemma 2.2.**<sup>[13,Proposition 1.11 (iii)]</sup>. Let  $f: X \longmapsto Y$  be a birational morphism between non-singular projective varieties. Then we have  $f^*\overline{D}(Y) \subset \overline{D}(X)$  and  $f_*\overline{D}(X) \subset \overline{D}(Y)$ .

R. Hartshorne has given the following result in [5]: $-K_X$  on X is ample if and only if  $(-K_X.C) > 0$  for every effective 1-cycle C on X.

**Lemma 2.3.** Let  $\phi: X \longmapsto Y$  be an extremal contraction, X be a non-singular Fano 3-fold,  $\phi = Cont_R$ , and R be of  $b_2$ -type. If H is an ample divisor on X, then  $\phi_*H$  is also ample.

**Proof.** Denote by D the exceptional divisor of  $\phi$ . Then

$$D \stackrel{\sim}{=} O_{\mathbf{P}}(-1), \quad K_X = \phi^* K_Y + 2D.$$

Let C be any curve on Y,  $\overset{\sim}{C}$  the strict transform of C. Then

$$K_Y.C = \phi^*K_Y. \stackrel{\sim}{C} = (K_X - 2D). \stackrel{\sim}{C} = K_X. \stackrel{\sim}{C} - 2D. \stackrel{\sim}{C} < 0,$$

therefore Y is also Fano.

Now it is sufficient to show that  $(\phi_*H.C) > 0$  for any curve  $C \subset Y$ . We have the exact sequence

$$0 \longmapsto PicY \stackrel{\phi^*}{\longmapsto} PicX \stackrel{(.l)}{\longmapsto} \mathbf{Z} \longmapsto 0.$$

There exists a positive number a such that  $(H + aK_X).l = 0$ . So  $H + aK_X \equiv \phi^* \overline{H}$  for a divisor H. Let  $H_1 = \Phi_* H$ . Then

$$H \equiv H_1 + aK_Y, \quad H + aK_X \equiv \Phi^*(H_1 + aK_X), \quad H + 2aD = \Phi^*H_1.$$

Hence

$$(\Phi_*H.C) = H_1.C = \Phi^*H_1.\overset{\sim}{C} = (H + 2aD).\overset{\sim}{C} > 0.$$

So  $H_1$  is numerically positive and  $H_1$  is ample because Y is Fano.

**Proof of Theorem 2.3.** We know that  $H + \mu(H)K_X$  is nef. Let  $\overline{H} = H + [\mu(H)]K_X$ . Then  $\overline{H}$  is a nef Cartier divisor and  $\mu(\overline{H}) = \mu(H) - [\mu(H)]$ . Because  $\mu(H)$  is non-integral, we have  $0 < \mu(\overline{H}) < 1$  and  $\overline{H} + K_X$  is not pseudo-effective by the condition. Therefore the problem is reduced to the case when  $H + K_X$  is not pseudo-effective. We assume that  $H + K_X$  is not pseudo-effective in the next.

Like the situation in the proof of Theorem 2.2, there exists an extremal curve I such that

$$(H + \mu(H)K_X).l = 0, \quad K_X.l = -\frac{1}{\mu}H.l < -1, \quad K_X.l \le -2.$$

Let  $R = \mathbf{R}_{+}[l]$ ,  $\phi_1 = Cont_R$ . Then  $\phi_1$  is of one of the four types:  $b_2$ -type,  $c_1$ -type,  $c_2$ -type and  $c_3$ -type.

Let  $X_1 = Cont_R(X)$ . Then  $X_1$  is non-singular. If  $\phi_1$  is birational, let  $H_1 = \phi_1 H$ . Then  $H_1$  is ample by Lemma 2.3,  $H_1 + K_{X_1}$  is not pseudo-effective and so  $\mu(H) < 1$  by Lemma 2.2 and  $X_1$  is Fano by Hartshorne's result. Thus we can treat  $X_1$  with the same method as to X. Because  $\rho(X)$  is finite, this program must terminate at Fano fibration.

Using the classification theorem of extremal ray R of Fano 3-fold in section 2 of [12], we can see that Z is rational, especially if dim Z = 1, then  $Z \cong \mathbf{P}^1$ .

