

IRRATIONAL ROTATION C^* -ALGEBRA FOR GROUPOID C^* -ALGEBRA**

CHEN XIAOMAN* XU QINGXIANG* XU SHENGZHI*

Abstract

This paper characterizes the irrational rotation C^* -algebra associated with the Toeplitz C^* -algebra over the L -shaped domain in $\mathcal{D}^2$ in the sense of the maximal radical series, which is an isomorphism invariant.

Keywords L -shaped domain, Toeplitz C^* -algebra, Irrational rotation,
 Invariant subset, Maximal ideal.

1991 MR Subject Classification 46L.

§1. Preliminaries

Irrational rotation C^* -algebra on the unit circle was first studied by M. Rieffel in [13]. Since 1980's many people have paid great attention to this subject (see [15], [16], [17], [18] and [19]). It has played an important part in the analysis of C^* -algebras, K -theory and index theory. In recent years the study of rotation C^* -algebras on group C^* -algebras and Toeplitz C^* -algebras has been developed. For Example, in [19] Handelmann and Yin obtained a complete invariant for rotation C^* -algebra of Toeplitz C^* -algebra on the polydisk. In this paper from the view of groupoid we establish the structure of rotation C^* -algebras of Toeplitz C^* -algebras on L -shaped domain in $\mathcal{D}^2$. This idea will get further developing in our other papers.

Suppose that Y is a locally compact Hausdorff and second countable space, and X is a both open and compact subset of Y . $\mathbb{Z}^n$ acts on Y on the right continuously so that $(Y\mathbb{Z}^n)$ is a transformation group. For the n -tuple θ in $\mathbb{T}^n$, define the homomorphism $C_\theta : Y \times \mathbb{Z}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ by

$$C_\theta(y, p) = \theta^p.$$

Denote the reduction of $Y \times \mathbb{Z}^n$ on X by G . Then the reduction of the skew product $(Y \times \mathbb{Z}^n)(C_\theta)$ on $X \times \mathbb{T}$ is the skew product $G(C_\theta)$.

Proposition 1.1. *The groupoid G and $G(C_\theta)$ are r -discrete and amenable.*

The skew product $G(C_\theta) = G \times_{C_\theta} \mathbb{T}$ is a locally compact groupoid with composable pairs

$$G(C_\theta)^{(2)} = \{((x, p, a), (y, q, b)) | ((x, p), (y, q)) \in G^{(2)} \text{ and } b = a\theta^p\}.$$

Manuscript received January 10, 1994.

*Institute of Mathematics, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, China.

**Project supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China, Fok Yingtung Foundation and the Science Foundation of State Education Commission.

The product is

$$(x, p, a)(y, q, b) = (x, p + q, a),$$

and the inverse is

$$(x, p, a)^{-1} = (x + p, -p, a\theta^p).$$

The domain map is

$$d(x, p, a) = (x + p, 0, \theta^p),$$

and the range map is

$$r(x, p, a) = (x, 0, a).$$

So the unit space may be identified with $X \times \mathbb{I}$.

Remark 1.1. Indeed, $G(C_\theta)$ is the reduction of the skew product of $(Y \times \mathbb{Z}^p)(C_\theta)$ on $X \times \mathbb{I}$.

Proposition 1.2. $C^*(G(C_\theta)) \cong C^*(G) \times_{\alpha_\theta} \mathbb{Z}$.

Proposition 1.3. The groupoid $(Y \times \mathbb{Z}^p)(C_\theta)$ is principal if there is no solution of nonzero integers to the equation $\theta^p = 1$.

Proof. We have to prove that the isotropy group $(Y \times \mathbb{Z}^n)(C_\theta)|_u$ at every point u in the unit space is trivial. Suppose that (x, m, a) is in the isotropy group of u . Then we have

$$(x + p, 0, \theta^p a) = (x, 0, a) = u.$$

It follows that $\theta^p = 1$. Therefore $p = 0$ and $(x, m, a) = u$.

Remark 1.2. $G(C_\theta)$ is principal if $(Y \times \mathbb{Z}^n)(C_\theta)$ is.

It is easy to prove the following lemma.

Lemma 1.1. Suppose that G is a groupoid and A a group. Let $c : G \rightarrow A$ be a homomorphism. $G(c)$ is the skew product $G \times_c A$. Suppose that E is a subset of G^0 . Then $E \times A$ is invariant in $G(c)$ iff E is invariant in G .

