

ON LARGE INCREMENTS OF l^p -VALUED GAUSSIAN PROCESSES**

LIN ZHENGYAN*

Abstract

Let $\{X_k(t), t \geq 0\}, k = 1, 2, \dots$, be a sequence of independent Gaussian processes with $\sigma_k^2(h) = E(X_k(t+h) - X_k(t))^2$. Put $\sigma(p, h) = (\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sigma_k^p(h))^{1/p}$, $p \geq 1$. The author establishes the large increment results for bounded $\sigma(p, h)$.

Keywords l^p -valued infinite dimensional Gaussian process, Large increment, a.s. limit
1991 MR Subject Classification 60G15, 60G17, 60F15
Chinese Library Classification O211.6

§1. Introduction

Let $\{Y(t), t \geq 0\} = \{X_k(t), t \geq 0\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of independent Gaussian processes with $EX_k(t) = 0$ and stationary increments $\sigma_k^2(h) = E(X_k(t+h) - X_k(t))^2$, where, and throughout this paper, $\sigma_k(h)$ is assumed to be a non-decreasing continuous function for each $k \geq 1$. Then $Y(t+h) - Y(t) \in l^p$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, almost surely for fixed t and h if and only if $\sigma(p, h) < \infty$, where

$$\sigma(p, h) = \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sigma_k^p(h) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad p \geq 1.$$

Csörgő and Shao^[2] studied almost sure path behaviour for $\{Y(t), t \geq 0\}$ based on a general result for Fernique type inequality^[1] and the well-known Borell inequality. In particular, they established moduli of continuity for this kind of processes. As to large increments, the condition that $\sigma(p, h)/h^\alpha$ is quasi-increasing for some $\alpha > 0$ is required. But for some Gaussian processes this condition is not satisfied. For example, $\sigma(p, h)$ is bounded for the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes. The aim of this paper is to establish the large increment results for $\{Y(t), t \geq 0\}$ with bounded $\sigma(p, h)$.

Let $\{X(t), t \geq 0\}$ be a stationary Gaussian process with $EX(t) = 0$ and stationary increment $\sigma^2(h) = E(X(t+h) - X(t))^2$. In [3], we investigated its large increment properties. Suppose that $\sigma(h)$ is non-decreasing and

$$EX(h)X(0) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } h \rightarrow \infty,$$

Manuscript received September 15, 1994.

*Department of Mathematics, Hangzhou University, Hangzhou 310028, China.

**Project supported by the National and Zhejiang Natural Science Foundations of China.

and

$$\int_1^\infty \sigma(e^{-x^2}) dx < \infty.$$

Let a_T be a function of T with $0 < a_T \leq T$ and $a_T \rightarrow \infty$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$. Suppose that $a_T = o(T^\epsilon)$ for any $\epsilon > 0$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T-a_T} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq a_T} \frac{|X(t+s) - X(t)|}{2\sigma_0(\log T)^{1/2}} &= 1 \quad \text{a.s.}, \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T-a_T} \frac{|X(t+a_T) - X(t)|}{2\sigma_0(\log T)^{1/2}} &= 1 \quad \text{a.s.}, \\ \limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|X(T+a_T) - X(T)|}{2\sigma_0(\log T)^{1/2}} &= 1 \quad \text{a.s.}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\sigma_0^2 = EX^2(0)$.

We now establish the similar result for l^p -valued Gaussian process $\{Y(t), t \geq 0\}$. The above condition for $\{X(t), t \geq 0\}$ will be a special case of our theorem, and besides, the condition $a_T = o(T^\epsilon)$ for any $\epsilon > 0$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$ can be relaxed.

§2. A Fernique Type Inequality

In order to prove our main result, we give a version of the Fernique type inequality of [1].

