A Generalization of Lappan's Theorem to Higher Dimensional Complex Projective Space*

Xiaojun LIU¹ Han WANG¹

Abstract In this paper, the authors discuss a generalization of Lappan's theorem to higher dimensional complex projective space and get the following result: Let f be a holomorphic mapping of Δ into $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$, and let H_1, \dots, H_q be hyperplanes in general position in $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$. Assume that

$$\sup \left\{ (1 - |z|^2) f^{\sharp}(z) : z \in \bigcup_{j=1}^{q} f^{-1}(H_j) \right\} < \infty,$$

if $q \ge 2n^2 + 3$, then f is normal.

Keywords Holomorphic mapping, Normal family, Hyperplanes 2000 MR Subject Classification 32A19, 32H30, 30D45, 30H02.

1 Introduction

In the theory of normal families, perhaps the following criterion of Montel [1] is the most celebrated theorem.

Theorem 1.1 Let \mathcal{F} be a family of meromorphic functions in a domain $D \subset \mathbb{C}$, and let a, b, c be three distinct points in $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$. Assume that all functions in \mathcal{F} omit three points a, b, c in D. Then \mathcal{F} is a normal family in D.

In 1957, Lehto and Virtanen [2] proved the following well-known result, which says that a function f(z) meromorphic in the unit disc $\Delta := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ is normal if and only if

$$\sup_{z\in\Delta}\{(1-|z|^2)f^\sharp(z)\}<+\infty,$$

where $f^{\sharp} := \frac{|f'|}{1+|f|^2}$ is the spherical derivative of f.

In 1972, Pommerenke [3] posed an open question: For a given positive number M>0, does there exist a finite subset $E\subset \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ such that if f is a meromorphic function in Δ , then the condition that $(1-|z|^2)f^{\sharp}(z)\leq M$ for each $z\in f^{-1}(E)$ implies that f is a normal function?

Latter, Lappan [4] answered the above question and proved the following well-known result named Lappan's theorem.

Manuscript received March 1, 2021. Revised January 2, 2022.

¹Department of Mathematics, University of Shanghai for Science and Technology, Shanghai 200093, China. E-mail: xiaojunliu2007@hotmail.com 1356938164@qq.com

^{*}This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11871216).

374 X. J. Liu and H. Wang

Theorem 1.2 Let E be any set consisting of five complex numbers, finite or infinite. If f is a meromorphic function in Δ such that

$$\sup\{(1-|z|^2)f^{\sharp}(z): z \in f^{-1}(E)\} < \infty,$$

then f is a normal function.

In 2020, Tan [5] generalized the above theorem to the n dimensional complex projective space, and proved the following theorem.

Theorem 1.3 Let f be a holomorphic mapping of Δ into $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$, and let H_1, \dots, H_q be hyperplanes in general position in $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$. Assume that

$$\sup \left\{ (1 - |z|^2) f^{\sharp}(z) : z \in \bigcup_{j=1}^{q} f^{-1}(H_j) \right\} < \infty,$$

if $q \ge n(2n+1)+2$, then f is normal.

Inspried by the method of the proof of the main theorem in Chen and Yan [6], we reduce the number of hyperplanes in Theorem 1.3 and obtain the following main result.

Theorem 1.4 Let f be a holomorphic mapping of Δ into $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$, and let H_1, \dots, H_q be hyperplanes in general position in $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$. Assume that

$$\sup \left\{ (1 - |z|^2) f^{\sharp}(z) : z \in \bigcup_{j=1}^{q} f^{-1}(H_j) \right\} < \infty,$$

if $q \ge 2n^2 + 3$, then f is normal.

2 Notations and Preliminaries

In this section, we introduce some notations and preliminaries related to this paper. For more details see [7].

