

An Explicit Example of a Reich Sequence for a Uniquely Extremal Quasiconformal Mapping

Xue MENG¹ Sihui ZHANG²

Abstract An explicit example of a Reich sequence for a uniquely extremal quasiconformal mapping in a borderline case between uniqueness and non-uniqueness is given.

Keywords Quasiconformal mapping, Uniquely extremal quasiconformal mapping, Reich sequence

2000 MR Subject Classification 30C62, 30C75

1 Introduction

Let Ω be a plane domain with at least two boundary points. The Teichmüller space $T(\Omega)$ is the space of equivalence classes of quasiconformal maps f from Ω to a variable domain $f(\Omega)$. Two quasiconformal maps f from Ω to $f(\Omega)$ and g from Ω to $g(\Omega)$ are equivalent if there is a conformal map c from $f(\Omega)$ onto $g(\Omega)$ and a homotopy through quasiconformal maps h_t mapping Ω onto $g(\Omega)$ such that $h_0 = c \circ f$, $h_1 = g$ and $h_t(p) = c \circ f(p)$ for every $t \in [0, 1]$ and every p in the boundary of Ω . Denote by $[f]$ the Teichmüller equivalence class of f ; also sometimes denote the equivalence class by $[\mu]$ where μ is the Beltrami coefficient of f .

Denote by $M(\Omega)$ the open unit ball in $L^\infty(\Omega)$. For $\mu \in M(\Omega)$, define

$$k_0([\mu]) = \inf\{\|\nu\|_\infty : \nu \in [\mu]\}.$$

We say that μ is extremal in $[\mu]$ if $\|\mu\|_\infty = k_0([\mu])$, and uniquely extremal if $\|\nu\|_\infty > k_0(\mu)$ for any other $\nu \in [\mu]$. The corresponding f is also called extremal or uniquely extremal.

A quasiconformal mapping f will be said to be of Teichmüller type if its Beltrami coefficient μ is of Teichmüller type, i.e.,

$$\mu(z) = \frac{f_{\bar{z}}(z)}{f_z(z)} = k \frac{\overline{\varphi_0(z)}}{|\varphi_0(z)|}, \quad z \in \Omega, \quad (1.1)$$

where $k \in (0, 1)$ is a constant and $\varphi_0(z) \neq 0$ a.e. is a measurable function in Ω . In particular, if φ_0 is holomorphic in Ω , we call f a Teichmüller mapping and the corresponding μ a Teichmüller differential.

Manuscript received August 13, 2020. Revised November 8, 2020.

¹College of Science, University of Shanghai for Science and Technology, Shanghai 200093, China.
E-mail: mengxuexq@163.com

²Corresponding author. College of Science, University of Shanghai for Science and Technology, Shanghai 200093, China. E-mail: 071018005@fudan.edu.cn

Let $B(\Omega)$ be the Banach space consisting of holomorphic functions $\varphi(z)$ belonging to $L^1(\Omega)$, with norm

$$\|\varphi\| = \iint_{\Omega} |\varphi(z)| dx dy < \infty.$$

For $\mu \in M(\Omega)$ and $\varphi \in B(\Omega)$, set

$$\delta[\varphi] = k\|\varphi\| - \operatorname{Re} \iint_{\Omega} \mu(z) \varphi(z) dx dy.$$

In [4], Reich proved the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1 (see [4]) *Let $\mu \in M(\Omega)$ be given by (1.1). Suppose that there exists a sequence of functions $\varphi_n \in B(\Omega)$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, such that*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_n(z) = \varphi_0(z) \quad (1.2)$$

pointwise a.e. in Ω ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \delta[\varphi_n] = 0. \quad (1.3)$$

Then f is uniquely extremal.

In [5], Reich showed that (1.3) can be replaced by the weaker assumption of boundedness of $\{\delta[\varphi_n]\}$, provided that (1.2) is strengthened appropriately. This was done in his theorem which is stated below.