Lemma 2.1. *Let \mathcal{B} be a separable Banach space with norm $\|\cdot\|$ and let $\{\Gamma(t), t \geq 1\}$ be a stochastic process with values in \mathcal{B} . Let P be the probability measure generated by $\Gamma(\cdot)$. Assume that $\Gamma(\cdot)$ is P -almost surely continuous with respect to the norm and that for any $t \geq 0, h \geq 0, 0 < x^* \leq x$ there exist non-negative monotone non-decreasing functions $\sigma_1(h)$ and $\sigma_2(h)$ such that*

$$P\{\|\Gamma(t+h) - \Gamma(t)\| \geq x\sigma_1(h) + \sigma_2(h)\} \leq K \exp(-\gamma x^\beta) \quad (2.1)$$

with some $K, \gamma, \beta > 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} P\left\{\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq h} \|\Gamma(t+s) - \Gamma(t)\| \geq x(\sigma_1(h+d(k)^{-1}h) \right. \\ \left. + \sigma_1(h,k)) + \sigma_1^*(h,k) + \sigma_2(h+d(k)^{-1}h) + \sigma_2(h,k)\right\} \\ \leq 4K\left(\frac{T}{h} + 1\right)d(k)^2 \exp(-\gamma x^\beta) \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

for any $T \geq 0, 0 \leq h \leq T, x \geq x^*$ and $k > 0$, where

$$d(k) = 2^{2^{2^k}},$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_1(h,k) &= 2^{2+\frac{1}{\beta}} \alpha^{-1} \int_{2^{k+1-\alpha}}^\infty \frac{\sigma_1(h2^{-2^y})}{y} dy, \\ \sigma_1^*(h,k) &= 2\left(\frac{2}{\gamma}\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}} (1 - 2^{-\frac{1}{\beta}2^{k+1}(1-2^{-\alpha})})^{-1} \int_{2^{\frac{1}{\beta}2^{k+1-\alpha}}}^\infty \sigma_1(h2^{-y^\beta}) dy, \\ \sigma_2(h,k) &= 4\alpha^{-1} \int_{2^{k+1-\alpha}}^\infty \frac{\sigma_2(h2^{-2^y})}{y} dy \end{aligned}$$

for any given $0 < \alpha < 1$.

Proof. Following the proof of Lemma 2.1 in [1], for any positive real number t put

$$t_j = [td(j)/h]/(d(j)/h).$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Gamma(t+s) - \Gamma(t)\| &\leq \|\Gamma((t+s)_k) - \Gamma(t_k)\| + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \|\Gamma((t+s)_{k+j+1}) - \Gamma((t+s)_{k+j})\| \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \|\Gamma(t_{k+j+1}) - \Gamma(t_{k+j})\| \text{ a.s.,} \end{aligned}$$

where the a.s. continuity of $\Gamma(\cdot)$ is used. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq h} |(t+s)_k - t_k| &\leq h + d(k)^{-1}h, \\ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq h} |(t+s)_{k+j+1} - (t+s)_{k+j}| &\leq hd(k+j+1)^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

we obtain from (2.1) for any $x > x^*$ and $x_j > x^*$,

$$\begin{aligned} &P\left\{ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq h} \|\Gamma((t+s)_k) - \Gamma(t_k)\| \right. \\ &\quad \left. \geq x\sigma_1(h + d(k)^{-1}h) + \sigma_2(h + d(k)^{-1}h) \right\} \\ &\leq 2Kd(k)^2 \left(\frac{T}{h} + 1 \right) \exp(-\gamma x^\beta), \\ &P\left\{ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq h} \|\Gamma((t+s)_{k+j+1}) - \Gamma((t+s)_{k+j})\| \right. \\ &\quad \left. \geq x_j\sigma_1(hd(k+j+1)^{-1}) + \sigma_2(hd(k+j+1)^{-1}) \right\} \\ &\leq 2Kd(k+j+1) \left(\frac{T}{h} + 1 \right) \exp(-\gamma x_j^\beta) \end{aligned}$$

as well as

$$\begin{aligned} &P\left\{ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq h} \|\Gamma(t_{k+j+1}) - \Gamma(t_{k+j})\| \right. \\ &\quad \left. \geq x_j\sigma_1(hd(k+j+1)^{-1}) + \sigma_2(hd(k+j+1)^{-1}) \right\} \\ &\leq 2Kd(k+j+1) \left(\frac{T}{h} + 1 \right) \exp(-\gamma x_j^\beta). \end{aligned}$$

