

SOME RESULTS ON ESTIMATION OF THE TAIL INDEX OF A DISTRIBUTION**

PAN JIAZHU*

Abstract

The author obtains the rate of strong convergence, mean squared error and optimal choice of the “smoothing parameter” (the sample fraction) of a tail index estimator which was proposed by the author from Pickands’ estimator, and called modified Pickands’ estimator. The similar results about Hill’s estimator are also obtained, which generalize the corresponding results in [8, 9]. Besides, some comparisons between Hill’s estimator and the modified Pickands’ estimator are given.

Keywords Tail index, Parameter estimation, Strong convergence, Mean squared error, Comparisons of estimators

1991 MR Subject Classification 62E20, 62G30

Chinese Library Classification O211.3, O212.7

§1. Introduction

Suppose that F is a distribution function such that, for any $x > 0$,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1 - F(tx)}{1 - F(t)} = x^{-\frac{1}{\gamma}}, \quad \gamma > 0. \quad (1.1)$$

We call γ the tail index of F . (1.1) can be denoted by $1 - F \in RV_{-\frac{1}{\gamma}}$.

Let X_1, X_2, \dots , be i.i.d observations from an unknown distribution F . Denote by $X_{1,n} \leq \dots \leq X_{n,n}$ the order statistics of X_1, \dots, X_n . The problem is to estimate γ by using X_1, \dots, X_n . This problem has aroused enormous interest and has many applications in economics, finance, and hydrology (for an extensive survey see [4–6]).

An important estimator of γ is Hill’s estimator^[10]:

$$\widehat{H}_n = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k \log X_{n-i+1,n} - \log X_{n-k,n},$$

where k is such that

$$k \rightarrow \infty, \quad k/n \rightarrow 0, \quad (K)$$

Cheng and Pan^[2] modified the Pickands’ estimator (see [12]) and obtained the so-called modified Pickands’ estimator:

$$\widehat{P}_{n,d} = \frac{1}{\log d} (\log X_{n-k_1+1,n} - \log X_{n-k_2+1,n}), \quad (1.2)$$

Manuscript received February 28, 1995. Revised November 14, 1996.

*Department of Mathematics, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China.

**Project supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (19601007), and the Doctoral Program Foundation of Higher Education.

where $d > 1$ is a real number, and $k_1 < k_2$ are integers such that

$$k_i \rightarrow \infty, \quad \frac{k_i}{n} \rightarrow 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \quad \frac{k_2}{k_1} \rightarrow d. \quad (1.3)$$

In this paper, we discuss further properties of $\widehat{P}_{n,d}$ such as the rate of a.s convergence (Section 3), mean squared error and optimal choice of k_1 (Section 4). We also obtain the mean squared error of Hill's estimator and optimal choice of k in very general case, which generalize the corresponding results in [8, 9] (Section 5). How to compare the estimators of γ is an important problem, which has attracted much attention of statisticians. In the end of this paper, we give comparisons of \widehat{H}_n and $\widehat{P}_{n,d}$ when F belongs to a well-known class of distributions (Section 6). But, first, we give some lemmas that we need for the proofs of our results (Section 2).

§2. Lemmas and Denotations

From now on, we denote

$$\begin{aligned} F^\leftarrow(t) &= \inf\{x : F(x) \geq t\}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1, \\ U(t) &= \inf\{x : \frac{1}{1 - F(x)} \geq t\}, \quad 1 \leq t \leq \infty, \\ V(t) &= U(e^t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq \infty. \end{aligned}$$

It has been proved that (1.1) is equivalent to $U(t) \in RV_\gamma$ (see [14]). Moreover, the following lemma was shown by De Haan and Stadtmuller^[7].

Lemma 2.1. *If there is a positive and Borel measurable function $b(t)$ satisfying $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} b(t) = 0$ such that*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{b(t)} \left\{ \frac{U(tx)}{U(t)} - x^\gamma \right\} = K(x) \quad \text{for all } x > 0 \quad (2.1)$$

for some function $K(x) \not\equiv 0$, then

- (1) $b(t) \in RV_{-\alpha}$, for some $\alpha \geq 0$,
- (2) $K(x) = Cx^\gamma \frac{1-x^{-\alpha}}{\alpha}$ (when $\alpha = 0$, $K(x)$ is interpreted as $Cx^\gamma \log x$).

