Critical Trace Trudinger-Moser Inequalities on a Compact Riemann Surface with Smooth Boundary^{*}

Mengjie ZHANG¹

Abstract In this paper, the author concerns two trace Trudinger-Moser inequalities and obtains the corresponding extremal functions on a compact Riemann surface (Σ, g) with smooth boundary $\partial \Sigma$. Explicitly, let

$$\lambda_1(\partial \Sigma) = \inf_{u \in W^{1,2}(\Sigma,g), \int_{\partial \Sigma} u \mathrm{d} s_g = 0, u \neq 0} \frac{\int_{\Sigma} (|\nabla_g u|^2 + u^2) \mathrm{d} v_g}{\int_{\partial \Sigma} u^2 \mathrm{d} s_g}$$

and

$$\mathcal{H} = \Big\{ u \in W^{1,2}(\Sigma,g) : \int_{\Sigma} (|\nabla_g u|^2 + u^2) \mathrm{d}v_g - \alpha \int_{\partial \Sigma} u^2 \mathrm{d}s_g \le 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\partial \Sigma} u \, \mathrm{d}s_g = 0 \Big\},$$

where $W^{1,2}(\Sigma, g)$ denotes the usual Sobolev space and ∇_g stands for the gradient operator. By the method of blow-up analysis, we obtain

$$\sup_{u\in\mathcal{H}}\int_{\partial\Sigma} e^{\pi u^2} \mathrm{d}s_g \begin{cases} <+\infty, & 0\leq\alpha<\lambda_1(\partial\Sigma),\\ =+\infty, & \alpha\geq\lambda_1(\partial\Sigma). \end{cases}$$

Moreover, the author proves the above supremum is attained by a function $u_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{H} \cap C^{\infty}(\overline{\Sigma})$ for any $0 \leq \alpha < \lambda_1(\partial \Sigma)$. Further, he extends the result to the case of higher order eigenvalues. The results generalize those of [Li, Y. and Liu, P., Moser-Trudinger inequality on the boundary of compact Riemannian surface, *Math. Z.*, **250**, 2005, 363–386], [Yang, Y., Moser-Trudinger trace inequalities on a compact Riemannian surface with boundary, *Pacific J. Math.*, **227**, 2006, 177–200] and [Yang, Y., Extremal functions for Trudinger-Moser inequalities of Adimurthi-Druet type in dimension two, *J. Diff. Eq.*, **258**, 2015, 3161–3193].

Keywords Trudinger-Moser inequality, Riemann surface, Blow-up analysis, Extremal function
 2020 MR Subject Classification 46E35, 58J05, 58J32

1 Introduction

Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ be a smooth bounded domain and $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ be the completion of $C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$ under the Sobolev norm $\|\nabla_{\mathbb{R}^2} u\|_2^2 = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla_{\mathbb{R}^2} u|^2 dx$, where $\nabla_{\mathbb{R}^2}$ is the gradient operator on \mathbb{R}^2 and $\|\cdot\|_2$

Manuscript received September 23, 2020. Revised November 8, 2021.

¹School of Mathematics, Renmin University of China, Beijing 100872, China.

E-mail: zhangmengjie@ruc.edu.cn

^{*}This work was supported by the Outstanding Innovative Talents Cultivation Funded Programs 2020 of Renmin University of China.

denotes the standard L^2 -norm. The classical Trudinger-Moser inequality (see [20, 24–25, 27, 32]), as the limit case of the Sobolev embedding, says

$$\sup_{u \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega), \, \|\nabla_{\mathbb{R}^2} u\|_2 \le 1} \int_{\Omega} e^{\beta u^2} dx < +\infty, \quad \forall \beta \le 4\pi.$$

$$(1.1)$$

Moreover, 4π is the best constant for this inequality in the sense that when $\beta > 4\pi$, all integrals in (1.1) are finite and the supremum is infinite. It is interesting to know whether or not the supremum in (1.1) can be attained. For this topic, see Carleson-Chang [5], Flucher [11], Lin [17], Adimurthi-Struwe [2], Li [13–14], Zhu [38], Tintarev [26], Zhang [33–34, 37] and the references therein.

Trudinger-Moser inequalities were studied on Riemann manifolds by Aubin [3], Cherrier [6], Fontana [12] and others. In particular, let (Σ, g) be a compact Riemann surface with smooth boundary $\partial \Sigma$ and $W^{1,2}(\Sigma, g)$ be the completion of $C^{\infty}(\Sigma)$ under the norm

$$||u||_{W^{1,2}(\Sigma,g)}^2 = \int_{\Sigma} (|\nabla_g u|^2 + u^2) \,\mathrm{d}v_g,$$

where ∇_g and v_g stand for the gradient operator and the volume element on Σ with respect to the metric g, respectively. Liu [18] derived a trace Trudinger-Moser inequality in his doctoral thesis from the result of Osgood-Phillips-Sarnak [23]: For all functions $u \in W^{1,2}(\Sigma, g)$, there holds some constant C depending only on (Σ, g) such that

$$\ln \int_{\partial \Sigma} e^u ds_g \le \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla_g u|^2 dv_g + \int_{\partial \Sigma} u ds_g + C, \tag{1.2}$$

where s_g denotes the induced length element on $\partial \Sigma$ with respect to g. Later Li-Liu [15] obtained a strong version of (1.2), namely

$$\sup_{u \in W^{1,2}(\Sigma,g), \ \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla_g u|^2 \mathrm{d}v_g = 1, \int_{\partial \Sigma} u \mathrm{d}s_g = 0} \int_{\partial \Sigma} \mathrm{e}^{\gamma u^2} \mathrm{d}s_g < +\infty$$
(1.3)

for any $\gamma \leq \pi$. This inequality is sharp in the sense that all integrals in (1.3) are finite when $\gamma > \pi$ and the supremum is infinite. Moreover, for any $\gamma \leq \pi$, the supremum is attained. After that, Yang [28] established the boundary estimate without direct boundary conditions, which is

$$\sup_{u \in W^{1,2}(\Sigma,g), \ \int_{\Sigma} (|\nabla_g u|^2 + u^2) \mathrm{d}v_g = 1} \int_{\partial \Sigma} \mathrm{e}^{\pi u^2} \mathrm{d}s_g < +\infty.$$
(1.4)

Moreover, the supremum in (1.4) can be attained.

A different form was also derived by Yang [30], namely

$$\sup_{u \in W^{1,2}(\Sigma,g), \ \int_{\Sigma} (|\nabla_g u|^2 - \alpha u^2) \mathrm{d}v_g \le 1, \ \int_{\Sigma} u \mathrm{d}v_g = 0} \int_{\Sigma} \mathrm{e}^{4\pi u^2} \mathrm{d}v_g < +\infty$$
(1.5)

for all $0 \leq \alpha < \lambda_1(\Sigma)$, where

$$\lambda_1(\Sigma) = \inf_{u \in W^{1,2}(\Sigma,g), \int_{\Sigma} u \mathrm{d} v_g = 0, u \not\equiv 0} \frac{\int_{\Sigma} |\nabla_g u|^2 \mathrm{d} v_g}{\int_{\Sigma} u^2 \mathrm{d} v_g}$$

is the first eigenvalue of the Laplace-Beltrami operator Δ_g . Further, he extended (1.5) to the case of higher order eigenvalues. Precisely, let $\lambda_1(\Sigma) < \lambda_2(\Sigma) < \cdots$ be all distinct eigenvalues

of the Laplace-Beltrami operator and $E_{\lambda_k(\Sigma)} = \{u \in W^{1,2}(\Sigma, g) : \Delta_g u = \lambda_k(\Sigma)u\}, \ k = 1, 2, \cdots$ be associated eigenfunction spaces. For any positive integer k, we set

$$E_k(\Sigma) = E_{\lambda_1(\Sigma)} \oplus E_{\lambda_2(\Sigma)} \oplus \dots \oplus E_{\lambda_k(\Sigma)}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

and

$$E_k^{\perp}(\Sigma) = \left\{ u \in W^{1,2}(\Sigma, g) : \int_{\Sigma} uv \mathrm{d}v_g = 0, \ \forall v \in E_k(\Sigma) \right\}, \quad k = 1, 2, \cdots$$