Now put

$$\gamma x_j^\beta = \gamma x^\beta + 2^{2^{k+j+1}}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} d(k+j+1) \exp(-\gamma x_j^\beta) &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{2^{k+j+1}} \exp(-2^{2^{k+j+1}}) \exp(-\gamma x^\beta) \\ &\leq \exp(-\gamma x^\beta) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &2 \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} x_j \sigma_1(hd(k+j+1)^{-1}) \\ &\leq 2^{1+\frac{1}{\beta}} x \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sigma_1(hd(k+j+1)^{-1}) + 2 \left(\frac{2}{\gamma} \right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{\frac{1}{\beta} 2^{k+j+1}} \sigma_1(hd(k+j+1)^{-1}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq 2^{1+\frac{1}{\beta}}\alpha^{-1}x \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_{2^{k+j+1-\alpha}}^{2^{k+j+1}} \frac{\sigma_1(h2^{-2^y})}{y} dy / \ln 2 \\
&\quad + 2\left(\frac{2}{\gamma}\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}} (1 - 2^{-\frac{1}{\beta}2^{k+1}(1-2^{-\alpha})})^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_{2^{k+j+1-\alpha}}^{2^{k+j+1}} \sigma_1(h2^{-2^y}) d2^{\frac{1}{\beta}y} \\
&\leq 2^{2+\frac{1}{\beta}}\alpha^{-1}x \int_{2^{k+1-\alpha}}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma_1(h2^{-2^y})}{y} dy \\
&\quad + 2\left(\frac{2}{\gamma}\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}} (1 - 2^{-\frac{1}{\beta}2^{k+1}(1-2^{-\alpha})})^{-1} \int_{2^{\frac{1}{\beta}2^{k+1-\alpha}}}^{\infty} \sigma_1(h2^{-y^{\beta}}) dy
\end{aligned}$$

as well as

$$2 \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sigma_2(hd(k+j+1)^{-1}) \leq 4\alpha^{-1} \int_{2^{k+j-\alpha}}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma_2(h2^{-2^y})}{y} dy.$$

Combining all the above inequalities yields (2.2).

Remark 2.1. From the proof of Lemma 2.1, it is easy to see that (2.1) implies

$$\begin{aligned}
&P\left\{\sup_{0 \leq s \leq h} \|\Gamma(T+s) - \Gamma(T)\| \geq x(\sigma_1(h+d(k)^{-1}h) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sigma_1(h,k)) + \sigma_1^*(h,k) + \sigma_2(h+d(k)^{-1}h) + \sigma_2(h,k)\right\} \\
&\leq 4Kd(k)\exp(-\gamma x^{\beta})
\end{aligned} \tag{2.2'}$$

for any $T \geq 0, h \geq 0, x \geq x^*$ and $k > 0$.

Let

$$\{Y(t), t \geq 0\} = \{X_k(t), t \geq 0\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$$

be defined as in the beginning of Section 1. Furthermore put

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma^*(h) &= \max_{k \geq 1} \sigma_k(h), \\
\tilde{\sigma}(p, h) &= \begin{cases} \sigma(\frac{2p}{2-p}, h), & \text{if } 1 \leq p < 2, \\ \sigma^*(h), & \text{if } p \geq 2. \end{cases} \\
\delta_p^p &= E|N(0, 1)|^p.
\end{aligned}$$

For the l^p -valued process $Y(\cdot)$, (2.1) has been established by Lemma 3.2 of [2].

Lemma 2.2. With $p \geq 1$, we have

$$P\{\|Y(t+h) - Y(t)\|_{l^p} \geq x\tilde{\sigma}(p, h) + \delta_p\sigma(p, h)\} \leq 2\exp(-x^2/2)$$

for any $t, x, h \geq 0$.

§3. General Results for Large Increments

A function $f(x)$ on (a, b) will be called quasi-increasing, if there exists $c > 0$ such that $f(x) \leq cf(y)$ for any $a < x < y < b$. In this paper, \log means logarithm with base 2.

Theorem 3.1. Let $Y(\cdot)$ be defined as above. let a_T be a positive continuous quasi-increasing function of T with $a_T \rightarrow \infty$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$. Assume that

$$\tilde{\sigma}(p, T) \rightarrow \tilde{\sigma}_p < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma(p, T) = o\left(\left(\log \frac{T}{a_T}\right)^{1/2}\right) \quad \text{as } T \rightarrow \infty, \tag{3.1}$$

and

$$\int_1^\infty \tilde{\sigma}(p, 2^{-x^2}) dx < \infty, \quad \int_1^\infty \sigma(p, 2^{-2^x})/x dx < \infty. \quad (3.2)$$

