

ON TWO CONJECTURES OF THE QUADRATIC DIFFERENTIAL SYSTEMS

ZHANG XIANG*

Abstract

Two conjectures in the qualitative theory of quadratic differential systems are proved under certain conditions.

Keywords Quadratic system, Limit cycle, Dulac function

1991 MR Subject Classification 34C05

Chinese Library Classification O175.12

Ye Yanqian^[1] have investigated limit cycle (LC) bifurcation of the quadratic differential system

$$\dot{x} = -y + lx^2 + mxy + ny^2 \triangleq P(x, y), \quad \dot{y} = x(1 + ax + by) \triangleq Q(x, y), \quad (1)$$

under the conditions: $mb \neq 0$, $a < 0$, $b + 2l > 0$, $n + l < 0$, $n = 1$. He proposes the following

Conjecture 1. *Under the condition*

$$(n + b)(n + l)^2 - a^2(n + b + 2l) = 0, \quad (2)$$

when $m \neq m^ = a(b + 2l)/(n + l) > 0$, the system (1) has no LC around O .*

With the help of Dulac function, we will prove this conjecture when $m < 0$.

Take a Dulac function

$$B(x, y) = L_+^\alpha L_-^\beta (1 + by)^{\frac{\gamma}{b}}, \quad (3)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= \frac{-am(m + \sigma)}{2\sigma[am - (n + b)(n + l)]} + \frac{m}{\sigma}, \quad \beta = \frac{am(m - \sigma)}{2\sigma[am - (n + b)(n + l)]} - \frac{m}{\sigma}, \\ \gamma &= -\frac{(n + b)(n + l)W_1}{a[am - (n + b)(n + l)]}, \quad W_1 = m(n + l) - a(b + 2l), \\ L_+ &= (m + \sigma)(ny - 1) - 2n(n + b)x, \quad L_- = (m - \sigma)(ny - 1) - 2n(n + b)x, \\ \sigma &= \sqrt{m^2 + 4n(n + b)}. \end{aligned}$$

Manuscript received September 29, 1995.

*Institute of Mathematics, Nanjing Normal University, Nanjing 210097, China

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial(BP)}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial(BQ)}{\partial y} \\
&= -2n(n+b)L_+^{\alpha-1}L_-^{\beta-1}(1+by)^{\frac{\gamma}{b}}\{2n(n+b)(\alpha+\beta)(xy-lx^3-mx^2y-nxy^2) \\
&\quad + [\alpha(m-\sigma)+\beta(m+\sigma)](1-ny)(y-lx^2-mxy-ny^2) \\
&\quad + n[\alpha(m+\sigma)+\beta(m-\sigma)](x^2+ax^3+bx^2y) \\
&\quad - 2n(\alpha+\beta)[x(1-ny)+ax^2(1-ny)+bxy(1-ny)] \\
&\quad - 2[n(n+b)(b+2l)x^3+mn(n+b)x^2y+m(b+2l)x^2(1-ny) \\
&\quad + m^2xy(1-ny)-(b+2l)x(1-ny)^2-my(1-ny)^2] \\
&\quad - 2\gamma[n(n+b)x^3+mx^2(1-ny)-x(1-ny)^2]\} + a\gamma x^2L_+^{\alpha}L_-^{\beta}(1+by)^{\frac{\gamma}{b}-1} \\
&= -2n(n+b)L_+^{\alpha-1}L_-^{\beta-1}(1+by)^{\frac{\gamma}{b}}\{y(1-ny)^2[\alpha(m-\sigma)+\beta(m+\sigma)+2m] \\
&\quad - \frac{m}{n}x(1-ny)[\alpha(m-\sigma)+\beta(m+\sigma)+2m] \\
&\quad + x^2[-2m(n+b)(\alpha+\beta+1)+\alpha(n+b)(m+\sigma)+\beta(n+b)(m-\sigma)] \\
&\quad - 2x(1-ny)^2[n(\alpha+\beta)-(b+2l+\gamma)] \\
&\quad + x^2(1-ny)[2m(n+b)(\alpha+\beta)-2an(\alpha+\beta)+2m(n-l) \\
&\quad - b[\alpha(m+\sigma)+\beta(m-\sigma)]-2m\gamma] \\
&\quad + x^3[-2nl(n+b)(\alpha+\beta)-2n(n+b)(b+2l)+an[\alpha(m+\sigma)+\beta(m-\sigma)] \\
&\quad - 2\gamma n(n+b)]\} + \gamma ax^2L_+^{\alpha}L_-^{\beta}(1+by)^{\frac{\gamma}{b}-1} \\
&= -\frac{4n(n+b)W_1}{am-(n+b)(n+l)}x^2\{am(1-ny)+(n+b)(n+l)[n(n+b)x^2 \\
&\quad + mx(1-ny)-(1-ny)^2](1+by)^{-1}\}L_+^{\alpha-1}L_-^{\beta-1}(1+by)^{\frac{\gamma}{b}}. \tag{4}
\end{aligned}$$

