

OSCILLATION CRITERIA FOR NONLINEAR SECOND ORDER ELLIPTIC DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS****

ZHANG BINGGEN* ZHAO TAO** B. S. LALLI***

Abstract

The second order elliptic differential equations

$$L_1(y; x) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n D_i[A_{ij}(x)D_jy] + p(x)f(y) = 0 \quad (1.1)$$

and

$$L_2(y; x) = \Delta y + p(x)|y|^\gamma \text{sign} y = 0, 1 \neq \gamma > 0 \quad (1.2)$$

are considered in an exterior domain $\Omega \subset R^n$, $n \geq 2$, where p can change sign. Some new sufficient conditions for the oscillation of solutions of (1.1) and (1.2) are established.

Keywords Oscillation, Nonlinear elliptic equation, Riccati inequality

1991 MR Subject Classification 35J60

Chinese Library Classification O175.25

§1. Introduction

Oscillation theory for elliptic differential equations with variable coefficients has been extensively developed in recent years by many authors (see e.g., Allegretto^[1,2], Bugir^[2], Fiedler^[5], Kitamura and Kusano^[7], Kura^[4], Kusano and Naito^[10], Naito and Yoshida^[12], Noussair and Swanson^[13,14], Swanson^[15,16] and the references cited therein). In this paper, we are concerned with the oscillatory behavior of solutions of second order elliptic differential equations

$$L_1(y; x) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n D_i[A_{ij}(x)D_jy] + p(x)f(y) = 0 \quad (1.1)$$

and

$$L_2(y; x) = \Delta y + p(x)|y|^\gamma \text{sign} y = 0, 1 \neq \gamma > 0 \quad (1.2)$$

with alternating coefficients.

As usual, points in n -dimensional Euclidean space R^n will be denoted by $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$, the Euclidean length of x is denoted by $|x|$, and differentiation with respect to x_i is denoted by $D_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Manuscript received September 20, 1993.

*Department of Mathematics, Ocean University of Qingdao, Qingdao 266003, China .

**Department of Mathematics, Arizona State University, U.S.A.

***Department of Mathematics, University of Saskatchewan, Canada.

****Project supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China

We denoted by Δ the n -dimensional Laplace operator.

Definition 1.1. For $\Omega \subset R^n, \mu \in (0, 1)$, a function $y \in C_{\text{loc}}^{2+\mu}(\Omega)$ which satisfies (1.1) for all $x \in \Omega$ is called a solution of (1.1) in Ω .

In this paper we will consider (1.1) in an exterior domain $\Omega \subset R^n$, that is, a domain which contains the complement of some n -ball. Regarding the question of existence of solutions of (1.1) we refer the reader to the monograph [6].

Definition 1.2. A nontrivial solution y of (1.1) is said to be oscillatory in Ω if the set $\{x \in \Omega : y(x) = 0\}$ is unbounded. Then equation (1.1) is called oscillatory in Ω if every solution of (1.1) is oscillatory in Ω .

The purpose of this paper is to establish some new sufficient conditions for the oscillation of solutions of (1.1) and (1.2) in Ω . In section 2 we use the method of Riccati transformation developed by Noussair and Swanson^[13] to reduce the problem of oscillation for (1.1) to that for some ordinary differential equations. By doing so we obtain some new oscillation criteria for (1.1). Section 3 contains some new oscillation criteria for (1.2) when p has a variable sign. To the best of our knowledge very little is known about the oscillation of (1.2) when p has a variable sign, especially, in the superlinear case.

§2. Oscillation Criteria for Equation (1.1)

Throughout this section we assume that the following conditions holds:

(A₁) $f \in C(R) \cup C^1(R \setminus \{0\})$, $f(-r) = -f(r)$, $r > 0$, $f(r) > 0$, $f'(r) \geq k > 0$ for $r > 0$;

(A₂) $p \in C_{\text{loc}}^\mu(\Omega)$, $0 < \mu < 1$;

(A₃) $A(x) = (a_{ij}(x))$ is a real symmetric positive definite matrix function with $a_{ij} \in C_{\text{loc}}^{1+\mu}(\Omega)$, $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, and $\mu \in (0, 1)$.

Denote by $\rho_{\max}(x)$ the largest eigenvalue of $A(x)$. We suppose that there is a positive function $\lambda \in C^1((0, \infty))$ such that $\lambda(r) \geq \max_{|x|=r} \rho_{\max}(x)$. Let y be a positive solution of (1.1) in $\Omega \cup G_b$, where $G_b = \{x \in R^n : 0 \leq b < |x| < \infty\}$. Since Ω is an exterior domain, for suitably large b , $G_b \subset \Omega$. Define

$$w(x) = -\frac{a(|x|)}{f(y(x))}(A(x) \nabla y) \quad (2.1)$$

and

$$\hat{w}(x) = w(x) + \frac{\lambda(|x|)}{2k} a'(|x|) \mathbf{n}(x), \quad (2.2)$$

where $a \in C^2(0, \infty)$ is an arbitrary positive function, ∇y denotes the gradient of y , $\mathbf{n}(x) = \frac{x}{|x|}$, $x \neq 0$, denotes the outward unit normal. By direct calculation we get

$$\operatorname{div} \hat{w}(x) \geq \operatorname{div} \frac{\lambda(r)}{2k} a'(r) \mathbf{n}(x) + a(r)p(x) - \frac{\lambda(r)[a'(r)]^2}{4ka(r)} + \frac{k}{a(r)\lambda(r)} |\hat{w}(x)|^2, \quad (2.3)$$

where $r = |x|$.