Assume that for any $\delta > 0$,

$$a_T \leq T^{1-(\log T)^{-1/2+\delta}} \quad (3.3)$$

and there is $a_0 > 0$ such that for any $a \geq a_0$,

$$\max_{k \geq 1} E(X_k(ia) - X_k((i-1)a))(X_k(ja) - X_k((j-1)a)) \leq 0 \quad (3.4)$$

for every $j > i \geq 1$. Then we have

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T-a_T} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq a_T} \frac{\|Y(t+s) - Y(t)\|_{l^p}}{\tilde{\sigma}_p(2 \log(\frac{T}{a_T}))^{1/2}} = 1 \text{ a.s.}, \quad (3.5)$$

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \frac{\|Y(t+a_T) - Y(t)\|_{l^p}}{\tilde{\sigma}_p(2 \log(\frac{T}{a_T}))^{1/2}} = 1 \text{ a.s.} \quad (3.6)$$

and

$$\limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|Y(T+a_T) - Y(T)\|_{l^p}}{\tilde{\sigma}_p(2 \log(\frac{T}{a_T}))^{1/2}} = 1 \text{ a.s.} \quad (3.7)$$

If conditions (3.3) and (3.4) are replaced by

$$a_T \leq T^{1-\delta} \text{ for some } 0 < \delta < 1 \quad (3.3')$$

and for every $j > i \geq 1$,

$$\limsup_{a \rightarrow \infty} \max_{k \geq 1} E(X_k(ia) - X_k((i-1)a))(X_k(ja) - X_k((j-1)a)) \leq 0 \quad (3.4')$$

respectively, (3.5) and (3.6) remain true.

Proof. Note that condition (3.3) implies

$$\frac{\log(T/a_T)}{\log \log T} \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } T \rightarrow \infty. \quad (3.8)$$

At first we prove

$$\limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T-a_T} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq a_T} \frac{\|Y(t+s) - Y(t)\|_{l^p}}{\tilde{\sigma}_p(2 \log(T/a_T))^{1/2}} \leq 1 \text{ a.s.} \quad (3.9)$$

Lemma 2.2 implies that (2.1) in Lemma 2.1 holds with $K = 2, \gamma = \frac{1}{2}, \beta = 2, \sigma_1(h) = \tilde{\sigma}(p, h)$ and $\sigma_2(h) = \delta_p \sigma(p, h)$. For given $\delta > 0$ in (3.3), let $0 < \alpha < 1$ in (2.2) and $\delta_1 > \frac{1}{2} - \delta$ such that

$$\alpha_1 := \alpha - \log(1 - \delta_1) < 1.$$

Let

$$\epsilon_T = (\log a_T)^{-\delta_1}, \quad k = \log \log \log a_T^{\epsilon_T}$$

in (2.2) and $k_1 = \log \log \log a_T$. Then $d(k) = a_T^{\epsilon_T}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} k+1-\alpha &= \log \left[(\log \log a_T) \left(1 + \frac{\log \epsilon_T}{\log \log a_T} \right) \right] + 1 - \alpha \\ &= \log \log \log a_T + \log(1 - \delta_1) + 1 - \alpha \\ &\geq k_1 + 1 - \alpha_1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence using condition (3.2) we have for large T

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_1(a_T, k) &= 2^{5/2} \alpha^{-1} \int_{2^{k+1-\alpha}}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma_1(a_T 2^{-2^x})}{x} dx \\ &\leq 2^{5/2} \alpha^{-1} \int_1^{\infty} \frac{\sigma_1(2^{-2^{k_1}(2^{1-\alpha_1-1})x})}{x} dx \\ &\leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} \tilde{\sigma}_p\end{aligned}$$

for any given $\epsilon > 0$ provided T is large enough. Similarly for large T

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_1^*(a_T, k) &= 4(1 - 2^{-2^k(1-2^{-\alpha})})^{-1} \int_{2^{2k-\alpha}}^{\infty} \sigma_1(a_T 2^{-x^2}) dx \leq \epsilon, \\ \sigma_2(a_T, k) &= 4\alpha^{-1} \int_{2^{k+1-\alpha}}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma_2(a_T 2^{-2^x})}{x} dx \leq \epsilon.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by the fact (3.8), condition (3.1) and Lemma 2.1, it follows that for any given $c > 0$ and any T large enough