Then we have

$$\sup_{u \in E_k^{\perp}(\Sigma), \ \int_{\Sigma} (|\nabla_g u|^2 - \alpha u^2) \mathrm{d} v_g \le 1, \ \int_{\Sigma} u \mathrm{d} v_g = 0} \int_{\Sigma} \mathrm{e}^{4\pi u^2} \mathrm{d} v_g < +\infty$$
(1.6)

for all $0 \leq \alpha < \lambda_k(\Sigma)$; moreover the above supremum can be attained by some function $u_{\alpha} \in E_k^{\perp}(\Sigma)$.

In this paper, we will establish two new trace Trudinger-Moser inequalities, which are extensions of (1.5) and (1.6), respectively. Precisely we first have the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1 Let (Σ, g) be a compact Riemann surface with smooth boundary $\partial \Sigma$, $\lambda_1(\partial \Sigma)$ be defined as

$$\lambda_1(\partial \Sigma) = \inf_{u \in W^{1,2}(\Sigma,g), \int_{\partial \Sigma} u \mathrm{d}s_g = 0, u \neq 0} \frac{\int_{\Sigma} (|\nabla_g u|^2 + u^2) \mathrm{d}v_g}{\int_{\partial \Sigma} u^2 \mathrm{d}s_g}$$
(1.7)

and

$$\mathcal{H} = \Big\{ u \in W^{1,2}(\Sigma, g) : \int_{\Sigma} (|\nabla_g u|^2 + u^2) \mathrm{d}v_g - \alpha \int_{\partial \Sigma} u^2 \mathrm{d}s_g \le 1 \text{ and } \int_{\partial \Sigma} u \, \mathrm{d}s_g = 0 \Big\}.$$

Then we have

(i) when $0 \leq \alpha < \lambda_1(\partial \Sigma)$, we have

$$\sup_{u \in \mathcal{H}} \int_{\partial \Sigma} \mathrm{e}^{\pi u^2} \mathrm{d}s_g < +\infty \tag{1.8}$$

and it can be attained by some function $u_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{H} \cap C^{\infty}(\overline{\Sigma})$;

(ii) when $\alpha \geq \lambda_1(\partial \Sigma)$, the above supremum is infinite.

An interesting consequence of Theorem 1.1 is the following weak form of (1.8).

Theorem 1.2 Let (Σ, g) be a compact Riemann surface with smooth boundary $\partial \Sigma$, $\lambda_1(\partial \Sigma)$ be defined as in (1.7). Then for any $0 \leq \alpha < \lambda_1(\partial \Sigma)$, $u \in W^{1,2}(\Sigma, g)$ and $\int_{\partial \Sigma} u \, ds_g = 0$, there exists a constant C > 0 depending only on α and (Σ, g) , such that

$$4\pi \ln \int_{\partial \Sigma} e^{u} ds_{g} \leq \int_{\Sigma} (|\nabla_{g} u|^{2} + u^{2}) dv_{g} - \alpha \int_{\partial \Sigma} u^{2} ds_{g} + C.$$

Moreover, we extend Theorem 1.1 to the case of higher order eigenvalues. Let us introduce some notations. For any positive integer k, we set

$$E_{\lambda_k(\partial\Sigma)} = \Big\{ u \in W^{1,2}(\Sigma,g) : \Delta_g u + u = 0 \text{ in } (\Sigma,g) \text{ and } \frac{\partial u}{\partial \mathbf{n}} = \lambda_k(\partial\Sigma)u \text{ on } \partial\Sigma \Big\},\$$

M. J. Zhang

where **n** denotes the outward unit normal vector on $\partial \Sigma$ and

$$E_{\lambda_k(\partial\Sigma)}^{\perp} = \Big\{ u \in W^{1,2}(\Sigma,g) : \int_{\partial\Sigma} uv \mathrm{d}s_g = 0, \ \forall v \in E_{\lambda_k(\partial\Sigma)} \Big\}.$$

Then we set

$$\lambda_{k+1}(\partial \Sigma) = \inf_{u \in E_k^{\perp}(\partial \Sigma), \int_{\partial \Sigma} u \mathrm{d}s_g = 0, u \not\equiv 0} \frac{\int_{\Sigma} (|\nabla_g u|^2 + u^2) \mathrm{d}v_g}{\int_{\partial \Sigma} u^2 \mathrm{d}s_g},\tag{1.9}$$

which is the (k+1)-th eigenvalue of Δ_g on $\partial \Sigma$, where

$$E_k(\partial \Sigma) = E_{\lambda_1(\partial \Sigma)} \oplus E_{\lambda_2(\partial \Sigma)} \oplus \dots \oplus E_{\lambda_k(\partial \Sigma)}$$
(1.10)

and

$$E_k^{\perp}(\partial \Sigma) = \Big\{ u \in W^{1,2}(\Sigma, g) : \int_{\partial \Sigma} uv \mathrm{d}s_g = 0, \ \forall v \in E_k(\partial \Sigma) \Big\}.$$
(1.11)

We note that $W^{1,2}(\Sigma, g) = E_k(\partial \Sigma) \oplus E_k^{\perp}(\partial \Sigma)$. Then a generalization of Theorem 1.1 can be stated as follows.

Theorem 1.3 Let (Σ, g) be a compact Riemann surface with smooth boundary $\partial \Sigma$ and $\lambda_{k+1}(\partial \Sigma)$ be defined by (1.9). For any $0 \leq \alpha < \lambda_{k+1}(\partial \Sigma)$, let

$$\mathcal{S} = \Big\{ u \in E_k^{\perp}(\partial \Sigma) : \int_{\Sigma} (|\nabla_g u|^2 + u^2) \mathrm{d}v_g - \alpha \int_{\partial \Sigma} u^2 \mathrm{d}s_g \le 1 \text{ and } \int_{\partial \Sigma} u \, \mathrm{d}s_g = 0 \Big\},$$
(1.12)

where $E_k^{\perp}(\partial \Sigma)$ is defined as in (1.11). Then the supremum

$$\sup_{u \in \mathcal{S}} \int_{\partial \Sigma} e^{\pi u^2} \mathrm{d}s_g \tag{1.13}$$

is attained by some function $u_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{S} \cap C^{\infty}(\overline{\Sigma})$.

Clearly Theorems 1.1 and 1.3 extend (1.5) and (1.6) to the trace Trudinger-Moser inequalities, respectively. For theirs proofs, we employ the method of blow-up analysis, which was originally used by Carleson-Chang [5], Ding-Jost-Li-Wang [8], Adimurthi-Struwe [2], Li [13], Liu [18], Li-Liu [15] and Yang [28–29]. This method is now standard. For related works, we refer Adimurthi-Druet [1], do Ó-de Souza [7, 9], Nguyen [21–22], Zhu [39], Fang-Zhang [10], Mancini-Martinazzi [19] and Zhang [35–36].