Lemma 2.1. Let y be a positive solution of (1.1) in G_b . Put

$$z(r) = \int_{S_r} \hat{w}^*(x) \mathbf{n}(x) d\sigma, \quad r > b, \quad (2.4)$$

where $S_r = \{x \in R^n : |x| = r\}$, $r > 0$, $d\sigma$ denotes the spherical integral element in R^n , and

$\hat{w}^*(x)$ is the transpose of $\hat{w}(x)$. Then z satisfies the Riccati inequality

$$z'(r) \geq \hat{p}(r) + h(r)z^2(r), \quad (2.5)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{p}(r) &= \int_{S_r} \left[a(|x|)p(x) - \frac{\lambda(|x|)[a'(|x|)]^2}{4ka(|x|)} \right] d\sigma + L'(r), \\ L(r) &= \frac{\omega}{2k} \lambda(r) a'(r) r^{n-1}, \\ h(r) &= \frac{k}{\omega} \frac{r^{1-n}}{a(r)\lambda(r)} > 0, \\ \omega &= \text{the surface measure of unit sphere.} \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

Proof. Using Green's formula in (2.4) we get

$$z'(r) = \frac{d}{dr} \left(\int_{S_r} \hat{w}^*(x) \mathbf{n}(x) d\sigma \right) = \int_{S_r} \operatorname{div} \hat{w}^*(x) d\sigma.$$

In view of (2.3), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} z'(r) &\geq \int_{S_r} \operatorname{div} \frac{\lambda(r)}{2k} a'(r) \mathbf{n}^*(x) d\sigma + \int_{S_r} \left[a(r)p(x) - \frac{\lambda(r)[a'(r)]^2}{4ka(r)} \right] d\sigma \\ &\quad + \int_{S_r} \frac{k|\hat{w}(x)|^2}{a(r)\lambda(r)} d\sigma. \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

By the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality

$$\int_{S_r} |\hat{w}(x)|^2 d\sigma \geq \frac{r^{1-n}}{\omega} \left[\int_{S_r} \hat{w}^*(x) \mathbf{n}(x) d\sigma \right]^2 = \frac{r^{1-n}}{\omega} z^2(r),$$

and by Green's formula

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{S_r} \operatorname{div} \frac{\lambda(r)}{2k} a'(r) \mathbf{n}^*(x) d\sigma &= \frac{d}{dr} \left[\int_{S_r} \frac{\lambda(r)}{2k} a'(r) \mathbf{n}^*(x) \mathbf{n}(x) d\sigma \right] \\ &= \frac{d}{dr} \left[\frac{\lambda(r)}{2k} a'(r) \omega r^{n-1} \right] = L'(r). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, (2.7) reduces to (2.5).

We now establish the main result of this section.

Theorem 2.1. *If the second order differential equation*

$$\left[\frac{1}{h(r)} y'(r) \right]' + \hat{p}(r) y(r) = 0 \quad (2.8)$$

is oscillatory then so is (1.1) in Ω , where $h(r)$ and $\hat{p}(r)$ are as defined in (2.6).

Proof. Assume the contrary, and let y be a positive solution of (1.1) in G_b . Then z satisfies

$$z'(r) \geq \hat{p}(r) + h(r)z^2(r), \quad r > b. \quad (2.9)$$

Consequently (see [17]) (2.8) has a nonoscillatory solution, which is a contradiction.

Remark 2.1. Noussair and Swanson (see [13, Theorem 4]) have shown that the conditions

$$\int_{\tau}^{\infty} h(r) dr = \infty \quad (2.10)$$

and

$$\int_{\tau}^{\infty} \hat{p}(r) dr = \infty \quad (2.11)$$

are sufficient for the oscillation of (1.1) in Ω . Obviously, if (2.10) and (2.11) hold then (2.8) is oscillatory (see [11]), and hence it follows from Theorem 2.2 that (1.1) is oscillatory in Ω . Thus, Theorem 4 in [13] is included in Theorem 2.2.

Now we consider the case when (2.10) holds and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_T^t \hat{p}(r) dr < \infty. \quad (2.12)$$

We put

$$\begin{cases} Q(t) = \int_t^{\infty} \hat{p}(r) dr, & A_0(t) = Q(t), \\ A_1(t) = \int_t^{\infty} h(r) A_{0+}^2(r) dr, \\ \dots\dots\dots, \\ A_m(t) = \int_t^{\infty} h(r) [\{A_0(r) + A_{m-1}(r)\}_+]^2 dr, & m = 2, 3, \dots, \end{cases} \quad (2.13)$$

where $f_+(t) = \max\{f(t), 0\}$, and prove the following:

Theorem 2.2. Suppose that (2.10) and (2.12) hold. Then each of the following conditions imply the oscillation of (1.1) in Ω :

(i) there exists an integer $m \geq 1$ such that $A_0(t), A_1(t), \dots, A_{m-1}(t)$ exist and $A_m(t)$ does not exist;

(ii) $A_i(t), i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, exists and for each T there exists a $t^* \geq T$ such that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} A_m(t^*) = \infty.$$

Proof. We can use Theorem 2.2 of Yan^[19] to conclude that (2.8) is oscillatory in Ω .

