Chin. Ann. Math. Ser. B
43(3), 2022, 383–400
DOI: 10.1007/s11401-022-0330-9

Chinese Annals of Mathematics, Series B
© The Editorial Office of CAM and Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2022

Cartan's Second Main Theorem and Mason's Theorem for Jackson Difference Operator*

Huixin DAI[1] Tingbin CAO[2] Yezhou LI[3]

Abstract Let $f : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{P}^n$ be a holomorphic curve of order zero. The authors establish a Jackson difference analogue of Cartan's second main theorem for the Jackson q-Casorati determinant and introduce a truncated second main theorem of Jackson difference operator for holomorphic curves. In addition, a Jackson difference Mason's theorem is proved by using a Jackson difference radical of a polynomial. Furthermore, they extend the Mason's theorem for $m+1$ polynomials. Some examples are constructed to show that their results are accurate.

Keywords Jackson difference operator, Nevanlinna theory, Holomorphic curve, Cartan's second main theorem, Mason's theorem, Polynomial
2000 MR Subject Classification 32H30, 30D35, 30C10

1 Introduction

In the 1980s, an intensive and somewhat surprising interest in the subject reappeared in many areas of mathematics and applications including mainly new difference calculus, orthogonal polynomials and variational q-calculus (see [10]). In 1908, Jackson investigated the Jackson difference operator (or called q-derivative) and studied the q-difference equations. These polynomials, the Jackson difference operator and related topics have found numerous applications, such as q-hypergeometric series (see [10]), boundary value problems of q-difference equations (see [24]).

Recently, there has been a renewed interest in the difference analogues and Jackson difference operator. In 2006, Halburd and Korhonen [12] introduced the c-difference operator: $\Delta_c f = f(z+c) - f(z)$, and got the c-difference analogue of the second main theorem for meromorphic functions in the complex plane. In 2014, Korhonen and Tohge [13] extended the results of c-difference analogue to holomorphic curves intersecting hyperplanes in general position. Meanwhile, many scholars investigated the value distribution theory of q-difference analogue in several complex variables (see [5–7]). For the Jackson difference operator, Li and

Manuscript received February 28, 2021. Revised December 31, 2021.
[1]School of Science, Beijing University of Posts and Telecommunications, Beijing 100876, China. E-mail: hxdai@bupt.edu.cn
[2]Department of Mathematics, Nanchang University, Nanchang, Jiangxi 330031, China. E-mail: tbcao@ncu.edu.cn
[3]Corresponding author. School of Science, Beijing University of Posts and Telecommunications, Beijing 100876, China. E-mail: yezhouli2019@outlook.com
*This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 12071047, 11871260) and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (No. 500421126).

Song [18] considered the functional and dynamical properties. Cao, Dai and Wang [4] studied the Nevanlinna theory of Jackson difference operator and the solutions of q-difference equations. In this paper, we study the Cartan's second main theorem of Jackson difference operator and a Jackson difference analogue of Mason's theorem (see [14, 21]).

This paper is organised as follows. In Section 2 we will give some basic notations of Cartan characteristic function and Jackson difference operator theory. Section 3 contains a Jackson difference analogue of the second main theorem for holomorphic curves. Here we introduce a Jackson difference counterpart of the radical, and use it to prove a truncated version of the Cartan's second main theorem for Jackson difference operator. In Section 4, we state a Jackson difference analogue of Mason's theorem and extend it for $m+1$ polynomials. In addition, the sharpness of the obtained results is discussed with the help of examples.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 First we recall some known properties of the Cartan characteristic function from [8, 11, 13, 17, 20]. The order of growth of a holomorphic curve $g : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{P}^n$ with homogeneous coordinates $g = [g_0 : \cdots : g_n]$ is defined by

$$\sigma(g) = \limsup_{r\to\infty} \frac{\log^+ T_g(r)}{\log r}, \tag{2.1}$$

where $\log^+ x = \max\{0, \log x\}$ for all $x \geq 0$. If the functions g_j $(j = 0, \cdots, n)$ are entire functions without common zeros, then $g = [g_0 : \cdots : g_n]$ with $n \geq 1$ is called the reduced representation of g. The Cartan characteristic function of g is

$$T_g(r) := \int_0^{2\pi} u(re^{i\theta})\frac{d\theta}{2\pi} - u(0), \quad u(z) = \sup_{j\in\{0,1,\cdots,n\}} \log|g_j(z)|.$$

Moreover, if $f_0, \cdots, f_q$ are linear combinations of $g_0, \cdots, g_n$, and satisfy that any $n+1$ of the functions $f_0, \cdots, f_q$ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{C}$, $q > n$, then

$$T\Big(r, \frac{f_\mu}{f_\nu}\Big) \leq T_g(r) + \mathrm{O}(1), \quad r \to \infty, \tag{2.2}$$

where μ and ν are distinct integers in the set $\{0, \cdots, q\}$. Especially, if $n = 1$, then (2.2) becomes an asymptotic identity. For more detailed concepts of Nevanlinna theory and Cartan's value distribution theory, we can refer to [9, 13, 17, 20, 23].

2.2 The Jackson difference operator

$$D_q f(z) = \frac{f(qz) - f(z)}{qz - z}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C},\ 0 < |q| < 1 \tag{2.3}$$

was initially investigated by Jackson [15–16] in 1908. In [4], Cao, Dai and Wang studied the Nevanlinna theory of Jackson difference operator on the complex plane. For a positive integer $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the Jackson kth-order difference operator is denoted by

$$D_q^0 f(z) := f(z), \quad D_q^k f(z) := D_q(D_q^{k-1} f(z)),$$

it follows from the equality [1, page 13],

$$D_q^k f(z) = (q-1)^{-k} z^{-k} q^{\frac{-k(k-1)}{2}} \sum_{j=0}^{k} (-1)^j \begin{bmatrix} k \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q q^{\frac{j(j-1)}{2}} f(q^{k-j} z), \tag{2.4}$$

where

$$\begin{bmatrix} k \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q = \frac{(q;q)_k}{(q;q)_j (q;q)_{k-j}}, \quad (a;q)_0 = 1$$

and

$$(a;q)_k = (1-a)(1-aq)(1-aq^2)\cdots(1-aq^{k-1}), \quad k \in \mathbb{N},\ a \in \mathbb{C}.$$

In addition, we can find more notations about Jackson difference operator in [4].

Let $f(z)$ be a non-constant meromorphic function of zero order and $q \in \mathbb{C}\backslash\{0,1\}$. The q-difference operator $\Delta_q f(z) := f(qz) - f(z)$ is defined by Barnett [2]. Thus by the definition of Jackson difference operator, we can easily show that

$$D_q f(z) = \frac{\Delta_q f(z)}{qz - z}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

The Wronskian determinant is indispensable in the proof of the Cartan's generalization of the main second theorem. In [13], a q-difference analogue of Cartan's result where the ramification term has been replaced by a quantity was expressed in terms of the q-Casorati determinant of functions which are linearly independent over a field. The q-Casorati determinant (see [13]) is defined by

$$C_q(g_0, \cdots, g_n) = \begin{vmatrix} g_0(z) & g_1(z) & \cdots & g_n(z) \\ g_0(qz) & g_1(qz) & \cdots & g_n(qz) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ g_0(q^n z) & g_1(q^n z) & \cdots & g_n(q^n z) \end{vmatrix}.$$

Similarly, we denote by

$$C_J(g_0, \cdots, g_n) = \begin{vmatrix} g_0 & g_1 & \cdots & g_n \\ D_q g_0 & D_q g_1 & \cdots & D_q g_n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ D_q^n g_0 & D_q^n g_1 & \cdots & D_q^n g_n \end{vmatrix}$$

the Jackson q-Casorati determinant of $g_0, \cdots, g_n$.