Let $f = [f_0 : \cdots : f_n]$ be a holomorphic mapping from a domain in \mathbb{C} to $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ given by homogeneous coordinate function f_j $(j = 0, 1, \dots, n)$ which are holomorphic without common zeros. In this paper, we also need the following formula named Fubini-Study derivative f^{\sharp} of f (for details, see [8]),

$$(f^{\sharp})^2 := \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z \partial \overline{z}} \log \sum_{i=0}^n |f_i|^2 = \frac{\sum_{0 \le s < t \le n} |W(f_s, f_t)|^2}{\|f\|^4}.$$

Definition 2.1 (see [7]) Let ν be an effective divisor on \mathbb{C} . For each positive integer (or $+\infty$) p, we define the counting function of ν (where multiplicities are truncated by p) by

$$N^{[p]}(r,\nu) := \int_{1}^{r} \frac{n_{\nu}^{[p]}}{t} dt, \quad 1 < r < \infty,$$

where $n_{\nu}^{[p]}(t) = \sum_{|z| \le t} \min\{\nu(z), p\}$. For brevity, we will omit the character [p] in the counting function if $p = +\infty$.

For a meromorphic function φ on \mathbb{C} ($\varphi \not\equiv 0, \varphi \not\equiv \infty$), we denote by $(\varphi)_0$ the divisor of zeros of φ . We have the following Jensen's formula for the counting function:

$$N(r,(\varphi)_0) - N\left(r, \left(\frac{1}{\varphi}\right)_0\right) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log|\varphi(re^{i\theta})| d\theta + O(1).$$

Definition 2.2 (see [7]) We define the proximity function of φ by

$$m(r,\varphi) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log^+ |\varphi(re^{i\theta})| d\theta,$$

where $\log^+ x = \max\{0, \log x\}$ for x > 0.

If φ is nonconstant, then $m(r, \frac{\varphi'}{\varphi}) = o(T_{\varphi}(r))$ as $r \to \infty$, outside a set of finite Lebesgue measure (Nevanlinna's lemma on the logarithmic derivative).

Nevanlinna's first main theorem for φ states that, for any $a \in \overline{\mathbb{C}}$,

$$T_{\varphi}(r) = N\left(r, \left(\frac{1}{\varphi - a}\right)_{0}\right) + \left(r, \frac{1}{\varphi - a}\right) + O(1).$$

Definition 2.3 (see [7]) Let f be a holomorphic mapping of \mathbb{C} into $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ with a reduced representation (f_0, \dots, f_n) . The characteristic function $T_f(r)$ of f is defined by

$$T_f(r) := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log \|f(re^{i\theta})\| d\theta - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log \|f(e^{i\theta})\| d\theta, \quad r > 1,$$

where $||f|| = \max_{0 \le i \le n} |f_i|$.

Let $H = \{(\omega_0 : \dots : \omega_n) \in \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C}) : \sum_{i=0}^n a_i \omega_i = 0\}$ be a hyperplane in $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ such that $f(\mathbb{C}) \not\subset H$. Denote by $(H(f))_0$ the divisor of zeros of $\sum_{i=0}^n a_i f_i(z)$, and put $N_f^{[p]}(r,H) := N^{[p]}(r,(H(f))_0)$.

Definition 2.4 Let q, k be two positive integers, satisfying $q \geq k \geq n$ and let H_1, \dots, H_q be q hyperplanes in $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$. These hyperplanes are said to be in k-subgeneral position if $\bigcap_{i=0}^k H_{j_i} = \emptyset$, for all $1 \leq j_0 < \dots < j_k \leq q$.

Definition 2.5 (see [7]) Let f be a holomorphic mapping of \mathbb{C} into $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$. If there exists a hyperplance H in $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$, such that $f(\mathbb{C}) \subset H$, then we call that f is a linearly degenerate holomorphic mapping, otherwise f is linearly non-degenerate.

Definition 2.6 (see [3]) Let $f : \Delta \to \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ be a meromorphic function, and let $\mathcal{F} = \{f \circ \varphi \mid \varphi : \Delta \to \Delta \text{ be a conformal mapping}\}$. If \mathcal{F} is normal in Δ , then f is called a normal function.

Similarly, we can give the following definition for the normal curve.

Definition 2.7 Let $f: \Delta \to \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ be a holomorphic mapping, and let $\mathcal{F} = \{f \circ \varphi \mid \varphi : \Delta \to \Delta \text{ be a conformal mapping}\}$. If \mathcal{F} is normal in Δ , then f is called a normal curve.