In the remaining part of this paper, we prove Theorem 1.1 in Section 2 and Theorem 1.3 in Section 3, respectively.

2 The First Eigenvalue Case

In this section, we will prove Theorem 1.1(ii) first, and then we will prove Theorem 1.1(i). Without loss of generality, we do not distinguish sequence and subsequence in the following.

2.1 The case of $\alpha \geq \lambda_1(\partial \Sigma)$

Let $\lambda_1(\partial \Sigma)$ be defined in (1.7). It is easy to know that $\lambda_1(\partial \Sigma)$ is attained by some function $u_0 \in W^{1,2}(\Sigma, g)$ satisfying $\int_{\partial \Sigma} u_0 ds_g = 0$ and $\int_{\partial \Sigma} u_0^2 ds_g = 1$. By a direct calculation, we derive that u_0 satisfies the Euler-Lagrange equation

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_g u_0 + u_0 = 0 & \text{in } \Sigma, \\ \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial \mathbf{n}} = \lambda_1 (\partial \Sigma) u_0 & \text{on } \partial \Sigma, \end{cases}$$
(2.1)

where Δ_g denotes the Laplace-Beltrami operator, **n** denotes the outward unit normal vector on $\partial \Sigma$. Applying elliptic estimates to (2.1), we have $u_0 \in W^{1,2}(\Sigma, g) \cap C^0(\overline{\Sigma})$. Then we obtain that $\lambda_1(\partial \Sigma)$ can be attained by some function $tu_0 \in W^{1,2}(\Sigma, g) \cap C^0(\overline{\Sigma})$ for any positive integer t.

Since $\alpha \geq \lambda_1(\partial \Sigma)$, we have

$$\int_{\Sigma} (|\nabla_g(tu_0)|^2 + (tu_0)^2) \mathrm{d}v_g - \alpha \int_{\partial \Sigma} (tu_0)^2 \, \mathrm{d}s_g \le 0.$$

Then there holds $tu_0 \in \mathcal{H} \cap C^0(\overline{\Sigma})$. In view of $u_0 \neq 0$, $\int_{\partial \Sigma} u_0 ds_g = 0$ and $u_0 \in C^0(\overline{\Sigma})$, we obtain that there is a point $x_0 \in \partial \Sigma$ with $u_0(x_0) > 0$. Moreover, there exists a neighborhood U of x_0 satisfying $u_0(x) \geq \frac{u_0(x_0)}{2} > 0$ in U. Then we get

$$\int_{\partial \Sigma} e^{\pi (tu_0)^2} ds_g \ge \int_{\partial \Sigma \cap U} e^{\pi (tu_0)^2} ds_g \ge e^{\frac{\pi}{4} u_0^2(x_0) t^2} \int_{\partial \Sigma \cap U} 1 ds_g$$

Letting $t \to +\infty$, one has Theorem 1.1(ii).

2.2 The case of $0 \leq \alpha < \lambda_1(\partial \Sigma)$

In this subsection, we will prove Theorem 1.1(i) by four steps: Firstly, we consider the existence of maximizers for subcritical functionals and the corresponding Euler-Lagrange equation; secondly, we deal with the asymptotic behavior of the maximizers through blow-up analysis; thirdly, we deduce an upper bound of the supremum $\sup_{u \in \mathcal{H}} \int_{\partial \Sigma} e^{\pi u^2} ds_g$ under the assumption that blow-up occurs; finally, we construct a sequence of functions to show that Theorem 1.1(i) holds.

Step 1 Existence of maximizers for subcritical functionals. For any $0 \le \alpha < \lambda_1(\partial \Sigma)$, we let

$$||u||_{1,\alpha}^2 = \int_{\Sigma} (|\nabla_g u|^2 + u^2) \mathrm{d}v_g - \alpha \int_{\partial \Sigma} u^2 \mathrm{d}s_g.$$

We have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1 For any $0 < \varepsilon < \pi$, the supremum $\sup_{u \in \mathcal{H}} \int_{\partial \Sigma} e^{(\pi - \varepsilon)u^2} ds_g$ is attained by some function $u_{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{H} \cap C^{\infty}(\overline{\Sigma})$.

Proof Let $0 < \varepsilon < \pi$ be fixed. By the definition of supremum, we can choose a maximizing sequence $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ in \mathcal{H} such that

$$\lim_{i \to \infty} \int_{\partial \Sigma} e^{(\pi - \varepsilon)u_i^2} ds_g = \sup_{u \in \mathcal{H}} \int_{\partial \Sigma} e^{(\pi - \varepsilon)u^2} ds_g.$$
(2.2)

Moreover, u_i converges to some function u_{ε} weakly in $W^{1,2}(\Sigma, g)$ and strongly in $L^p(\partial \Sigma, g)$ for any p > 1. Then we have $\int_{\partial \Sigma} u_{\varepsilon} ds_g = 0$. According to the definition of weak convergence and the Hölder's inequality, we get $\|\nabla_g u_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} \leq \lim_{i \to \infty} \|\nabla_g u_i\|_{L^2(\Sigma)}$, which gives $\|u_{\varepsilon}\|_{1,\alpha}^2 \leq 1$. From Lagrange's mean value theorem, the Hölder's inequality and (1.3), there holds

$$\lim_{i \to \infty} \left| \int_{\partial \Sigma} e^{(\pi - \varepsilon)u_i^2} ds_g - \int_{\partial \Sigma} e^{(\pi - \varepsilon)u_\varepsilon^2} ds_g \right| = 0.$$

M. J. Zhang

In view of (2.2), we have

$$\int_{\partial \Sigma} e^{(\pi-\varepsilon)u_{\varepsilon}^{2}} ds_{g} = \sup_{u \in \mathcal{H}} \int_{\partial \Sigma} e^{(\pi-\varepsilon)u^{2}} ds_{g}.$$
(2.3)

Suppose $||u_{\varepsilon}||_{1,\alpha}^2 < 1$, then one gets

$$\int_{\partial \Sigma} e^{(\pi-\varepsilon)u_{\varepsilon}^{2}} ds_{g} < \int_{\partial \Sigma} e^{(\pi-\varepsilon)\left(\frac{u_{\varepsilon}}{\|u_{\varepsilon}\|_{1,\alpha}}\right)^{2}} ds_{g} \le \sup_{u \in \mathcal{H}} \int_{\partial \Sigma} e^{(\pi-\varepsilon)u^{2}} ds_{g}$$

This result contradicts with (2.3). Hence $||u_{\varepsilon}||_{1,\alpha}^2 = 1$ holds and $u_{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{H}$.