Under the conditions in [1], L_+ and L_- are either invariant straight lines or tangents of the two separatrices at the saddle $N(0, \frac{1}{n})$; in the latter case, trajectories intersect $L_+(L_-)$ all from one side to the other side. Since $1+by=0$ is a straight line with the same property, and $O(0,0)$ locates in the triangular domain Ω constructed by the lines $L_+=0$, $L_-=0$ and $1+by=0$ (see Fig.1), if system (1) has closed orbit or singular closed orbit around O , it must locate in Ω . When $W_1=0$, O is a center; when $W_1 \neq 0$, since $n(n+b)x^2+mx(1-ny)-(1-ny)^2 < 0$ in Ω , $am \cdot (n+b)(n+l) < 0$, (4) does not change its sign in Ω , and $\frac{\partial(BP)}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial(BQ)}{\partial y} \neq 0$ in any subdomain of Ω . We know from the Dulac theorem that system (1) has no closed orbit or singular closed orbit for $m \leq 0$.

Fig.1

Fig.2

Similarly, if in (1) we have $n + l > 0$ and $m > 0$, then the same conclusion holds.

Remark 1. If we let

$$\alpha = \frac{(b+2l)}{2n\sigma}(\sigma+m) + \frac{m}{\sigma}, \quad \beta = \frac{(b+2l)}{2n\sigma}(\sigma-m) - \frac{m}{\sigma}, \quad \gamma = 0,$$

in the Dulac function $B(x, y)$ defined by (3), then the divergence of (1) is

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial(BP)}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial(BQ)}{\partial y} = & -4n(n+b)\{x[am(n+b+2l) \\ & - (n+b)(n+l)(b+2l)] + (1-ny)W_1\}x^2L_+^{\alpha-1}L_-^{\beta-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

If the condition (2) holds, we have

$$\frac{\partial(BP)}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial(BQ)}{\partial y} = -4n(n+b)W_1\left[\frac{(n+b)(n+l)}{a}x + 1 - ny\right]x^2L_+^{\alpha-1}L_-^{\beta-1}. \quad (6)$$

Similar to the above proof, when $m \leq -\frac{a(b+2l)}{n+l}$, since the slope of the line $L' \triangleq \frac{(n+b)(n+l)}{a}x + 1 - ny = 0$ is less than that of $L_+ = 0$, (6) does not change its sign in the domain under the line $L_+ = 0$ and $L_- = 0$ (see Fig.2), and the conjecture in [1] is correct. Since $a(b+2l)/(n+l) > 0$, the previous condition $m \leq 0$ is better. Even though, making use of (6), under certain conditions, we can prove a concentrated distribution theorem of LCs of the system (1) as follows.

Theorem. When $W_1 \neq 0$, limit cycles can not co-exist both around O and any other focus of (1).

For, otherwise, there would be three contact points on the line L' .

Ye Yanqian^[2,3] have investigated the LC bifurcation of the system

$$\dot{x} = -y + \delta x + lx^2 + ny^2 \triangleq P(x, y), \quad \dot{y} = x(1 + ax - y) \triangleq Q(x, y), \quad (7)$$

under the conditions: $-1 < l < 0$, $n + l - 1 > 0$, $a \leq 0$, and $-1 \leq l < 0$, $n + l > 0$, $n > 1$, $a \leq 0$, respectively. He proposes the following

Conjecture 2. Under the conditions

$$-l < na^2 < (n-1)(n+l)^2, \quad a^2 - 4(n-1)(1-l) > 0, \quad (8)$$

the system (7) can not simultaneously have limit cycles around O and S_1 , respectively^[3].