Let U_1, \dots, U_n be i.i.d r.v.s uniformly distributed on $(0,1)$, and e_1, \dots, e_n be i.i.d r.v.s with standard exponential distribution. Denote by $U_{1,n} \leq \dots \leq U_{n,n}$ the order statistics of U_1, \dots, U_n , and $e_{1,n} \leq \dots \leq e_{n,n}$ the order statistics of e_1, \dots, e_n

The following fact is obvious:

$$\begin{aligned} (X_1, X_2, \dots) &=^d (F^\leftarrow(U_1), F^\leftarrow(U_2), \dots) =^d \left(U\left(\frac{1}{1-U_1}\right), U\left(\frac{1}{1-U_2}\right), \dots \right) \\ &=^d (V(e_1), V(e_2), \dots). \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

Denote by $\Phi(x)$ the standard normal d.f. and $\phi(x)$ its density.

Lemma 2.2. *If*

$$\frac{k_2}{k_1} - d = o(k_1^{-3/2}), \quad (2.3)$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} & P \left(\sqrt{\frac{d}{d-1}} k_1^{1/2} (e_{k_2-k_1, k_2-1} - \log d) \leq x \right) \\ &= \Phi(x) + k_1^{-1/2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{d(d-1)}} \int_{-\infty}^x \left(\frac{d+1}{6} t^3 - t \right) \cdot \phi(t) dt + o(k_1^{-1/2}) \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

uniformly in $x \in R$.

Proof. From Corollary 4.2.7 in [13],

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{B \in \mathcal{B}(R)} \left| P \left(\sqrt{\frac{k_1 k_2}{k_2 - k_1}} \left(e_{k_2-k_1, k_2-1} - \log \frac{k_2}{k_1} \right) \in B \right) - \int_B (1 + L_{1, k_2-k_1, k_2-1}(t)) d\Phi(t) \right| \\ &= o(k_1^{-1/2}), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} L_{1, k_2-k_1, k_2-1}(t) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{(k_2 - k_1)k_1 k_2}} \left(\frac{k_1 + k_2}{6} t^3 - k_1 t \right) \\ &= k_1^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{d(d-1)}} \left(\frac{d+1}{6} t^3 - t \right) + o(k_1^{-\frac{1}{2}}) (|t|^3 + |t|) \quad (\text{from (2.3)}). \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.3) again, we obtain (2.4).

Suppose that k satisfies (K). Denote

$$a_n = (n+1)^{-\frac{3}{2}} (k(n-k+1))^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad b_n = 1 - \frac{k}{n+1}, \quad u_n = a_n u + b_n, \quad (2.5)$$

and denote by $\phi_n(u)$ the probability density of $(U_{n-k+1, n} - b_n)/a_n$, i.e.,

$$\phi_n(u) = \begin{cases} \frac{n! a_n}{(n-k)!(k-1)!} u_n^{n-k} (1-u_n)^{k-1}, & \text{if } -\frac{b_n}{a_n} < u < \frac{1-b_n}{a_n}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (2.6)$$

We have the following result.

Lemma 2.3. If $T_n = o(k_n^{1/6})$, then for large n and $|u| \leq T_n$,

$$\phi_n(u) = \phi(u) - \frac{(u^3 - 3u)}{3k^{1/2}} + O\left(\frac{1}{k}\right)(1 + |u|^6)\phi(u). \quad (2.7)$$

Proof. (2.7) is easily derived from [13].

§3. Strong Convergence of $\hat{P}_{n,d}$

Theorem 3.1. If (2.1) and (2.3) hold, and moreover,

$$k_1 / \log \log n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (3.1)$$

$$\left(\frac{k_1}{\log \log n} \right)^{1/2} b\left(\frac{n}{k_2}\right) \rightarrow \infty, \quad (3.2)$$

then

$$\frac{\hat{P}_{n,d} - \gamma}{b(n/k_2)} \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} \frac{C(1 - d^{-\alpha})}{\alpha \log d}, \quad (3.3)$$

Proof. From (2.2),

$$\{(X_{1,n}, \dots, X_{n,n})\} =^d \{(V(e_{1,n}), \dots, V(e_{n,n}))\}, \quad n \geq 1.$$

Hence, the same functions of the two sides of the above equality have the same a.s. convergence properties. Therefore, without loss of generality, we write

$$\widehat{P}_{n,d} = \frac{1}{\log d} \{\log V(e_{n-k_1+1,n}) - \log V(e_{n-k_2+1,n})\}. \quad (3.4)$$

