

A COUNTER-EXAMPLE CONCERNING THE ANALYTIC RADON-NIKODYM PROPERTY**

BU SHANGQUAN*

Abstract

It is shown that there exists a J -convex subset C of a complex Hilbert space X , such that the J -convex hull of the set of all Jensen boundary points of C is different from C .

Keywords Analytic Radon-Nikodym property, J -convex subset, Jensen measure, Jensen boundary point, Banach spaces.

1991 MR Subject Classification 46B20.

In the last ten years, several remarkable results have been established in the geometrical theory of complex Banach spaces. In [1], A.V.Bukhvalov and A.A.Danilevich have introduced the analytic Radon-Nikodym property (see the definition below) in complex Banach spaces as the analytic analogue of the well known Radon-Nikodym property concerning the geometrical structure of real Banach spaces. Let X be a complex Banach space; X is said to have the analytic Radon-Nikodym property (see [1]) if, for every uniformly bounded analytic function from the open unit disk with values in X , $f: \mathbf{D} \rightarrow X$, f has radial limits a.e. on the torus \mathbf{T} in X , this means that for almost all $\theta \in \mathbf{T}$, $\lim_{r \uparrow 1} f(re^{i\theta})$ exists. It is known that every Banach space with the Radon-Nikodym property has the analytic Radon-Nikodym property, and the Lebesgue-Bochner integrable functions space L^1 has the analytic Radon-Nikodym property (see [2]), as well as the predual of Von Neumann algebra (see [3]) and the predual of James tree space J_*T (see [4]). Let us first recall some basic notions on the geometrical structure of complex Banach spaces (see [2] for more detailed discussions).

Let X be a complex Banach space and let f be a real function on X . f is plurisubharmonic if f is upper semi-continuous and if for every $x, y \in X$,

$$f(x) \leq \int_0^{2\pi} f(x + ye^{i\theta}) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi}.$$

Let μ be a Borel probability measure on X and $x_0 \in X$. μ is a Jensen measure on X with barycenter x_0 , if for every plurisubharmonic function ϕ on X we have

$$\phi(x_0) \leq \int_X \phi(x) d\mu(x).$$

Manuscript received October 9, 1993. Revised December 23, 1993.

*Department of Applied Mathematics, Qinghua University, Beijing 100084, China.

**Project supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China.

It is easy to see that for every X -valued polynomial

$$P(z) = \sum_{i=0}^N a_i z^i, \quad a_i \in X, \quad z \in \mathbb{C},$$

the image measure of normalized Lebesgue measure on the torus $\mathbf{T} = \{e^{i\theta} : \theta \in [0, 2\pi]\}$ by P is a Jensen measure on X with barycenter $P(0) = a_0$. In particular, the Dirac measure δ_x is a Jensen measure on X with barycenter x for every $x \in X$. Another obvious fact about Jensen measures is the following: if f is a continuous linear functional on X and μ is a Jensen measure on X with barycenter x_0 , then the image measure of μ by f is a Jensen measure on \mathbb{C} with barycenter $f(x_0)$. We shall use frequently this fact in this paper.

Let X be a complex Banach space, C a closed bounded subset of X and $x_0 \in C$. x_0 is a Jensen boundary point of C , if the Dirac measure δ_{x_0} is the only Jensen measure on X supported on C with barycenter x_0 . It is not hard to verify that for every closed bounded subset C , every strongly *PSH*-exposed point of C is a Jensen boundary point of C , so the set of all Jensen boundary points of a nonempty closed bounded subset of a complex Banach space with the analytic Radon-Nikodym property is not empty. A closed bounded subset C of X is Jensen convex (*J*-convex, in short), if the barycenter of any Jensen measure on X supported on C belongs to C . If D is a bounded subset of X , the *J*-convex hull of D in X is defined as the smallest *J*-convex subset of X containing D .