$$\begin{aligned}&P\left\{\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq ca_T} \frac{\|Y(t+s) - Y(t)\|_{l^p}}{\tilde{\sigma}_p(2 \log(T/ca_T))^{1/2}} \geq 1 + 5\epsilon\right\} \\ &\leq P\left\{\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq ca_T} \|Y(t+s) - Y(t)\|_{l^p}\right. \\ &\quad \geq (1+\epsilon) \left(2 \left[\log \frac{T}{ca_T} + \log \log T\right]\right)^{1/2} (\sigma_1(ca_T(1+d(k)^{-1})) + \sigma_1(ca_T, k)) \\ &\quad \left.+ \sigma_1^*(ca_T, k) + \sigma_2(ca_T(1+d(k)^{-1})) + \sigma_2(ca_T, k)\right\} \\ &\leq 9 \frac{T}{ca_T} a_T^{2\epsilon_T} \exp\left\{- (1+\epsilon)^2 \left(\log \frac{T}{ca_T} + \log \log T\right)\right\} \\ &\leq 9c^{2\epsilon} T^{-2\epsilon} a_T^{2(\epsilon+\epsilon_T)} (\log T)^{-(1+2\epsilon)} \\ &\leq 9c^{2\epsilon} (\log T)^{-(1+2\epsilon)}\end{aligned}\tag{3.10}$$

since $a_T^{\epsilon+\epsilon_T} \leq T^{\epsilon-\epsilon(\log T)^{-\frac{1}{2}+\delta}+(\log T)^{-\delta_1}} \leq T^\epsilon$ for large T if (3.3) holds. Let $T_j = \theta^j$ for some $\theta > 1$. Then by the Borel-Cantelli Lemma we have

$$\limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T_j} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq ca_{T_j}} \frac{\|Y(t+s) - Y(t)\|_{l^p}}{\tilde{\sigma}_p(2 \log(T_j/a_{T_j}))^{1/2}} \leq 1 + 5\epsilon \quad a.s.\tag{3.11}$$

Noting that a_T is quasi-increasing we obtain (3.9) from (3.11). By recalling (3.8) the inverse inequality holds from Theorem 3.3 of [2]. So now (3.5) is proved.

In order to show (3.6), it suffices to prove

$$\liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \frac{\|Y(t+a_T) - Y(t)\|_{l^p}}{\tilde{\sigma}_p(2 \log(T/a_T))^{1/2}} \geq 1 \quad a.s.\tag{3.12}$$

Assume that conditions (3.3) and (3.4) are satisfied. Let

$$B_{nk} = \{T : kh \leq a_T < (k+1)h, n-1 \leq T < n\}$$

for some $h > 0$,

$$a'_n = \inf\{a_T : n-1 \leq T < n\}, \quad a_n^* = \sup\{a_T : n-1 \leq T < n\}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \frac{\|Y(t + a_T) - Y(t)\|_{l^p}}{\tilde{\sigma}_p(2 \log(T/a_T))^{1/2}} \\
& \geq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \min_{a'_n/h - 1 \leq k \leq a_n^*/h} \inf_{T \in B_{nk}} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \frac{\|Y(t + a_T) - Y(t)\|_{l^p}}{\tilde{\sigma}_p(2 \log(T/a_T))^{1/2}} \\
& \geq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \min_{a'_n/h - 1 \leq k \leq a_n^*/h} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq n-1} \frac{\|Y(t + kh) - Y(t)\|_{l^p}}{\tilde{\sigma}_p(2 \log(n/kh))^{1/2}} \\
& \quad - \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq n} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq h} \frac{\|Y(t + s) - Y(t)\|_{l^p}}{\tilde{\sigma}_p(2 \log((n-1)/a_n^*))^{1/2}} \\
& =: L_1 - L_2.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

Note that $\tilde{\sigma}(p, h)/\tilde{\sigma}_p \rightarrow 0$ as $h \rightarrow 0$ and $a_n^* \leq ca_n$ since a_T is quasi-increasing. Then by imitating the proof of (3.9), we have

$$L_2 \leq \epsilon \quad \text{a.s.} \tag{3.14}$$

provided h is small enough.