We now will prove this conjecture. Use the Dulac fuction

$$B(x, y) = (1-y)^{2l-1}, \quad (9)$$

$$\frac{\partial(BP)}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial(BQ)}{\partial y} = (1-y)^{2l-2}[\delta(1-y) - (2l-1)ax^2]. \quad (10)$$

Since $y - 1 = 0$ is a straight line having the same property as $1 + by = 0$ in Fig.1, LCs of (7) must locate in the domain $y > 1$ or $y < 1$. The x coordinate of the critical points $S_i(x_i, y_i)$ ($i = 1, 2$, $x_1 < x_2$) on $1 + ax - y = 0$ satisfy

$$(na^2 + l)x^2 + (\delta + 2na - a)x + n - 1 = 0. \quad (11)$$

If the system (7) has four finite critical points, δ must satisfy

$$\delta > a(1 - 2n) + 2\sqrt{(na^2 + l)(n-1)} > 0, \quad (12)$$

or

$$\delta < a(1 - 2n) - 2\sqrt{(na^2 + l)(n-1)} > 0. \quad (13)$$

When δ satisfies (12), S_1 and S_2 both locate in the domain $y > 1$, making use of (10), we know that (7) has no LC around S_1 . If δ satisfies (13), S_1 and S_2 both locate in the domain $y < 1$. (7) has no LC around O or S_1 as $\delta \leq 0$, by (10). Therefore, when $\delta > a(1-2n) + 2\sqrt{(na^2+l)(n-1)}$ or $\delta \leq 0$, the conjecture is correct.

As for the case $0 < \delta < a(1-2n) - 2\sqrt{(na^2+l)(n-1)}$, since the y coordinate of any critical point $P(1, y, 0)$ of (7) at infinity satisfies

$$f(y) \triangleq ny^3 + (1+l)y - a = 0, \quad (14)$$

and $f'(y) = 3ny^2 + 1 + l > 0$, (7) has a unique stable node $P(1, y_0, 0)$ ($y_0 < 0$, $\lambda_1 = -l - ny_0^2 < 0$, $\lambda_2 = -1 - l - 3ny_0^2 < 0$) at infinity. Let $\bar{L} \triangleq y - kx - \frac{1}{n} = 0$, and $k \triangleq k(\delta) = \frac{-\delta - \sqrt{\delta^2 + 4(1 - \frac{1}{n})}}{2}$ be the slope of the tangent of the separatrix at $N(0, \frac{1}{n})$. Then

$$\left. \frac{d\bar{L}}{dt} \right|_{(7)} = \dot{y} - k\dot{x} = -[nk^3 + (1+l)k - a]x^2. \quad (15)$$

Since $\frac{dk}{d\delta} < 0$ as $\delta > 0$, $k(0) = -\sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{n}} < 0$, we have $k(\delta) < 0$ for all $\delta > 0$, $f'_\delta = [3nk^2 + 1 + l]k_\delta < 0$. Note that

$$f(k(0)) = -[(n+l)\sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{n}} + a]. \quad (16)$$

Since $na^2 < (n-1)(n+l)^2$, we have $(n+l)\sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{n}} + a > 0$, i.e., $f(k(0)) < 0$, hence,

$$f(k(\delta)) = nk^3 + (1+l)k - a < 0 \quad \text{as } \delta > 0.$$

Furthermore, $\bar{L} = 0$ is a straight line without contact, and $k < y_0 < 0$ for all $\delta > 0$. When $\delta = 0$, O is a stable weak focus, S_1 is a stable strong critical point, and the system (7) has no limit cycle (see [4, Theorem 15.1]). In this case we have Fig.3. From the Fig.4 in [3], for $0 < \delta \ll 1$, when $\text{div}(7) = 0$ locates on the left of S_1 , since O is an unstable focus, S_1 is a stable critical point, we can obtain the phase- portrait Fig.4. When S_1 locates on the right of $\text{div}(7) = 0$, S_1 is always a strong critical point, LC can not be generated from S_1 . Since the line $\text{div}(7) = 0$ moves rightward with the increase of δ , when $\text{div}(7) = 0$ locates on the left of S_1 , $\text{div}|_{S_2} < 0$. Since S_1 is a stable critical point, two separatrices passing through S_2 can not form a separatrix loop around S_1 . Therefore, when $\text{div}(7) = 0$ locates on the left of S_1 , (7) has no limit cycle around S_1 (here we have not considered the semi-stable LC which suddenly appears).