As every upper semi-continuous convex function is plurisubharmonic, every closed bounded convex subset is *J*-convex; it is known that every *PSH*-convex subset is *J*-convex (see [4]). In [5], we have shown that every closed bounded denumerable subset of a complex Banach space is *J*-convex and every point of such subset is a Jensen boundary point. We also use this fact frequently in this paper.

It is known that a Banach space X has the Radon-Nikodym property if and only if for every closed bounded convex subset C of X , C is the closed convex hull of its strongly linear exposed points (see [6]). The analogous result in the analytic setting has been obtained in [4]: A complex Banach space X has the analytic Radon-Nikodym property if and only if for every *PSH*-convex subset C of X , C is the *PSH*-convex hull of its strongly *PSH*-exposed points. It is natural to ask whether this remains true in the *J*-convex case, i.e., whether the analytic Radon-Nikodym property is equivalent to the following property: every *J*-convex subset is the *J*-convex hull of its strongly *PSH*-exposed points (or more generally, its Jensen boundary points). The aim of this paper is to give a negative answer to this question. We shall construct a *J*-convex subset of $l^2(I)$ (I is an index set), so that the subset $Jr(C)$ consisting of all Jensen boundary points of C is not empty and the *J*-convex hull of $Jr(C)$ is different from C . We shall use an argument used in [7], where in [7] we have constructed a *J*-convex subset C of $l^1(I)$ for some index set I , so that $0 \in C$ is not the barycenter of any Jensen measure on $l^1(I)$ supported on the set of all Jensen boundary points of C .

Let ω be an abstract element and for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let \mathbf{T}_n be a copy of \mathbf{T} . For different values of n , $m \in \mathbb{N}$, the elements in \mathbf{T}_n and the elements in \mathbf{T}_m will be considered different.

Let $I = \{\omega\} \cup \left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{T}_n\right)$ and let $X = l^2(I)$ be the complex Hilbert space

$$l^2(I) = \left\{ f: I \rightarrow \mathbf{C}: \sum_{i \in I} |f(i)|^2 < +\infty \right\}$$

with the norm

$$\|f\| = \left(\sum_{i \in I} |f(i)|^2 \right)^{1/2};$$

$l^2(I)$ thus defined has the Radon-Nikodym property (see [6]). Hence $l^2(I)$ has also the analytic Radon-Nikodym property. For every element $f \in l^2(I)$, the support of f is defined as the subset $\{i \in I: f(i) \neq 0\}$ of I . Let

$$C_\omega = \left\{ f \in l^2(I): |f(\omega)| \leq 1 \text{ and for every } \theta \in \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{T}_n, f(\theta) = 0 \right\},$$

and let

$$C_n = \left\{ f \in l^2(I): \begin{array}{l} \text{the support of } f \text{ is contained in } \{\omega, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n\}, \\ \alpha_i \in \mathbf{T}_i \text{ for every } i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \text{ and} \\ f(\omega) = e^{i\alpha_1}, f(\alpha_1) = \frac{1}{2} e^{i\alpha_2}, \dots, f(\alpha_{n-1}) = \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} e^{i\alpha_n}, |f(\alpha_n)| \leq \frac{1}{2^n} \end{array} \right\}$$

for $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Let

$$\tilde{C} = C_\omega \cup \left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n \right)$$

and let

$$C' = \left\{ f \in l^2(I): \begin{array}{l} \text{the support of } f \text{ is contained in} \\ \{\omega, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots\}, \alpha_n \in \mathbf{T}_n, f(\omega) = e^{i\alpha_1} \\ \text{and for each } n \in \mathbf{N}, f(\alpha_n) = \frac{1}{2^n} e^{i\alpha_{n+1}} \end{array} \right\}.$$

We shall work with the subset of $l^2(I)$,

$$C = C' \cup \tilde{C}.$$

From the definition, it is not hard to see that the subset C of $l^2(I)$ has the following elementary properties:

1. For each $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and for each $f \in C$, there exists at most one element $\alpha \in \mathbf{T}_n$ so that $f(\alpha) \neq 0$.
2. If $\alpha \in \mathbf{T}_n, \alpha_1 \in \mathbf{T}_{n+1}, f \in C$ and $f(\alpha) = \frac{1}{2^n} e^{i\alpha_1}$ (so there exist no other elements $\beta \in \mathbf{T}_n, f(\beta) \neq 0$), then the only possible element β in \mathbf{T}_{n+1} such that $f(\beta) \neq 0$ is α_1 .
3. If $\alpha \in \mathbf{T}_{n+1}, f \in C$ and $f(\alpha) \neq 0$, then there exists a unique element $\beta \in \mathbf{T}_n$ such that $f(\beta) \neq 0$; in this case, we have $f(\beta) = \frac{1}{2^n} e^{i\alpha}$.
4. If for each $\alpha \in \mathbf{T}_n, |f(\alpha)| < \frac{1}{2^n}$, then for each $\theta \in \bigcup_{k>n} \mathbf{T}_k$ we have $f(\theta) = 0$.

The main result in this paper is the following

Theorem. C is a J -convex subset of $l^2(I)$, $Jr(C)$ is different from C and the J -convex hull of $Jr(C)$ is contained in C' .

We shall divide the proof of the theorem above into three steps.

Lemma 1. C is closed and bounded in $l^2(I)$.

Proof. The boundeness of C is trivial since each element in C has a norm less or equal to 2. Let $f_n \in C$ be a converging sequence, $f = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n$, and suppose that $f \notin C$.

If there exists a subsequence f_{n_k} of f_n so that $f_{n_k} \in C_\omega$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the limit f of f_{n_k} belongs also to C_ω since C_ω is closed in $l^2(I)$. Without loss of generality, we can suppose that the sequence f_n belongs to $C \setminus C_\omega$, and there exists, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\alpha_n \in \mathbb{T}_1$ so that

$$f_n(\omega) = e^{i\alpha_n}, f_n(\alpha_n) \neq 0$$

and for every $\theta \in \mathbb{T}_1, \theta \neq \alpha_n, f_n(\theta) = 0$. As $|f_n(\omega)| = 1$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $f = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n$, one can find $\beta_1 \in \mathbb{T}_1$ such that $f(\omega) = e^{i\beta_1}$.

If there exists a subsequence f_{m_k} such that for each $k \in \mathbb{N}, \alpha_{m_k} \neq \beta_1$, and for $k \neq h, \alpha_{m_k} \neq \alpha_{m_h}$, then for each $\theta \in \mathbb{T}_1, \theta \neq \beta_1$, as α_{m_k} converges to β_1 , we must have $\alpha_{m_k} \neq \theta$ when k is big enough. So $f_{m_k}(\theta) = 0$ when k is big enough. This implies that $f(\theta) = 0$. On the other hand, $\alpha_{m_k} \neq \beta_1$. Hence $f_{m_k}(\beta_1) = 0$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$. We can deduce that $f(\beta_1) = 0$. Hence for every $\theta \in \mathbb{T}_1, f(\theta) = 0$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $k \geq N$ we have for each $\theta \in \mathbb{T}_1$,

$$|f_{m_k}(\theta)| = |f(\theta) - f_{m_k}(\theta)| \leq \|f - f_{m_k}\| < 1/4.$$

This means that $f_{m_k} \in C_1$ when $k \geq N$ by the fourth property discussed just before the theorem. We have for each $\theta \in \bigcup_{k \geq 2} \mathbb{T}_k$ and $k \geq N, f(\theta) = 0$, i.e., the only $\beta \in I$ such that $f(\beta) \neq 0$ is ω . This implies that $f \in C_\omega \subset C$.

Without loss of generality, we can suppose that the sequence α_n is a constant sequence, so $\alpha_n = \beta_1$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For each $\theta \in \mathbb{T}_1, \theta \neq \beta_1, n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $f_n(\theta) = 0, f_n(\omega) = e^{i\beta_1}$, and hence $f(\theta) = 0, f(\omega) = e^{i\beta_1}$.