In fact, we can get the relationship between $\mathbb{C}_q(g_0, g_1, \cdots, g_n)$ and $\mathbb{C}_J(g_0, g_1, \cdots, g_n)$:

$$C_q(f_0, \cdots, f_n) = C_J(f_0, \cdots, f_n) \cdot B,$$

where

$$B = z^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} \cdot (q-1)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} \cdot q^{\frac{n(n-1)(n+1)}{6}}.$$

We will give it a concrete proof in Lemma 3.1.

2.3 In order to obtain a truncated version of the second main theorem for Jackson difference operator, we introduce the following definitions.

As in [9, 14], denote by $\mathrm{ord}_\zeta(f) = \mu \in \mathbb{Z}$ the order of a meromorphic function f at $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$ if

$$\lim_{z\to\zeta} \frac{f(z)}{(z-\zeta)^\mu} \in \mathbb{C}\backslash\{0\}.$$

As we all know, $\mathrm{ord}_\zeta(f) > 0$ implies that f has a zero of order $\mathrm{ord}_\zeta(f) > 0$ at ζ, and $\mathrm{ord}_\zeta(f) < 0$ implies that f has a pole of order $-\mathrm{ord}_\zeta(f)$ at ζ. We also adopt the notation $\mathrm{ord}^+_\zeta(f) = \max\{0, \mathrm{ord}_\zeta(f)\}$ and $\mathrm{ord}^-_\zeta(f) = \max\{0, -\mathrm{ord}_\zeta(f)\}$. For the closed disc $\overline{D}(z_0, s) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z - z_0| \le s\}$, we define

$$\widetilde{n}^{[n]}_J\Big(r, \frac{1}{f}\Big) = \sum_{\omega\in\overline{D}(0,r)} \Big(\mathrm{ord}^+_\omega(f) - \min\{\mathrm{ord}^+_\omega(f), \mathrm{ord}^+_\omega(D_q(f)), \cdots, \mathrm{ord}^+_\omega(D^n_q(f))\}\Big)$$

as a Jackson difference analogue of the truncated counting function for the zeros of f. The corresponding integrated counting function is defined as

$$\widetilde{N}^{[n]}_J\big(r, \frac{1}{f}\big) = \int_0^r \frac{\widetilde{n}^{[n]}_J\big(t, \frac{1}{f}\big) - \widetilde{n}^{[n]}_J\big(0, \frac{1}{f}\big)}{t}\mathrm{d}t + \widetilde{n}^{[n]}_J\Big(0, \frac{1}{f}\Big)\log r. \tag{2.5}$$

where $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $q \in \mathbb{C}\backslash\{0, 1\}$.

3 Jackson Difference Analogue of Cartan's Second Main Theorem

Before describing Theorem 3.1, we give a few other remarks. Let f be a non-constant zero-order meromorphic function, and $q \in \mathbb{C}\backslash\{0, 1\}$. We denote by $\mathcal{P}^0_q$ the field of meromorphic functions which have forward invariant preimages under f with respect to the rescaling $\tau(z) = qz$, that is $f(qz) \equiv f(z)$. In particular, if $|q| < 1$, then for any $f \in \mathcal{P}^0_q$, f is a constant. Besides, we set meromorphic function

$$L = \frac{f_0 \cdot D_q f_1 \cdot D^2_q f_2 \cdots D^n_q f_n \cdot f_{n+1} \cdots f_p}{C_J(g_0, g_1, \cdots, g_n)}, \quad q \in \mathbb{C}\backslash\{0, 1\}. \tag{3.1}$$

We are now ready to state the Jackson difference analogue of Cartan's second main theorem.

Theorem 3.1 *Let $g = [g_0 : \cdots : g_n] : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{P}^n$ be a holomorphic curve with $\sigma(g) = 0$, $g_0, \cdots, g_n$ $(n \ge 1)$ are entire functions without common zeros, linearly independent over $\mathcal{P}^0_q$, and $z \in \mathbb{C}\backslash\{0\}$. Suppose $f_0, \cdots, f_p$ are linear combinations of the functions $g_0, \cdots, g_n$ $(p > n)$, such that any $n+1$ of the functions $f_0, \cdots, f_p$ are linearly independent. L satisfies* (3.1)*, then*

$$(p-n)T_g(r) \le N\Big(r, \frac{1}{L}\Big) - N(r, L) - \frac{n(n+1)}{2}\log r + o(T_g(r)) + S(r, f),$$

where $S(r, f) = o(T(r, f_j))$, $j = 0, \cdots, p$ and r approaches infinity on a set of logarithmic density 1.

To prove Theorem 3.1, it suffices to introduce some lemmas. The first lemma is that the relationship between $\mathbb{C}_q(g_0, g_1, \cdots, g_n)$ and $\mathbb{C}_J(g_0, g_1, \cdots, g_n)$.

Lemma 3.1 *Let $g_0, \cdots, g_n$ be entire functions, $n > 1$, $q \in \mathbb{C}\backslash\{0,1\}$. Then*

$$C_q(g_0, \cdots, g_n) = C_J(g_0, \cdots, g_n) \cdot B,$$

where

$$B = (qz - z)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} \cdot q^{\frac{n(n-1)(n+1)}{6}}.$$

Proof It follows from the definition of $\mathbb{C}_J(g_0, g_1, \cdots, g_n)$ and $D_q^k g_k$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
&C_J(g_0, \cdots, g_n)\\
&= \begin{vmatrix} g_0(z) & g_1(z) & \cdots & g_n(z) \\ D_q g_0(z) & D_q g_1(z) & \cdots & D_q g_n(z) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ D_q^n g_0(z) & D_q^n g_1(z) & \cdots & D_q^n g_n(z) \end{vmatrix}\\
&= B_1 \cdot \begin{vmatrix} g_0(z) & \cdots & g_n(z) \\ \sum\limits_{j=0}^{1} (-1)^j q^{\frac{j(j-1)}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q g_0(q^{1-j}z) & \cdots & \sum\limits_{j=0}^{1} (-1)^j q^{\frac{j(j-1)}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q g_n(q^{1-j}z) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \sum\limits_{j=0}^{n} (-1)^j q^{\frac{j(j-1)}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q g_0(q^{n-j}z) & \cdots & \sum\limits_{j=0}^{n} (-1)^j q^{\frac{j(j-1)}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q g_n(q^{n-j}z) \end{vmatrix}\\
&= B_1 \cdot \begin{vmatrix} g_0(z) & g_1(z) & \cdots & g_n(z) \\ g_0(qz) & g_1(qz) & \cdots & g_n(qz) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ g_0(q^n z) & g_1(q^n z) & \cdots & g_n(q^n z) \end{vmatrix},
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
B_1 = \prod_{k=0}^{n} \frac{1}{(q-1)^k z^k q^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}}} &= \frac{1}{(qz - z)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} \cdot q^{\sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{k(k-1)}{2}}}\\
&= \frac{1}{(qz - z)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} \cdot q^{\frac{n(n-1)(n+1)}{6}}},
\end{aligned}$$

set $B = \frac{1}{B_1}$, we obtain $C_q(g_0, \cdots, g_n) = C_J(g_0, \cdots, g_n) \cdot B$.

For the classical Nevanlinna theory, we have the fact that entire functions $f_0, \cdots, f_n$ are linearly dependent over $\mathbb{C}$ if and only if the Wronskian $W(f_0, \cdots, f_n)$ vanishes identically. Let $c \in \mathbb{C}$, and let $\mathcal{P}_c^1$ be the field of period c meromorphic functions defined in $\mathbb{C}$ of hyper-order strictly less than one. In general, we know (see [13]) that entire functions $f_0, \cdots, f_n$ are linearly dependent over the field $\mathcal{P}_c^1$ if and only if the Casorati determinant of $C(f_0, \cdots, f_n)$ vanishes identically. Here we introduce the analogue of these results for the case of Jackson difference operator.