By a direct calculation, we derive that u_{ε} satisfies the Euler-Lagrange equation

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_g u_{\varepsilon} + u_{\varepsilon} = 0 & \text{in } \Sigma, \\ \frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial \mathbf{n}} = \frac{1}{\lambda_{\varepsilon}} u_{\varepsilon} \mathrm{e}^{(\pi - \varepsilon) u_{\varepsilon}^2} + \alpha u_{\varepsilon} - \frac{\mu_{\varepsilon}}{\lambda_{\varepsilon}} & \text{on } \partial \Sigma, \\ \lambda_{\varepsilon} = \int_{\partial \Sigma} u_{\varepsilon}^2 \mathrm{e}^{(\pi - \varepsilon) u_{\varepsilon}^2} \mathrm{d} s_g, \\ \mu_{\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{\ell(\partial \Sigma)} \Big(\int_{\partial \Sigma} u_{\varepsilon} \mathrm{e}^{(\pi - \varepsilon) u_{\varepsilon}^2} \mathrm{d} s_g - \lambda_{\varepsilon} \int_{\Sigma} u_{\varepsilon} \, \mathrm{d} v_g \Big), \end{cases}$$
(2.4)

where $\ell(\partial \Sigma)$ denotes the length of $\partial \Sigma$. Applying elliptic estimates to (2.4), we have $u_{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{H} \cap C^{\infty}(\overline{\Sigma})$. Then Lemma 2.1 follows.

Moreover, we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\partial \Sigma} e^{(\pi - \varepsilon)u_{\varepsilon}^{2}} ds_{g} = \sup_{u \in \mathcal{H}} \int_{\partial \Sigma} e^{\pi u^{2}} ds_{g}$$
(2.5)

from Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem. It follows from (2.5) and the fact of $e^t \leq 1+te^t$ for any $t \geq 0$ that

$$\liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} \lambda_{\varepsilon} > 0. \tag{2.6}$$

From (2.6) and $u_{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{H}$, one gets

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\frac{\mu_{\varepsilon}}{\lambda_{\varepsilon}}\right| &\leq \frac{1}{\lambda_{\varepsilon}\ell(\partial\Sigma)} \left(\int_{\{u\in\partial\Sigma:|u_{\varepsilon}|\geq1\}} |u_{\varepsilon}| e^{(\pi-\varepsilon)u_{\varepsilon}^{2}} \mathrm{d}s_{g} + \int_{\{u\in\partial\Sigma:|u_{\varepsilon}|<1\}} |u_{\varepsilon}| e^{(\pi-\varepsilon)u_{\varepsilon}^{2}} \mathrm{d}s_{g}\right) + C \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\lambda_{\varepsilon}\ell(\partial\Sigma)} \left(\int_{\{u\in\partial\Sigma:|u_{\varepsilon}|\geq1\}} u_{\varepsilon}^{2} e^{(\pi-\varepsilon)u_{\varepsilon}^{2}} \mathrm{d}s_{g} + \int_{\{u\in\partial\Sigma:|u_{\varepsilon}|<1\}} e^{(\pi-\varepsilon)u_{\varepsilon}^{2}} \mathrm{d}s_{g}\right) + C \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\ell(\partial\Sigma)} + \frac{e^{\pi}}{\lambda_{\varepsilon}} + C \\ &\leq C. \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.7)$$

Step 2 Blow-up analysis.

Let us perform the blow-up analysis. Without loss of generality, we set $c_{\varepsilon} = |u_{\varepsilon}(x_{\varepsilon})| = \max_{\overline{\Sigma}} |u_{\varepsilon}|$. If c_{ε} is bounded, by elliptic estimates, we complete the proof of Theorem 1.1(i). In the following, we assume $\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} c_{\varepsilon} = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} u_{\varepsilon}(x_{\varepsilon}) = +\infty$ and $x_{\varepsilon} \to p$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$. Applying maximum principle to (2.4), we have $p \in \partial \Sigma$. Then we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2 There hold $u_{\varepsilon} \rightharpoonup 0$ weakly in $W^{1,2}(\Sigma, g)$ and $u_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow 0$ strongly in $L^2(\partial \Sigma, g)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Furthermore, $|\nabla_g u_{\varepsilon}|^2 dv_g \rightharpoonup \delta_p$ in sense of measure, where δ_p is the usual Dirac measure centered at p.

Proof Since u_{ε} is bounded in $W^{1,2}(\Sigma, g)$, there exists some function u_0 such that $u_{\varepsilon} \rightharpoonup u_0$ weakly in $W^{1,2}(\Sigma, g)$ and $u_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow u_0$ strongly in $L^2(\partial \Sigma, g)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Then we have $\int_{\partial \Sigma} u_0 ds_g = 0$ and $||u_0||^2_{1,\alpha} \leq 1$.

Suppose $u_0 \neq 0$, then one has $\int_{\Sigma} (|\nabla_g u_0|^2 + u_0^2) dv_g > 0$ and

$$1 \ge \|u_0\|_{1,\alpha}^2 \ge \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{\lambda_1(\partial \Sigma)}\right) \int_{\Sigma} (|\nabla_g u_0|^2 + u_0^2) \mathrm{d}v_g > 0.$$

Then we obtain $\|\nabla_g(u_{\varepsilon} - u_0)\|_2^2 \to 1 - \|u_0\|_{1,\alpha}^2$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$. Letting $\zeta = 1 - \|u_0\|_{1,\alpha}^2$, one has $0 \leq \zeta < 1$. For sufficiently small ε , there holds

$$\|\nabla_g (u_{\varepsilon} - u_0)\|_2^2 \le \frac{\zeta + 1}{2} < 1.$$
(2.8)

From the Hölder's inequality, (1.3) and (2.8), we get $e^{(\pi-\varepsilon)u_{\varepsilon}^2}$ is bounded in $L^q(\partial\Sigma, g)$ for sufficiently small ε . Applying the elliptic estimate to (2.4), one gets that u_{ε} is uniformly bounded, which contradicts $c_{\varepsilon} \to +\infty$. That is to say $u_0 \equiv 0$.

Suppose $|\nabla_g u_{\varepsilon}|^2 dv_g \rightarrow \mu \neq \delta_p$ in sense of measure. Then there exists some positive number r > 0 such that $\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{B_r(p)} |\nabla_g u_{\varepsilon}|^2 dv_g = \eta < 1$, where $B_r(p)$ is a geodesic ball centered at p with radius r. Moreover, we obtain $\int_{B_r(p)} |\nabla_g u_{\varepsilon}|^2 dv_g \leq \frac{\eta+1}{2} < 1$ for sufficiently small ε . We choose a cut-off function $\rho \in C_0^1(B_r(p))$, which is equal to 1 in $\overline{B_r(p)}$ and $\int_{B_r(p)} |\nabla_g (\rho u_{\varepsilon})|^2 dv_g \leq \frac{\eta+3}{4} < 1$ for sufficiently small ε . Hence there holds

$$\int_{B_{\frac{r}{2}}(p)\cap\partial\Sigma} e^{q(\pi-\varepsilon)u_{\varepsilon}^{2}} ds_{g} \leq \int_{B_{r}(p)\cap\partial\Sigma} e^{q(\pi-\varepsilon)(\rho u_{\varepsilon})^{2}} ds_{g}$$
$$\leq \int_{B_{r}(p)\cap\partial\Sigma} e^{q(\pi-\varepsilon)\frac{\eta+3}{4}\frac{(\rho u_{\varepsilon})^{2}}{\int_{B_{r}(p)}|\nabla g(\rho u_{\varepsilon})|^{2} dv_{g}}} ds_{g}$$

for some q > 1. In view of (1.3), we obtain $e^{(\pi-\varepsilon)u_{\varepsilon}^2}$ is bounded in $L^q(B_{\frac{\tau}{2}}(p) \cap \partial \Sigma, g)$ for sufficiently small ε . Applying the elliptic estimate to (2.4), we get that u_{ε} is uniformly bounded in $B_{\frac{\tau}{4}}(p) \cap \partial \Sigma$, which contradicts $c_{\varepsilon} \to +\infty$. Therefore, Lemma 2.2 follows.