Suppose that for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $\beta_1 \in \mathbb{T}_1, \beta_2 \in \mathbb{T}_2, \dots, \beta_n \in \mathbb{T}_n$ so that for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} f_k(\omega) &= f(\omega) = e^{i\beta_1}, \\ f_k(\beta_1) &= f(\beta_1) = \frac{1}{2}e^{i\beta_2}, \\ &\dots, \\ f_k(\beta_{n-1}) &= f(\beta_{n-1}) = \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}e^{i\beta_n}, \\ |f_k(\beta_n)| &\leq \frac{1}{2^n}, \quad |f(\beta_n)| \leq \frac{1}{2^n}, \end{aligned}$$

and for every $\theta \in \bigcup_{k=1}^n \mathbb{T}_k, \theta \neq \omega, \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n, f_k(\theta) = f(\theta) = 0$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Using the same argument as in the beginning of the proof and the hypothesis that $f \notin C$, we can show that there exists a unique $\beta_{n+1} \in \mathbb{T}_{n+1}$ so that for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} f_k(\beta_n) &= f(\beta_n) = \frac{1}{2^n}e^{i\beta_{n+1}}, \\ |f(\beta_{n+1})| &\leq \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}, \quad |f_k(\beta_{n+1})| \leq \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}, \end{aligned}$$

and for every $\theta \in \mathbb{T}_{n+1}, \theta \neq \beta_{n+1}, f_k(\theta) = f(\theta) = 0$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$. This enables us to

construct inductively a sequence $\beta_i \in \mathbf{T}_i$ so that for every $k \in \mathbf{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} f_k(\omega) &= f(\omega) = e^{i\beta_1}, \\ f_k(\beta_1) &= f(\beta_1) = \frac{1}{2}e^{i\beta_2}, \\ &\dots\dots\dots, \\ f_k(\beta_n) &= f(\beta_n) = \frac{1}{2^n}e^{i\beta_{n+1}}, \\ &\dots\dots\dots, \end{aligned}$$

and for every $\theta \in I$, $\theta \neq \omega, \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots$, $f_k(\theta) = f(\theta) = 0$ for each $k \in \mathbf{N}$. This means that f is an element of C' , which leads to a contradiction with the hypothesis that $f \notin C$. Hence $f \in C$, C is closed and bounded.

Lemma 2. C is J -convex in $l^2(I)$.

Proof. By Lemma 1, C is closed and bounded. It remains to show that every Jensen measure on $l^2(I)$ supported on C has a barycenter in C . Let μ be a Jensen measure on $l^2(I)$ supported on C with barycenter $f \in l^2(I)$. Suppose that $f \notin C$.

First note that for each g in C we have $|g(\omega)| \leq 1$, so $|f(\omega)| \leq 1$. If for every $\theta \in \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{T}_n$, $f(\theta) = 0$, then $f \in C_\omega \subset C$; this is impossible since we have supposed that $f \notin C$.

There exists then $\theta \in \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{T}_n$ so that $f(\theta) \neq 0$. If $\theta \in \mathbf{T}_n$ for some $n \geq 2$, consider the projection

$$\begin{aligned} P_\theta: l^2(I) &\rightarrow C, \\ g &\rightarrow g(\theta), \end{aligned}$$

where P_θ is a continuous linear functional on $l^2(I)$, the image measure μ_θ of μ by P_θ on C is a Jensen measure with barycenter $f(\theta) \neq 0$. We have $\mu_\theta(\{0\}) = 0$ (see [5]), i.e., μ is supported by $\{g \in C: g(\theta) \neq 0\}$. By the special structure of C , μ is supported by

$$\left\{ g \in C: \text{there exists } \alpha \in \mathbf{T}_{n-1} \text{ so that } g(\alpha) = \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}e^{i\theta} \right\}.$$

Let

$$F_\alpha = \left\{ g \in C: g(\alpha) = \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}e^{i\theta} \right\}$$

for each $\alpha \in \mathbf{T}_{n-1}$. We have

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathbf{T}_{n-1}} F_\alpha\right) = 1.$$