Lemma 3.2 *Let the holomorphic curve $g = [g_0 : \cdots : g_n] : \mathbb{C} \to P^n$ satisfy $\sigma(g) = 0$ and $q \in \mathbb{C}\backslash\{0,1\}$. Then $C_J(g_0, \cdots, g_n) \equiv 0$ if and only if the entire functions $g_0, \cdots, g_n$ are linearly dependent over the field $\mathcal{P}_q^0$.*

Proof Suppose that $g_0, \cdots, g_n$ are linearly dependent over $\mathcal{P}_q^0$. Then there exist $A_0, \cdots, A_n \in \mathcal{P}_q^0$ which are not all identically zero and satisfy $A_0 g_0 + \cdots + A_n g_n = 0$. This clearly implies that

$$\begin{cases} A_0 g_0 + \cdots + A_n g_n = 0, \\ A_0 D_q g_0 + \cdots + A_n D_q g_n = 0, \\ \qquad\qquad \vdots \\ A_0 D_q^n g_0 + \cdots + A_n D_q^n g_n = 0. \end{cases} \tag{3.2}$$

The determinant of the coefficient matrix corresponding to system (3.2) is the Jackson q-Casoratian determinant $C_J(g_0, \cdots, g_n)$. We notice that (3.2) has a nontrivial solution, hence $C_J(g_0, \cdots, g_n) \equiv 0$.

On the other hand, we will continue to prove it by induction. For $n = 1$, we assume that $C_J(g_0, g_1) \equiv 0$, and consider

$$\begin{cases} A_0 g_0 + A_1 g_1 = 0, \\ A_0 D_q g_0 + A_1 D_q g_1 = 0, \end{cases} \tag{3.3}$$

where A_0, A_1 are meromorphic functions. Furthermore, (3.3) is equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} A_0 g_0 + A_1 g_1 = 0, \\ A_0 \Delta_q g_0 + A_1 \Delta_q g_1 = 0, \end{cases} \tag{3.4}$$

and we have

$$\begin{cases} A_0 g_0 + A_1 g_1 = 0, \\ A_1 C_q(g_0, g_1) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Since $C_J(g_0, g_1) = 0$, by Lemma 3.1 we get $C_q(g_0, g_1) = 0$, it follows that $A_0 = \frac{g_1}{g_0}$ and $A_1 = -1$ is a nontrivial solution of (3.3). Moreover, the usual order of A_0 satisfies $\sigma(A_0) = \sigma(\frac{g_1}{g_0}) \leq \sigma(g) = 0$ by (2.2). It is clear that $A_1 \in \mathcal{P}_q^0$. To complete the proof in the case $n = 1$, we just need to show that $A_0 \in \mathcal{P}_q^0$. From (3.4),

$$g_0(qz) \cdot \triangle_q A_0 = 0,$$

which implies that $\triangle_q A_0 = 0$. Then $A_0 \in \mathcal{P}_q^0$.

For all $j \in \{1, \cdots, k-1\}$ and $k \leq n$, suppose $C_J(g_0, \cdots, g_j) \equiv 0$, then $g_0, \cdots, g_j$ are linearly dependent over $\mathcal{P}_q^0$. We will prove that $g_0, \cdots, g_k$ are linearly dependent when $C_J(g_0, \cdots, g_k) \equiv 0$ over $\mathcal{P}_q^0$. We consider the linear system

$$\begin{cases} A_0 g_0 + \cdots + A_k g_k = 0, \\ A_0 D_q g_0 + \cdots + A_k D_q g_k = 0, \\ \qquad\qquad \vdots \\ A_0 D_q^k g_0 + \cdots + A_k D_q^k g_k = 0, \end{cases} \tag{3.5}$$

where $A_0, \cdots, A_k$ are meromorphic functions. According to (2.3) and (2.4) we have

$$D_q^k g_i(z) = (q-1)^{-k} z^{-k} q^{-\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} \sum_{j=0}^{k} (-1)^j \begin{bmatrix} k \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q q^{\frac{j(j-1)}{2}} g_i(q^{k-j} z),$$

where $i = 0, \cdots, k$. Therefore

$$A_0 D_q^m g_0(z) + A_1 D_q^m g_1(z) + \cdots + A_k D_q^m g_k(z) = 0, \quad m = 1, \cdots, k$$

implies

$$A_0 g_0(q^m z) + A_1 g_1(q^m z) + \cdots + A_k g_k(q^m z) = 0, \quad m = 1, \cdots, k.$$

So the linear system (3.5) is equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} A_0 g_0 + \cdots + A_k g_k = 0, \\ A_0 g_0(qz) + \cdots + A_k g_k(qz) = 0, \\ \qquad\qquad \vdots \\ A_0 g_0(q^k z) + \cdots + A_k g_k(q^k z) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Then following the same method in [13, Lemma 3.2], we can get $g_0, \cdots, g_k$ are linearly dependent over $\mathcal{P}_q^0$.

Lemma 3.3 (see [2]) *Let f be a non-constant zero-order meromorphic function, and $q \in \mathbb{C}\backslash\{0\}$. Then*

$$m\Big(r, \frac{f(qz)}{f(z)}\Big) = o(T(r, f))$$

on a set of logarithmic density 1.

Lemma 3.4 (see [4]) *Let f be a nonconstant meromorphic function with zero order and $q \in \mathbb{C}\backslash\{0\}$. Then*

$$m\Big(r, \frac{D_q^k f(z)}{f(z)}\Big) = o(T(r, f))$$

on a set of logarithmic density 1.

Lemma 3.5 (see [8]) *Let $n \geq 1$, $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and let $g_0, \cdots, g_n$ be linearly independent entire functions such that $\max\{|g_0(z)|, \cdots, |g_n(z)|\} > 0$ for each $z \in \mathbb{C}$. If $f_0, \cdots, f_p$ are $p+1$ linear combinations of the $n+1$ functions $g_0, \cdots, g_n$, where $p > n$, such that any $n+1$ of the $p+1$ functions $f_0, \cdots, f_p$ are linearly independent, then there exists a positive constant A that does not depend on z, such that*

$$|g_j(z)| \leq A|f_{m_v}(z)|,$$

where $0 \leq j \leq n$, $0 \leq \nu \leq p-n$ and the integers $m_0, \cdots, m_p$ are chosen so that

$$|f_{m_0}(z)| \geq |f_{m_1}(z)| \geq \cdots \geq |f_{m_p}(z)|.$$

In particular, there exist at least $p-n+1$ functions f_j that do not vanish at z.

Proof of Theorem 3.1 We follow the reasoning behind the original Cartan's second main theorem (see [11]) and the difference analogue of Cantan's second main theorem (see [13]). Because the functions $g_0, \cdots, g_n$ are linearly independent over $\mathcal{P}_q^0$, by Lemma 3.2 we have