Then, by the condition (2.1) and (2.3),

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{P}_{n,d} &= \frac{1}{\log d} \log \{d^\gamma + V(e_{n-k_1+1,n})/V(e_{n-k_2+1,n}) - d^\gamma\} \\ &= \gamma + \frac{1}{\log d} \log \{1 + [V(e_{n-k_1+1,n})/(d^\gamma V(e_{n-k_2+1,n})) - 1]\} \\ &= \gamma + \Lambda_n + \Lambda_{n,1}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_n &= \frac{1}{d^\gamma \log d} \left\{ \frac{V(e_{n-k_1+1,n}) - V(e_{n-k_2+1,n} + \log d)}{V(e_{n-k_2+1,n})} + \frac{V(e_{n-k_2+1,n} + \log d)}{V(e_{n-k_2+1,n})} - d^\gamma \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{d^\gamma \log d} \left\{ \frac{V(e_{n-k_2+1,n} + \log d)}{V(e_{n-k_2+1,n})} \right. \\ &\quad \cdot \left[\frac{V(e_{n-k_2+1,n} + \log d + (e_{n-k_1+1,n} - e_{n-k_2+1,n} - \log d))}{V(e_{n-k_2+1,n} + \log d)} \right. \\ &\quad - \exp\{\gamma(e_{n-k_1+1,n} - e_{n-k_2+1,n} - \log d)\} \\ &\quad \left. + \exp\{\gamma(e_{n-k_1+1,n} - e_{n-k_2+1,n} - \log d)\} - 1 \right] \\ &\quad \left. + b(\exp\{e_{n-k_2+1,n}\})K(d) + o(b(\exp\{e_{n-k_2+1,n}\})) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

and $\Lambda_{n,1}$ is the remainder term which has higher order than Λ_n . Notice that

$$\{e_{n-k_1+1,n} - e_{n-k_2+1,n} - \log d\} =^d \{-\log U_{k_1,n} + \log U_{k_2,n} - \log d\}, \quad n \geq 1,$$

and from [15],

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{k_i}{\log \log n} \right)^{1/2} \left| \frac{n}{k_i} U_{k_i,n} - 1 \right| \leq 1, \quad a.s. \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Combining the above two formulas and the condition (2.3), we have

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{k_i}{\log \log n} \right)^{1/2} |e_{n-k_1+1,n} - e_{n-k_2+1,n} - \log d| \leq 2, \quad a.s. \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Then from the condition (3.2), we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{e_{n-k_1+1,n} - e_{n-k_2+1,n} - \log d}{b(n/k_2)} = 0, \quad a.s.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\widehat{P}_{n,d} - \gamma}{b(n/k_2)} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Lambda_n}{b(n/k_2)} \\ &= \frac{1}{d^\gamma \log d} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{V(e_{n-k_2+1,n} + \log d)}{V(e_{n-k_2+1,n})} \\ &\quad \cdot \left[\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} K(\exp\{e_{n-k_1+1,n} - e_{n-k_2+1,n} - \log d\}) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b(d \exp\{e_{n-k_2+1,n}\})/b\left(\frac{n}{k_2}\right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\exp\{\gamma(e_{n-k_1+1,n} - e_{n-k_2+1,n} - \log d)\} - 1}{b(n/k_2)} \\
& + \frac{1}{d^\gamma \log d} K(d) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b(\exp\{e_{n-k_2+1,n}\}) / b\left(\frac{n}{k_2}\right) \\
& = \frac{K(d)}{d^\gamma \log d}, \quad \text{a.s.}
\end{aligned}$$

§4. Asymptotic Mean Squared Error of $\hat{P}_{n,d}$ and Optimal Choice of k_1 in $\hat{P}_{n,d}$

Denote

$$m_{1,n}(t) = b^2(t) \frac{C^2(1-d^{-\alpha})^2}{(\alpha \log d)^2} + \frac{\gamma^2(d-1)}{(n+1)(\log d)^2} t \quad (4.1)$$

where $b(t), C, \alpha$ are the same as in Lemma 2.1

Theorem 4.1. Suppose that (2.1) and (2.3) hold. Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{E(\hat{P}_{n,d} - \gamma)^2}{m_{1,n}\left(\frac{n+1}{dk_1}\right)} = 1. \quad (4.2)$$