Let $\Omega = \{(z_1, z_2) \in \mathcal{O}^2 \mid |z_1| < \delta_1, |z_2| < 1 \text{ or } |z_1| < 1, |z_2| < \delta_2\}$, where $\delta_1, \delta_2 < 1$. Then Ω , named L -shaped domain, is a Reinhardt domain in $\mathcal{O}^2$. P. E. Curto and P. S. Muhly have represented the Toeplitz C^* -algebra $C^*(\Omega)$ faithfully by a groupoid C^* -algebra $C^*(G)^{[7]}$. Let us repeat the procedure briefly here with some new notations introduced. Let $T(p) : A^2(\Omega) \rightarrow A^2(\Omega)$ be the Toeplitz operator of the symbol z^p . Then $\{T(p) \mid p \in \mathbb{Z}_+^2\}$ is a contractable representation of $\mathbb{Z}_+^2$ by a weighted function

$$w_+(p, q) = \frac{\|z^{p+q}\|}{\|z^q\|},$$

for $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}_+^2$.

A direct calculation shows

$$w_+(\epsilon_1, p) = \sqrt{\frac{(p_1 + 1)(\delta_1^{2p_1+4} + \delta_2^{2p_2+2} - \delta_1^{2p_1+4}\delta_2^{2p_2+2})}{(p_1 + 2)(\delta_1^{2p_1+2} + \delta_2^{2p_2+2} - \delta_1^{2p_1+2}\delta_2^{2p_2+2})}},$$

and

$$w_+(\epsilon_2, p) = \sqrt{\frac{(p_2 + 1)(\delta_1^{2p_1+2} + \delta_2^{2p_2+4} - \delta_1^{2p_1+2}\delta_2^{2p_2+4})}{(p_2 + 2)(\delta_1^{2p_1+2} + \delta_2^{2p_2+2} - \delta_1^{2p_1+2}\delta_2^{2p_2+2})}}.$$

Extend each $w_+(p, \cdot)$ to $\mathbb{Z}^2$ by taking zero on $\mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \mathbb{Z}_+^2$. Let A denote the translation-invariant C^* -subalgebra of $l^\infty(\mathbb{Z}^2)$ generated by the family $\{w(p, \cdot) | p \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}$ not including the identity. The maximal ideals space of A , denoted by Y , is locally compact and second countable. The natural action, $\tau : \mathbb{Z}^2 \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A)$, defined by translation induces an action of $\mathbb{Z}^2$ on Y according to this prescription: $(y + p)(a) = y(\tau_p(a))$. Since the evaluation at p gives a multiplicative linear functional, say $\alpha(p)$, we get an injection $\alpha : \mathbb{Z}^2 \rightarrow Y$ both open and continuous. The subset $\overline{\alpha(\mathbb{Z}_+^2)}$, denoted by X , is open and compact. G is the reduction of $Y \times \mathbb{Z}^2$ by X as defined above. Then $C^*(\Omega)$ is faithfully represented by $C^*(G)$ (see [7]).

According to [7], Y consists of four parts, i.e.,

$$Y = \alpha(\mathbb{Z}^2) \cup \alpha(\mathbb{Z} \times \{\infty\}) \cup \alpha(\{\infty\} \times \mathbb{Z}) \cup \beta([-\infty, +\infty]),$$

where

$$\alpha(p_1, \infty) = \lim_{p_2 \rightarrow +\infty} \alpha(p_1, p_2)$$

and

$$\alpha(\infty, p_2) = \lim_{p_1 \rightarrow +\infty} \alpha(p_1, p_2)$$

in Y ; and $\beta : [-\infty, +\infty] \rightarrow \infty_G$ is the realization of the subset, ∞_G , of Y consisting of all the possible limits $\lim_{k_1, k_2 \rightarrow +\infty} \alpha(k)$ in Y . Indeed, $\beta(t)$ is uniquely determined by

$$(\beta(t)(w(\epsilon_1, \cdot)), \beta(t)(w(\epsilon_2, \cdot))) = \begin{cases} (\delta_1, 1) & \text{for } t = -\infty, \\ \left(\sqrt{\frac{\delta_1^2 + \exp(t)}{1 + \exp(t)}}, \sqrt{\frac{1 + \delta_2^2 \exp(t)}{1 + \exp(t)}} \right) & \text{for } t \in \mathbb{R}, \\ (1, \delta_2) & \text{for } t = +\infty. \end{cases}$$