$C_J(g_0,\cdots,g_n)\not\equiv 0$ and the function L given by (3.1) is well defined. The functions $g_0,\cdots,g_n$ are also linearly independent over $\mathbb{C}$ (since $\mathbb{C}\subset\mathcal{P}_q^0$), thus by Lemma 3.5 the auxiliary function

$$\upsilon(z)=\max_{\{a_j\}_{j=0}^{p-n-1}\subset\{0,\cdots,p\}}\log|f_{a_0}(z)\cdots f_{a_{p-n-1}}(z)| \tag{3.6}$$

gives a finite real number for all $z\in\mathbb{C}$. Let $\{a_0,\cdots,a_{p-n-1}\}\subset\{0,\cdots,p\}$, and $\{b_0,\cdots,b_n\}=\{0,\cdots,p\}\backslash\{a_0,\cdots,a_{p-n-1}\}$. Similarly, for $n+1$ linearly independent functions $f_{b_0},\cdots,f_{b_n}$, we get $C_J(f_{b_0},\cdots,f_{b_n})\not\equiv 0$ and

$$\begin{pmatrix} f_{b_0} & \cdots & f_{b_n}\\ D_qf_{b_0} & \cdots & D_qf_{b_n}\\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots\\ D_q^nf_{b_0} & \cdots & D_q^nf_{b_n}\end{pmatrix}=\begin{pmatrix} g_0 & \cdots & g_n\\ D_qg_0 & \cdots & D_qg_n\\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots\\ D_q^ng_0 & \cdots & D_q^ng_n\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} \pi_{00} & \cdots & \pi_{0n}\\ \pi_{10} & \cdots & \pi_{1n}\\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots\\ \pi_{n0} & \cdots & \pi_{nn}\end{pmatrix},$$

where $\pi_{jm}\in\mathbb{C}$ for all $j,m=0,\cdots,n$. Therefore,

$$C_J(g_0,\cdots,g_n)=A(b_0,\cdots,b_n)C_J(f_{b0},\cdots,f_{bn}), \tag{3.7}$$

where $A(b_0,\cdots,b_n)=:A_b\in\mathbb{C}\backslash\{0\}$. By Lemma 3.1 and (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
L&=\frac{f_0\cdot D_qf_1\cdot D_q^2f_2\cdots D_q^nf_n\cdot f_{n+1}\cdots f_p}{C_J(g_0,\cdots,g_n)}\\
&=\frac{f_0\cdot D_qf_1\cdot D_q^2f_2\cdots D_q^nf_n\cdot f_{n+1}\cdots f_p}{A_bC_J(f_{b_0},f_{b_1},\cdots,f_{b_n})}\\
&=\frac{f_0f_1\cdots f_p\big(\frac{D_qf_1}{f_1}\big)\big(\frac{D_q^2f_2}{f_2}\big)\cdots\big(\frac{D_q^nf_n}{f_n}\big)}{A_bC_J(f_{b_0},\cdots,f_{b_n})}\\
&=\frac{M}{A_bC_J(f_{b_0},\cdots,f_{b_n})}\\
&=\frac{M\cdot B}{A_bC_q(f_{b_0},\cdots,f_{b_n})}\\
&=\frac{f_{a_0}\cdots f_{a_{p-n-1}}\big(\frac{D_qf_1}{f_1}\big)\cdot\big(\frac{f_{b_1}}{D_qf_{b_1}}\big)\cdots\big(\frac{D_q^nf_n}{f_n}\big)\cdot\big(\frac{f_{b_n}}{D_q^nf_{b_n}}\big)\cdot B}{\Big(\frac{A_bf_0(z)\cdot f_0(qz)\cdots f_0(q^nz)C_q\big(\frac{f_{b0}}{f_0},\frac{f_{b1}}{f_0},\cdots,\frac{f_{bn}}{f_0}\big)}{f_{b_0}(D_qf_{b_1})\cdots(D_q^nf_{b_n})}\Big)}\\
&=\frac{f_{a_0}\cdots f_{a_{q-n-1}}\big(\frac{D_qf_1}{f_1}\big)\big(\frac{f_{b_1}}{D_qf_{b_1}}\big)\cdots\big(\frac{D_q^nf_n}{f_n}\big)\big(\frac{f_{b_n}}{D_q^nf_{b_n}}\big)\cdot B}{\Big(\frac{A_bC_q\big(\frac{f_{b_0}}{f_0},\frac{f_{b_1}}{f_0},\cdots,\frac{f_{b_n}}{f_0}\big)}{(f_{b_0}/f_0)\cdot\big(\frac{D_qf_{b_1}}{f_0(qz)}\big)\cdots\big(\frac{D_q^nf_{b_n}}{f_0(q^nz)}\big)}\Big)},
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$M=f_{b_0}(D_qf_{b_1})\cdots(D_q^nf_{b_n})\cdot f_{a_0}\cdots f_{a_{p-n-1}}\Big(\frac{D_qf_1}{f_1}\Big)\cdots\Big(\frac{D_q^nf_n}{f_n}\Big)\cdot\Big(\frac{f_{b_1}}{D_qf_{b_1}}\Big)\cdots\Big(\frac{f_{b_n}}{D_q^nf_{b_n}}\Big).$$

Therefore

$$L=\frac{f_{a_0}\cdots f_{a_{p-n-1}}}{A_bG},$$

where

$$G=\frac{G_1}{B},$$

$$G_1 = \frac{\Big(\frac{C_q\left(\frac{f_{b_0}}{f_0},\frac{f_{b_1}}{f_0},\cdots,\frac{f_{b_n}}{f_0}\right)}{(f_{b_0}/f_0)\cdot\left(D_q\frac{f_{b_1}}{f_0}(qz)\right)\cdots\left(D_q^n\frac{f_{b_n}}{f_0}(q^nz)\right)}\Big)\left(\frac{D_qf_{b_1}}{f_{b_1}}\right)\cdots\left(\frac{D_qf_{b_n}}{f_{b_n}}\right)}{\left(\frac{D_qf_1}{f_1}\right)\cdots\left(\frac{D_qf_n}{f_n}\right)}. \tag{3.8}$$

Set

$$\omega(z) = \max_{\{b_j\}_{j=0}^n\subset\{0,\cdots,p\}} \log|A_bG(z)|,$$

it follows that

$$\int_0^{2\pi}\nu(re^{i\theta})d\theta = \int_0^{2\pi}\log|L(re^{i\theta})|d\theta + \int_0^{2\pi}\omega(re^{i\theta})d\theta. \tag{3.9}$$

Suppose $\{c_0,\cdots,c_{p-n-1}\}$ is the set of indexes that make (3.6) have the maximum value for a specific $z\in\mathbb{C}$. Then by Lemma 3.5, it is not difficult to see the inequality

$$\log|g_j(z)| \le \log|f_{c_\upsilon}(z)| + \log A$$

holds for all $0\le j\le n$ and $0\le\upsilon\le p-n-1$, and

$$(p-n)T_g(r) \le \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}\upsilon(re^{i\theta})d\theta + O(1), \quad r\to\infty. \tag{3.10}$$

Since the function G_1 in (3.8) consists purely of sums, products and quotients of fractions of the form $\frac{\left(\frac{f_j(q^lz)}{f_k(q^lz)}\right)}{\frac{f_j}{f_k}}$, $D_q^n\frac{f_j}{f_j}$ and $\frac{f_j(q^lz)}{f_j(z)}$, where $l\in\{1,\cdots,n\}$, $j,k\in\{0,\cdots,p\}$. We deduce from Lemmas 3.3–3.4 that

$$\omega = \max_{\{b_j\}_{j=0}^n\subset\{0,\cdots,p\}}\Big\{\log|G_1| - \frac{n(n+1)}{2}\log r + O(1)\Big\},$$

$$\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}\omega(re^{i\theta})d\theta \le \sum_{j=0}^p\sum_{k=0}^p o\Big(T\Big(r,\frac{f_j}{f_k}\Big)\Big) - \frac{n(n+1)}{2}\log r + o(T(r,f_j)) \tag{3.11}$$

as r approaches infinity on a set of logarithmic density 1. It yields from (3.11) and (2.2) that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}\omega(re^{i\theta})d\theta = o(T_g(r)) - \frac{n(n+1)}{2}\log r + o(T(r,f_j)) \tag{3.12}$$

as r tends to infinity on a set of logarithmic density 1.

Finally, by Jensen's formula

$$\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}\log|L(re^{i\theta})|d\theta = N\Big(r,\frac{1}{L}\Big) - N(r,L) + O(1) \tag{3.13}$$

as $r\to\infty$. Combining (3.9), (3.10), (3.12) and (3.13), it yields out

$$(p-n)T_g(r) \le N\Big(r,\frac{1}{L}\Big) - N(r,L) + o(T_g(r)) - \frac{n(n+1)}{2}\log r + S(r,f),$$

where $S(r,f) = o(T(r,f_j))$, $j=0,\cdots,p$.