Fig.3

Fig.4

Fig.5

When $\text{div}(7) = 0$ passes through S_1 (see Fig.5), δ satisfies

$$\delta = \delta_1 \triangleq (1 - 2l) \frac{a(1 - 2n) - \sqrt{a^2 - 4(1 - l)(n - 1)}}{2(na^2 + 1 - l)}, \quad (17)$$

and when the intersection point of \bar{L} and $\text{div}(7) = 0$ locates on the x -axis, δ must satisfy

$$\delta = \delta_2 \triangleq \frac{(1 - 2l)}{\sqrt{n(n - 2l)}}. \quad (18)$$

Now, we want to prove $\delta_2 < \delta_1$, that is, when δ increases from 0, $\text{div}(7) = 0$ moves rightward, it first passes through M as $\delta = \delta_2$, and then passes through S_1 as $\delta = \delta_1$. Since LC around O locates on the left of B , and M locates on the right of B , they have disappeared as $\delta = \delta_2$. So, if $\delta_2 < \delta_1$, then (7) has no LC around O as $\delta = \delta_1$.

Propersition 1. For $-1 \leq l \leq -\frac{1}{4}$, $\delta_2 < \delta_1$ if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} & n^2(n - 1)^2 a^4 + n(n - 1)^2 [2 - n - 2n(n - 1)(n - 2l)] a^2 \\ & + [n(n - 2l)(n - 1)^2 + (n - 1)(1 - l)]^2 > 0. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Proof. The formula (19) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} & n^2(n - 1)^2 a^4 - (1 - 2n + 2n^2)[n(n - 2l)(n - 1)^2 + (n - 1)(1 - l)] a^2 \\ & + [n(n - 2l)(n - 1)^2 + (n - 1)(1 - l)]^2 > -(1 - l)(n - 1)(1 - 2n)^2 a^2, \end{aligned}$$

that is

$$a^2(1 - 2n)^2 [a^2 - 4(1 - l)(n - 1)] < [2n(n - 2l)(n - 1)^2 + 2(n - 1)(1 - l) - a^2(1 - 2n + 2n^2)]^2. \quad (20)$$

From the condition (8), we have $4n(1 - l) < (n + l)^2 < n(n + l)$, i.e., $n > 4 - 5l$. So, when $-1 \leq l \leq -\frac{1}{4}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & 2n(n - 2l)(n - 1)^2 + 2(n - 1)(1 - l) - a^2(1 - 2n + 2n^2) \\ & > 2n(n - 2l)(n - 1)^2 + 2(n - 1)(1 - l) - (1 - \frac{1}{n})(n + l)^2(1 - 2n + 2n^2) \\ & = 2n(n - 1)(-4nl - n + 2l - l^2) + 2(n - 1)(1 - l) + (1 - \frac{1}{n})(2n - 1)(n + l)^2 > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, (20) is equivalent to

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n(n - 2l)}} < \frac{2(n - 1)}{a(1 - 2n) + \sqrt{a^2 - 4(1 - l)(n - 1)}},$$

i.e., $\delta_2 < \delta_1$. This completes the proof of Propersition 1.