Theorem 2.3. In addition to (2.10) and (2.12), suppose there exists a positive twice continuously differentiable function a defined on $(0, \infty)$ such that the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_T^{\infty} \left\{ \int_{S_r} \left[a(r)p(x) - \frac{\lambda(r)a'^2(r)}{4ka(r)} \right] d\sigma + L'(r) \right\} dr \\ & \geq \frac{k_0}{\int_{\tau}^T \frac{kr^{1-n}}{\omega a(r)\lambda(r)} dr}, \quad k_0 > \frac{1}{4}, \quad 0 < \tau < T, \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

holds. Then (1.1) is oscillatory in Ω .

Proof. As in [19] we can show that $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} A_m(T) = \infty$. Thus, Theorem 2.3 follows from Theorem 2.2.

Corollary 2.1. Suppose that (2.10) holds and that

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \int_{G(T,t)} \left[a(|x|)p(x) - \frac{\lambda(|x|)[a'(|x|)]^2}{4ka(|x|)} \right] d\sigma + \frac{\omega}{2k} t^{n-1} a'(t) \lambda(t) - \frac{\omega}{2k} T^{n-1} a'(T) \lambda(T) \right\} \\ & \geq \frac{k_0}{\int_{\tau}^T \frac{kr^{1-n}}{\omega a(r)\lambda(r)} dr}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

Then (1.1) is oscillatory in Ω . Here $G(T, t) = \{x \in R^n : T < |x| < t\}$.

Remark 2.2. Corollary 2.1 improves Theorem 4 in [13].

The following is an oscillation criterion for the equation

$$\Delta y + p(x)y = 0. \quad (2.16)$$

Corollary 2.2. Suppose that the conditions

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_T^t \left[r \ln r p_m(r) - \frac{1}{4r \ln r} \right] dr \geq \frac{k_0 \omega}{\ln(\ln T)}, n = 2, \quad (2.17)$$

and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_T^t \left[r p_m(r) - \frac{(n-2)^2}{4r} \right] dr \geq \frac{k_0 \omega}{\ln T}, n \geq 3, \quad (2.18)$$

where

$$p_m(r) = \frac{1}{\omega(S_r)} \int_{S_r} p(x) d\sigma, k_0 > \frac{1}{4} \quad (2.19)$$

hold. Then (2.16) is oscillatory in Ω .

Proof. The assertion of Corollary 2.2 follows from that of Corollary 2.1 if we choose

$$a(r) = \begin{cases} \ln r & \text{for } n = 2, \\ r^{2-n} & \text{for } n \geq 3. \end{cases} \quad (2.20)$$

Remark 2.3. Corollary 2.2 improves some results in [13] and [18] given for (2.16).

Remark 2.4. In case (2.10) holds and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_T^t \hat{p}(r) dr \text{ does not exist,} \quad (2.21)$$

we can obtain some oscillation criteria for (1.1) by using the method given in [4]. We omit the details.

Finally we consider the case when instead of (2.10) we have

$$\int_T^\infty \frac{r^{1-n}}{a(r)\lambda(r)} dr < \infty. \quad (2.22)$$

Let

$$u = s(t) = \left(\int_t^\infty h(r) dr \right)^{-1} = \left(\frac{k}{\omega} \int_t^\infty \frac{r^{1-n}}{a(r)\lambda(r)} dr \right)^{-1}.$$

Since s is a monotone increasing function, s^{-1} exists. Note that

$$\frac{du}{dt} = u^2 h(s^{-1}(u)).$$

Now set

$$v(u) = -\frac{1}{u} + \frac{1}{u^2} z(s^{-1}(u)), \quad (2.23)$$

where z satisfies (2.5). From (2.23) it follows that

$$\frac{dv}{du} = \frac{1}{u^2} - \frac{2z}{u^3} + \frac{1}{u^2} \frac{dz}{du}. \quad (2.24)$$

Since

$$\frac{dz}{du} = \frac{dz}{dt} \frac{dt}{du} \geq (\hat{p}(t) + h(t)z^2(t)) \frac{1}{u^2 h(s^{-1}(u))}, \quad (2.25)$$

by substituting this into (2.24) we get the following Riccati inequality

$$\frac{dv(u)}{du} \geq v^2(u) + \frac{\hat{p}(s^{-1}(u))}{u^4 h(s^{-1}(u))}. \quad (2.26)$$

Now from (2.26) we deduce the following result.

Theorem 2.4. Suppose that there exists a positive twice continuously differentiable function a on $(0, \infty)$ satisfying (2.22). If

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_T^t \frac{\hat{p}(s^{-1}(\tau))}{\tau^4 h(s^{-1}(\tau))} d\tau \geq \frac{k_0}{T}, \quad k_0 > \frac{1}{4}, \quad (2.27)$$

then (1.1) is oscillatory in Ω .

Remark 2.5. In case $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_T^t h(r) dr$ does not exist, we can derive some oscillation criteria for (1.1) by using the method given in [20]. We omit the details.