Furthermore, we obtain the following result about a truncated second main theorem of Jackson difference operator for holomorphic curve.

Theorem 3.2 *Let $g_0, \cdots, g_n$ $(n \geq 1)$ be entire functions with no common zeros, linearly independent over $\mathcal{P}_q^0$, and $g_{n+1} = g_0 + \cdots + g_n$. If the holomorphic curve $g = [g_0 : \cdots : g_n] : \mathbb{C} \to P^n$ satisfies $\sigma(g) = 0$, then*

$$T_g(r) \leq \sum_{j=0}^{n+1} \widetilde{N}_J^{[n]}\Big(r, \frac{1}{g_j}\Big) + o(T_g(r)), \quad q \in \mathbb{C}\backslash\{0,1\} \tag{3.14}$$

as $r \to \infty$ on a set of logarithmic density 1.

Proof We define

$$L = \frac{g_0 \cdot D_q g_1 \cdots D_q^n g_n \cdot g_{n+1}}{C_J(g_0 \cdots g_n)},$$

where $C_J(g_0, \cdots, g_n)$ is the Jackson q-Casoratian determinant of $g_0, \cdots, g_n$. Suppose ω is a zero of L, we assert that

$$\mathrm{ord}_\omega^+(L) \leq \sum_{j=0}^{n+1} (\mathrm{ord}_\omega^+(g_j) - \min_{i\in\{0,\cdots,n\}} \{\mathrm{ord}_\omega^+(D_q^{\mathrm{i}} g_j)\}). \tag{3.15}$$

Since $n \geq 1$, $g_0, \cdots, g_n$ are linearly independent over $\mathcal{P}_q^0$ with no common zeros, and $g_{n+1} = g_0 + \cdots + g_n$. We have $g_{n+1}(z) \neq 0$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$. To illustrate that (3.15) is correct, we write

$$\frac{1}{L} = \frac{1}{g_{n+1}} \cdot \begin{vmatrix} 1 & \frac{g_1}{D_q g_1} & \cdots & \frac{g_n}{D_q^n g_n} \\ \frac{D_q g_0}{g_0} & 1 & \cdots & \frac{D_q g_n}{D_q^n g_n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{D_q^n g_0}{g_0} & \frac{D_q^n g_1}{D_q g_1} & \cdots & 1 \end{vmatrix}.$$

Note that the zeros of L are the poles of some of $\frac{D_q^{\mathrm{i}} g_0(z)}{g_0(z)}$, $\frac{D_q^{\mathrm{i}} g_1(z)}{D_q g_1(z)}, \cdots, \frac{D_q^{\mathrm{i}} g_n(z)}{D_q^n g_n(z)}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. The maximal order of poles among the first column in the determinant above is given by

$$\max_{i\in\{0,\cdots,n\}} \{\mathrm{ord}_\omega^+(g_0) - \{\mathrm{ord}_\omega^+(D_q^{\mathrm{i}}(g_0))\}\} = \mathrm{ord}_\omega^+(g_0) - \min_{i\in\{0,\cdots,n\}} \{\mathrm{ord}_\omega^+(D_q^{\mathrm{i}}(g_0))\}.$$

It is worth noting that $\mathrm{ord}_\omega^+(D_q^j(g_p)) \leq \mathrm{ord}_\omega^+(g_p)$ $(j = 2, \cdots, n; p = 1, \cdots, n)$, thus the maximal order of poles among the j column in the determinant above is given by

$$\max_{i\in\{0,\cdots,n\}} \{\mathrm{ord}_\omega^+(D_q^j g_p) - \{\mathrm{ord}_\omega^+(D_q^{\mathrm{i}}(g_p))\}\} \leq \mathrm{ord}_\omega^+(g_p) - \min_{i\in\{0,\cdots,n\}} \{\mathrm{ord}_\omega^+(D_q^{\mathrm{i}}(g_p))\}.$$

Hence for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$, the inequality (3.15) holds and we get

$$n\Big(r, \frac{1}{L}\Big) \leq \sum_{j=0}^{n+1} \widetilde{n}_J^{[n]}\Big(r, \frac{1}{g_j}\Big). \tag{3.16}$$

Then by applying Theorem 3.1 and integrating (3.16), we obtain (3.14).

4 Mason's Theorem with a Jackson Difference Radical

Mason's theorem is a counterpart of the *abc* conjecture in number theory. We state it here as follows.

Mason's Theorem (see [14, 19, 24]) If relatively prime polynomials a, b and c, not all identically zero, satisfy

$$a+b=c,$$

then $\deg c \leq \deg \operatorname{rad}(abc)-1$, where the radical $\operatorname{rad}(abc)$ is the product of distinct linear factors of abc.

In [14], we know that the Fermat's last theorem for polynomials is an elementary application of Mason's theorem. For any polynomial $p(z)\in\mathbb{C}[z]$, the usual radical $\operatorname{rad} p(z)$ (see [3, 14]) is defined by

$$\operatorname{rad} p(z)=\prod_{\omega\in\mathbb{C}}(z-\omega)^{d(\omega)},$$

where

$$d(\omega)=\operatorname{ord}_\omega(p)-\min\{\operatorname{ord}_\omega(p),\operatorname{ord}_\omega(p')\}\in\{0,1\}.$$

Similarly, we define the q-difference radical $\operatorname{rad}_q(p)$ and the Jackson difference radical $\operatorname{rad}_J(p)$ of the polynomial $p(z)$.

Definition 4.1 *Let $q\in\mathbb{C}\backslash\{0,1\}$, we define the q-difference radical $rad_q(p)$ and the Jackson difference radical* $\operatorname{rad}_J(p)$ *of the polynomial $p(z)$ as*

$$\operatorname{rad}_q(p(z))=\prod_{\omega\in\mathbb{C}}(z-\omega)^{d_q(\omega)},$$
$$\operatorname{rad}_J(p(z))=\prod_{\omega\in\mathbb{C}}(z-\omega)^{d_J(\omega)},$$

where

$$d_q(\omega)=\operatorname{ord}_\omega(p)-\min\{\operatorname{ord}_\omega(p),\operatorname{ord}_\omega(p(qz))\},$$
$$d_J(\omega)=\operatorname{ord}_\omega(p)-\min\{\operatorname{ord}_\omega(p),\operatorname{ord}_\omega(D_q(p))\}$$

with $\operatorname{ord}_\omega(p)\geq 0$ *being the order of zero of the polynomial $p(z)$ at $\omega\in\mathbb{C}$.*

Definition 4.2 *We denote $\widetilde{n}_q(p)=\deg\operatorname{rad}_q(p)$ and $\widetilde{n}_J(p)=\deg\operatorname{rad}_J(p)$, more precisely,*

$$\widetilde{n}_q(p)=\sum_{\omega\in\mathbb{C}}(\operatorname{ord}_\omega(p)-\min\{\operatorname{ord}_\omega(p),\operatorname{ord}_\omega(p(qz))\}),$$
$$\widetilde{n}_J(p)=\sum_{\omega\in\mathbb{C}}(\operatorname{ord}_\omega(p)-\min\{\operatorname{ord}_\omega(p),\operatorname{ord}_\omega(D_q(p))\}). \tag{4.1}$$

Remark 4.1 In fact, we obtain the following properties for $\widetilde{n}_J(p)$:

(1) $\widetilde{n}_J(p)\leq\deg p$ for any $p(z)\in\mathbb{C}[z]$;

(2) $\widetilde{n}_J(p^m)=m\cdot\widetilde{n}_J(p)$ for any $p(z)\in\mathbb{C}[z]$ and $m\in\mathbb{N}$;

(3)

$$\widetilde{n}_J(ph) \leq \begin{cases} \widetilde{n}_J(p) + \widetilde{n}_J(h), & 0 \notin (ph)^{-1}(0), \\ \widetilde{n}_J(p) + \widetilde{n}_J(h) + 1, & 0 \in (ph)^{-1}(0) \end{cases}$$

for any $p(z), h(z) \in C[z]$, where the equality holds exactly when both $p(z)$ and $p(qz)$, $p(z)$ and $h(qz)$, $h(z)$ and $p(qz)$, as well as $h(z)$ and $h(qz)$ are relatively prime.