Nochka's Second Main Theorem (see [9]) Let f be a linearly non-degenerate holomorphic mapping of \mathbb{C} into $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$, and let H_1, \dots, H_q be q hyperplanes in k-subgeneral position in $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ ($k \geq n$ and $q \geq 2k - n + 1$). Then

$$||(q-2k+n-1)T_f(r)| \le \sum_{j=1}^q N_f^{[n]}(r,H_j) + o(T_f(r)),$$

where " \parallel " means the estimate holds for all large r outside a set of finite Lebesgue measure.

3 Preliminary Lemmas

Before we give the proof of our main theorem, we need the following version of Zalcman's lemma for holomorphic mappings from the domain $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ to $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$.

Lemma 3.1 (see [10]) Let \mathcal{F} be a family of holomorphic mappings of a hyperbolic domain Ω in \mathbb{C} into $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$. The family \mathcal{F} is not normal on Ω if and only if there exist sequences $\{f_k\} \subset \mathcal{F}$, $\{z_k\} \subset \Omega$ with $z_k \to z_0 \in \Omega$, $\{r_k\}$ with $r_k > 0$ and $r_k \to 0$, such that

$$g_k(\xi) := f_k(z_k + r_k \xi)$$

converges uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbb C$ to a nonconstant holomorphic map g of $\mathbb C$ into $\mathbb P^n(\mathbb C)$.

Lemma 3.2 (see [7]) Let $f: \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ be a holomorphic mapping, and H_1, \dots, H_q be $(q \geq 2n+1)$ hyperplanes in $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ in general position. If for each $j=1,\dots,q$, either $f(\mathbb{C})$ is contained in H_j , or $f(\mathbb{C})$ omits H_j , then f must be a constant.

The following lemma plays an important role in the proof of Theorem 1.3.

Lemma 3.3 (see [5]) Let f be a linearly non-degenerate holomorphic mapping of \mathbb{C} into $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$, and let H_1, \dots, H_q be q hyperplanes in k-subgeneral position in $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$, where $k \geq n$ and $q \geq 2k - n + 1$. Assume that $f(z) \in H_j \Rightarrow f^{\sharp}(z) = 0, j = 1, \dots, q$. Then $q \leq 2k(n+1) - n + 1$.

In this paper, inspired by the method of Chen and Yan [6], we improve the above lemma and get the following lemma, which plays a key role in the proof of our main theorem.

Lemma 3.4 Let f be a linearly non-degenerate holomorphic mapping of \mathbb{C} into $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$, and let H_1, \dots, H_q be q hyperplanes in k-subgeneral position in $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$, where $k \geq n$ and $q \geq 2k-n+1$. Assume that $f(z) \in H_j \Rightarrow f^{\sharp}(z) = 0, j = 1, \dots, q$. Then q < 2k(n+1) - 2n + 3.

Proof We pick up a reduced presentation (f_0, \dots, f_n) of f. We also write it as f = f

 (f_0, \dots, f_n) and let $f' = (f'_0, \dots, f'_n)$. For each $z \in \bigcup_{j=1}^q f^{-1}(H_j)$, we define

$$\mathcal{A}_z := \{(a_0, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} : a_0 f_0(z) + \dots + a_n f_n(z) = 0\}.$$

Since A_z is a vector subspace of dimension n of \mathbb{C}^{n+1} and since $\bigcup_{j=1}^q f^{-1}(H_j)$ is at most countable, it follows that there exists a vector

$$\ell = (l_0, \cdots, l_n) \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \setminus \Big(\bigcup_{j=1}^q \bigcup_{z \in f^{-1}(H_i)} \mathcal{A}_z\Big).$$

Let L be a hyperplane in $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$, where $L(\omega)$ is defined by the equation

$$\langle \omega, \ell \rangle = l_0 \omega_0 + \dots + l_n \omega_n = 0.$$

By our choice, we have

$$f^{-1}(L) \bigcap \left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{q} f^{-1}(H_j)\right) = \emptyset.$$

Moreover, we can also choose such $L(\omega)$ to satisfy that for all $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, q\}, \frac{H_i}{L}(\omega) \not\equiv$ constant.

Set
$$\Phi_i = \frac{H_i(f)}{L(f)}$$
, where $i = 1, 2, \dots, q$.