For every $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{T}_{n-1}$, $\alpha \neq \beta$,

$$\text{dist}(F_\alpha, F_\beta) = \inf\{\|x - y\|: x \in F_\alpha, y \in F_\beta\} \geq \frac{1}{2^n}.$$

Since μ is a Borel probability measure on $l^2(I)$, μ is supported on some separable subset of $l^2(I)$, and there exist $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots \in \mathbf{T}_{n-1}$ so that $\mu\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} F_{\alpha_k}\right) = 1$. This implies that μ is supported by

$$\left\{ g \in C: g(\sigma) = 0 \text{ for every } \sigma \in \mathbf{T}_{n-1}, \sigma \neq \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots \right\}.$$

We can deduce that $f(\theta) = 0$ for every $\theta \in \mathbf{T}_{n-1}$, $\theta \neq \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots$. On the other hand, for

each $i \in \mathbf{N}$ the image measure of μ by

$$P_{\alpha_i}: l^2(I) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}, \\ g \rightarrow g(\alpha_i)$$

is a Jensen measure on \mathbf{C} supported on $\left\{0, \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}e^{i\theta}\right\}$, μ_{α_i} is then a Dirac measure (see [5]). If for each $i \in \mathbf{N}$, $\mu_{\alpha_i} = \delta_0$, then μ is supported by $\{g \in C: g(\alpha_i) = 0\}$ for each $i \in \mathbf{N}$. This implies that $\mu(F_{\alpha_i}) = 0$ and so $\mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} F_{\alpha_i}\right) = 0$, which leads to a contradiction. There exists then $i_0 \in \mathbf{N}$ so that

$$\mu_{\alpha_{i_0}} = \delta_{\frac{1}{2^{n-1}}e^{i\theta}}.$$

In this case, μ is supported by

$$\{g \in C: g(\alpha_{i_0}) = \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}e^{i\theta}\},$$

so the barycenter of μ verifies also the same condition: $f(\alpha_{i_0}) = \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}e^{i\theta}$.

Starting from the hypothesis that there exists $\theta \in \mathbf{T}_n$ (for some $n \geq 2$) so that $f(\theta) \neq 0$, we have shown that there exists $\alpha_{i_0} \in \mathbf{T}_{n-1}$ so that $f(\alpha_{i_0}) \neq 0$. By induction, there exists $\theta \in \mathbf{T}_1$ so that $f(\theta) \neq 0$. Fix then such a $\theta \in \mathbf{T}_1$.

Consider the image measure μ_θ of μ by the projection P_θ

$$P_\theta: l^2(I) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}, \\ g \rightarrow g(\theta).$$

μ_θ is a Jensen measure on \mathbf{C} with barycenter $f(\theta) \neq 0$. We must have $\mu_\theta(\{0\}) = 0$ (see [5]). μ is supported by

$$E = \{g \in C: g(\theta) \neq 0\}.$$

For every element g in E , $g(\theta) \neq 0$, by the special structure of C , we have then $g(\omega) = e^{i\theta}$. This implies that μ is supported by

$$\{g \in C: g(\omega) = e^{i\theta}, g(\theta) \neq 0\}$$

and so $f(\omega) = e^{i\theta}$, $f(\beta) = 0$ for each $\beta \in \mathbf{T}_1$, $\beta \neq \theta$.