Furthermore, if $b(t)$ is differentiable, the best choice of k_1 which minimizes the mean squared error of $\hat{P}_{n,d}$ is

$$k_{1,0} = \frac{n+1}{d \cdot f\left(\frac{\gamma^2(d-1)\alpha^2}{2C^2(1-d^{-\alpha})^2(n+1)}\right)}, \quad (4.3)$$

where

$$f(t) =: (-b \cdot b')^\rightarrow(t) = \sup\{x : -b(x)b'(x) > t\}. \quad (4.4)$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
E(\hat{P}_{n,d} - \gamma)^2 &= E\left(\frac{1}{\log d} \log \frac{F^{\leftarrow}(U_{n-k_1+1,n})}{F^{\leftarrow}(U_{n-k_2+1,n})} - \gamma\right)^2 \\
&= \left(\frac{1}{\log d}\right)^2 \int \int_{0 < t < s < 1} \left[\log \frac{F^{\leftarrow}(s)}{F^{\leftarrow}(t)} - \log d^\gamma \right]^2 \\
&\quad \cdot \frac{n!}{(n-k_2)!(k_2-k_1-1)!(k_1-1)!} t^{n-k_2} (s-t)^{k_2-k_1-1} (1-s)^{k_1-1} dt ds \\
&= \left(\frac{1}{\log d}\right)^2 \frac{n!}{(n-k_2)!(k_2-k_1-1)!(k_1-1)!} \int_0^1 t^{n-k_2} (1-t)^{k_2-1} dt \\
&\quad \cdot \int_0^1 \left[\log \frac{F^{\leftarrow}(t+(1-t)s')}{F^{\leftarrow}(t)} - \log d^\gamma \right]^2 (s')^{k_2-k_1-1} (1-s')^{k_1-1} ds' \\
&= \left(\frac{1}{\log d}\right)^2 \int_0^1 E\left[\log \frac{F^{\leftarrow}(t+(1-t)U_{k_2-k_1,k_2-1})}{F^{\leftarrow}(t)} - \log d^\gamma\right]^2 \\
&\quad \cdot \frac{n!}{(n-k_2)!(k_2-1)!} t^{n-k_2} (1-t)^{k_2-1} dt \\
&= \left(\frac{1}{\log d}\right)^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Delta_n(u) \phi_n(u) du,
\end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

where

$$\Delta_n(u) = E\left\{\log \frac{U((1-u_n)^{-1}(1-U_{k_2-k_1,k_2-1})^{-1})}{U((1-u_n)^{-1})} - \log d^\gamma\right\}^2,$$

and $\phi_n(u)$ is defined as (2.6) when k is replaced by k_2 . Denote

$$\begin{aligned} Z_n(u) &= \log \frac{U((1-u_n)^{-1}(1-U_{k_2-k_1,k_2-1})^{-1})}{U((1-u_n)^{-1})} - \gamma \log(1-U_{k_2-k_1,k_2-1})^{-1}, \\ W_n &= \gamma \log(1-U_{k_2-k_1,k_2-1})^{-1} - \gamma \log d. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\Delta_n(u) = E\{Z_n(u) + W_n\}^2$. By straight computation, when (2.3) is satisfied, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} EW_n^2 &= E\gamma^2\{e_{k_2-k_1,k_2-1} - \log d\}^2 = \gamma^2(1-d^{-1})k_1^{-1} + o(k_1^{-1}), \\ E\{K((1-U_{k_2-k_1,k_2-1})^{-1})W_n\} &= O(k_1^{-1}), \\ EK^2((1-U_{k_2-k_1,k_2-1})^{-1}) &= K^2(d) + o(1) = \frac{c^2(1-d^{-\alpha})^2}{\alpha^2} + o(1). \end{aligned}$$

But, from Lemma 2.1, Cheng^[1] and the dominated convergence theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{|u|\leq T_n} \left| E\left\{ \frac{Z_n(u)}{b((1-u_n)^{-1})} \right\} - EK^2((1-U_{k_2-k_1,k_2-1})^{-1}) \right| &\longrightarrow 0, \\ \sup_{|u|\leq T_n} \left| E\left\{ \frac{Z_n(u)}{b((1-u_n)^{-1})} W_n \right\} - EK((1-U_{k_2-k_1,k_2-1})^{-1})W_n \right| &\longrightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

for $T_n = o(k_1^{1/6})$.