Theorem 1.2 (see [5]) *Let $\mu \in M(\Omega)$ be given by (1.1). Suppose that there exists a sequence of functions $\varphi_n \in B(\Omega)$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, such that*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_n(z) = \varphi_0(z) \quad (1.4)$$

pointwise a.e. in Ω , $\varphi_0 \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$,

$$\delta[\varphi_n] \leq M, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (1.5)$$

$$\varliminf_{A \rightarrow \infty} \iint_{\Omega(n, A)} |\varphi_n(z)| dx dy = 0 \quad (1.6)$$

uniformly with respect to n , where $\Omega(n, A) = \{z \in \Omega : A|\varphi_0(z)| < |\varphi_n(z)|\}$. Then f is uniquely extremal.

Generally, if μ satisfies Reich's condition above, following [3] we call $\{\varphi_n\}$ a Reich sequence for μ on Ω . Note that a Reich sequence $\{\varphi_n\}$ for μ is not necessarily convergent pointwise on Ω (see [1–2]). Therefore, if in addition φ_n converges to some φ_0 pointwise a.e. on Ω , we call $\{\varphi_n\}$ a normal Reich sequence for μ on Ω .

Let $\mu \in M(\Omega)$ be given by (1.1). We say that μ satisfies weak Reich's condition on Ω if there exists a sequence $\{\varphi_n\}$ in $B(\Omega)$ such that (1.4)–(1.6) hold. If μ satisfies weak Reich's condition, we call $\{\varphi_n\}$ a weak Reich sequence for μ on Ω .

In order to show the unique extremality of f , when we cannot find the normal Reich sequence by Theorem 1.1, we can find the weak Reich sequence by Theorem 1.2 instead. For example, define

$$\Omega_a = \{z = x + iy : x > |y|^a\}, \quad 1 < a < \infty, \quad \Omega_\infty = \{z = x + iy : x > 0, |y| < 1\}. \quad (1.7)$$

Ever since [8], the family (1.7) has been known to possess a precise transition point $a = 3$. It is known that the horizontal stretch of Ω_a is uniquely extremal if and only if $3 \leq a \leq \infty$. It is easy to check that

$$\varphi_n(z) = e^{\frac{-z}{n}}$$

provides a normal Reich sequence when $3 < a \leq \infty$, but it fails to do so for the critical case $a = 3$. For a long time, in [7], Reich gave an explicit example of a normal Reich sequence for the critical case $a = 3$.

In this paper, we consider another uniquely extremal quasiconformal mapping, in a borderline case between uniqueness and non-uniqueness, a normal Reich sequence is given explicitly in this case for the first time.

Define $\omega_a = \{z = x + iy : y > |x|^a, z \neq ib, b > 0\}$, $T(z)$ the Teichmüller mapping generated by the quadratic differential $\varphi_0(z) = \frac{i}{(z-ib)}$. The complex dilatation is $\mu(z) = k \frac{z-ib}{1|z-ib|}$, $0 < k < 1$.

In [6], it was proved that if $a \geq \frac{3}{2}$, then $T(z)$ is uniquely extremal, and in the proof, the normal Reich sequence is given when $a > \frac{3}{2}$, but when $a = \frac{3}{2}$, the normal Reich sequence is failed to work. In this paper, we construct the normal Reich sequence for this case.

Theorem 1.3 *The functions*

$$\varphi_n(z) = \frac{i \cdot \exp[-(2^{-n}(-iz)^{\frac{1}{n}})]}{z - ib}, \quad z \in \omega_{\frac{3}{2}}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

where $z^{\frac{1}{n}}$ denotes the branch in the right half-plane that is real on the positive y -axis, provide a normal Reich sequence for $\omega_{\frac{3}{2}}$.

2 Proof of Theorem 1.3

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_n(z) &= \varphi_0(z), \\ |\varphi_n(z)| &= \frac{|\exp[-(2^{-n}(-iz)^{\frac{1}{n}})]|}{|z - ib|} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\delta[\varphi_n] = k \iint_{\omega_{\frac{3}{2}}} \frac{|\exp[-(2^{-n}(-iz)^{\frac{1}{n}})]| - \operatorname{Re}\{\exp[-(2^{-n}(-iz)^{\frac{1}{n}})]\}}{|z - ib|} dx dy.$$