Now we analyse the asymptotic behavior of u_{ε} near the concentration point p. Let

$$r_{\varepsilon} = \frac{\lambda_{\varepsilon}}{c_{\varepsilon}^2 \mathrm{e}^{(\pi-\varepsilon)} c_{\varepsilon}^2}.$$
(2.9)

Following [31, Lemma 4], we can take an isothermal coordinate system (U, ϕ) near x_0 , such that $\phi(x_0) = 0$, $\phi(U) = \mathbb{B}_r^+$ and $\phi(U \cap \partial \Sigma) = \partial \mathbb{R}_+^2 \cap \mathbb{B}_r$ for some fixed r > 0, where $\mathbb{B}_r^+ = \{(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x_1^2 + x_2^2 \le r^2, x_2 > 0\}$ and $\mathbb{R}_+^2 = \{x = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x_2 > 0\}$. In such coordinates, the metric g has the representation $g = e^{2f}(dx_1^2 + dx_2^2)$ and f is a smooth function with f(0) = 0. Denote $\overline{u}_{\varepsilon} = u_{\varepsilon} \circ \phi^{-1}$, $\overline{x}_{\varepsilon} = \phi(x_{\varepsilon})$ and $U_{\varepsilon} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \overline{x}_{\varepsilon} + r_{\varepsilon}x \in \phi(U)\}$. Define two blowing up functions in U_{ε} ,

$$\psi_{\varepsilon}(x) = \frac{\overline{u}_{\varepsilon}(\overline{x}_{\varepsilon} + r_{\varepsilon}x)}{c_{\varepsilon}}$$
(2.10)

and

$$\varphi_{\varepsilon}(x) = c_{\varepsilon}(\overline{u}_{\varepsilon}(\overline{x}_{\varepsilon} + r_{\varepsilon}x) - c_{\varepsilon}).$$
(2.11)

In view of (2.4) and (2.9)–(2.11), for any fixed R > 0, we obtain

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^2}\psi_{\varepsilon} = e^{2f(\overline{x}_{\varepsilon} + r_{\varepsilon}x)}r_{\varepsilon}^2\psi_{\varepsilon} & \text{in } \mathbb{B}_R^+, \\ \frac{\partial\psi_{\varepsilon}}{\partial\mathbf{v}} = -e^{f(\overline{x}_{\varepsilon} + r_{\varepsilon}x)}\left(c_{\varepsilon}^{-2}\psi_{\varepsilon}e^{(\pi-\varepsilon)(\psi_{\varepsilon}+1)\varphi_{\varepsilon}} + \alpha r_{\varepsilon}\psi_{\varepsilon} - \frac{r_{\varepsilon}\mu_{\varepsilon}}{c_{\varepsilon}\lambda_{\varepsilon}}\right) & \text{on } \partial\mathbb{R}_+^2 \cap \mathbb{B}_R \end{cases}$$
(2.12)

and

$$\begin{cases}
-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^2}\varphi_{\varepsilon} = e^{2f(\overline{x}_{\varepsilon} + r_{\varepsilon}x)}r_{\varepsilon}^2(\varphi_{\varepsilon} + c_{\varepsilon}^2) & \text{in } \mathbb{B}_R^+, \\
\frac{\partial\varphi_{\varepsilon}}{\partial\mathbf{v}} = -e^{f(\overline{x}_{\varepsilon} + r_{\varepsilon}x)}\left(\psi_{\varepsilon}e^{(\pi-\varepsilon)(\psi_{\varepsilon}+1)\varphi_{\varepsilon}} + \alpha c_{\varepsilon}^2 r_{\varepsilon}\psi_{\varepsilon} - \frac{c_{\varepsilon}r_{\varepsilon}\mu_{\varepsilon}}{\lambda_{\varepsilon}}\right) & \text{on } \partial\mathbb{R}_+^2 \cap \mathbb{B}_R,
\end{cases}$$
(2.13)

where $\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^2}$ denotes the Laplace operator on \mathbb{R}^2 , \mathbf{v} denotes the outward unit normal vector on $\partial \mathbb{R}^2_+$, $\mathbb{B}_r = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \operatorname{dist}(x,0) \leq r\}$ and $\mathbb{B}_r^+ = \{x = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{B}_r : x_2 > 0\}$ for any r > 0. Applying elliptic estimates to (2.12), we have $\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \psi_{\varepsilon} = \psi$ in $C^1(\mathbb{B}_{\frac{R}{2}}^+)$ for any fixed R > 0 with $\psi(0) = 1$. According to (2.4), (2.7) and (2.9), we get $\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{\partial \psi_{\varepsilon}}{\partial \mathbf{v}} = 0$ on $\partial \mathbb{R}^2_+ \cap \mathbb{B}_{\frac{R}{2}}$. Then there holds

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \psi_{\varepsilon} = 1 \quad \text{in } C^1_{\text{loc}}(\overline{\mathbb{R}^2_+}).$$
(2.14)

Using the same argument for (2.13) as above, we obtain

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \varphi_{\varepsilon} = \varphi \quad \text{in } C^1_{\text{loc}}(\overline{\mathbb{R}^2_+}), \tag{2.15}$$

where φ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^2} \varphi = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{B}_R^+, \\ \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \mathbf{v}} = -e^{2\pi\varphi} & \text{on } \partial \mathbb{R}_+^2 \cap \mathbb{B}_R, \\ \varphi(0) = \sup \varphi = 0. \end{cases}$$

It is not difficult to check that

$$\int_{\partial \mathbb{R}^2_+ \cap \mathbb{B}_R} e^{2\pi\varphi} dx_1 \le \liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\partial \Sigma \cap B_{Rr_\varepsilon}(x_\varepsilon)} \frac{1}{\lambda_\varepsilon} u_\varepsilon^2 e^{(\pi-\varepsilon)u_\varepsilon^2} ds_g \le 1$$

for any fixed R > 0, that is to say $\int_{\partial \mathbb{R}^2_+} e^{2\pi \varphi} dx_1 \leq 1$. By a result of Li-Zhu [16], we obtain

$$\varphi(x) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \ln(\pi^2 x_1^2 + (1 + \pi x_2)^2).$$
(2.16)

A direct calculation gives

$$\int_{\partial \mathbb{R}^2_+} \mathrm{e}^{2\pi\varphi} \mathrm{d}x_1 = 1.$$
(2.17)

Next we discuss the convergence behavior of u_{ε} away from p. Denote $u_{\varepsilon,\beta} = \min\{\beta c_{\varepsilon}, u_{\varepsilon}\} \in W^{1,2}(\Sigma, g)$ for any real number $0 < \beta < 1$. Following [29, Lemma 4.7], we can easily get

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \|\nabla_g u_{\varepsilon,\beta}\|_2^2 = \beta.$$
(2.18)

Lemma 2.3 Letting λ_{ε} be defined by (2.4), we obtain

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\partial \Sigma} e^{(\pi - \varepsilon) u_{\varepsilon}^2} ds_g = \ell(\partial \Sigma) + \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{\lambda_{\varepsilon}}{c_{\varepsilon}^2}$$
(2.19)

and

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{\lambda_{\varepsilon}}{c_{\varepsilon}^{2}} = \lim_{R \to +\infty} \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\phi^{-1}(\mathbb{B}_{Rr_{\varepsilon}}(\overline{x}_{\varepsilon})) \cap \partial \Sigma} e^{(\pi - \varepsilon)u_{\varepsilon}^{2}} \, \mathrm{d}s_{g}.$$
(2.20)