As we all know, for any $a_i(z) \in \mathbb{C}[z]$, the differential operator $\overline{D} = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}z}$ satisfies

$$\deg(\overline{D}^j a_i(z)) = \deg a_i(z) - j, \quad 0 < j < \deg a_i(z).$$

To better interpret the proof of Theorem 4.2, we need to introduce some notations.

Definition 4.3 *The corresponding q-difference radical and Jackson difference radical of truncation level m is defined by*

$$\mathrm{rad}_q^{[m]}(a_i(z)) = \frac{a_i(z)}{\gcd\{a_i(z), \Delta_q a_i(z), \Delta_q^2 a_i(z), \cdots, \Delta_q^m a_i(z)\}},$$
$$\mathrm{rad}_J^{[m]}(a_i(z)) = \frac{a_i(z)}{\gcd\{a_i(z), D_q a_i(z), D_q^2 a_i(z), \cdots, D_q^m a_i(z)\}}.$$

Remark 4.2 When $0 \notin \{a_i(z)^{-1}(0)\}$, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{rad}_J^{[m]}(a_i(z)) &= \frac{a_i(z)}{\gcd\{a_i(z), \Delta_q a_i(z), \Delta_q^2 a_i(z), \cdots, \Delta_q^m a_i(z)\}} \\ &= \mathrm{rad}_q^{[m]}(a_i(z)). \\ \widetilde{n}_J^{[m-1]}(a_i(z)) &= \deg \mathrm{rad}_J^{[m-1]}(a_i(z)) \\ &= \sum_{\omega \in \mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}} (\mathrm{ord}_\omega a_i(z) - \min_{0 \leq j \leq m-1} \{\mathrm{ord}_\omega(D_q^j a_i(z))\}) \\ &= \sum_{\omega \in \mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}} (\mathrm{ord}_\omega a_i(z) - \min_{0 \leq j \leq m-1} \{\mathrm{ord}_\omega(a_i(q^j z))\}) \\ &= \widetilde{n}_q^{[m-1]}(a_i(z)). \end{aligned}$$

Here, we will use the Jackson difference radical $\mathrm{rad}_J(p)$ to prove the Jackson difference analogue of Mason's theorem. Furthermore, we extend the Jackson difference analogue of Mason's theorem for $m+1$ polynomials. We get the following theorems similarly as [14].

Theorem 4.1 *Let a_1, a_2, a_3 be relatively prime polynomials in $\mathbb{C}[z]$ such that*

$$a_1 + a_2 = a_3, \tag{4.2}$$

a_1, a_2, a_3 are not all constant and $0 \notin \{a_i^{-1}(0)\}$, $i = 1, 2, 3$. Then

$$\max\{\deg a_1, \deg a_2, \deg a_3\} \leq \widetilde{n}_J(a_1) + \widetilde{n}_J(a_2) + \widetilde{n}_J(a_3) - 1, \tag{4.3}$$

where $q \in \mathbb{C}\setminus\{0, 1\}$.

Theorem 4.2 *Let $a_1, \cdots, a_{m+1}$ be pairwise relatively prime polynomials in $\mathbb{C}[z]$ such that*

$$a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_m = a_{m+1},$$

where $a_1, \cdots, a_m$ are not all constant and linearly independent over $\mathbb{C}$. In addition, $0 \notin a_i^{-1}(0)$, $i = 1, \cdots, m+1$. Then

$$\max_{1\le i\le m+1}\{\deg a_i\} \le \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} \widetilde{n}_J^{[m-1]}(a_i),$$

where we denote

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{n}_J^{[m-1]}(a_i) &= \widetilde{n}_q^{[m-1]}(a_i)\\ &= \sum_{\omega\in\mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}} (\mathrm{ord}_\omega(a_i) - \min_{0\le j\le m-1}\{\mathrm{ord}_\omega(a_i(q^j z))\})\end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

and $q \in \mathbb{C}\setminus\{0,1\}$.

Lemma 4.1 *Suppose that $p(z) \not\equiv 0$ is a polynomial in $\mathbb{C}[z]$, $q \in \mathbb{C}\setminus\{0,1\}$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}p &= \gcd(p, D_q p)\cdot \mathrm{rad}_J(p),\\ \deg p &= \deg\gcd(p, D_q p) + \widetilde{n}_J(p).\end{aligned}$$

Proof Let $\alpha_i \not\equiv 0$ be the roots of $p(z)$ with multiplicities a_i respectively, and not all of a_i equal to zero, where $i = 1, 2, \cdots, m$, that is

$$p(z) = c(z-\alpha_1)^{a_1}(z-\alpha_2)^{a_2}\cdots(z-\alpha_m)^{a_m},$$

and thus

$$p(qz) = c(qz-\alpha_1)^{a_1}(qz-\alpha_2)^{a_2}\cdots(qz-\alpha_m)^{a_m}, \quad q \in \mathbb{C}\setminus\{0,1\}.$$

We will prove the lemma by distinguishing two cases:

Case 1 If the origin is not the root of $p(z)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\gcd(p, D_q p(z)) &= \gcd(p, p(qz) - p(z)) = \gcd(p, p(qz)),\\ \gcd(p, p(qz)) &= c(z-\alpha_1)^{b_1}\cdots(z-\alpha_m)^{b_m},\end{aligned}$$

where $b_i = \min\{\mathrm{ord}_{\alpha_i} p(z), \mathrm{ord}_{\alpha_i} p(qz)\}$, $i = 1, 2, \cdots, m$.

Since

$$\mathrm{rad}_J(p) = \prod_{\alpha_i\in\mathbb{C}} (z-\alpha_i)^{d_J(\alpha_i)},$$

it leads to

$$\begin{aligned}d_J(\alpha_i) = d_q(\alpha_i) &= \mathrm{ord}_{\alpha_i}(p) - \min\{\mathrm{ord}_{\alpha_i}(p), \mathrm{ord}_{\alpha_i}(p(qz))\}\\ &= a_i - b_i,\end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

hence

$$p(z) = \gcd(p(z), D_q p(z)) \cdot \mathrm{rad}_J(p(z)). \tag{4.6}$$

Case 2 Let the origin be the root of $p(z)$ and the multiple be m, then

$$\begin{aligned} p(z) &= cz^m(z-\alpha_1)^{a_1}(z-\alpha_2)^{a_2}\cdots(z-\alpha_m)^{a_m},\\ p(qz) &= c(qz)^m(qz-\alpha_1)^{a_1}(qz-\alpha_2)^{a_2}\cdots(qz-\alpha_m)^{a_m},\\ \gcd(p, D_q p(qz)) &= cz^{m-1}\cdot(z-\alpha_1)^{b_1}\cdots(z-\alpha_m)^{b_m}, \end{aligned}$$

where $b_i = \min\{\mathrm{ord}_{\alpha_i} p(z), \mathrm{ord}_{\alpha_i} p(qz)\}$, $i = 1, 2, \cdots, m$.

While

$$\mathrm{rad}_J(p) = z \cdot \prod_{\alpha_i \in \mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}} (z-\alpha_i)^{d_J(\alpha_i)},$$

so combine with (4.5) in Case 2 we still have (4.6).