We mainly focus on the left half part of $\omega_{\frac{3}{2}}$ to the upper of the line $\text{Im } z = N$, $N > \max\{2b, 1\}$ first, and denote it by Ω , i.e., $\Omega = \{z : z \in \omega_{\frac{3}{2}}, \text{Re } z < 0, \text{Im } z > N\}$. We also let $\tilde{\Omega} = \{z : z \in \omega_{\frac{3}{2}}, \text{Re } z > 0, \text{Im } z > N\}$. Obviously,

$$k \iint_{\Omega \cup \tilde{\Omega}} \frac{|\exp[-(2^{-n}(-iz))^{\frac{1}{n}}]| - \text{Re}\{\exp[-(2^{-n}(-iz))^{\frac{1}{n}}]\}}{|z - ib|} dx dy$$

equals

$$2k \iint_{\Omega} \frac{|\exp[-(2^{-n}(-iz))^{\frac{1}{n}}]| - \text{Re}\{\exp[-(2^{-n}(-iz))^{\frac{1}{n}}]\}}{|z - ib|} dx dy.$$

Consider

$$k \iint_{\Omega} \frac{|\exp[-(2^{-n}(-iz))^{\frac{1}{n}}]| - \text{Re}\{\exp[-(2^{-n}(-iz))^{\frac{1}{n}}]\}}{|z - ib|} dx dy.$$

Let $E(z) = |\exp[-(2^{-n}(-iz))^{\frac{1}{n}}]| - \text{Re}\{\exp[-(2^{-n}(-iz))^{\frac{1}{n}}]\}$, and let $\theta = \arg(-iz)$, $z = x + iy$, $-iz = -ix + y$. Hence $|z| \cos \theta = y$, $|z| \sin \theta = -x$. It is easy to have $\theta < \frac{\pi}{4}$, $1 > \frac{\sin \theta}{\theta} > \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\pi}$. From $\frac{\sin \frac{\theta}{n}}{\frac{\theta}{n}} < 1 < \frac{\pi \sin \theta}{2\sqrt{2}\theta}$, we have

$$\sin \frac{\theta}{n} < \frac{\pi \sin \theta}{2\sqrt{2}n}.$$

From

$$\text{Re}\{\exp[-(2^{-n}(-iz))^{\frac{1}{n}}]\} = \exp\left[-\frac{|z|^{\frac{1}{n}} \cos \frac{\theta}{n}}{2^n}\right] \cdot \cos\left(\frac{|z|^{\frac{1}{n}} \sin \frac{\theta}{n}}{2^n}\right)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \cos\left(\frac{|z|^{\frac{1}{n}} \sin \frac{\theta}{n}}{2^n}\right) &> \cos\left(\frac{|z|^{\frac{1}{n}} \pi \sin \theta}{2^n 2\sqrt{2}n}\right) = \cos\left(\frac{|z|^{\frac{1-n}{n}} \cdot \pi |x|}{2^n 2\sqrt{2}n}\right) \\ &> \cos\left(\frac{y^{\frac{1-n}{n}} \cdot \pi y^{\frac{2}{3}}}{2^n 2\sqrt{2}n}\right) = \cos\left(\frac{\pi \cdot y^{\frac{3-n}{3n}}}{2^n 2\sqrt{2}n}\right) \\ &> \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2^n 2\sqrt{2}n}\right) > 0, \end{aligned}$$

when n is sufficiently large, we obtain that

$$\text{Re}\{\exp[-(2^{-n}(-iz))^{\frac{1}{n}}]\} > 0. \quad (2.1)$$

Since

$$|w| - \text{Re } w = \frac{(\text{Im } w)^2}{|w| + \text{Re } w} < \frac{(\text{Im } w)^2}{|w|}$$

when $\text{Re } w > 0$, from (2.1) we have

$$E(z) \leq \exp\left[-\frac{|z|^{\frac{1}{n}} \cos \frac{\theta}{n}}{2^n}\right] \cdot \sin^2\left(\frac{|z|^{\frac{1}{n}} \sin \frac{\theta}{n}}{2^n}\right). \quad (2.2)$$

Now we estimate the terms on the right of (2.2) when n is sufficiently large. From

$$\cos \frac{\theta}{n} \geq \cos\left(\frac{2 \cdot \pi}{3 \cdot 4}\right) = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \triangleq c,$$

we get

$$\exp \left[-\frac{|z|^{\frac{1}{n}} \cos \frac{\theta}{n}}{2^n} \right] \leq \exp \left[-\frac{y^{\frac{1}{n}} c}{2^n} \right].$$