Proof Recalling (2.4) and (2.18), one gets

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\partial\Sigma} \mathrm{e}^{(\pi-\varepsilon)u_{\varepsilon}^{2}}\mathrm{d}s_{g} - \ell(\partial\Sigma) \\ &= \int_{\{x\in\partial\Sigma:\,u_{\varepsilon}\leq\beta c_{\varepsilon}\}} \left(\mathrm{e}^{(\pi-\varepsilon)u_{\varepsilon}^{2}} - 1\right)\mathrm{d}s_{g} + \int_{\{x\in\partial\Sigma:\,u_{\varepsilon}>\beta c_{\varepsilon}\}} \left(\mathrm{e}^{(\pi-\varepsilon)u_{\varepsilon}^{2}} - 1\right)\mathrm{d}s_{g} \\ &\leq \int_{\partial\Sigma} \left(\mathrm{e}^{(\pi-\varepsilon)u_{\varepsilon,\,\beta}^{2}} - 1\right)\mathrm{d}s_{g} + \frac{1}{\beta^{2}c_{\varepsilon}^{2}}\int_{\{x\in\partial\Sigma:\,u_{\varepsilon}>\beta c_{\varepsilon}\}} u_{\varepsilon}^{2}\mathrm{e}^{(\pi-\varepsilon)u_{\varepsilon}^{2}}\mathrm{d}s_{g} \\ &\leq \int_{\partial\Sigma} \mathrm{e}^{(\pi-\varepsilon)u_{\varepsilon,\,\beta}^{2}}(\pi-\varepsilon)u_{\varepsilon}^{2}\mathrm{d}s_{g} + \frac{\lambda_{\varepsilon}}{\beta^{2}c_{\varepsilon}^{2}} \\ &\leq \left(\int_{\partial\Sigma} \mathrm{e}^{r(\pi-\varepsilon)u_{\varepsilon,\,\beta}^{2}}\mathrm{d}s_{g}\right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \left(\int_{\partial\Sigma} (\pi-\varepsilon)^{s}u_{\varepsilon}^{2s}\,\mathrm{d}s_{g}\right)^{\frac{1}{s}} + \frac{\lambda_{\varepsilon}}{\beta^{2}c_{\varepsilon}^{2}} \end{split}$$

for any real number $0 < \beta < 1$ and some r, s > 1 with $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{s} = 1$. From (1.3) and (2.18), $e^{(\pi - \varepsilon)u_{\varepsilon,\beta}^2}$ is bounded in $L^r(\partial \Sigma, g)$. Letting $\varepsilon \to 0$ first and then $\beta \to 1$, we obtain

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\partial \Sigma} e^{(\pi - \varepsilon) u_{\varepsilon}^2} ds_g - \ell(\partial \Sigma) \le \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{\lambda_{\varepsilon}}{c_{\varepsilon}^2}.$$
 (2.21)

According to $c_{\varepsilon} = \max_{\overline{\Sigma}} u_{\varepsilon}$, (2.4) and Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\int_{\partial \Sigma} e^{(\pi-\varepsilon)u_{\varepsilon}^{2}} ds_{g} - \ell(\partial \Sigma) \geq \frac{\lambda_{\varepsilon}}{c_{\varepsilon}^{2}} - \int_{\partial \Sigma} \frac{u_{\varepsilon}^{2}}{c_{\varepsilon}^{2}} ds_{g}$$

that is to say

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\partial \Sigma} e^{(\pi - \varepsilon) u_{\varepsilon}^2} ds_g - \ell(\partial \Sigma) \ge \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{\lambda_{\varepsilon}}{c_{\varepsilon}^2}.$$
 (2.22)

Combining (2.21) with (2.22), one gets (2.19).

Applying (2.4) and (2.9)-(2.11), we have

$$\int_{\phi^{-1}(\mathbb{B}_{Rr_{\varepsilon}}(\overline{x}_{\varepsilon}))\cap\partial\Sigma} \mathrm{e}^{(\pi-\varepsilon)u_{\varepsilon}^{2}} \mathrm{d}s_{g} = \int_{\mathbb{B}_{R}\cap\partial\mathbb{R}^{2}_{+}} r_{\varepsilon}\mathrm{e}^{(\pi-\varepsilon)c_{\varepsilon}^{2}}\mathrm{e}^{(\pi-\varepsilon)(\psi_{\varepsilon}+1)\varphi_{\varepsilon}}\mathrm{e}^{f(\overline{x}_{\varepsilon}+r_{\varepsilon}x)}\mathrm{d}x_{1}$$
$$= \int_{\mathbb{B}_{R}\cap\partial\mathbb{R}^{2}_{+}} \frac{\lambda_{\varepsilon}}{c_{\varepsilon}^{2}}\mathrm{e}^{(\pi-\varepsilon)(\psi_{\varepsilon}+1)\varphi_{\varepsilon}}\mathrm{e}^{f(\overline{x}_{\varepsilon}+r_{\varepsilon}x)}\mathrm{d}x_{1}.$$

From (2.14)-(2.17), (2.20) holds.

Next we consider the properties of $c_{\varepsilon}u_{\varepsilon}$. Combining Lemma 2.3 with [29, Lemma 4.9], we obtain

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_{\varepsilon}} c_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon} \mathrm{e}^{(\pi-\varepsilon)u_{\varepsilon}^{2}} \mathrm{d}s_{g} \rightharpoonup \delta_{p}.$$
(2.23)

Furthermore, one has the following lemma.

Lemma 2.4 There hold

$$\begin{cases} c_{\varepsilon}u_{\varepsilon} \to G & \text{weakly in } W^{1,q}(\Sigma,g), \ \forall 1 < q < 2, \\ c_{\varepsilon}u_{\varepsilon} \to G & \text{strongly in } L^{2}(\partial\Sigma,g), \\ c_{\varepsilon}u_{\varepsilon} \to G & \text{in } C^{1}_{\text{loc}}(\overline{\Sigma} \backslash \{p\}), \end{cases}$$

where G is a Green function satisfying

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_g G + G = \delta_p & \text{in } \overline{\Sigma}, \\ \frac{\partial G}{\partial \mathbf{n}} = \alpha G - \frac{1}{\ell(\partial \Sigma)} & \text{on } \partial \Sigma \setminus \{p\}, \\ \int_{\partial \Sigma} G \mathrm{d} s_g = 0. \end{cases}$$
(2.24)

Proof From (2.4), there hold

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_g(c_{\varepsilon}u_{\varepsilon}) + c_{\varepsilon}u_{\varepsilon} = 0 & \text{in } \Sigma, \\ \frac{\partial(c_{\varepsilon}u_{\varepsilon})}{\partial \mathbf{n}} = \frac{1}{\lambda_{\varepsilon}}c_{\varepsilon}u_{\varepsilon}e^{(\pi-\varepsilon)u_{\varepsilon}^2} + \alpha c_{\varepsilon}u_{\varepsilon} - c_{\varepsilon}\frac{\mu_{\varepsilon}}{\lambda_{\varepsilon}} & \text{on } \partial\Sigma, \\ \int_{\partial\Sigma}c_{\varepsilon}u_{\varepsilon} \, \mathrm{d}s_g = 0. \end{cases}$$
(2.25)