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_n(u) &= b^2((1-u_n)^{-1})E\left\{ \frac{Z_n(u)}{b((1-u_n)^{-1})} \right\}^2 + 2b((1-u_n)^{-1})E\left\{ \frac{Z_n(u)}{b((1-u_n)^{-1})} W_n \right\} + EW_n^2 \\ &= b^2((1-u_n)^{-1})\frac{c^2(1-d^{-\alpha})^2\alpha^2}{\alpha^2}(1+o(1)) \\ &\quad + \frac{\gamma^2(d-1)}{dk_1}(1+o(1)) + O(k_1^{-1})b((1-u_n)^{-1}) \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

holds uniformly for $|u| \leq T_n$. Notice that

$$\frac{1-u_n}{k_2/(n+1)} = 1 - \left(\frac{n-k_2+1}{n+1} \right)^{1/2} k_2^{-1/2} u,$$

and this implies that

$$(1-u_n)^{-1} = \frac{(n+1)}{k_2} \left(1 + \left(\frac{n-k_2+1}{n+1} \right)^{1/2} k_2^{-1/2} u + O(k_2^{-1})u^2 \right).$$

Hence, for any $x > 0$, when $T_n \leq u \leq (1-b_n)/a_n = O(1)k^{-1/2}$,

$$\frac{U(\frac{(n+1)}{k_2}x)}{U(\frac{(n+1)}{k_2}(1+O(1)))} \leq \frac{U((1-u_n)^{-1}x)}{U((1-u_n)^{-1})} \leq \frac{U(\frac{(n+1)}{k_2}(1+O(1))x)}{U(\frac{(n+1)}{k_2})}$$

Therefore, at this time, $\Delta_n(u)$ is bounded. Similarly, it can be proved that $\Delta_n(u)$ is also bounded when $-b_n/a_n \leq u \leq T_n$. Combining with (4.6) we see that $\Delta_n(u)$ is a bounded function of $u \in (-b_n/a_n, (1-b_n)/a_n)$.

But

$$\sup_{|u|\leq T_n} \left| b((1-u_n)^{-1}) - b\left(\frac{(n+1)}{k_2}\right) \right| = o\left(b\left(\frac{(n+1)}{k_2}\right)\right).$$

From (4.5) and Lemma 2.3,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{E(\hat{P}_{n,d} - \gamma)^2}{m_{1,n}(\frac{n+1}{dk_1})} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{E(\hat{P}_{n,d} - \gamma)^2}{m_{1,n}(\frac{n+1}{k_2})} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{E(\hat{P}_{n,d} - \gamma)^2}{\frac{1}{(\log d)^2} \int_{-T_n}^{T_n} \Delta_n(u) \phi(u) du} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(\hat{P}_{n,d} - \gamma)^2 / \frac{1}{(\log d)^2} \int_{-T_n}^{T_n} \Delta_n(u) \phi_{n,k_2}(u) du = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Let $m'_{1,n}(t) = 0$, i.e.

$$-b(t)b'(t) = \frac{\gamma^2(d-1)\alpha^2}{2C^2(1-d^{-\alpha})^2(n+1)}.$$

This is equivalent to

$$t = f\left(\frac{\gamma^2(d-1)\alpha^2}{2C^2(1-d^{-\alpha})^2(n+1)}\right).$$

Taking $t = \frac{n+1}{dk_1}$, we obtain (4.3).

§5. Asymptotic Mean Squared Error of \hat{H}_n and Optimal Choice of k in \hat{H}_n

Denote

$$m_{2,n}(t) = \frac{\gamma^2}{n+1}t + b^2(t) \left(\frac{C}{\alpha+1}\right)^2, \quad (5.1)$$

where $b(t), \alpha, C$ are the same as in Lemma 2.1.

Theorem 5.1. *If (2.1) holds, then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{E(\hat{H}_n - \gamma)^2}{m_{2,n}(\frac{n+1}{k})} = 1. \quad (5.2)$$

Furthermore, if $b(t)$ is differentiable, then the best choice of k which minimizes the mean squared error of \hat{H}_n is

$$k_0 = (n+1)/f\left(\frac{(\alpha+1)^2\gamma^2}{2(n+1)C^2}\right), \quad (5.3)$$

where $f(t)$ is defined by (4.4).