If there exists some $i_0 \in \{1, 2, \dots, q\}$, such that $\Phi_{i_0} \equiv C$, then $H_{i_0}(f) \equiv CL(f)$, and we have $\langle f, \alpha_{i_0} \rangle \equiv \langle f, C\ell \rangle$, which implies that $\langle f, \alpha_{i_0} - C\ell \rangle \equiv 0$. Since $\frac{H_{i_0}}{L}(\omega) \not\equiv C$, we have $\alpha_{i_0} - C\ell \neq 0$, which means that f is linearly degenerate, a contradiction.

Then, for every $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, q\}, \ \Phi_i \not\equiv \text{constant.}$ and then

$$\Phi_i' = \left(\frac{H_i(f)}{L(f)}\right)' = \frac{(H_i(f))'L(f) - H_i(f)(L(f))'}{(L(f))^2} \not\equiv 0.$$

For any $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$, $\Phi'_i(z_0) = 0$, we divided into two cases.

Case 1 $f(z_0) \in H_i$, then $\langle f(z_0), \alpha_i \rangle = 0$. And if $z_0 \in \{z \mid \nu_{\langle f, H_i \rangle}(z) \geq 2\}$, we have $\langle f'(z_0), \alpha_i \rangle = 0$. Then, z_0 is a zero of Φ'_i , and

$$\nu_{\Phi_i'}(z_0) \geq \min\{\nu_{\langle f, H_i \rangle}(z_0), \nu_{\langle f', H_i \rangle}(z_0)\} = \nu_{\langle f', H_i \rangle}(z_0) \geq 1.$$

We note that

$$\{z \mid \nu_{\langle f, H_i \rangle}(z) \ge 2\} = \{z \mid \nu_{\langle f, H_i \rangle}(z) = 2\} \cup \{z \mid \nu_{\langle f, H_i \rangle}(z) = 3\}$$

$$\cup \dots \cup \{z \mid \nu_{\langle f, H_i \rangle}(z) = n\} \cup \{z \mid \nu_{\langle f, H_i \rangle}(z) > n\}.$$

If
$$z_0 \in \{z \mid \nu_{\langle f, H_i \rangle}(z) = l\} (= \{z \mid \nu_{\langle f', H_i \rangle}(z) = l - 1\}), \ 2 \le l \le n$$
, then

$$\nu_{\Phi_i'}(z_0) \geq \min\{\nu_{\langle f, H_i \rangle}(z_0), \nu_{\langle f', H_i \rangle}(z_0)\} = \nu_{\langle f', H_i \rangle}(z_0) = l - 1$$

$$= \min\{\nu_{\langle f, H_i \rangle}(z_0), n\} - \min\{\nu_{\langle f, H_i \rangle}(z_0), 1\}.$$

If $z_0 \in \{z \mid \nu_{(f,H_i)}(z) > n\}$, then $z_0 \in \{z \mid \nu_{(f',H_i)}(z) \ge n\}$,

$$\nu_{\Phi'_i}(z_0) \geq \min\{\nu_{\langle f, H_i \rangle}(z_0), \nu_{\langle f', H_i \rangle}(z_0)\} = \nu_{\langle f', H_i \rangle}(z_0) \geq n \geq \min\{\nu_{\langle f, H_i \rangle}(z_0), n\}.$$

Case 2 $f(z_0) \in H_j$, where $j \in \{1, \dots, q\}$ and $j \neq i$. By the condition of this lemma, we have

$$f^{\sharp}(z_0) = \frac{|f \wedge f'|}{\|f\|^2}(z_0) = 0$$

and

$$[f_0:\cdots:f_n](z_0)=[f'_0:\cdots:f'_n](z_0).$$

Then $H_i(f)(z_0) = \lambda(z_0)H_i(f')(z_0) = \lambda(z_0)(H_i(f))'(z_0)$ and $L(f)(z_0) = \lambda(z_0)L(f')(z_0) = \lambda(z_0)(L(f)')(z_0)$, where $\lambda(z_0)$ is a constant. Thus, $\Phi'_i(z_0) = 0$. So, we have any zero of $\langle f(z_0), \alpha_j \rangle$ is also a zero of Φ'_i .