Combining (2.4) with (2.23), we obtain

$$\left|\frac{c_{\varepsilon}\mu_{\varepsilon}}{\lambda_{\varepsilon}}\right| = \frac{1}{\ell(\partial\Sigma)} \left| \int_{\partial\Sigma} \frac{1}{\lambda_{\varepsilon}} c_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon} \mathrm{e}^{(\pi-\varepsilon)u_{\varepsilon}^{2}} \mathrm{d}s_{g} - \int_{\Sigma} c_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon} \mathrm{d}v_{g} \right| \\ \leq C + \int_{\Sigma} |c_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}| \mathrm{d}v_{g}.$$

$$(2.26)$$

Moreover, it follows from the Poincaré inequality that

$$\int_{\Sigma} |c_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon} - \overline{c_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}}| \mathrm{d} v_g \leq C ||c_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon} - \overline{c_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}}||_{L^q(\Sigma)} \leq C ||\nabla_g (c_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon})||_{L^q(\Sigma)},$$

where $\overline{c_{\varepsilon}u_{\varepsilon}} = \frac{\int_{\Sigma} c_{\varepsilon}u_{\varepsilon}dv_g}{|\Sigma|}$, then we have

$$\int_{\Sigma} |c_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}| \mathrm{d}v_g \le C ||\nabla_g (c_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon})||_{L^q(\Sigma)} + C.$$
(2.27)

From the Hölder's inequality and the Sobolev embedding theorem, one gets

$$\int_{\partial \Sigma} |c_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}| \mathrm{d}s_g \le C \|c_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^q(\partial \Sigma)} \le C \|\nabla_g(c_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon})\|_{L^q(\Sigma)}$$
(2.28)

for some q > 1. It is well known (see for example [15, Proposition 3.5]) that

$$\int_{\Sigma} |\nabla_g(c_{\varepsilon}u_{\varepsilon})|^q \mathrm{d}v_g \le \sup_{\|\Phi\|_{W^{1,q'}(\Sigma,g)}=1} \int_{\Sigma} \nabla_g \Phi \nabla_g(c_{\varepsilon}u_{\varepsilon}) \mathrm{d}v_g,$$
(2.29)

where $\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{q'} = 1$. For any 1 < q < 2, the Sobolev embedding theorem implies that $\|\Phi\|_{C^0(\overline{\Sigma})} \leq C$, where C is a constant depending only on (Σ, g) . Using (2.23), (2.25)–(2.29) and the divergence theorem, we have

$$\|\nabla_g(c_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon)\|_{L^q(\Sigma)}^q \le \int_{\Sigma} \nabla_g \Phi \nabla_g(c_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon) \mathrm{d} v_g$$

$$\leq \int_{\partial \Sigma} \Phi \frac{1}{\lambda_{\varepsilon}} c_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon} \mathrm{e}^{(\pi-\varepsilon)u_{\varepsilon}^{2}} \mathrm{d}s_{g} + \alpha \int_{\partial \Sigma} \Phi c_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon} \mathrm{d}s_{g} - c_{\varepsilon} \frac{\mu_{\varepsilon}}{\lambda_{\varepsilon}} \int_{\partial \Sigma} \Phi \mathrm{d}s_{g} - \int_{\Sigma} \Phi c_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon} \mathrm{d}v_{g}$$
$$\leq \Phi(p) + C(\alpha+1) \|\nabla_{g}(c_{\varepsilon}u_{\varepsilon})\|_{L^{q}(\Sigma)} + C.$$

That is to say $\|\nabla_g(c_{\varepsilon}u_{\varepsilon})\|_{L^q(\Sigma)} \leq C$. The Poincaré inequality implies that $c_{\varepsilon}u_{\varepsilon}$ is bounded in $W^{1,q}(\Sigma,g)$ for any 1 < q < 2. Hence there exists some function G such that $c_{\varepsilon}u_{\varepsilon} \rightharpoonup G$ weakly in $W^{1,q}(\Sigma,g)$ and $c_{\varepsilon}u_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow G$ strongly in $L^2(\partial\Sigma,g)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. By (2.25), we obtain (2.24).

For any fixed $\delta > 0$, we choose a cut-off function $\eta \in C^{\infty}(\overline{\Sigma})$ such that $\eta \equiv 0$ on $\overline{B_{\delta}(p)}$ and $\eta \equiv 1$ on $\overline{\Sigma}/B_{2\delta}(p)$. Using Lemma 2.2, we have $\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \|\nabla_g(\eta u_{\varepsilon})\|_2 = 0$. Hence $e^{(\pi-\varepsilon)u_{\varepsilon}^2}$ is bounded in $L^s(\overline{\Sigma}/B_{2\delta}(p))$ for any s > 1. It follows from (2.25) that $\frac{\partial(c_{\varepsilon}u_{\varepsilon})}{\partial \mathbf{n}} \in L^{s_0}(\overline{\Sigma}\setminus B_{2\delta}(p))$ for some $s_0 > 2$. Applying the elliptic estimate to (2.25), we get that $c_{\varepsilon}u_{\varepsilon}$ is bounded in $C^1(\overline{\Sigma}/B_{4\delta}(p))$. Then there holds $\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} c_{\varepsilon}u_{\varepsilon} = G$ in $C^1_{\text{loc}}(\overline{\Sigma}/\{p\})$. This completes the proof of the lemma.

Applying the elliptic estimate to (2.24), we can decompose G near p,

$$G = -\frac{1}{\pi}\ln r + A_p + O(r), \qquad (2.30)$$

where r = dist(x, p) and A_p is a constant depending only on α , p and (Σ, g) .

Step 3 Upper bound estimate.

To derive an upper bound of $\sup_{u \in \mathcal{H}} \int_{\partial \Sigma} e^{\pi u^2} ds_g$, we use the capacity estimate, which was first used by Li [13] in this topic and also used by Li-Liu [15].

Lemma 2.5 Under the hypotheses $c_{\varepsilon} \to +\infty$ and $x_{\varepsilon} \to p \in \partial \Sigma$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$, there holds

$$\sup_{u \in \mathcal{H}} \int_{\partial \Sigma} e^{\pi u^2} ds_g \le \ell(\partial \Sigma) + 2\pi e^{\pi A_p}.$$
(2.31)

Proof We take an isothermal coordinate system (U, ϕ) near p such that $\phi(p) = 0$, ϕ maps U to \mathbb{R}^2_+ , and $\phi(U \cap \partial \Sigma) \subset \partial \mathbb{R}^2_+$. In such coordinates, the metric g has the representation $g = e^{2f}(dx_1^2 + dx_2^2)$ and f is a smooth function with f(0) = 0. We claim that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{\lambda_{\varepsilon}}{c_{\varepsilon}^2} \le 2\pi \mathrm{e}^{\pi A_p}.$$
(2.32)