§3. Oscillation of Equation (1.2)

First we consider a generalized sublinear equation

$$\Delta y(x) + p(x)f(y) = 0, \quad x \in \Omega, \quad (3.1)$$

and prove the following

Lemma 3.1. Assume that

- (i) $f \in C(R) \cup C^1(R \setminus \{0\})$, $f(r) > 0$ for $r > 0$, $f(-r) = -f(r)$;
- (ii) $p \in C_{\text{loc}}^\mu(\Omega)$, $0 < \mu < 1$;
- (iii) $0 < \int_0^\varepsilon \frac{du}{f(u)} < \infty$, $0 < \int_{-\varepsilon}^0 \frac{du}{f(u)} < \infty$ for every $\varepsilon > 0$;
- (iv) there exists $c > 0$ such that

$$\frac{F''(t)F(t)}{[F'(t)]^2} \leq -\frac{1}{c}, \quad (3.2)$$

where $F(t) = \int_0^t \frac{du}{f(u)}$, $t > 0$. For a positive solution y of (3.1) in G_b and an arbitrary function $m \in C^2(0, \infty)$, put $u(x) = m^\nu(r)y(x)$ with

$$\nu = \frac{1}{1+c} < 1. \quad (3.3)$$

Then u satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta u(x) + m^\nu(r)p(x) &\leq -\frac{1}{c} \sum_{i=1}^n m^{\nu-2}(r) \frac{1}{F} \left[mF' \frac{\partial y}{\partial x_i} - (1-\nu)m' \frac{Fx_i}{r} \right]^2 \\ &\quad + \nu m^{\nu-1} F^{-1} \left[\frac{n-1}{r} m' + m'' \right], \quad x \in G_b. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

Proof. By directly calculating $\Delta u(x)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\Delta u(x) + m^\nu(r)p(x) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left\{ m^\nu F'' \left(\frac{\partial y}{\partial x_i} \right)^2 + \nu(\nu-1) m^{\nu-2} F m'^2 \frac{x_i^2}{r^2} + 2\nu m^{\nu-1} F' m' \frac{x_i}{r} \frac{\partial y}{\partial x_i} \right\} \\ &\quad + \nu m^{\nu-1} F^{-1} \left(\frac{n-1}{r} m' + m'' \right). \end{aligned}$$

From (3.2) and (3.3)

$$F''(t) \leq -\frac{1}{c} \frac{1}{F(t)} F'^2(t), \quad c = \frac{1-\nu}{\nu}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta u(x) + m^\nu(r)p(x) &\leq -\frac{1}{c} \sum_{i=1}^n m^{\nu-2} \frac{1}{F} \left[mF' \frac{\partial y}{\partial x_i} - (1-\nu)m'F \frac{x_i}{r} \right]^2 \\ &\quad + \nu m^{\nu-1} F^{-1} \left(\frac{n-1}{r} m' + m'' \right). \end{aligned}$$

Now we establish the following result.

Theorem 3.1. Suppose that (i)-(iv) and (3.3) hold. If there exists a function m such that

$$\begin{cases} m(r) > 0, & m \in C^2(0, \infty), \\ \frac{n-1}{r} m'(r) + m''(r) \leq 0, & r > 0 \end{cases} \quad (3.5)$$

and

$$\int_0^\infty r m^\nu(r) p_m(r) dr = \infty, \quad (3.6)$$

then equation (3.1) is oscillatory in Ω .

Proof. Suppose that y is a positive solution of (3.1) in G_b . Using Lemma 3.1 we have

$$\Delta u(x) + m^\nu(r)p(x) \leq 0, \quad u(x) > 0, \quad x \in G_b.$$

If

$$u_m(r) = \frac{1}{\omega(s_r)} \int_{S_r} u(x) d\sigma, \quad (3.7)$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dr} \left[r^{n-1} \frac{d}{dr} u_m(r) \right] &= r^{n-1} \frac{1}{\omega(s_r)} \int_{S_r} \Delta u(x) d\sigma \\ &\leq -r^{n-1} m^\nu(r) p_m(r). \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

First, we suppose that $n = 2$, in which case equation (3.8) reduces to

$$-\frac{d}{dr} \left[r \frac{d}{dr} u_m(r) \right] \geq r m^\nu(r) p_m(r). \quad (3.9)$$

Integrating (3.9) from t_0 to t we have

$$-t u'_m(t) + t_0 u'_m(t_0) \geq \int_{t_0}^t r m^\nu(r) p_m(r) dr.$$

From assumption (3.6), it follows that there exist $K_1 > 0$ and $T > t_0$ such that

$$-t u'_m(t) \geq K_1 \text{ for } t \geq T,$$

which leads to $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u_m(t) = -\infty$, which contradicts the fact that $u_m(t) > 0$.

Now we suppose that $n \geq 3$. We use the Liouville transformation

$$r = \beta(s) = (ls)^l, \quad U(s) = s u_m(\beta(s)), \quad l = \frac{1}{n-2}. \quad (3.10)$$

By a straight forward calculation, from (3.8) we obtain

$$-U''(s) \geq \frac{l^2}{s} m^\nu(\beta(s)) p_m(\beta(s)) \beta^2(s). \quad (3.11)$$

Now we integrate (3.11) and get

$$-U'(s) + U'(s_0) \geq \int_{s_0}^s \frac{l^2}{\sigma} m^\nu(\beta(\sigma)) p_m(\beta(\sigma)) \beta^2(\sigma) d\sigma.$$

Setting $\beta(\sigma) = \tau$ in the integration, then we get

$$-U'(s) + U'(s_0) \geq l \int_a^r \tau m^\nu(\tau) p_m(\tau) d\tau, \quad (3.12)$$

where $a = \beta(s_0)$, $r = \beta(s)$ and $l > 0$.