Consider L_1 . Assume $1 \leq p < 2$. We have (cf. (3.2) of [2])

$$\|Y((j+1)kh) - Y(jkh)\|_{l^p} \geq \frac{\sum_{v=1}^{\infty} \sigma_v(kh)^{\frac{2(p-1)}{2-p}} (X_v((j+1)kh) - X_v(jkh))}{\left(\sum_{v=1}^{\infty} \sigma_v(kh)^{\frac{2p}{2-p}} \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}}.$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned}
\xi(j, k) &= \frac{\sum_{v=1}^{\infty} \sigma_v(kh)^{\frac{2(p-1)}{2-p}} (X_v((j+1)kh) - X_v(jkh))}{\tilde{\sigma}(p, kh) \left(\sum_{v=1}^{\infty} \sigma_v(kh)^{\frac{2p}{2-p}} \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}} \\
&= \frac{\sum_{v=1}^{\infty} \sigma_v(kh)^{\frac{2(p-1)}{2-p}} (X_v((j+1)kh) - X_v(jkh))}{\left(\sum_{v=1}^{\infty} \sigma_v(kh)^{\frac{2p}{2-p}} \right)^{1/2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then, using condition (3.4), we have for $j > i \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned}
E\xi(i, k)\xi(j, k) &= \left(\sum_{v=1}^{\infty} \sigma_v(kh)^{\frac{2p}{2-p}} \right)^{-1} \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} \sigma_v(kh)^{\frac{4(p-1)}{2-p}} \\
&\quad \cdot E(X_v((i+1)kh) - X_v(ikh))(X_v((j+1)kh) - X_v(jkh))
\end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

provided k is large enough. Therefore, by the Slepian inequality, recalling the definition of B_{nk} and noting condition (3.3) and a_T to be quasi-increasing, we obtain that there exists $C > 0$ such that for large n

$$\begin{aligned}
& P \left\{ \min_{a'_n/h - 1 \leq k \leq a_n^*/h} \max_{0 \leq j \leq n/2kh} \xi(j, k) \leq (1 - \epsilon) \left(2 \log \frac{n}{kh} \right)^{1/2} \right\} \\
& \leq \sum_{k=[a'_n/h]-1}^{[a_n^*/h]} P \left\{ \max_{0 \leq j \leq n/2kh} \xi(j, k) \leq (1 - \epsilon) \left(2 \log \frac{n}{kh} \right)^{1/2} \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \sum_{k=[a'_n/h]-1}^{[a_n^*/h]} \left(1 - \exp \left\{ -(1-\epsilon) \log \frac{n}{kh} \right\}\right)^{n/2kh} \\
&\leq C a_n \exp \left\{ -C \left(\frac{n}{a_n}\right)^\epsilon \right\} \\
&\leq C n^{-2},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

which implies

$$L_1 \geq 1 - \epsilon \quad \text{a.s.} \tag{3.17}$$

Assume $p \geq 2$. Take N_k such that $\sigma_{N_k}^2(kh) = \sigma^*(kh)$. Clearly

$$\frac{\|Y((j+1)kh) - Y(jkh)\|_{l^p}}{\tilde{\sigma}_p} \geq (1-\epsilon) \frac{X_{N_k}((j+1)kh) - X_{N_k}(jkh)}{\sigma_{N_k}(kh)}$$

for large k . Along the lines of the proof for the case of $1 \leq p < 2$, we have (3.17) as well. Now (3.12) is proved and hence we complete the proof of (3.5) and (3.6) under conditions (3.3) and (3.4).

When conditions (3.3) and (3.4) are replaced by (3.3') and (3.4') respectively, the proof of (3.17) is similar. We consider only the case of $1 \leq p < 2$. Let $\epsilon' = \frac{\delta \epsilon^2}{4(3-\delta)}$. Similarly to (3.15), we have for k large enough

$$E\xi(i, k)\xi(j, k) \leq \epsilon'. \tag{3.15'}$$

Let $\{\eta_i, i \geq 1\}$ and τ be independent normal random variables with means zero and $E\eta_i^2 = 1 - \epsilon'$ and $E\tau^2 = \epsilon'$. Define $\xi_i = \eta_i + \tau$. Then $E\xi_i^2 = 1$ and

$$\frac{E(X_v((i+1)kh) - X_v(ikh))(X_v((j+1)kh) - X_v(jkh))}{\sigma_v^2(kh)} \leq E\xi_i \xi_j, \quad j - i \geq 1$$