Since H_1, \dots, H_q are in k-subgeneral position in $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$, combining with the discussion above, we have

$$\sum_{j=1,j\neq i}^{q} N_{\langle f,H_{j}\rangle}^{[1]}(r) + N_{\langle f,H_{i}\rangle}^{[n]}(r) - N_{\langle f,H_{i}\rangle}^{[1]}(r) \le k N_{\Phi'_{i}}(r)$$

$$\le k T_{\Phi'_{i}}(r) + O(1). \tag{3.1}$$

By the first main theorem and the logarithmic derivative lemma of Nevanlinna theory for meromorphic function, we can easily get

$$T_{\Phi_i'}(r) \le 2T_{\Phi_i}(r) + o(T_{\Phi_i}(r)).$$
 (3.2)

By (3.1)–(3.2) and [7, p.162], we have

$$\sum_{j=1, j\neq i}^{q} N_{\langle f, H_j \rangle}^{[1]}(r) + N_{\langle f, H_i \rangle}^{[n]}(r) - N_{\langle f, H_i \rangle}^{[1]}(r) \le 2kT_{\Phi_i}(r) + o(T_{\Phi_i}(r))$$

$$= 2kT_f(r) + o(T_f(r)). \tag{3.3}$$

Take summation of (3.3) over $1 \le i \le q$, we have

$$(q-1)\sum_{j=1}^{q} N_{\langle f, H_{j} \rangle}^{[1]}(r) + \sum_{i=1}^{q} N_{\langle f, H_{i} \rangle}^{[n]}(r) - \sum_{i=1}^{q} N_{\langle f, H_{i} \rangle}^{[1]}(r) \le 2kqT_{f}(r) + o(T_{f}(r))$$

$$(q-2)\sum_{j=1}^{q} N_{\langle f, H_{j} \rangle}^{[1]}(r) + \sum_{i=1}^{q} N_{\langle f, H_{i} \rangle}^{[n]}(r) \le 2kqT_{f}(r) + o(T_{f}(r))$$

$$\frac{(q-2)}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{q} N_{\langle f, H_{j} \rangle}^{[n]}(r) + \sum_{i=1}^{q} N_{\langle f, H_{i} \rangle}^{[n]}(r) \le 2kqT_{f}(r) + o(T_{f}(r))$$

$$\frac{(q-2+n)}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{q} N_{\langle f, H_j \rangle}^{[n]}(r) \le 2kqT_f(r) + o(T_f(r)).$$

By Nochka's second main theorem, it follows that

$$\left\| \frac{(q-2+n)(q-2k+n-1)}{n} T_f(r) - o(T_f(r)) \le 2kqT_f(r) + o(T_f(r)).$$

Comparing the coefficients of $T_f(r)$ in the both sides of above inequality, we have

$$\frac{(q-2+n)(q-2k+n-1)}{n} \le 2kq,$$

then

$$q^{2} + (2n - 2k - 3 - 2kn)q + (n - 2)(n - 1 - 2k) \le 0.$$

Since,

$$\Delta = (2n - 2k - 3 - 2kn)^2 - 4(n - 2)(n - 1 - 2k)$$
$$= 4k^2n^2 + 8k^2n - 8kn^2 + 12kn + 4k^2 - 4k + 1$$
$$< (2kn + 2k - 2n + 3)^2.$$

By calculation,

$$q \le \frac{-(2n-2k-3-2kn) + \sqrt{\Delta}}{2} < 2k(n+1) - 2n + 3.$$

Thus, this lemma is proved.

4 Proof of Theorem 1.4

If not the case, we may assume that $\sup_{z \in \Delta} \{(1-|z|^2)f^{\sharp}(z)\} = +\infty$. Then there exist a sequence $z_k, |z_k| < 1$, such that

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} (1 - |z_k|^2) f^{\sharp}(z_k) = +\infty.$$

Let

$$f_k(z) = f(z_k + (1 - |z_k|)z), \quad z \in \Delta.$$

Since $|z_k + (1 - |z_k|)z| \le |z_k| + (1 - |z_k|)|z| < |z_k| + 1 - |z_k| = 1$, we have f_k is well-defined. By calculation,

$$f_k^{\sharp}(z) = (1 - |z_k|) f^{\sharp}(z_k + (1 - |z_k|)z),$$

then

$$f_k^{\sharp}(0) = (1 - |z_k|)f^{\sharp}(z_k) = \frac{(1 - |z_k|^2)f^{\sharp}(z_k)}{1 + |z_k|} > \frac{(1 - |z_k|^2)f^{\sharp}(z_k)}{2}.$$

Thus, $\lim_{k\to\infty} f_k^{\sharp}(0) = +\infty$ and $\{f_k(z)\}$ is not normal at z=0.