Therefore by Cases 1–2, it gives

$$\begin{aligned} \deg p(z) &= \deg \gcd(p(z), D_q p(z)) + \deg \mathrm{rad}_J(p(z))\\ &= \deg \gcd(p(z), D_q p(z)) + \widetilde{n}_J(p). \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Theorem 4.1 Without loss of generality, we may assume that

$$\max\{\deg a_i\} = \deg a_3, \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

From (4.2) we see that

$$\triangle_q a_1 + \triangle_q a_2 = \triangle_q a_3,$$

Clearly,

$$a_1 \triangle_q a_1 + a_1 \triangle_q a_2 = a_1 \triangle_q a_3, \tag{4.7}$$

$$a_1 \triangle_q a_1 + a_2 \triangle_q a_1 = a_3 \triangle_q a_1. \tag{4.8}$$

By subtracting (4.8) from (4.7), we have

$$a_1 \triangle_q a_2 - a_2 \triangle_q a_1 = a_1 \triangle_q a_3 - a_3 \triangle_q a_1.$$

It is easy to see that $\gcd(a_i, \triangle_q a_i)$ are factors of $a_1 \triangle_q a_2 - b \triangle_q a_1$.

Notice that a_1, a_2, a_3 are relatively prime, it means that $\gcd(a_i, \triangle_q a_i)$ are relatively prime. Therefore,

$$\gcd(a_1, \triangle_q a_1)\gcd(a_2, \triangle_q a_2)\gcd(a_3, \triangle_q a_3)$$

is a factor of $a_1 \triangle_q a_2 - a_2 \triangle_q a_1$. By calculation, we get

$$\deg \gcd(a_1, \triangle_q a_1) + \deg \gcd(a_2, \triangle_q a_2) + \deg \gcd(a_3, \triangle_q a_3) \le \deg a_1 + \deg a_2 - 1. \tag{4.9}$$

We claim that $a_1\triangle_q a_2 - a_2\triangle_q a_1 \neq 0$. Suppose for the contrary that

$$a_1\triangle_q a_2 - a_2\triangle_q a_1 = 0, \tag{4.10}$$

then $a_1\triangle_q a_2 = a_2\triangle_q a$ and a_1 is a factor of $b\triangle_q a_1$. Since a_1 and a_2 are relatively prime polynomials, we get a_1 is a factor of $\triangle_q a_1$. This is only possible if $\triangle_q a_1 = 0$. Similarly, it is easy to deduce $\triangle_q a_2 = 0$ and $\triangle_q a_3 = 0$. Thus, it contradicts the hypothesis of the theorem. Hence (4.9) is valid.

According to

$$\deg \gcd(a_i, \triangle_q a_i) = \deg \gcd(a_i, D_q a_i), \quad i = 1, 2, 3. \tag{4.11}$$

By adding $\deg a_3$ to both sides of (4.9) and reorganizing the term, we obtain

$$\deg a_3 \leq \deg a_1 - \deg \gcd(a_1, D_q a_1) + \deg a_2 - \deg \gcd(a_2, D_q a_2) + \deg a_3 - \deg \gcd(a_3, D_q a_3) - 1.$$

So (4.3) holds by Lemma 4.1. This finishes the proof of Theorem 4.1.

Example 4.1 Set $a_1(z) = \big(\frac{1}{q}z + \alpha\big)(z + \alpha)$, $a_2(z) = -\big(\frac{1}{q}z + \beta\big)(z + \beta)$, $a_3(z) = (\alpha - \beta)\big(\frac{1}{q}z + z + \alpha + \beta\big)$, where $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}\backslash\{0\}$, $\alpha \neq \beta$ and $\alpha + \beta \neq \alpha(1 + q)$. Clearly, a_1, a_2, a_3 are relatively prime polynomials in $\mathbb{C}[z]$ and satisfy $a_1 + a_2 = a_3$. Besides, none of the differences $\triangle_q a_1$, $\triangle_q a_2$, $\triangle_q a_3$ are identically zero. By calculation we get $\max\{\deg a_1, \deg a_2, \deg a_3\} = 2$, $\widetilde{n}_J(a_1) = 1$, $\widetilde{n}_J(a_2) = 1$, $\widetilde{n}_J(a_3) = 1$ and $\widetilde{n}_J(a_1) + \widetilde{n}_J(a_2) + \widetilde{n}_J(a_3) - 1 = 2$. The example shows that the assertion of Theorem 4.1 is sharp.

Example 4.2 Suppose $a_1(z) = \big(\frac{1}{q}z\big)z$, $a_2(z) = -\big(\frac{1}{q}z + \beta\big)(z + \beta)$, $a_3(z) = (-\beta)\big(\frac{1}{q}z + z + \beta\big)$. On account of that a_1, a_2, a_3 are relatively prime polynomials in $\mathbb{C}[z]$, we have $\beta \neq 0$. When $0 \in a_i^{-1}(0), i = 1, 2, 3$, we get $\deg \gcd(a_i, \triangle_q a_i) = \deg \gcd(a_i, D_q a_i) + 1$. Combining the inequality (4.9) yields

$$\deg \gcd(a_1, D_q a_1) + \deg \gcd(a_2, D_q a_2) + \deg \gcd(a_3, D_q a_3) \leq \deg a_1 + \deg a_2 - 2. \tag{4.12}$$

By adding $\deg a_3$ to both sides of (4.12) and reorganizing the term, we have

$$\max\{\deg a_1, \deg a_2, \deg a_3\} \leq \widetilde{n}_J(a_1) + \widetilde{n}_J(a_2) + \widetilde{n}_J(a_3) - 2. \tag{4.13}$$

However, by calculation we get $\max\{\deg a_1, \deg a_2, \deg a_3\} = 2$, $\widetilde{n}_J(a_1) = 1$, $\widetilde{n}_J(a_2) = 1$, $\widetilde{n}_J(a_3) = 1$ and $\widetilde{n}_J(a_1) + \widetilde{n}_J(a_2) + \widetilde{n}_J(a_3) - 2 = 1$, this contradicts (4.13). So the example shows that the condition of $0 \notin \{a_i^{-1}(0)\}$ $(i = 1, 2, 3)$ in Theorem 4.1 cannot be simply dropped.

Proof of Theorem 4.2 Through the introduction of the second part, we know that $C_q(z) \not\equiv 0$ is the q-Casorati determinant of $a_1(z), \cdots, a_m(z)$. Let $z_0 \neq 0$ be a zero of some $a_i(z)$ with $1 \leq i \leq m + 1$. Obviously, $z = z_0$ is also a zero of $C_q(z)$ with multiplicity not smaller than

$$\max_{0 \leq j \leq m-1} \{\mathrm{ord}_{z_0}(a_i(q^j z))\}.$$

Since $a_1, \cdots, a_{m+1}$ are pairwise relatively prime polynomials, we get

$$q(z) := \prod_{i=1}^{m+1} \gcd(a_i, a_i(qz), \cdots, a_i(q^{m-1}z))$$

is a factor of $C_q(z)$, and there exists a polynomial $p(z) \in \mathbb{C}[z]$ such that $C_q(z) = p(z)q(z)$. Combining with (4.4), we deduce that the degree of $q(z)$ is not less than

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m+1} \sum_{\omega\in\mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}} \min_{0\le j\le m-1}\{\mathrm{ord}_\omega(a_i(q^j z))\} = \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} \Big[\sum_{\omega\in\mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}} \mathrm{ord}_\omega(a_i) - \widetilde{n}_q^{[m-1]}(a_i)\Big].$$

On the other hand, the degree of $C_q(z)$ is never beyond any sum of distinct m of the $\deg a_i(z)$ $(1 \le i \le m+1)$. That is

$$\begin{aligned}\min_{1\le k\le m+1} \sum_{1\le i\le m+1, i\ne k} \deg a_i &\ge \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} \Big[\sum_{\omega\in\mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}} \mathrm{ord}_\omega(a_i) - \widetilde{n}_q^{[m-1]}(a_i)\Big] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} \Big[\sum_{\omega\in\mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}} \mathrm{ord}_\omega(a_i) - \widetilde{n}_J^{[m-1]}(a_i)\Big].\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\max_{1\le i\le m+1}\{\deg a_i\} \le \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} \widetilde{n}_J^{[m-1]}(a_i).$$

The following example shows that Theorem 4.2 is accurate when $m = 3$.