Suppose that for some $n \in \mathbf{N}$ there exists $\alpha_i \in \mathbf{T}_i$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, such that

$$f(\omega) = e^{i\alpha_1}, f(\alpha_1) = \frac{1}{2}e^{i\alpha_2}, \dots, f(\alpha_{n-1}) = \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}e^{i\alpha_n}$$

$$\text{and for every } \sigma \in \bigcup_{k=1}^n \mathbf{T}_k, \sigma \neq \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n, f(\sigma) = 0,$$

and μ is supported by

$$A = \left\{g \in C: g(\omega) = e^{i\alpha_1}, g(\alpha_1) = \frac{1}{2}e^{i\alpha_2}, \dots, g(\alpha_{n-1}) = \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}e^{i\alpha_n}, g(\alpha_n) \neq 0 \right. \\ \left. \text{and for every } \sigma \in \bigcup_{k=1}^n \mathbf{T}_k, \sigma \neq \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n, g(\sigma) = 0 \right\}.$$

Using the same argument as in the beginning of the proof and the hypothesis that $f \notin C$, we can show that there exists $\alpha_{n+1} \in \mathbf{T}_{n+1}$ so that $f(\alpha_n) = \frac{1}{2^n}e^{i\alpha_{n+1}}$, $f(\sigma) = 0$ for every

$\sigma \in \mathbf{T}_{n+1}, \sigma \neq \alpha_{n+1}$, and that μ is supported by

$$A \cap \left\{ g \in C: g(\alpha_n) = \frac{1}{2^n} e^{i\alpha_{n+1}}, g(\alpha_{n+1}) \neq 0 \right. \\ \left. \text{and } g(\sigma) = 0 \text{ for each } \sigma \in \mathbf{T}_{n+1}, \sigma \neq \alpha_{n+1} \right\}.$$

This enables us to construct inductively $\alpha_i \in \mathbf{T}_i$, so that

$$f(\omega) = e^{i\alpha_1}, f(\alpha_1) = \frac{1}{2} e^{i\alpha_2}, \dots, f(\alpha_n) = \frac{1}{2^n} e^{i\alpha_{n+1}}, \dots$$

and for every $\sigma \neq \omega, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, f(\sigma) = 0$, i.e., $f \in C'$, which leads to a contradiction with the hypothesis that $f \notin C$. Hence $f \in C$, and C is J -convex.

Lemma 3. *The set of all Jensen boundary points $Jr(C)$ of C is contained in C' and C' is J -convex.*

Proof. It is clear that every point $g \in \tilde{C}$ is not a Jensen boundary point by the special structure of C , $Jr(C)$ is contained in C' . We are going to show that C' is J -convex.

First note that C' is closed. Indeed, if $f_n \in C'$ is a converging sequence and $f = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n$, then $f \in C$ since C is closed by Lemma 1. Suppose that $f \notin C'$, i.e., $f \in \tilde{C}$. There exists then $N \in \mathbf{N}$ so that $f \in C_\omega \cup C_N$. We have for each $n > N$, and for each $\theta \in \mathbf{T}_n$, $f(\theta) = 0$. In particular, for each $\theta \in \mathbf{T}_{N+1}$, $f(\theta) = 0$, so $|f_k(\theta)| < \frac{1}{2^{N+2}}$ when k is big enough. This is a contradiction since $f_k \in C'$ and each $g \in C'$ verifies the following condition: there exists $\theta \in \mathbf{T}_{N+1}$ so that $|g(\theta)| = \frac{1}{2^{N+1}}$. Hence $f \in C'$ and C' is closed.

Let μ be a Jensen measure on $l^2(I)$ supported on C' with barycenter f . We have $f \in C$ since C is J -convex by Lemma 2. Suppose that $f \notin C'$.

For each $\alpha \in \mathbf{T}_1$ let

$$D_\alpha = \{f \in C': f(\omega) = e^{i\alpha}\}.$$

Each D_α is closed and for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{T}_1, \alpha \neq \beta$,

$$\text{dist}(D_\alpha, D_\beta) = \inf\{\|x - y\|: x \in D_\alpha, y \in D_\beta\} \geq 1.$$

μ is a Borel measure on $l^2(I)$. Then μ is supported by some separable subset of $l^2(I)$. This implies that there exist $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots \in \mathbf{T}_1$ so that

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} D_{\alpha_i}\right) = 1.$$

Consider the image measure μ_ω of μ by the projection P_ω

$$P_\omega: l^2(I) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}, \\ g \rightarrow g(\omega),$$

μ_ω is a Jensen measure on \mathbf{C} with barycenter $f(\omega)$ and μ_ω is supported by $\{e^{i\alpha_1}, e^{i\alpha_2}, \dots\}$. There exists then $\beta_1 \in \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots\}$, so that $\mu_\omega = \delta_{e^{i\beta_1}}$ (see [5]). This means that μ is supported by D_{β_1} and $f(\omega) = e^{i\beta_1}$.