Thus

$$X = \alpha(\mathbb{Z}_+^2) \cup \alpha(\mathbb{Z}_+ \times \{\infty\}) \cup \alpha(\{\infty\} \times \mathbb{Z}_+) \cup \beta([-\infty, +\infty]).$$

Given a pair of numbers $\theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2) \in \mathbb{I}^2$, satisfying the condition that there is no nonzero integer n such that $\theta_1^n = 1$ or $\theta_2^n = 1$, which is weaker than that in Proposition 1.3, there is an automorphism $\varphi_\theta : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ defined via

$$\varphi_\theta(z_1, z_2) = (\theta_1 z_1, \theta_2 z_2), \text{ for } (z_1, z_2) \in \Omega.$$

Thus there is an induced C^* -dynamical system $(C^*(\Omega), \mathbb{Z}, \tilde{\varphi}_\theta)$, where $\tilde{\varphi}_\theta$ is the induced automorphism of $C^*(\Omega)$ such that $\tilde{\varphi}_\theta(T_f) = T_{f \bullet \varphi_\theta^{-1}}$ for f in $C(\bar{\Omega})$.

Proposition 1.4. $C^*(G) \times_{\alpha_\theta} \mathbb{Z} \cong C^*(\Omega) \times_{\tilde{\varphi}_\theta} \mathbb{Z}$.

Remark 1.3. $\lim_{p_1 \rightarrow +\infty} \alpha(p_1, +\infty) = \beta(-\infty)$ and $\lim_{p_2 \rightarrow +\infty} \alpha(+\infty, p_2) = \beta(+\infty)$.

§2. Invariant Maximal Radical Series of $C^*(G(C_\theta))$

The maximal radical series of a C^* -algebra is invariant under the isomorphism. It plays an important part in the classification of some C^* -algebras. By the definition^[20], the maximal radical of a C^* -algebra A is the intersection of all closed two-sided maximal ideals of A , and is denoted by $m(A)$, the composition series

$$\cdots \triangleleft m(m(A)) \triangleleft m(A) \triangleleft A.$$

is called the maximal radical series. In this section we will determine the maximal radical series of the rotational C^* -algebra $C^*(G(C_\theta))$.

By [1], there is an order-preserving homomorphism from the family of the invariant open subsets to the family of the closed ideals in the reduced groupoid C^* -algebra. And now, we will first determine the minimal invariant closed subsets in the groupoid $G(C_\theta)$.

Lemma 2.1. *There are only two minimal invariant closed subsets in the unit space of the groupoid $G(C_\theta)$, i.e., $\{\beta(+\infty)\} \times \mathbb{I}$ and $\{\beta(-\infty)\} \times \mathbb{I}$, denoted by F_1 and F_2 respectively. Their complements are denoted by B_1 and B_2 respectively. Any invariant closed subset contains at least one of the F_i 's.*

Proof. The F_i 's are obviously minimal invariant and closed. Given an invariant closed subset F , take any u in F .

1) If u is in either F_1 or F_2 , then $F_1 \subseteq F$ or $F_2 \subseteq F$.

2) If u is in $\beta(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{I}$, then

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} (u + (0, m)) = (\beta(+\infty), t)$$

for some t in $\mathbb{I}$. Hence $F \cap F_1 \neq \emptyset$, and by 1) $F_1 \subset F$.

3) If u is in $\alpha(\mathbb{Z}_+ \times \{\infty\}) \times \mathbb{I}$, then

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} (u + (m, 0)) = (\beta(-\infty), t)$$

for some t in $\mathbb{I}$. Hence $F \cap F_2 \neq \emptyset$, and by 1) $F_2 \subset F$.

4) If u is in $\alpha(\{\infty\} \times \mathbb{Z}_+) \times \mathbb{I}$, then by the same reason as above, $F_1 \subset F$.

5) If u is in $\alpha(\mathbb{Z}_+^2) \times \mathbb{I}$, then

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} (u + (m, 0)) = (\alpha(\infty, n), t)$$

for some t in $\mathbb{I}$. Hence by the same reason as in 4), $F_1 \subset F$.