By Lemma 3.1, there exist points $z_k^* \in \Delta$, positive numbers ρ_k with $\rho_k \to 0^+$ such that

$$g_k(\xi) := f_k(z_k^* + \rho_k \xi) \Rightarrow g(\xi)$$

where g is a nonconstant holomorphic mapping of \mathbb{C} into $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$.

If for each $j \in \{1, \dots, q\}$, $g(\mathbb{C})$ is contained in H_j , or $g(\mathbb{C})$ omits H_j . By Lemma 3.2, g is a constant, a contradiction. So there exist some $j \in \{1, \dots, q\}$ and $\xi_0 \in \mathbb{C}$, such that $\langle g(\xi_0), \alpha_j \rangle = 0$ but $\langle g(\xi), \alpha_j \rangle \not\equiv 0$.

We now prove that $g^{\sharp}(\xi_0) = 0$. By Hurwitz's theorem, there exist points ξ_k with $\xi_k \to \xi_0$ (as $k \to \infty$), such that $g_k(\xi_k) \in H_j$, and hence $f_k(z_k^* + \rho_k \xi_k) \in H_j$. Then $f(z_k + (1 - |z_k|)(z_k^* + \rho_k \xi_k)) \in H_j$.

Denoting

$$a_k = z_k + (1 - |z_k|)(z_k^* + \rho_k \xi_k),$$

we have, by the condition of this theorem, there is a positive constant M such that

$$(1 - |a_k|^2)f^{\sharp}(a_k) \le M$$

for all k sufficiently large.

By calculation,

$$\begin{split} g_k^{\sharp}(\xi_k) &= \rho_k f_k^{\sharp}(z_k^* + \rho_k \xi_k) \\ &= \rho_k (1 - |z_k|) f^{\sharp}(z_k + (1 - |z_k|) (z_k^* + \rho_k \xi_k)) \\ &= \frac{\rho_k (1 - |z_k|)}{1 - |z_k + (1 - |z_k|) (z_k^* + \rho_k \xi_k)|^2} (1 - |a_k|^2) f^{\sharp}(a_k) \\ &= \frac{\rho_k \left(\frac{1}{1 - |z_k|} - \left|\frac{z_k}{1 - |z_k|} + (z_k^* + \rho_k \xi_k)\right|\right)^{-1}}{1 + |z_k + (1 - |z_k|) (z_k^* + \rho_k \xi_k)|} (1 - |a_k|^2) f^{\sharp}(a_k) \\ &\leq \frac{\rho_k (1 - |z_k^* + \rho_k \xi_k|)^{-1}}{1 + |z_k + (1 - |z_k|) (z_k^* + \rho_k \xi_k)|} M \\ &= \frac{\rho_k \left(\frac{1}{1 - |z_k^*|} - \left|\frac{z_k^*}{1 - |z_k^*|} + \frac{\rho_k}{1 - |z_k^*|} \xi_k\right|\right)^{-1}}{(1 - |z_k^*|) (1 + |z_k + (1 - |z_k|) (z_k^* + \rho_k \xi_k)|)} M. \end{split}$$

By the proof of Lemma 3.1, we have $\lim_{k\to\infty}\frac{\rho_k}{1-|z_k^*|}=0$, then

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{1-|z_k^*|} - \left| \frac{z_k^*}{1-|z_k^*|} + \frac{\rho_k}{1-|z_k^*|} \xi_k \right| &\geq \left(\frac{1}{1-|z_k^*|} - \frac{|z_k^*|}{1-|z_k^*|} \right) - \frac{\rho_k}{1-|z_k^*|} |\xi_k| \\ &= 1 - \frac{\rho_k}{1-|z_k^*|} |\xi_k| > \frac{1}{2} \end{split}$$

as $k \to \infty$.