In view of conditions (3.6), (3.12) implies

$$-U'(s) \geq 1 \text{ for all large } s,$$

and so

$$U(s) \rightarrow -\infty \text{ as } s \rightarrow +\infty,$$

which is a contradiction. Thus equation (3.1) is oscillatory in Ω .

Corollary 3.1. Suppose that (iii) holds and $0 < \gamma < 1$. If

$$\int_a^\infty r \ln^\gamma r P_m(r) dr = \infty, \text{ for } n = 2,$$

and

$$\int_a^\infty r P_m(r) dr = \infty, \text{ for } n \geq 3,$$

then equation (1.2) is oscillatory in Ω .

Proof. In (3.1) we choose $f(y) = |y|^\gamma \operatorname{sgn} y$, $\nu = \gamma$, $0 < \gamma < 1$ and

$$m(r) = \begin{cases} \ln r & \text{for } n = 2, \\ 1 & \text{for } n \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

Then $m(r)$ satisfies (3.5) and hence the conclusion follows from Theorem 3.1.

Remark 3.1. Corollary 3.1 extends Theorem 1 in [16] when $0 < \gamma < 1$, where $p(x) \geq 0$ is required.

Now we consider equation (1.2) with $\gamma > 1$.

Let y be a positive solution of (1.2) in G_b and let

$$u(x) = [y(x)]^{1-\gamma}. \quad (3.13)$$

By a direct calculation, we get

$$\Delta u(x) = \gamma_0 p(x) + \gamma_1 \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{u} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right)^2, \quad (3.14)$$

where $\gamma_0 = \gamma - 1$, $\gamma_1 = \frac{\gamma}{\gamma-1} > 1$. Put

$$W_1(r) = \int_{S_r} u(x) d\sigma, \quad m(r, u) = \frac{W_1(r)}{\omega r^{n-1}}. \quad (3.15)$$

Then

$$\frac{d}{dr} [r^{n-1} m'(r, u)] = \frac{1}{\omega} \int_{S_r} \Delta u d\sigma$$

and hence

$$\frac{d}{dr} [r^{n-1} m'(r, u)] = \frac{\gamma_0}{\omega} \int_{S_r} p(x) d\sigma + \frac{\gamma_1}{\omega} \int_{S_r} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{u} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right)^2 d\sigma. \quad (3.16)$$

Lemma 3.2. If y is a positive solution of equation (1.2) in G_b , then W_1 defined in (3.15)

satisfies

$$W_1'(r) \leq \frac{n-1}{r} W_1(r) + \sqrt{W_1(r)} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n \int_{S_r} \frac{1}{u} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right)^2 d\sigma}, \quad r > b \quad (3.17)$$

and

$$W_1(r) \leq \left(\frac{r}{r_0} \right)^{n-1} \left[\sqrt{W_1(r_0)} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{r_0}^r \left(\frac{r_0}{\tau} \right)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n \int_{S_\tau} \frac{1}{u} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right)^2 d\sigma d\tau} \right]^2. \quad (3.18)$$

Proof. Since $W_1(r) = \int_{S_r} u(x) d\sigma$, we have

$$W_1'(r) = \frac{n-1}{r} W_1(r) + \int_{S_r} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{x_i}{r} d\sigma.$$

By the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality

$$\int_{S_r} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{x_i}{r} d\sigma \leq \sqrt{\int_{S_r} \frac{1}{u} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right)^2 d\sigma} \sqrt{\int_{S_r} u \frac{x_i^2}{r^2} d\sigma}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{S_r} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{x_i}{r} d\sigma &\leq \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n \int_{S_r} \frac{1}{u} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right)^2 d\sigma} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n \int_{S_r} u \frac{x_i^2}{r^2} d\sigma} \\ &= \sqrt{W_1(r)} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n \int_{S_r} \frac{1}{u} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right)^2 d\sigma} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$W_1'(r) \leq \frac{n-1}{r} W_1(r) + \sqrt{W_1(r)} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n \int_{S_r} \frac{1}{u} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right)^2 d\sigma}.$$

We let $z^2(r) = W_1(r)$, and get

$$z'(r) \leq \frac{n-1}{2r} z(r) + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n \int_{S_r} \frac{1}{u} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right)^2 d\sigma}$$

and

$$z(r) \leq \left(\frac{r}{r_0} \right)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \left[z(r_0) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{r_0}^r \left(\frac{\tau}{r_0} \right)^{-\frac{n-1}{2}} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n \int_{S_\tau} \frac{1}{u} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right)^2 d\sigma d\tau} \right].$$

Thus

$$W_1(r) \leq \left(\frac{r}{r_0} \right)^{n-1} \left[\sqrt{W_1(r_0)} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{r_0}^r \left(\frac{\tau}{r_0} \right)^{-\frac{n-1}{2}} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n \int_{S_\tau} \frac{1}{u} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right)^2 d\sigma d\tau} \right]^2,$$

and the proof of the lemma is complete.