The lemma follows now.

Remark 2.1. We have used the fact that $\{\theta^p | p \in \mathbb{Z}_+^2\}$ is dense in $\mathbb{I}$ if there is no integer n of nonzero such that $\theta_1^n = 1$ or $\theta_2^n = 1$.

Lemma 2.2. *If the ratio $\frac{\ln \delta_1}{\ln \delta_2}$ is irrational, the isotropy group $G(C_\theta)|_u$ is trivial for $u \notin F_1 \cup F_2$, i.e., $u \in B$.*

Proof. For any (x, p, t) in $G(C_\theta)|_u$, we have two equalities

$$x + p = x, \tag{I}$$

$$\theta^p = 1. \tag{II}$$

(1) If x is in $\alpha(\mathbb{Z}_+^2)$, say $x = \alpha(q)$, then equality (I) becomes $\alpha(q+p) = \alpha(q)$. Consequently, $p = 0$ since α is injective.

(2) If x is in $\alpha(\mathbb{Z}_+ \times \{\infty\})$, say $x = \alpha(m, +\infty)$, then equality (I) becomes $\alpha(m + p_1, \infty) = \alpha(m, \infty)$. Thus $p_1 = 0$. It follows that $p_2 = 0$ from equality (II).

(3) If x is in $\alpha(\{\infty\} \times \mathbb{Z}_+)$, then $p = 0$ by the same reason as in case (2).

(4) If x is in $\beta(-\infty, +\infty)$, say $x = \beta(s)$, then equation (I) becomes $\beta(s + 2p_2 \ln \delta_2 - 2p_1 \ln \delta_1) = \beta(s)$. It follows that $p_2 \ln \delta_2 = p_1 \ln \delta_1$. Therefore $p = 0$.

Finally we get $(x, p, t) = u$. The lemma follows.

Theorem 2.1. *The maximal radical of the groupoid C^* -algebra $C^*(G(C_\theta))$ is $\mathbf{I}(B)$.*

Proof. If $1, \frac{\arg \theta_1}{2\pi}$ and $\frac{\arg \theta_2}{2\pi}$ are linearly independent over the field $\mathcal{Q}$ of the rational numbers, the groupoid is principal, the maximal closed ideals are $\mathbf{I}(B_1)$ and $\mathbf{I}(B_2)$. Therefore the intersection of the maximal closed ideals is $\mathbf{I}(B)$.

If $1, \frac{\arg \theta_1}{2\pi}$ and $\frac{\arg \theta_2}{2\pi}$ are linearly dependent over the field $\mathcal{Q}$, we will prove the following claims

(1) There is indeed a maximal closed ideal in the groupoid C^* -algebra and the intersection of the maximal closed ideals is contained in $\mathbf{I}(B)$.

(2) Each maximal closed ideal I in the groupoid C^* -algebra contains $\mathbf{I}(B)$.

And now

$$\begin{aligned} C^*(G(C_\theta))/\mathbf{I}(B_1) &\cong C^*(G(C_\theta)|_{F_1}) \\ &= C^*(\beta\{+\infty\} \times \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{Z}^2) \\ &\cong C^*(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{Z}^2) \\ &\cong C(\mathbb{T}^2) \times_{\alpha_\theta} \mathbb{Z} \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha_\theta(f)(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = f(\theta_1\lambda_1, \theta_2\lambda_2) = f \cdot \varphi_\theta(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$. Since the homeomorphism φ_θ is not minimal, the crossed product $C(\mathbb{T}^2) \times_{\alpha_\theta} \mathbb{Z}$ is not simple by [1]. However, the nontrivial closed ideal must be contained in some maximal ones since the crossed product is unital. Suppose that I is the maximal closed ideal in the crossed product. Then the quotient $C(\mathbb{T}^2) \times_{\alpha_\theta} \mathbb{Z}/I$ is simple. So there is a surjective homomorphism from $C^*(G(C_\theta))$ onto $C(\mathbb{T}^2) \times_{\alpha_\theta} \mathbb{Z}/I$, whose kernel is a maximal closed ideal in $C^*(G(C_\theta))$.