for large k . Therefore (recalling (3.16)) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&P\left\{ \min_{a'_n/h-1 \leq k \leq a_n^*/h} \max_{0 \leq j \leq n/2kh} \xi(j, k) \leq (1-\epsilon) \left(2 \log \frac{n}{kh}\right)^{1/2} \right\} \\
&\leq \sum_{k=[a'_n/h]-1}^{[a_n^*/h]} P\left\{ \max_{0 \leq j \leq n/2kh} \xi_j < (1-\epsilon) \left(2 \log \frac{n}{kh}\right)^{1/2} \right\} \\
&\leq \sum_{k=[a'_n/h]-1}^{[a_n^*/h]} \left(P\left\{ \max_{0 \leq j \leq n/2kh} \eta_j < (1-\frac{\epsilon}{2}) \left(2 \log \frac{n}{kh}\right)^{1/2} \right\} + P\left\{ \tau \geq \frac{\epsilon}{2} \left(2 \log \frac{n}{kh}\right)^{1/2} \right\} \right) \\
&\leq \sum_{k=[a'_n/h]-1}^{[a_n^*/h]} \left(\left(1 - \exp \left\{ -\left(1-\frac{\epsilon}{2}\right) \log \frac{n}{kh} \right\}\right)^{\frac{n}{2kh}} + \exp \left\{ -\frac{\epsilon^2}{4\epsilon'} \log \frac{n}{kh} \right\} \right) \\
&\leq C a_n \left(e^{-C(n/a_n)^{\epsilon/2}} + \left(\frac{n}{a_n}\right)^{-\epsilon^2/4\epsilon'} \right) \\
&\leq C(n e^{-C n^{\delta \epsilon/2}} + n^{-2}),
\end{aligned}$$

which implies (3.17). The proof of (3.6) is complete.

Finally, we prove (3.7). It is enough to show

$$\limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|Y(T + a_T) - Y(T)\|_{l^p}}{\tilde{\sigma}_p(2 \log(T/a_T))^{1/2}} \geq 1 \quad \text{a.s.} \tag{3.18}$$

For given $\epsilon'' > 0$, take a_0 large enough such that

$$\max_{k \geq 1} \frac{E(X_k(ia_0) - X_k((i-1)a_0))(X_k(ja_0) - X_k((j-1)a_0))}{\sigma_k^2(a_0)} \leq \epsilon'', \quad j > i \geq 1. \quad (3.19)$$

Put $a'_T = a_0[a_T/a_0]$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|Y(T + a_T) - Y(T)\|_{l^p}}{\tilde{\sigma}_p(2 \log(T/a_T))^{1/2}} &\geq \limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|Y(T + a'_T) - Y(T)\|_{l^p}}{\tilde{\sigma}_p(2 \log(T/a'_T))^{1/2}} \\ &\quad - \limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq a_0} \frac{\|Y(T + s) - Y(T)\|_{l^p}}{\tilde{\sigma}_p(2 \log(T/a_T))^{1/2}} \\ &=: I_1 - I_2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

Noting Remark 2.1, along the lines of the proof of (3.9), we have

$$I_2 \leq \epsilon \quad \text{a.s.} \quad (3.21)$$

for any $\epsilon > 0$. Consider I_1 . Let $t_0 = 1$. Define t_k by $t_k = t_{k-1} + a'_{t_{k-1}}, k = 1, 2, \dots$. Then

$$\frac{\|Y(t_k + a'_{t_k}) - Y(t_k)\|_{l^p}}{\tilde{\sigma}(p, a'_{t_k})} \geq \frac{\sum_{v=1}^{\infty} \sigma_v(a_{t_k})^{\frac{2(p-1)}{2-p}} (X_v(t_k + a'_{t_k}) - X_v(t_k))}{(\sum_{v=1}^{\infty} \sigma_v(a'_{t_k})^{\frac{2p}{2-p}})^{1/2}}.$$