Suppose that for some $n \in \mathbf{N}$ there exists $\beta_i \in \mathbf{T}_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, so that

$$f(\omega) = e^{i\beta_1}, f(\beta_1) = \frac{1}{2} e^{i\beta_2}, \dots, f(\beta_{n-1}) = \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} e^{i\beta_n}$$

and for $\sigma \in \bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathbf{T}_i$, $\sigma \neq \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n$, $f(\sigma) = 0$, and μ is supported by

$$\left\{ g \in C': g(\omega) = e^{i\beta_1}, g(\beta_1) = \frac{1}{2}e^{i\beta_2}, \dots, g(\beta_{n-1}) = \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}e^{i\beta_n} \right\}.$$

Using the same argument as in the beginning of the proof and the hypothesis that $f \notin C'$, we can show that there exists $\beta_{n+1} \in \mathbf{T}_{n+1}$ so that

$$f(\beta_n) = \frac{1}{2^n}e^{i\beta_{n+1}}$$

and for every $\theta \in \mathbf{T}_n$, $\theta \neq \beta_n$, $f(\theta) = 0$, and μ is supported by

$$\left\{ g \in C': g(\omega) = e^{i\beta_1}, g(\beta_1) = \frac{1}{2}e^{i\beta_2}, \dots, g(\beta_{n-1}) = \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}e^{i\beta_n}, g(\beta_n) = \frac{1}{2^n}e^{i\beta_{n+1}} \right\}.$$

This enables us to construct inductively $\beta_i \in \mathbf{T}_i$, $i \in \mathbf{N}$, so that

$$f(\omega) = e^{i\beta_1}, f(\beta_1) = \frac{1}{2}e^{i\beta_2}, \dots, f(\beta_n) = \frac{1}{2^n}e^{i\beta_{n+1}}, \dots,$$

i.e., $f \in C'$. This contradicts our hypothesis that $f \notin C'$. Hence $f \in C'$, C' is J -convex.

Acknowledgements. The author is grateful to the referee for valuable suggestions and remarks, and especially for pointing out to him that the subset C can be considered as a J -convex subset of $l^p(I)$ for every $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. In particular, C can be considered as a J -convex subset of the complex Hilbert space $l^2(I)$, while in the original version of this paper C is regarded as a J -convex subset of $l^1(I)$.

REFERENCES

- [1] Bukhvalov, A. V. & Danilevich, A. A., Boundary properties of analytic and harmonic functions with values in Banach spaces, *Math. Zametki*, **31** (1982), 203-214, English translation, *Math. Notes*, **31**, (1982), 104-110.
- [2] Ghossoub, N., Lindenstrauss, J. & Maurey, B., Analytic martingales and plurisubharmonic barriers in complex Banach spaces, *Contemp. Math.* **85** (1989), 111-130.
- [3] Haagerup, U. & Pisier, G., Factorization of analytic functions with values in non-commutative L_1 -spaces and applications, *Canadian J. Math.*, **41** (1989), 882-906.
- [4] Ghossoub, N., Maurey, B. & Schachermayer, W., Pluriharmonically dentable complex Banach spaces, *J. für die reine und ang. Math.*, **402**, **39** (1989), 76-127.
- [5] Bu, S., An J -convex subset which is not PSH -convex, (to appear in *Acta Mathematica Scientia*).
- [6] Diestel, J. & Uhl, J. J., Vector measures, *Math. Surveys*, *A. M. S.*, **15** (1977).
- [7] Bu, S., A counter-example concerning the integral representation in Banach spaces, (To appear in *Acta Mathematica Sinica*).