Proof. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} &E(\hat{H}_n - \gamma)^2 \\ &= \frac{n!}{(n-k-1)!} \int_{0 < y < y_1 < \dots < y_k < 1} \left\{ \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k \log F^\leftarrow(y_i) - \log F^\leftarrow(y) - \gamma \right\}^2 y^{n-k-1} dy dy_1 \dots dy_k \\ &= \frac{n!}{(n-k-1)!k!} \int_0^1 y^{n-k-1} (1-y)^k dy \\ &\quad \cdot \int_{0 < t_1 < \dots < t_k < 1} k! \left\{ \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k \log F^\leftarrow(y + (1-y)t_i) - \log F^\leftarrow(y) - \gamma \right\}^2 dt_1 \dots dt_k \\ &= \int_0^1 E \left\{ \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k \log F^\leftarrow(y + (1-y)U_{i,k}) - \log F^\leftarrow(y) - \gamma \right\}^2 \frac{n!}{(n-k-1)!k!} y^{n-k-1} (1-y)^k dy \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} l_n(u_n) \phi_n(u) du, \end{aligned}$$

where $\phi_n(u)$ is defined by (2.6), u_n, a_n, b_n are defined by (2.5), and

$$\begin{aligned} l_n(u_n) &= E \left\{ \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k \log F^{\leftarrow}(u_n + (1 - u_n)U_i) - \log F^{\leftarrow}(u_n) - \gamma \right\}^2 \\ &= E \left\{ \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k \log U((1 - u_n)^{-1}(1 - U_i)^{-1}) - \log U((1 - u_n)^{-1}) - \gamma \right\}^2. \end{aligned}$$

If we denote

$$\begin{aligned} R_{n,i}(u) &= \log U((1 - u_n)^{-1}(1 - U_i)^{-1}) - \log U((1 - u_n)^{-1}) \\ &\quad - \log(1 - U_i)^{-\gamma}, \quad i = 1, \dots, k, \\ R_n(u) &= \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k R_{n,i}(u), \quad P_n^0 = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k (\log(1 - U_i)^{-1} - 1), \end{aligned}$$

then

$$l_n(u_n) = E\{R_n(u) + \gamma P_n^0\}^2 = \gamma^2 E(P_n^0)^2 + 2\gamma E R_n(u) P_n^0 + E R_n^2(u),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} E R_n^2(u) &= (E R_n(u))^2 + Var(R_n(u)) = (E R_{n,1}(u))^2 + \frac{1}{k} Var(R_{n,1}(u)), \\ E R_n(u) P_n^0 &= \frac{1}{k} E\{(R_{n,1}(u))(\log(1 - U_1)^{-1} - 1)\}. \end{aligned}$$

But, from Lemma 2.1, [1] and the dominated convergence theorem, it can be seen that for $T_n = o(k_n^{1/6})$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{|u| \leq T_n} \left| E \frac{R_{n,1}(u)}{b((1 - u_n)^{-1})} - \frac{c}{\alpha + 1} \right| &\longrightarrow 0; \quad \sup_{|u| \leq T_n} \frac{Var(R_{n,1}(u))}{b^2((1 - u_n)^{-1})} = O(1); \\ \sup_{|u| \leq T_n} \left| E \frac{R_n(u) P_n^0}{k^{-1} b((1 - u_n)^{-1})} \right| &= O(1). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for $|u| \leq T_n$ uniformly,

$$l_n(u_n) = \frac{\gamma^2}{k} + b^2((1 - u_n)^{-1}) \left(\frac{C}{\alpha + 1} \right)^2 + o(b^2(1 - u_n)^{-1}) + O(k^{-1} b(1 - u_n)^{-1}).$$

But,

$$\frac{1 - u_n}{k/(n+1)} = 1 - \left(\frac{n - k + 1}{n + 1} \right)^{1/2} k^{-1/2} u$$

implies that

$$\sup_{|u| \leq T_n} \left| b((1 - u_n)^{-1}) - b\left(\frac{n+1}{k}\right) \right| = o\left(b\left(\frac{n+1}{k}\right)\right)$$

and $l_n(u_n)$ is a bounded function of $u \in (-b_n/a_n, (1 - b_n)/a_n)$ (by the similar proof as that in Section 4). Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{E(\hat{H}_n - \gamma)^2}{m_{2,n}(\frac{n+1}{k})} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{E(\hat{H}_n - \gamma)^2}{\int_{|u| \leq T_n} l_n(u_n) \phi(u) du} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{E(\hat{P}_n - \gamma)^2}{\int_{|u| \leq T_n} l_n(u_n) \phi_{n,k_n}(u) du} = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Because $m'_{2,n}(t) = 0$ is equivalent to

$$t = f\left(\frac{\gamma^2(\alpha+1)^2}{2(n+1)C^2}\right),$$

we have (5.3).