Since the closed orbit $\overline{\{\varphi_\theta^n(\lambda)|n \in \mathbb{Z}\}}$ is minimal for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}^2$, by [1] the intersection of the maximal closed ideals in the crossed product is $\{0\}$. Hence the maximal radical is contained in $\mathbf{I}(B_1)$. A similar argument shows that the maximal radical is contained in $\mathbf{I}(B_2)$. The claim (1) follows.

For each maximal closed ideal I , there is an integrated representation, $\pi = (\mu, L, \mathcal{H})$, of $C^*(G(C_\theta))$ with kernel I . It follows that the representation π is weakly contained in the induced left regular representation living on μ . Therefore $\mathbf{I}(F) \subseteq \ker(\pi)$, where F denotes the support of μ . F is an invariant closed subset. By the proof of Lemma 2.1, F contains either F_1 or F_2 .

If $F = F_1$ or $F = F_2$, then $\mathbf{I}(B_1) \subseteq I$ or $\mathbf{I}(B_2) \subseteq I$; thus $\mathbf{I}(B) \subseteq I$.

If $F \neq F_1$ and $F \neq F_2$, then

1) F only contains F_1 . Then $\overline{F \setminus F_1}$ is a nontrivial invariant closed subset, say $\tilde{F}_2$, contained in F . Therefore it contains F_1 , i.e., $\tilde{F}_2 = F$. By the proof of Proposition 4.4 in [1],

$$\sup_{u \in F} |f(u)| \leq \|\pi(f)\|,$$

it follows that $I \subseteq \mathbf{I}(B_1)$. Therefore $I = \mathbf{I}(B_1)$. Thus $\mathbf{I}(B) \subseteq I$.

2) F only contains F_2 . Then $\mathbf{I}(B) \subseteq I$ by the same reason as in case 1.

3) F contains both F_1 and F_2 . Set

$$F' = F \setminus F_1 \cup F_2, \quad \mu'(E) = \mu(E \cap F'), \quad \mu_1(E) = \mu(E \cap (F_1 \cup F_2)).$$

Then $\pi_1 = (\mu_1, L, \mathcal{H})$ and $\pi' = (\mu', L, \mathcal{H})$ are the integrated representations of the groupoid

C^* -algebra $C^*(G(C_\theta))$. Moreover we have

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_1(f)(\xi) &= \pi(f)(\chi_{F_1 \cup F_2} \xi) = \chi_{F_1 \cup F_2} \pi(f)(\xi), \\ \pi'(f)(\xi) &= \pi(f)(\chi_{F'} \xi) = \chi_{F'} \pi(f)(\xi), \\ \ker(\pi) &= \ker(\pi_1) \cap \ker(\pi').\end{aligned}$$

Since $\ker(\pi)$ is a maximal closed ideal, it coincides with either $\ker(\pi_1)$ or $\ker(\pi')$.

(1) If $I = \ker(\pi_1)$, it follows immediately that $\mathbf{I}(B) \subseteq I$.

(2) If $I = \ker(\pi')$, one of the following cases occurs.

(i) $\text{supp}(\mu') = \overline{F'}$ contains $F_1 \cup F_2$. By the proof of Proposition 4.4 in [1] we get

$$\sup_{u \in F} |f(u)| \leq \|\pi(f)\|,$$

and it follows immediately that $I = \mathbf{I}(G(C_\theta)^0 \setminus \overline{F'})$. Since B_1 and B_2 are the maximal invariant open subsets and

$$\mathbf{I}(G(C_\theta)^0 \setminus \overline{F'}) \subseteq \mathbf{I}(B_1) \cap \mathbf{I}(B_2),$$

this case can not occur.

(ii) $\text{supp}(\mu')$ contains only one of the F_i 's. Then by the above discussion we have $\mathbf{I}(B) \subseteq I$.

The claim (2) follows now. The theorem follows from the above claims.