Theorem 3.2. Let $n = 2$ and $p \in C_{\text{loc}}^\mu(\Omega)$, $\mu \in (0, 1)$. If

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_{r_0}^t \frac{1}{r} \int_{r_0}^r \tau \ln \tau p_m(\tau) d\tau dr > 0, \quad (3.19)$$

then equation (1.2) with $\gamma > 1$ is oscillatory in Ω .

Proof. Suppose the contrary and assume without loss of generality that there exists a positive solution y of (1.2) in G_b . With $u(x)$, $W_1(r)$ and $m(r)$ defined in (3.3) and (3.15) we get, for $n = 2$, from (3.16) the following

$$\frac{d}{dr}[rm'(r, u)] = \frac{\gamma_0}{\omega} \int_{S_r} p(x) d\sigma + \frac{\gamma_1}{\omega} \int_{S_r} \sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{1}{u} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right)^2 d\sigma, r > b. \quad (3.20)$$

Multiplying both sides of (3.20) by $\ln r$ and integrating from r_0 to r we get

$$\begin{aligned} & r \ln rm'(r, u) - m(r, u) - c_0 \\ &= \frac{\gamma_0}{\omega} \int_{r_0}^r \ln \tau \int_{S_\tau} p(x) d\sigma d\tau + \frac{\gamma_1}{\omega} \int_{r_0}^r \ln \tau \int_{S_\tau} \sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{1}{u} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right)^2 d\sigma d\tau, \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

where $c_0 = r_0 \ln r_0 m'(r_0, u) - m(r_0, u)$.

Divide both sides of (3.21) by r , integrate from r_0 to t and finally divide by t to get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\ln t}{t} m(t, u) - \frac{2}{t} \int_{r_0}^t \frac{m(r, u)}{r} dr - \frac{c_0}{t} \ln \frac{t}{r_0} - \frac{c_2}{t} \\ &= \frac{\gamma_0}{\omega} \frac{1}{t} \int_{r_0}^t \frac{1}{r} \int_{r_0}^r \tau \ln \tau \int_{S_\tau} p(x) d\sigma d\tau dr \\ &+ \frac{\gamma_1}{\omega} \frac{1}{t} \int_{r_0}^t \frac{1}{r} \int_{r_0}^r \ln \tau \int_{S_\tau} \sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{1}{u} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right)^2 d\sigma d\tau dr, \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

where $c_2 = \ln r_0 m'(r_0, u)$.

Using the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality in (3.18) we have

$$\begin{aligned} W_1(r) &\leq \frac{r}{r_0} \left\{ \sqrt{W_1(r_0)} + \frac{1}{2} \left[\int_{r_0}^r \sqrt{\frac{r_0}{\tau}} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{S_\tau} \frac{1}{u} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right)^2 d\sigma d\tau} \right]^2 \right\} \\ &\leq \frac{r}{r_0} \left\{ \sqrt{W_1(r_0)} + \frac{r_0}{2} \left[\int_{r_0}^r \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau \ln \tau}} \sqrt{\ln \tau \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{S_\tau} \frac{1}{u} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right)^2 d\sigma d\tau} \right]^2 \right\} \\ &\leq \frac{r}{r_0} \left\{ \sqrt{W_1(r_0)} + \frac{r_0}{2} \int_{r_0}^r \frac{d\tau}{\tau \ln \tau} \int_{r_0}^r \ln \tau \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{S_\tau} \frac{1}{u} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right)^2 d\sigma d\tau \right\} \\ &= r \left\{ \frac{\sqrt{W_1(r_0)}}{r_0} + \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(\frac{\ln r}{\ln r_0} \right) \int_{r_0}^r \ln \tau \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{S_\tau} \frac{1}{u} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right)^2 d\sigma d\tau \right\}, \quad r > b. \end{aligned} \quad (3.23)$$

We now consider two cases:

Case (i) $\ln \tau \int_{S_\tau} \sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{1}{u} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right)^2 d\sigma \in L(0, \infty)$.

Then there exists a constant $K > 0$ such that

$$\int_{r_0}^r \ln \tau \int_{S_\tau} \sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{1}{u} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right)^2 d\sigma d\tau < K, \quad (3.24)$$

and hence from (3.23) we have

$$W_1(r) \leq r \left\{ \frac{\sqrt{W_1(r_0)}}{r_0} + \frac{K}{2} \ln \left(\frac{\ln r}{\ln r_0} \right) \right\}.$$

So

$$0 \leq m(r, u) = \frac{W_1(r)}{\omega r} \leq \frac{1}{\omega} \left\{ \frac{\sqrt{W_1(r)}}{r_0} + \frac{K}{2} \ln \left(\frac{\ln r}{\ln r_0} \right) \right\}.$$

Thus

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \ln t \cdot m(t, u) = 0.$$

From (3.24) it follows that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_{r_0}^t \frac{1}{r} \int_{r_0}^r \ln \tau \int_{S_\tau} \sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{1}{u} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right)^2 d\sigma d\tau dr = 0.$$

From (3.22) we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_{r_0}^t \frac{1}{r} \int_{r_0}^r \tau \ln \tau p_m(\tau) d\tau dr = 0,$$

which contradicts (3.19).