Example 4.3 Take

$$\begin{aligned} a_1(z) &= \Big(\frac{q(z+1)}{2} - \alpha\Big)\Big((z+1) - \frac{\alpha}{2}\Big), \\ a_2(z) &= \Big(\frac{q(z+1)}{2} + \alpha\Big)\Big((z+1) + \frac{\alpha}{2}\Big), \\ a_3(z) &= -q(z+1)^2, \quad a_4(z) = \alpha^2, \end{aligned}$$

and $q \in \mathbb{C}\setminus\{0,1\}$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}$. Thus a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 satisfy the equation $a_1(z) + a_2(z) + a_3(z) = a_4(z)$ and the condition of Theorem 4.2. This example gives $\max\limits_{1\le i\le 4}\{\deg a_i\} = 2$ and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{4} \widetilde{n}_J^{[2]}(a_i) = 2 + 2 + 2 = 6.$$

Remark 4.3 In Theorem 4.2, we assume that the origin is not a root of a_i, $i = 1, \cdots, m+1$. Otherwise, suppose that $0 \in a_i^{-1}(0)$, we can get the relationship between $\widetilde{n}_J^{[m-1]}(a_i)$ and $\widetilde{n}_q^{[m-1]}(a_i)$. Denote by $\mathrm{ord}_0(a_i)$ the order of polynomial a_i at the origin. Combining the definition of $D_q a_i$ and $\mathrm{rad}_J^{[m]}(a_i)$, we easily verify that if $\mathrm{ord}_0(a_i) > m-1$,

$$\widetilde{n}_J^{[m-1]}(a_i) = \sum_{\omega\in\mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}} (\mathrm{ord}_\omega(a_i) - \min_{0\le j\le m-1}\{\mathrm{ord}_\omega(D_q^j(a_i))\})$$

$$+ (\mathrm{ord}_0(a_i) - \min_{0\le j\le m-1}\{\mathrm{ord}_0(D_q^j(a_i))\})$$
$$= \widetilde{n}_q^{[m-1]}(a_i) + m - 1.$$

If $\mathrm{ord}_0(a_i) \le m-1$, then $\mathrm{rad}_J^{[m]}(a_i) = \mathrm{rad}_q^{[m]}(a_i) + \mathrm{ord}_0(a_i)$. Unfortunately, this situation may not hold for Theorem 4.2.

Finally, we propose an interesting problem deserved to be further studied.

Problem 4.1 Factorial polynomial is defined as

$$t^{\overline{n}} = t(t+1)\cdots(t+n-1).$$

Ishizaki-Korhonen-Li-Tohge [14] introduce the notation for the factorial of a polynomial $p(z)$ in $\mathbb{C}[z]$ as

$$[p(z)]_\kappa^{\overline{n}} = p(z)p(z+\kappa)\cdots p(z+(n-1)\kappa),$$

where the shift $\kappa \in \mathbb{C}\backslash\{0\}$.

If we extend this notation for the factorial of a polynomial $p(z)$ in $\mathbb{C}[z]$ as

$$[p(z)]_J^{\overline{n}} = p(z)D_q p(z)\cdots D_q^{n-1}p(z),$$

where $q \in \mathbb{C}\backslash\{0,1\}$. What about the existence of polynomial solutions to a Jackson difference Fermat equations such as

$$[p_1(z)]_J^{\overline{n}} + [p_2(z)]_J^{\overline{n}} + \cdots + [p_m(z)]_J^{\overline{n}} = [p_{m+1}(z)]_J^{\overline{n}}?$$

Acknowledgement The authors are very grateful to the referees for some valuable suggestions and comments.

References

[1] Bangerezako, G., An Introduction to q-Difference Equations, Preprint, Bujumbura, 2008.

[2] Barnett, D. C., Halburd, R. G., Korhonen R. J. and Morgan, W., Nevanlinna theory for the q-difference operator and meomorphic solutions of q-difference equations, *Proc. R. Soc. Edinb. Sect. A*, **137**(3), 2007, 457–474.

[3] Bayat, M. and Teimoori, H., A generalization of Mason's theorem for four polynomials, *Elem. der Math.*, **59**, 2004, 23–28.

[4] Cao, T. B., Dai, H. X. and Wang, J., Nevanlinna theory for Jackson difference operators and entire solutions of q-difference equations, *Anal. Math.*, **47**, 2021, 529–557.

[5] Cao, T. B. and Korhonen, R. J., A new version of the second main theorem for meromorphic mappings intersecting hyperplanes in several complex variables, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **444**(2), 2016, 1114–1132.

[6] Cao, T. B. and Korhonen, R. J., Value distribution of q-differences of meromorphic functions in several complex variables, *Anal. Math.*, **46**(4), 2020, 699–736.

[7] Cao, T. B. and Nie, J., The second main theorem for holomorphic curves intersecting hypersurfaces with Casorati determinant into complex projective spaces, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.*, **42**, 2017, 979–996.

[8] Cartan, H., Sur lés zeros des combinasions linzéaires de p fonctions holomorphes donnzées, *Math. Cluj.*, **7**, 1933, 5–31.

[9] Cherry, W. and Ye, Z., Nevanlinna's Theory of Value Distribution, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001.

[10] Ernst, T., The History of q-Calculus and a New Method, Department of Mathematics, Uppsala University, 2000.

[11] Gundersen, G. G., The strength of Cartan's version of Nevanlinna theory, *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.*, **36**(4), 2004, 433–454.

[12] Halburd, R. G. and Korhonen, R. J., Nevanlinna theory for the difference operator, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.*, **31**(2), 2004, 463–478.

[13] Halburd, R. G., Korhonen, R. J. and Tohge, K., Holomorphic curves with shift-invariant hyperplane preimage, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **366**(8), 2014, 4267–4298.

[14] Ishizaki, K., Korhonen, R. J., Li, N. and Tohge, K., A Stothers-Mason theorem with a difference radical, *Math. Z.*, **298**, 2021, 671–696.

[15] Jackson, F. H., On q-difference equations, *Amer. J. Math.*, **32**, 1910, 305–314.

[16] Jackson, F. H., On q-definite integrals, *Quart. J. Pure and Appl. Math.*, **41**, 1910, 193–203.

[17] Lang, S., Introduction to Complex Hyperbolic Spaces, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1987.

[18] Li, Y. Z. and Song, N. F., A note on q-difference operator and related limit direction, *Acta Math. Sci.*, **38**(6), 2013, 1678–1688.

[19] Mason, R. C., Diophantine Equations over Function Fields, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1984.

[20] Ru, M., Nevanlinna Theory and its Relation to Diophatine Approximation, World Scientific Publishing Company, Singapore, 2001.

[21] Snyder, N., An alternate proof of Mason's theorem, *Elem. Math.*, **55**(3), 2000, 93–94.

[22] Stothers, W. W., Polynomial identities and Hauptmoduln, *Q. J. Math.*, **32**(2), 1981, 349–370.

[23] Yang, L., Value Distribution Theory, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1993.

[24] Zhou, W. X. and Liu, H. Z., Existence solutions for boundary value problem of nonlinear fractional q-difference equations, *Adv. Differ. Equ.*, **1**, 2013, 1–12.