Corollary 5.1. *If*

$$1 - F(x) = C_1 x^{-1/\gamma} \{1 + C_2 x^{-1/\beta} (1 + o(1))\}, \quad x \rightarrow \infty, \quad (5.4)$$

where $C_1 \neq 0, C_2 \neq 0, \gamma > 0, \beta > 0$ are constants^[8], then

$$E(\hat{H}_n - \gamma)^2 = \left\{ \frac{\gamma^2}{k} + \left(\frac{k}{n+1} \right)^{2\alpha} \frac{\gamma^2 C_2^2}{C_1^{2\alpha}} \left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1} \right)^2 \right\} (1 + o(1)), \quad (5.5)$$

where $\alpha = \gamma/\beta$. In particular, when $\gamma = \beta$, i.e. $\alpha = 1$, we have the result

$$E(\hat{H}_n - \gamma)^2 = \left\{ \frac{\gamma^2}{k} + \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{k}{n+1} \right)^2 C_1^{-2} C_2^2 \gamma^2 \right\} (1 + o(1)), \quad (5.6)$$

which was obtained in [9].

Proof. It is easy to see that (5.4) is equivalent to

$$U(t) = C_1^\gamma t^\gamma \{1 + \gamma C_2 C_1^{-\alpha} t^{-\alpha} (1 + o(1))\}, \quad t \rightarrow \infty. \quad (5.7)$$

Hence, the condition (2.1) is satisfied for $b(t) = t^{-\alpha}, K(x) = -\gamma C_2 C_1^{-\alpha} x^\gamma (1 - x^{-\alpha})$. From Theorem 5.1, we obtain (5.5).

§6. Comparison Between $\hat{P}_{n,d}$ and \hat{H}_n

We consider the minima of asymptotic mean squared errors of \hat{H}_n and $\hat{P}_{n,d}$ for the distributions in the class (5.4). As in Corollary 5.1, (2.1) holds for $b(t) = t^{-\alpha}$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} f(t) &= (-bb')^\rightarrow(t) = \sup\{x : -x^{-\alpha}(-\alpha x^{-\alpha-1}) > t\} \\ &= \sup\left\{x : x^{-(2\alpha+1)} > \frac{t}{\alpha}\right\} = \alpha^{1/(2\alpha+1)} t^{-1/(2\alpha+1)}. \end{aligned}$$

From Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 5.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{k_1} E(\hat{P}_{n,d} - \gamma)^2 &\sim \inf_{k_1} m_{1,n}\left(\frac{n+1}{dk_1}\right) = m_{1,n}\left(\frac{n+1}{dk_{1,0}}\right) \\ &= m_{1,n}\left(f\left(\frac{\gamma^2(d-1)\alpha^2}{2C^2(1-d^{-\alpha})^2(n+1)}\right)\right) \\ &= (n+1)^{-\frac{2\alpha}{2\alpha+1}} (\gamma^2(d-1))^{\frac{2\alpha}{2\alpha+1}} (C^2)^{\frac{1}{2\alpha+1}} \\ &\quad (1-d^{-\alpha})^{\frac{2}{2\alpha+1}} (1+2\alpha) 2^{-\frac{2\alpha+2}{2\alpha+1}} \alpha^{-\frac{2\alpha+2}{2\alpha+1}} (\log d)^{-2}, \end{aligned}$$