So, we have

$$g_k^{\sharp}(\xi_k) \le 2M \cdot \frac{\rho_k}{1 - |z_k^*|}.$$

Let $k \to \infty$, $g^{\sharp}(\xi_0) = 0$.

Without loss of the generality, we may assume that there exists some integer q_0 with $1 \le q_0 \le q$, such that for any $j \in \{1, \dots, q_0\}$, $g(\mathbb{C}) \not\subset H_j$, and $j \in \{q_0 + 1, \dots, q\}$, $g(\mathbb{C}) \subset H_j$. Denote the smallest subspace of $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ containing $g(\mathbb{C})$ by \mathbb{P} . Then $p := \dim \mathbb{P} \ge 1$, and g is a linearly non-degenerate entire curve in \mathbb{P} . Since H_1, \dots, H_q are in general position, we have $q - q_0 + p \le n$, furthermore, $\widetilde{H_1} := H_1 \cap \mathbb{P}, \dots, \widetilde{H_{q_0}} := H_{q_0} \cap \mathbb{P}$ are hyperplanes in $n - (q - q_0)$ subgeneral position in \mathbb{P} .

For each $j=1,\dots,q_0$ and for all $\xi_0\in\mathbb{C}$, such that $g(\xi_0)\in H_j$, we have $g(\xi_0)\in\widetilde{H_j}$ and $g^{\sharp}(\xi_0)=0$.

Since $q \ge 2n^2 + 3 > 2n + 1$, we have $q_0 > q_0 - (q - q_0) - (q - 2n - 1) - p = 2[n - (q - q_0)] - p + 1$. Applying Lemma 3.4, we have

$$q_0 < 2(n - (q - q_0))(p + 1) - 2p + 3.$$

Then

$$q_0 + 2(p+1)(q-q_0) < 2n(p+1) - 2p + 3.$$

Therefore,

$$q = q_0 + (q - q_0)$$

$$\leq q_0 + 2(p+1)(q - q_0)$$

$$< 2n(p+1) - 2p + 3$$

$$= 2n + (2n-2)p + 3$$

$$\leq 2n + (2n-2)n + 3$$

$$= 2n^2 + 3.$$

This contradicts to the assumption that $q \ge 2n^2 + 3$. Thus, we have $\sup_{z \in \Delta} \{(1 - |z|^2)f^{\sharp}(z)\} < \infty$. The proof of Theorem 1.4 is finished.

Acknowledgement The authors would like to thank the referees for their important comments and advices.

References

- [1] Montel, P., Sur les familles de fonctions analytiques qui admettent des valeurs exceptionnelles dans un domaine, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup., 29(3), 1921, 487–535.
- [2] Lehto, O. and Virtanen, K. L., Boundary behaviour and normal meromorphic functions, *Acta Math.*, **97**(1), 1957, 47–65.
- [3] Pommerenke, Ch., Problems in complex function theory, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc., 4(3), 1972, 354-366.
- [4] Lappan, P., A criterion for a meromorphic function to be normal, Comment. Math. Helv., 49(1), 1974, 492–495.

382 X. J. Liu and H. Wang

[5] Tan, T. V., Higher dimensional generalizations of some classical theorems on normality of meromorphic functions, *Michigan Mathematical Journal*, 1(1), 2021, 1–11.

- [6] Chen, Z. H. and Yan, Q. M., Uniqueness theorem of meromorphic mappings into $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ sharing 2n+3 hyperplanes regardless of multiplicities, *Int. J. Math.*, **20**(6), 2009, 717–726.
- [7] Ru, M., Nevanlinna Theory and its Relation to Diophantine Approximation, World Scientific Publishing, Singapore, 2001.
- [8] Cherry, W. and Eremenko, A., Landau's theorem for holomorphic curves in projective space and the Kobayashi metric on hyperplane complement, *Pure and Appl. Math. Quarterly*, **7**(1), 2011, 199–221.
- [9] Nochka, E. I., On the theory of meromorphic functions, Soviet. Math. Dokl., 27, 1983, 377–391.
- [10] Aladro, G. and Krantz, S. G., A criterion for normality in Cⁿ, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 161(1), 1991, 1-8.