Obviously, (19) is equivalent to

$$a^2 < [n - 2 + 2n(n - 1)(n - 2l) - \sqrt{D}]/2n \triangleq R, \quad (21)$$

or

$$a^2 > [n - 2 + 2n(n - 1)(n - 2l) + \sqrt{D}]/2n, \quad (22)$$

where $D = -(4 - n - 2l)(n - 2l)(1 - 2n)^2 > 0$. By straight calculation, we have the following

Propersition 2. For $-1 \leq l \leq -\frac{1}{4}$,

$$(n - 1)(n + l)^2 < [n - 2 + 2n(n - 1)(n - 2l) - \sqrt{D}]/2n. \quad (23)$$

We know from (8) and (21) that for $-1 \leq l \leq -\frac{1}{4}$, (19) is correct, that is, $\delta_2 < \delta_1$, hence, when $\text{div}(7) = 0$ passing through S_1 , the LC around O has disappeared. Therefore, for $-1 \leq l \leq -\frac{1}{4}$, $\delta \geq \delta_1$, (7) can not simultaneously have LCs around O and S_1 , respectively.

When $-\frac{1}{4} < l < 0$, if the intersection point of $\text{div}(7) = 0$ and \bar{L} locates on $P(x, y) = 0$, $\delta(= \delta_3)$ satisfies

$$\delta_3^2 = \frac{E - \sqrt{E^2 + 4(n-1)(1-l)(1-2l)^2}}{2n(l-1)}, \quad (24)$$

where $E = n + n^2 + 5l - l^2 - 4nl - 2$. We do not know if $\delta_3 < \delta_1$ generally, but for $l = -0.20$, -0.10 and -0.05 , we have the following numerical results respectively:

n	6	10	20	30	50	100
a	-5.294	-9.297	-19.298	-29.299	-49.299	-99.299
δ_1	0.2340	0.13754	0.06915	0.04625	0.02784	0.01396
δ_3	0.19261	0.12377	0.06557	0.04466	0.02725	0.01359
n	6	10	20	30	50	100
a	-5.392	-9.391	-19.396	-29.397	-49.398	-99.399
δ_1	0.19364	0.11651	0.05895	0.03951	0.02382	0.01195
δ_3	0.16887	0.10779	0.05669	0.03849	0.02345	0.01168
n	6	10	20	30	50	100
a	-5.431	-9.439	-19.444	-29.446	-49.447	-99.449
δ_1	0.18225	0.10616	0.06044	0.03616	0.02181	0.01095
δ_3	0.15662	0.09956	0.05215	0.03536	0.02153	0.01089

where n , a and l satisfy the condition (8) and $0 < a + (n+l)\sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{n}} < 0.001$. Since

$$\delta'_{1a} = -\frac{2(n-1)(1-2l)}{[a(1-2n) + \sqrt{a^2 - 4(1-l)(n-1)}]^2} \left[1 - 2n + \frac{a}{\sqrt{a^2 - 4(1-l)(n-1)}} \right] > 0, \quad (25)$$

$4n(n-1)(1-l) > -l$ as $4 - n - 5l < 0$, hence we know from the selection of a that for all a satisfying $4(n-1)(1-l) < a^2 < (1 - \frac{1}{n})(n+l)^2$, $\delta_3 < \delta_1$ as $l = -0.20$, -0.10 and -0.05 . Since the LC around O locates on the left of B , when $\text{div}(7) = 0$ passes through S_1 , (7) has no LC around O . By the above numerical results, we can affirm that for $-\frac{1}{4} < l < 0$, when $\text{div}(7) = 0$ passes through S_1 , (7) has no LC around O . Furthermore, (7) can not simultaneously have LCs around O and S_1 , respectively.

Remark 2. The problem whether or not semi-stable LC can appear suddenly around S_1 as δ increases from 0 to $a(1-2n) - 2\sqrt{(na^2 + l)(n-1)}$ will be considered in a forthcoming paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] Ye Yanqian, Bifurcation theory of quadratic differential systems, *Chin. Ann. of Math.*, **14B**: 4(1993), 427-434.
- [2] Ye Yanqian, Limit cycle and bifurcation curves for the quadratic differential system (III) $_{m=0}$ having three anti-saddle (I), *Chin. Ann. of Math.*, **17B**: 2(1996), 167-174.
- [3] Ye Yanqian, Limit cycle and bifurcation phenomena for the quadratic differential system (III) $_{m=0}$ having three anti-saddle (II), *Chin. Ann. of Math.*, **18B**:3(1997), 315-322.
- [4] Ye Yanqian et al., Theory of limit cycles, *Trans. Math. Monographs, Amer. Math. Soc.*, **66**(1986).