Lemma 2.3. *The groupoid $G(C_\theta)|_B$, denoted by $G(C_\theta)'$, is r -discrete, principal and amenable.*

Lemma 2.4. *The maximal radical of $C^*(G(C_\theta)')$ is $\mathbf{I}(\alpha(\mathbb{Z}_+^2) \times \mathbb{T})$, denoted by $C^*(G(C_\theta)'')$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.2 and [1], there is an order-preserving isomorphism between the family of the maximal closed ideals in $C^*(G(C_\theta))$ and the family $\mathfrak{B}$ of the maximal invariant open subsets in $G(C_\theta)$. Let $\bigcap_{B \in \mathfrak{B}} \mathbf{I}(B) = I$. Then there is an invariant open subset $\tilde{B}$ such that $I = \mathbf{I}(\tilde{B})$. We find that $\tilde{B} = \text{int} \bigcap_{B \in \mathfrak{B}} B$. Let us determine the minimal invariant closed subsets in the unit space of the groupoid $G(C_\theta)'$. Note first that any minimal invariant closed subset of the unit space must be a closed orbit $\overline{[t]}$ for some t in the unit space.

The unit space of $G(C_\theta)'$ consists of four disjoint parts,

$$\alpha(\mathbb{Z}_+^2) \times \mathbb{T}, \quad \alpha(\mathbb{Z}_+ \times \{\infty\}) \times \mathbb{T}, \quad \alpha(\{\infty\} \times \mathbb{Z}_+) \times \mathbb{T}$$

and $\beta(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{T}$. The first part is an invariant open subset, while the last ones are invariant closed subsets.

Given u in the unit space, we proceed in the following four cases.

(1) $u \in \beta(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{T}$, say $u = (\beta(s), t)$. Define the distance function d on $\beta(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{T}$ by

$$d((\beta(s), t), (\beta(s'), t')) = |s - s'| + |t - t'|.$$

Then the distance is an invariance under the action of $\mathbb{Z}^2$ on $\beta(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{T}$. For each $v \in \overline{[u]}$ there is a sequence $\{p_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$ in $\mathbb{Z}^2$ such that $v = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (u + p_m)$. However

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} d(u, v - p_m) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} d(u + p_m, v) = 0.$$

It follows that the closed orbits in $\beta(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{T}$ are either disjoint or identical. So the closed orbits in $\beta(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{T}$ are the minimal invariant closed subsets in the unit space.

(2) $u \in \alpha(\mathbb{Z}_+ \times \{\infty\}) \times \mathbb{T}$, say $u = (\alpha(n, \infty), t)$. Now the subset

$$S := \{u + (0, m) = (\alpha(n, \infty), t\theta_2^m) | m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

is contained in the orbit $[u]$. It follows that $\{\alpha(n, \infty)\} \times \mathbb{T}$ is contained in the closed orbit $\overline{[u]}$. For any $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$,

$$u + (k - n, 0) = (\alpha(k, \infty), t\theta_1^{k-n}).$$

It follows that

$$\alpha(\mathbb{Z}_+ \times \{\infty\}) \times \mathbb{T} = \overline{[u]}.$$

Therefore $\alpha(\mathbb{Z}_+ \times \{\infty\}) \times \mathbb{T}$ is a minimal invariant closed subset in the unit space.

(3) $u \in \alpha(\{\infty\} \times \mathbb{Z}_+) \times \mathbb{T}$. By the same reason as that in case (2), $\alpha(\{\infty\} \times \mathbb{Z}_+) \times \mathbb{T}$ is a minimal invariant closed subset in the unit space.

(4) $u \in \alpha(\mathbb{Z}_+^2) \times \mathbb{T}$, say $u = (\alpha(p), t)$. Now the closed orbit $\overline{[u]}$ contains at least one point in $\alpha(\mathbb{Z}_+ \times \{\infty\}) \times \mathbb{T}$ and therefore contains $\alpha(\mathbb{Z}_+ \times \{\infty\}) \times \mathbb{T}$, so the closed orbit $\overline{[u]}$ is not minimal.

So the family of the minimal invariant closed subsets in the unit space is

$$\{\alpha(\mathbb{Z}_+ \times \{\infty\}) \times \mathbb{T}, \alpha(\{\infty\} \times \mathbb{Z}_+) \times \mathbb{T}, \overline{[u]} | u \in \beta(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{T}\},$$

whose union is

$$\alpha(\mathbb{Z}_+ \times \{\infty\}) \times \mathbb{T} \cup \alpha(\{\infty\} \times \mathbb{Z}_+) \times \mathbb{T} \cup \beta(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{T}.$$

Therefore the intersection of the maximal invariant open subsets in the unit space is $\alpha(\mathbb{Z}_+^2) \times \mathbb{T}$. The lemma follows now.