where $C = -\gamma C_2 C_1^{-\alpha} \alpha$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_k E(\hat{H}_n - \gamma)^2 &\sim \inf_k m_{2,n}\left(\frac{n+1}{k}\right) = m_{2,n}\left(\frac{n+1}{k_0}\right) \\ &= m_{2,n}\left(f\left(\frac{(\alpha+1)^2\gamma^2}{2(n+1)C^2}\right)\right) \\ &= m_{2,n}\left(\alpha^{\frac{1}{2\alpha+1}} \left(\frac{2(n+1)C^2}{(\alpha+1)^2\gamma^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2\alpha+1}}\right) \\ &= (n+1)^{-\frac{2\alpha}{2\alpha+1}} (\gamma^2)^{\frac{2\alpha}{2\alpha+1}} (2\alpha)^{\frac{1}{2\alpha+1}} \\ &\quad (C^2)^{\frac{1}{2\alpha+1}} (\alpha+1)^{-\frac{2}{2\alpha+1}} \left(1 + \frac{(\alpha+1)^2}{2\alpha C^2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\frac{\inf_{k_1} E(\widehat{P}_{n,d} - \gamma)^2}{\inf_k E(\widehat{H}_n - \gamma)^2} \sim R(d, \gamma, \alpha) =: \frac{(\alpha + 1)^{\frac{2}{2\alpha+1}} (d - 1)^{\frac{2\alpha}{2\alpha+1}} (1 - d^{-\alpha})^{\frac{2}{2\alpha+1}} (1 + 2\alpha)}{2\alpha^{\frac{2\alpha+3}{2\alpha+1}} (\log d)^2 (1 + \frac{(\alpha+1)^2}{2\alpha C^2})}.$$

When $\alpha = 1$,

$$R(d, \gamma, 1) = \frac{3(d - 1)^{4/3}}{2^{1/3} d^{2/3} (\log d)^2 (1 + \frac{2C_1^2}{\gamma^2 C_2^2})}.$$

When d and γ are such that $R(d, \gamma, \alpha) < 1$ (or $R(d, \gamma, \alpha) > 1$), $\widehat{P}_{n,d}$ (or \widehat{H}_n) is better than \widehat{H}_n (or $\widehat{P}_{n,d}$).

Specially, when $d = 2$, $R(2, \gamma, 1) < 1$ is equivalent to

$$0 < \gamma < \left| \frac{C_1}{C_2} \right| \frac{2 \log 2}{\sqrt{3 - 2(\log 2)^2}}. \quad (6.1)$$

This shows that when γ satisfies (6.1), the estimator

$$\widehat{P}_n = \frac{1}{\log 2} (\log X_{n-k+1,n} - \log X_{n-2k+1,n})$$

is better than Hill's estimator for the distribution F in the class:

$$1 - F(x) = C_1 x^{-1/\gamma} \{1 + C_2 x^{-1/\gamma} (1 + o(1))\}, x \rightarrow \infty.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] Cheng Shihong, Notes on the second order variation, Research Report, Department of Probability and Statistics, Peking University, 1995.
- [2] Cheng, S. & Pan, J., Asymptotic expansions and comparisons for estimators of the tail index of a distribution, Research Report No.36, Institute of Mathematics and Department of Mathematics, Peking University, 1994.
- [3] Dekkers, A. L. M., Einmahl, J. H. J. & de Haan, L., A moment estimator for an extreme-value distribution, *Ann. Statist.*, **17**(1989), 1833–1855.
- [4] DuMouchel, W. H., Estimating the stable index α in order to measure tail thickness: a critique, *Ann. Statist.*, **11**(1983), 1019–1031.
- [5] De Haan, L., Abstracts of conference on multivariate extreme estimation with applications to economics and finance, Erasmus University Rotterdam, The Netherlands, 1994a.
- [6] De Haan, L., Extreme value statistics, In extreme value theory and applications, J. Galambos, J. Lechner and E. Simio, eds. 93–122, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1994b.
- [7] De Haan, L. & Stadtmuller, U., Generalized regular variation of second order, Report 9268, Erasmus University Rotterdam, The Netherlands, 1992.
- [8] Hall, P., On some simple estimators of an exponent of regular variation, *J. R. Statist. Soc.*, **44b**(1982), 37–42.
- [9] Hall, P., Using the bootstrap to estimate mean squared error and select smoothing parameter in non-parametric problems, *J. Multivariate Analysis*, **32**(1990), 177–203.
- [10] Hill, B. M., A simple general approach to inference about the tail of a distribution, *Ann. Math. Statist.*, **3** (1975), 1163–1174.
- [11] Lehmann, E. L., Theory of point estimation, John Wiley-Sons, 1983.
- [12] Pickands, III, J., Statistical inference using extreme order statistics, *Ann. Statist.*, **3** (1975), 119–131.
- [13] Reiss, R. D., Asymptotic distributions of order statistics, New York, Springer, 1983.
- [14] Resnick, S., Extreme values, regular variation and point processes, New York, Springer, 1987.
- [15] Wellner, J. A., Limit theorems for the ratio of the empirical distribution function to the true distribution function, *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw. Gebiete.*, **45**(1978), 73–88.