Case (ii)

$$\ln \tau \int_{S_\tau} \sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{1}{u} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right)^2 d\sigma \notin L(0, \infty). \quad (3.25)$$

We define

$$\Phi(t) = \int_{r_0}^t \frac{1}{r} \int_{r_0}^r \ln \tau \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{S_\tau} \frac{1}{u} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right)^2 d\sigma d\tau dr.$$

From (3.22)

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\gamma_0}{\omega} \frac{1}{t} \int_{r_0}^t \frac{1}{r} \int_{r_0}^r \tau \ln \tau p_m(\tau) d\tau dr \\ &= -\frac{1}{t} \left[\frac{\gamma_1}{\omega} \Phi(t) + 2 \int_{r_0}^t \frac{m(r, u)}{r} dr \ln t \cdot m(t, u) \right] - \frac{c_0}{t} \ln \frac{t}{r_0} - \frac{c_2}{t}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.26)$$

Now if

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left[\frac{\gamma_1}{\omega} \Phi(t) + 2 \int_{r_0}^t \frac{m(r, u)}{r} dr - \ln t \cdot m(t, u) \right] \geq 0, \quad (3.27)$$

then by (3.26)

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_{r_0}^t \frac{1}{r} \int_{r_0}^r \tau \ln \tau p_m(\tau) d\tau dr \leq 0,$$

which contradicts (3.19). And if (3.27) does not hold, then for t sufficiently large we have

$$\left\{ \frac{\gamma_1}{\omega} \Phi(t) + 2 \int_{r_0}^t \frac{m(r, u)}{r} dr - \ln t \cdot m(t, u) \right\} < 0. \quad (3.28)$$

Therefore

$$\gamma_1 \Phi(t) \leq \omega \ln t \cdot m(t, u) = \frac{\ln t}{t} W_1(t) \quad (3.29)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\sqrt{\frac{(t\Phi'(t))'}{t\Phi'(t)}}\sqrt{\frac{t\Phi'(t)}{t\Phi(t)}} &= \sqrt{\frac{\ln t \int_{S_t} \sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{1}{u} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}\right)^2 d\sigma}{t\Phi(t)}} \\ &\geq \sqrt{\gamma_1} \frac{W_1'(t) - \frac{1}{t}W_1(t)}{w_1(t)} \\ &= \sqrt{\gamma_1} \left(\frac{W_1'(t)}{W_1(t)} - \frac{1}{t} \right).\end{aligned}\quad (3.30)$$

From (3.25), it follows that $\Phi(t) \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, for a sufficiently large t_1 we get $\Phi(t_1) \geq 1$. Integrating (3.30) from t_1 to t and using the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality in the left side, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sqrt{\ln \frac{t\Phi'(t)}{t_1\Phi'(t_1)}}\sqrt{\ln \Phi(t)} &\geq \sqrt{\gamma_1} [\ln W_1(t) - \ln t] \\ &\geq \sqrt{\gamma_1} [\ln \Phi(t) - \ln \ln t]\end{aligned}$$

or

$$t\Phi'(t) \geq c_3 \frac{\Phi^{\gamma_1}(t)}{(\ln t)^{2\gamma_1}}, c_3 = t_1\Phi'(t_1). \quad (3.31)$$

Integrate (3.31) from T to t , $T \geq t_1$, to get

$$\frac{1}{1-\gamma_1} \left[\frac{1}{\Phi^{\gamma_1-1}(t)} - \frac{1}{\Phi^{\gamma_1-1}(T)} \right] \geq \frac{c_3}{1-2\gamma_1} \left[\frac{1}{(\ln t)^{2\gamma_1-1}} - \frac{1}{(\ln T)^{2\gamma_1-1}} \right].$$

Since $\gamma_1 > 1$ and $\Phi(t) \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\Phi(T) \leq c_4 (\ln T)^{\frac{2\gamma_1-1}{\gamma_1-1}}, \quad c_4 = \left[\frac{2\gamma_1-1}{c_3(\gamma_1-1)} \right]^{\frac{1}{\gamma_1-1}}. \quad (3.32)$$

We want to show that

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln t}{t} m(t, u) = 0. \quad (3.33)$$

In case (3.33) does not hold, there exists a $c_5 > 0$ such that

$$\frac{\ln t}{t} m(t, u) \geq c_5 \text{ for all sufficiently large } t.$$

Then

$$W_1(t) \geq c_5 \omega \frac{t^2}{\ln t}.$$

From (3.23)

$$c_5 \omega \frac{t^2}{\ln t} \leq W_1(t) \leq t \left\{ \frac{\sqrt{W_1(r_0)}}{r_0} + \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(\frac{\ln t}{\ln r_0} \right) t\Phi'(t) \right\},$$

so

$$\Phi'(t) \geq \frac{c_5 \omega}{\ln t \ln \left(\frac{\ln t}{\ln r_0} \right)},$$

or

$$\Phi(t) \geq \Phi(t_4) + \frac{c_5 \omega}{\ln t \ln \left(\frac{\ln t}{\ln r_0} \right)} (t - t_4), t \geq t_4. \quad (3.34)$$

This contradicts (3.32). Thus (3.33) must hold. From (3.26) we have

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_{r_0}^t \frac{1}{r} \int_{r_0}^r \tau \ln \tau p_m(\tau) d\tau dr \leq 0,$$

which contradicts (3.19). Hence equation (1.2) is oscillatory in Ω .