**Definition 2.5.** Define  $\sigma(X) = \inf \sigma(H)$  for all the ample divisors H on X,  $\sigma(X)$  is intrinsic related to X.

**Theorem 2.4.** Let  $X \subset \mathbf{P}^n$  be a non-singular Fano 3-fold.  $H = O_X(1)$  be the very ample divisor,  $d = H^3$  be the degree of X embedded in  $\mathbf{P}^n$   $(n \ge 4)$ . Then we have  $\sigma \le d(n+1)^2/c_1^3$ .

**Lemma 2.4.** Let X be a non-singular projective 3-fold and be embedded in  $\mathbf{P}^n$   $(n \geq 4)$ . Let  $H = O_X(1)$  be the very ample divisor.

$$q = h^1(O_X) = 0, L = \wedge^{n-3} N_{X/P^n}.$$

Then  $h^0(L) > 0$  i.e., L is linearly equivalent to an effective divisor.

This is a very special case of known results. We can have a cohomological calculation directly. I believe that the conditions here are much sufficient, but I do not think it over.

**Proof of Theorem 2.4.** We suppose  $L = \wedge^{n-3} \mathbf{N}_{X/P^n}$ . Then  $K_X = L - (n+1)H$ . We know that  $H + \sigma K_X$  is pseudo-effective. Because X is Fano variety,  $c_1$  is ample. Thus  $c_1^2(H + \sigma(H)K_X) \geq 0$ .

$$\sigma(H) \leq rac{c_1^2 H}{c_1^3}, \ \ c_1 = (n+1)H - L.$$
  $c_1^2 H = ((n+1)^2 H - L)^2.H = (n+1)^2 H^3 - L.H.(2(n+1)H - L),$   $c_1^2 H \leq (n+1)^2 H^3 = d(n+1)^2 \ \ ext{and} \ \ \sigma \leq \sigma(H) \leq rac{d(n+1)^2}{c_1^3}.$ 

**Corollary 2.2.** Under the condition of Theorem 2.4, if  $d < \frac{c_1^3}{(n+1)^2}$ , then X has good contractions as in Theorem 2.3.

I thank M. Reid for his stimulating letter. I also thank J. Kollar and the referee for many skillful suggestions.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Ando, T., On extremal rays of the higher dimensional Varieties, Inven. Math., 81 (1985), 347-375.
- [2] Batyrev, V. V., The cone of effective divisors of threefolds(Preprint).
- [3] Clemens, H., Kollar, J. & Mori, S., Higher dimensional complex geometry, Societe Mathematique de France, 166 (1988).
- [4] Hartshorne, R., Algebraic geometry, Springer Berlin, 1977.
- [5] Hartshorne, R., Ample subvarieties of algebraic varieties, 56, Springer-Verlag, 1970.
- [6] Iskovskih, V. A., Fano 3-folds I, Math. USSR IZV., 11 (1977).
- [7] Iskovskih, V. A., Fano 3-folds II, Math. USSR IZV., 12 (1978).
- [8] Kawamata, Y., The cone of curves of algebraic varieties, Ann. of Math., 119 (1984).
- [9] Kollar, J., The cone theorem, Ann. of Math., 120 (1984).
- [10] Kollar, J., Vanishing theorems for cohomology groups, Proceeding of Symposia in Pure Mathematics, 46 (1987).
- [11] Miyanishi, M., Algebraic methods in the theory of algebraic threefolds, Advanced Studies in Pure Maths, 1 (1983).
- [12] Mori, S. & Mukai, S., On Fano 3-folds with  $B_2 \geq 2$ , Advanced Studies in Pure Math., 1 (1983).
- [13] Mori, S., Three-folds whose canonical bundles are not numerically effective, Ann. Math., 116 (1982).
- [14] Mori, S., Flip theorem and the existence of minimal methods for 3-folds, J. Amer. Math. Soc., 1 (1988).
- [15] Reid, M., Surface of small degree, Math. Ann., 71-80 (1986).
- [16] Reid, M., Minimal models of canonical 3-folds, Advanced Studies in Pure Math., 1 (1983).
- [17] Wilson, P. M. H., Toward birational classification of algebraic varieties, Bull. London Math. Soc., 19 (1987).