From

$$\left| |z|^{\frac{1}{n}} \sin \frac{\theta}{n} \right| \leq |z|^{\frac{1-n}{n}} \cdot |z| \cdot \frac{\pi |\sin \theta|}{2\sqrt{2}n} \leq y^{\frac{1-n}{n}} \cdot |x| \cdot \frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{2}n},$$

we obtain that

$$\sin^2 \left(\frac{|z|^{\frac{1}{n}} \sin \frac{\theta}{n}}{2^n} \right) \leq \frac{1}{2^{2n}} \cdot \frac{y^{\frac{2-2n}{n}} \cdot x^2 \cdot \pi^2}{8n^2}.$$

Since $N > 2b$, it is easy to see $|z - ib| > \frac{y}{2}$ when $z \in \Omega$, hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint_{\Omega} \frac{|\exp[-(2^{-n}(-iz)^{\frac{1}{n}})]| - \operatorname{Re}\{\exp[-(2^{-n}(-iz)^{\frac{1}{n}})]\}}{|z - ib|} dx dy \\ & \leq \iint_{\Omega} \exp \left[-\frac{y^{\frac{1}{n}} c}{2^n} \right] \frac{y^{\frac{2-2n}{n}} \cdot x^2 \cdot \pi^2}{\frac{y}{2} \cdot 8n^2} dx dy \\ & = \frac{2\pi^2}{2^{2n} 8n^2} \cdot \int_N^{\infty} \exp \left(-\frac{y^{\frac{1}{n}} c}{2^n} \right) \cdot y^{\frac{2-3n}{n}} dy \int_{-y^{\frac{2}{3}}}^0 x^2 dx \\ & < \frac{2\pi^2}{2^{2n} 24n^2} \int_0^{+\infty} \exp \left(-\frac{y^{\frac{1}{n}} c}{2^n} \right) y^{\frac{2-n}{n}} dy. \end{aligned}$$

Let $u = \frac{y^{\frac{1}{n}}}{2^n}$. Then $y = 2^{n^2} u^n$, $dy = 2^{n^2} \cdot n u^{n-1} du$, hence

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2\pi^2}{2^{2n} 24n^2} \int_0^{+\infty} \exp \left(-\frac{y^{\frac{1}{n}} c}{2^n} \right) y^{\frac{2-n}{n}} dy &= \frac{2\pi^2}{2^{2n} 24n^2} \int_0^{+\infty} \exp^{-cu} 2^{2-n^2} u^{2-n} n u^{n-1} du \\ &= \frac{2\pi^2}{24n} \int_0^{+\infty} \exp^{-cu} u du = \frac{\pi^2}{12c^3 n}. \end{aligned}$$

From above we obtain

$$\iint_{\Omega} \frac{|\exp[-(2^{-n}(-iz)^{\frac{1}{n}})]| - \operatorname{Re}\{\exp[-(2^{-n}(-iz)^{\frac{1}{n}})]\}}{|z - ib|} dx dy < \frac{\pi^2}{12c^3 n}. \quad (2.3)$$

Now we estimate another part of

$$\delta[\varphi_n] = k \iint_{\omega_{\frac{3}{2}}} \frac{|\exp[-(2^{-n}(-iz)^{\frac{1}{n}})]| - \operatorname{Re}\{\exp[-(2^{-n}(-iz)^{\frac{1}{n}})]\}}{|z - ib|} dx dy.$$

Let $w = e^{i\theta} = r \cos \theta + ir \sin \theta$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |e^w| - \operatorname{Re}(e^w) &= e^{r \cos \theta} - e^{r \cos \theta} \cos(r \sin \theta) \\ &= e^{r \cos \theta} \cdot 2 \sin^2 \frac{r \sin \theta}{2} < \frac{1}{2} e^{r \cos \theta} r^2 \sin^2 \theta \leq \frac{1}{2} e^r r^2. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\Omega_N = \omega_{\frac{3}{2}} - (\Omega \cup \tilde{\Omega}).$$