Lemma 2.5. *The intersection of the maximal invariant open subsets in $G(C_\theta)|_{\alpha(\mathbb{Z}_+^2) \times \mathbb{T}}$ is empty. Consequently the maximal radical of $C^*(G(C_\theta)'')$ is zero.*

Proof. Given u in $\alpha(\mathbb{Z}_+^2) \times \mathbb{T}$ the closed orbit created by u is exactly the orbit created by u . So every orbit in the unit space $\alpha(\mathbb{Z}_+^2) \times \mathbb{T}$ is a minimal invariant closed subset. The lemma follows now.

In summary, we obtain the maximal radical series,

$$\{0\} \triangleleft C^*(G(C_\theta)'') \triangleleft C^*(G(C_\theta)') \triangleleft C^*(G(C_\theta)),$$

for the groupoid C^* -algebra $C^*(G(C_\theta))$ in the case that both $\frac{\arg \theta_1}{2\pi}$ and $\frac{\arg \theta_2}{2\pi}$ are irrational. It is invariant under the isomorphism.

Remark 2.2. The classification and the K -theory of the rotational C^* -algebras will be given in our following paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] Renault, J., A groupoid approach to C^* -algebra, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 793, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1980.
- [2] Upmeyer, H., Toeplitz operators on bounded symmetric domains, *Ann. of Math.*, **119** (1984), 549-576.
- [3] Venugopalkrishna, U., Fredholm operators associated with strongly pseudoconvex domains on $\mathbb{C}^n$, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **9** (1972), 349-373.
- [4] Muhly, P. & Renault, J., C^* -algebra of multivariable Wiener-Hopf operators, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **208** (1975), 1-44.
- [5] Coburn, L., Singular integral operators and Toeplitz operators on odd spheres, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, **23** (1973), 1193-1199.
- [6] Berger, C. A., Coburn, L. A. & Zhu, K. H., Function theory on Cartan domains and the Berezin-Toeplitz symbol calculus, *Amer. Jour. of Math.*, **110** (1988), 921-953.
- [7] Curto, R. E. & Muhly, P. S., C^* -algebras of multiplication operators on Bergman spaces, *J. of Funct. Anal.*, **64** (1985), 315-329.

- [8] Pedersen, G., *C*-algebras and their automorphism groups*, Academic Press, New York.
- [9] Rieffel, M. A., Strong Morita equivalence of certain transformation group *C*-algebras*, *Math. Ann.*, **222** (1976), 7-22.
- [10] Salinas, N., The $\bar{\partial}$ -formalism and the *C*-algebra* of the Bergman *n*-tuple, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **64** (1985), 315-329.
- [11] Salinas, N., Sheu, A. & Upmeyer, H., Toeplitz operators on pseudoconvex domains and foliation *C*-algebras*, *Annals of Mathematics*, **130** (1989), 531-565.
- [12] Sheu, A. J. L., Reinhardt domains, boundary geometry, and Toeplitz *C*-algebras*, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **92** (1990), 264-311.
- [13] Rieffel, M. A., *C*-algebras* associated with irrational rotations, *Pacific J. Math.*, **93** (1981), 415-429.
- [14] Sheu, A. J. L., How are the Toeplitz *C*-algebras* of Reinhardt domains affected by taking pseudoconvex hulls, *Proceeding of Symposia in pure Math.*, **51:2** (1990), 321-332.
- [15] Brabanter, M. D., The classification of rational rotation *C*-algebras*, *Arch. Math.*, (Basel) **43:1** (1984), 79-83.
- [16] Pimsner, M. & Voiculescu, D., Imbedding the irrational rotation *C*-algebra* into an *AF*-algebra, *J. Operator Theory*, **4** (1980), 201-210.
- [17] Yin, H. S., Classification of crossed-product *C*-algebras* associated with characters on free groups, *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, **320:1** (1990), 105-143.
- [18] Yin, H. S., A simple proof of the classification of rational rotation *C*-algebras*, *Proc. of Amer. Math. Soc.*, **98** (1986), 469-470.
- [19] Handelmann, D. & Yin, H. S., Toeplitz algebras and rotational automorphisms associated to polydiscs, *Amer. J. Math.*, **110** (1988), 887-920.