(3.19) implies

$$E\eta_i\eta_j \leq \epsilon'', \quad \text{for } j > i \geq 1.$$

Put $D_n = \{k : \frac{1}{2}n \leq t_k \leq n-1\}$. Obviously, by condition (3.3), for $k \in D_n, a_{t_k} = o(n)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence

$$\sum_{k \in D_n} a_{t_k} \geq \sum_{k \in D_n} (t_k - t_{k-1}) - \max_{k \in D_n} a_{t_k} \geq \frac{1}{3}n$$

for large n . Let $\{\eta_i\}, \{\xi_i\}$ and τ be defined as above with ϵ'' instead of ϵ' . By the Slepian lemma we obtain that for large $n, n-1 < T \leq n$,

$$\begin{aligned} &P\left\{\sup_{T/2 \leq t \leq T} \frac{\|Y(t + a'_t) - Y(t)\|_{l^p}}{\tilde{\sigma}_p(2 \log(t/a_t))^{1/2}} \leq 1 - \epsilon\right\} \\ &\leq P\left\{\max_{k \in D_n} \xi_k / (2 \log(t_k/a_{t_k}))^{1/2} \leq 1 - \frac{\epsilon}{2}\right\} \\ &\leq \prod_{k \in D_n} P\left\{\eta_k \leq \left(1 - \frac{\epsilon}{4}\right)(2 \log(t_k/a_{t_k}))^{1/2}\right\} + P\left\{\tau \geq \frac{\epsilon}{4}(2 \log(t_k/a_{t_k}))^{1/2}\right\} \\ &\leq \prod_{k \in D_n} \left(1 - \exp\left\{-\left(1 - \frac{\epsilon}{4}\right) \log(t_k/a_{t_k})\right\}\right) + \exp\left\{\frac{-\epsilon^2}{16\epsilon''} \log(t_k/a_{t_k})\right\} \\ &\leq \exp\left\{-\sum_{k \in D_n} (a_{t_k}/t_k)^{1-\epsilon/4}\right\} + (t_k/a_{t_k})^{-\epsilon^2/16\epsilon''} \\ &\leq \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{3}n^{(1-\frac{\epsilon}{4})(\log n)^{-1/2+\delta}}\right\} + n^{-(\epsilon^2/16\epsilon'')(\log n)^{-1/2+\delta}} \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, which implies

$$I_1 \geq 1 - \epsilon \quad \text{a.s.} \quad (3.22)$$

Inserting (3.21) and (3.22) into (3.20) yields (3.18), and hence (3.7) is proved. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1.

§4. Fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck Processes

Let $\{Y(t), t \geq 0\} = \{X_k(t), t \geq 0\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of independent fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes of order γ with coefficients γ_k and λ_k , where $0 < \gamma < 1, \gamma_k \geq 0, \lambda_k > 0$, i.e., the $X_k(\cdot)$ are centered stationary Gaussian processes with

$$EX_k(t)X_k(s) = \frac{\gamma_k}{2\lambda_k}(e^{-2\gamma\lambda_k(t-s)} + e^{2\gamma\lambda_k(s-t)} - |e^{\lambda_k(t-s)} - e^{\lambda_k(s-t)}|^{2\gamma}) \quad (4.1)$$

for any $t, s \geq 0$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_k^2(h) &= E(X_k(t+h) - X_k(t))^2 \\ &= \frac{\gamma_k}{\lambda_k}(2 + |e^{\lambda_k h} - e^{-\lambda_k h}|^{2\gamma} - e^{2\gamma\lambda_k h} - e^{-2\gamma\lambda_k h}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

Csörgő and shao^[1] studied the moduli of continuity and the laws of the iterated logarithm for $\{Y(t), t \geq 0\}$ as an l^p -valued process, $p \geq 1$. By the elementary calculation, it is easy to verify that condition (3.4) is satisfied from (4.1). Therefore, as a consequence of Theorem 3.1, we have the following large increment result.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $Y(\cdot)$ be defined as above. Assume that conditions (3.1) and (3.2) are satisfied. Let a_T be defined as in Theorem 3.1, and assume that condition (3.3) is satisfied. Then (3.5), (3.6) and (3.7) hold true.*

REFERENCES

- [1] Csáki, E., Csörgő, M. & Shao, Q. M., Fernique type inequalities and moduli of continuity for l^2 -valued Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes, *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Probabilités et Statistiques*, **28**(1992), 479-517.
- [2] Csörgő, M. & Shao, Q. M., Strong limit theorems for large and small increments of l^p -valued Gaussian processes, *Ann. Probab.*, **21**(1993), 1958-1990.
- [3] Lin Zhengyan, On large increments of infinite series of Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes, *Stoch. Proc. Appl.*, **60** (1995), 161-169.