Then $0 \leq y \leq N$, $0 \leq |x| \leq N^{\frac{2}{3}}$, we obtain that when $z \in \Omega_N$, $|-iz| = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} < 2N$. Let

$$w = -\frac{(-iz)^{\frac{1}{n}}}{2^n}, \quad r = |w| = \left| -\frac{(-iz)^{\frac{1}{n}}}{2^n} \right| < \frac{(2N)^{\frac{1}{n}}}{2^n}.$$

From above we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \exp[-(2^{-n}(-iz)^{\frac{1}{n}})] \right| - \operatorname{Re}\{\exp[-(2^{-n}(-iz)^{\frac{1}{n}})]\} \\ & < \frac{1}{2} \cdot e^{\left| -\frac{(-iz)^{\frac{1}{n}}}{2^n} \right|} \cdot \left| -\frac{(-iz)^{\frac{1}{n}}}{2^n} \right|^2 < \frac{1}{2} \cdot e^{\frac{(2N)^{\frac{1}{n}}}{2^n}} \cdot \frac{(2N)^{\frac{2}{n}}}{2^{2n}} < \frac{3}{2} \cdot \frac{(2N)^{\frac{2}{n}}}{2^{2n}}. \end{aligned}$$

From $-\frac{N}{2} < -b < y - b < N - b < N$ and $|z - ib| = \sqrt{(y - b)^2 + x^2} < \sqrt{N^2 + N^2} < 2N$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint_{\Omega_N} \frac{\left| \exp[-(2^{-n}(-iz)^{\frac{1}{n}})] \right| - \operatorname{Re}\{\exp[-(2^{-n}(-iz)^{\frac{1}{n}})]\}}{|z - ib|} dx dy \\ & < \frac{3}{2} \cdot \frac{(2N)^{\frac{2}{n}}}{2^{2n}} \cdot \int_{\Omega_N} \frac{dx dy}{|z - ib|} \leq \frac{3(2N)^{\frac{2}{n}}}{2^{2n+1}} \cdot \int_0^{2N} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{r dr d\theta}{r} = \frac{3\pi(2N)^{\frac{2}{n}} N}{2^{2n-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

From above we get

$$\iint_{\Omega_N} \frac{\left| \exp[-(2^{-n}(-iz)^{\frac{1}{n}})] \right| - \operatorname{Re}\{\exp[-(2^{-n}(-iz)^{\frac{1}{n}})]\}}{|z - ib|} dx dy < \frac{3\pi(2N)^{\frac{2}{n}} N}{2^{2n-1}}. \quad (2.4)$$

Hence from (2.3)–(2.4), we obtain

$$\delta[\varphi_n] < k \left(\frac{\pi^2}{6c^3 n} + \frac{3\pi(2N)^{\frac{2}{n}} N}{2^{2n-1}} \right),$$

which approaches 0 when $n \rightarrow \infty$. The proof of Theorem 1.3 is complete.

Acknowledgement The authors sincerely thank the referees for their valuable suggestions.

References

- [1] Bozin, V., Lakic, N., Marković, V. and Mateljević, M., Unique extremality, *J. Anal. Math.*, **75**, 1998, 299–338.
- [2] Bozin, V., Marković, V. and Mateljević, M., The unique extremality in the tangent space of the universal Teichmüller space, *Integral Transform. Spec. Funct.*, **6**, 1998, 145–149.
- [3] Mateljević, M., Unique extremality of quasiconformal mappings, *J. Anal. Math.*, **107**, 2009, 39–63.
- [4] Reich, E., A criteria for unique extremality of Teichmüller mappings, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, **3**, 1981, 441–447.
- [5] Reich, E., On criteria for unique extremality of Teichmüller mappings, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A Math.*, **6**, 1981, 289–301.
- [6] Reich, E., On the structure of the family of extremal quasiconformal mappings of parabolic regions, *Complex Variables*, **5**, 1986, 289–300.
- [7] Reich, E., A critical exmple for the necessary and sufficient condition for unique quasiconformal extremality, *Contemporary Mathematics*, **382**, 2005, 343–348.
- [8] Reich, E. and Kurt, S., On the extremality of certain Teichmüller mappings, *Comment. Math. Helv.*, **45**, 1970, 353–362.