§4. Discussion, an Open Problem

Example 4.1. Consider the linear equation

$$\Delta y + p(r)y = 0, \quad n = 2, \quad (4.1)$$

where

$$p(r) = \frac{1}{4r^2 \ln^2 r} + \frac{\sin r}{r \ln r}.$$

Here no matter how we choose $a(r)$, it is impossible to have

$$\int_{r_0}^{\infty} \frac{dr}{ra(r)} = \infty$$

and

$$\int_{r_0}^{\infty} \hat{p}(r) dr = \infty \quad \text{simultaneously.}$$

Thus, the results in [13] cannot apply to (4.1). But if we take $a(r) = \ln r$, then $\hat{p}(r) = \sin r$ is a periodic function. Moreover

$$-\infty < \liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} \int_{r_0}^T \hat{p}(r) dr \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{r_0}^{\infty} \frac{dr}{ra(r)} = \infty$$

hold. Thus by Theorem 2.1 and a known result for (2.8) in [4] equation (4.1) is oscillatory in an exterior domain Ω .

Example 4.2. Consider the superlinear equation

$$\Delta y + \frac{2 + \sin r + 3r \cos r - r^2 \sin r}{r \ln r} |y|^{\gamma} \operatorname{sgn} y = 0, \quad n = 2, \quad \gamma > 1. \quad (4.2)$$

Then

$$p_m(r) = \frac{2 + \sin r + 3r \cos r - r^2 \sin r}{r \ln r}$$

is an alternating function. So Theorem 1 in [16] does not ensure the oscillation of equation (4.2) in an exterior domain Ω . Since

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_{t_0}^t \frac{1}{r} \int_{t_0}^r \tau \ln \tau p_m(\tau) d\tau dr = 1 > 0,$$

it follows from Theorem 3.2 that equation (4.2) is oscillatory in Ω . For $n \geq 3$, to the best of our knowledge, there is no corresponding result, and thus it remains an open problem.

REFERENCES

- [1] Allegretto, W., Oscillation criteria for quasilinear equations, *Canad. J. Math.*, **26**(1974), 931-947.
- [2] Allegretto, W., Oscillation criteria for semilinear equations in general domains, *Canad. Math. Bull.*, **19**(1976), 137-144.
- [3] Bugir, M. K., A remark on the conditions for the oscillation of solutions of nonlinear differential equations, *Ukrain. Math. Zh.*, **42**: 4(1990), 458-464.
- [4] Elbert, A., Generalized Riccati equation for half linear second order differential equations, *Differential equations, Qualitative theory, Szeged (Hungary)*, **47**, (1984), 247-249.

- [5] Fiedler, F., Oscillation criteria of Nehari type for Sturm Liouville operators and elliptic differential operators of second order and the lower spectrum, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh, Sect., A* **109**: 1-2 (1988), 127-144.
- [6] Gilbarg, D. & Trudinger, N. S., Elliptic partial differential equation of second order, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, New York, Tokyo, 1983.
- [7] Kitamura, Y. & Kusano, T., An oscillation theorem for a sublinear Schrödinger equation, *Utilitas Math.*, **14**(1978), 171-175.
- [8] Kusano, T. & Naito, M., Oscillation criteria for a class of perturbed Schrödinger equations, *Canad. Math. Bull.*, **25**:1 (1982), 71-77.
- [9] Kura, T., Oscillation criteria for a class of sublinear elliptic equations of the second order, *Utilitas Math.*, **22** (1983), 709-718.
- [10] Kusano, T. & Naito, M., Oscillation theory of entire solutions of second order superlinear elliptic equations, *Funkcial Ekvac.*, **30** (1987), 269-282.
- [11] Leighton, W., The detection of the oscillation of solutions of second order linear differential equations, *Duke J. Math.*, **17** (1950), 57-62.
- [12] Naito, M. & Yashida, N., Oscillation criteria for a class of higher order elliptic equations, *Math Rep. Toyama Univ.*, **12** (1989), 29-40.
- [13] Noussair, E. S. & Swanson, C. A., Oscillation of semilinear elliptic inequalities by Riccati Transformation, *Canad. J. Math.*, **32** (1980), 908-923.
- [14] Noussair, E. S. & Swanson, C. A., Oscillation theory for semilinear elliptic Schrödinger equations and inequalities, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburg*, **75A** (1975/76), 67-81.
- [15] Swanson, C. A., Criteria for oscillatory sublinear Schrödinger equations, *Pacific J. Math.*, **104** (1983), 483-493.
- [16] Swanson, C. A., Positive solutions of $-\Delta u = f(x, u)$, *Nonlinear Analysis, Theory, Methods and Applications*, **9**:12 (1985), 1319-1323.
- [17] Swanson, C. A., Comparison and oscillation theory of linear differential equations, Academic Press, N. Y., 1968.
- [18] Swanson, C. A., Semilinear second order elliptic oscillation, *Canad. Math. Bull.*, **22**:2 (1979), 139-157.
- [19] Yan Jurang, Oscillation properties for second order nonlinear differential equation with "integrally small" coefficient, *Acta Math. Sinica*, **30** (1987), 206-215.
- [20] Yan Jurang, Oscillation theorem for second order linear differential equations with damping, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **98**:2 (1986), 276-282.
- [21] Yashida, N., Oscillation properties of solutions of second order elliptic equations, *SIAM. J. Math. Anal.*, **14**:4 (1983), 709-718.