To confirm this claim, we set $a = \sup_{\partial \mathbb{B}_{\delta} \cap \mathbb{R}^2_+} \overline{u}_{\varepsilon}$ and $b = \inf_{\partial \mathbb{B}_{Rr_{\varepsilon}} \cap \mathbb{R}^2_+} \overline{u}_{\varepsilon}$ for sufficiently small $\delta > 0$

and some fixed R > 0, where $\overline{u}_{\varepsilon} = u_{\varepsilon} \circ \phi^{-1}$. It follows from (2.30) and Lemma 2.4 that on $\partial \mathbb{B}_{\delta} \cap \mathbb{R}^2_+, \overline{u}_{\varepsilon} = \frac{G + o_{\varepsilon}(1)}{c_{\varepsilon}}$, which leads to

$$a = \frac{1}{c_{\varepsilon}} \Big(\frac{1}{\pi} \ln \frac{1}{\delta} + A_p + o_{\delta}(1) + o_{\varepsilon}(1) \Big),$$

where $o_{\delta}(1) \to 0$, $o_{\varepsilon}(1) \to 0$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$. According to (2.15)–(2.16), we have on $\partial \mathbb{B}_{Rr_{\varepsilon}} \cap \mathbb{R}^2_+$,

$$\overline{u}_{\varepsilon}(x) = c_{\varepsilon} + \frac{\varphi(x) + o_{\varepsilon}(1)}{c_{\varepsilon}}$$

then there holds

$$b = c_{\varepsilon} + \frac{1}{c_{\varepsilon}} \Big(-\frac{1}{2\pi} \ln(1 + \pi^2 R^2) + o_{\varepsilon}(1) \Big).$$

From a direct computation, there holds

$$\pi (a-b)^2 = \pi c_{\varepsilon}^2 + 2\ln\delta - 2\pi A_p - \ln(1+\pi^2 R^2) + o_{\delta}(1) + o_{\varepsilon}(1).$$
(2.33)

Define

$$W_{a,b} = \Big\{ \overline{u} \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{B}^+_{\delta} \setminus \mathbb{B}^+_{Rr_{\varepsilon}}) : \ \overline{u}|_{\partial \mathbb{B}_{\delta} \cap \mathbb{R}^2_+} = a, \ \overline{u}|_{\partial \mathbb{B}_{Rr_{\varepsilon}} \cap \mathbb{R}^2_+} = b, \ \frac{\partial \overline{u}}{\partial \mathbf{v}} \Big|_{\partial \mathbb{R}^2_+ \cap (\mathbb{B}_{\delta} \setminus \mathbb{B}_{Rr_{\varepsilon}})} = 0 \Big\}.$$

Suppose that $\inf_{u \in W_{a,b}} \int_{\mathbb{B}^+_{\delta} \setminus \mathbb{B}^+_{R_{r_{\varepsilon}}}} |\nabla_{\mathbb{R}^2} u|^2 dx$ can be attained by some function $m(x) \in W_{a,b}$ with $\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^2} m(x) = 0$. We can check that

$$m(x) = \frac{a(\ln|x| - \ln(Rr_{\varepsilon})) + b(\ln\delta - \ln|x|)}{\ln\delta - \ln(Rr_{\varepsilon})}$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}^+_{\delta} \setminus \mathbb{B}^+_{Rr_{\varepsilon}}} |\nabla_{\mathbb{R}^2} m(x)|^2 \mathrm{d}x = \frac{\pi (a-b)^2}{\ln \delta - \ln(Rr_{\varepsilon})}.$$
(2.34)

Recalling (2.4) and (2.9), we have

$$\ln \delta - \ln(Rr_{\varepsilon}) = \ln \delta - \ln R - \ln \frac{\lambda_{\varepsilon}}{c_{\varepsilon}^2} + (\pi - \varepsilon)c_{\varepsilon}^2.$$
(2.35)

Letting $\overline{u}_{\varepsilon} \in W_{a,b}$ and $u_{\varepsilon}^* = \max\{a, \min\{b, \overline{u}_{\varepsilon}\}\}$, one gets $|\nabla_{\mathbb{R}^2} u_{\varepsilon}^*| \leq |\nabla_{\mathbb{R}^2} \overline{u}_{\varepsilon}|$ in $\mathbb{B}_{\delta}^+ \setminus \mathbb{B}_{Rr_{\varepsilon}}^+$ for sufficiently small ε . Further using $||u_{\varepsilon}||_{1,\alpha}^2 = 1$, we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}^{+}_{\delta} \setminus \mathbb{B}^{+}_{Rr_{\varepsilon}}} |\nabla_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} m(x)|^{2} \mathrm{d}x \leq \int_{\mathbb{B}^{+}_{\delta} \setminus \mathbb{B}^{+}_{Rr_{\varepsilon}}} |\nabla_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} u_{\varepsilon}^{*}(x)|^{2} \mathrm{d}x \\
\leq \left(1 + \alpha \int_{\partial \Sigma} u_{\varepsilon}^{2} \mathrm{d}s_{g} - \int_{\Sigma} u_{\varepsilon}^{2} \mathrm{d}v_{g}\right) - \int_{\Sigma \setminus \phi^{-1}(\mathbb{B}^{+}_{\delta})} |\nabla_{g} u_{\varepsilon}|^{2} \mathrm{d}v_{g} \\
- \int_{\phi^{-1}(\mathbb{B}^{+}_{Rr_{\varepsilon}})} |\nabla_{g} u_{\varepsilon}|^{2} \mathrm{d}v_{g}.$$
(2.36)

Now we compute $\int_{\Sigma \setminus \phi^{-1}(\mathbb{B}^+_{\delta})} |\nabla_g u_{\varepsilon}|^2 dv_g$ and $\int_{\phi^{-1}(\mathbb{B}^+_{Rr_{\varepsilon}})} |\nabla_g u_{\varepsilon}|^2 dv_g$. In view of (2.30), we obtain

$$\int_{\Sigma\setminus\phi^{-1}(\mathbb{B}^+_{\delta})} |\nabla_g G|^2 \mathrm{d}v_g = \frac{1}{\pi} \ln \frac{1}{\delta} + A_p + \alpha ||G||^2_{L^2(\partial\Sigma)} + o_{\varepsilon}(1) + o_{\delta}(1).$$

Hence we have by Lemma 2.4,

$$\int_{\Sigma\setminus\phi^{-1}(\mathbb{B}^+_{\delta})} |\nabla_g u_{\varepsilon}|^2 \mathrm{d}v_g = \frac{1}{c_{\varepsilon}^2} \Big(\frac{1}{\pi} \ln \frac{1}{\delta} + A_p + \alpha \|G\|_{L^2(\partial\Sigma)}^2 + o_{\varepsilon}(1) + o_{\delta}(1) \Big).$$
(2.37)

According to (2.11), (2.15) and (2.16), one gets

$$\int_{\phi^{-1}(\mathbb{B}^+_{Rr_{\varepsilon}})} |\nabla_g u_{\varepsilon}|^2 \mathrm{d}v_g = \frac{1}{c_{\varepsilon}^2} \Big(\frac{1}{\pi} \ln R + \frac{1}{\pi} \ln \frac{\pi}{2} + o_{\varepsilon}(1) + o_R(1) \Big), \tag{2.38}$$

where $o_R(1) \to 0$ as $R \to +\infty$. In view of (2.33)–(2.38), we obtain

$$\ln \frac{\lambda_{\varepsilon}}{c_{\varepsilon}^2} \le \ln \left(2\pi\right) + \pi A_p + o(1),$$

where $o(1) \to 0$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$ first, then $R \to +\infty$ and $\delta \to 0$. Hence (2.32) follows. Combining (2.5), (2.32) with Lemma 2.3, we finish the proof of the lemma.

Step 4 Existence result.

In this step, we always assume that $0 \leq \alpha < \lambda_1(\partial \Sigma)$. We take an isothermal coordinate system (U, ϕ) near p such that $\phi(p) = 0$, ϕ maps U to \mathbb{R}^2_+ , and $\phi(U \cap \partial \Sigma) \subset \partial \mathbb{R}^2_+$. In such coordinates, the metric g has the representation $g = e^{2f}(dx_1^2 + dx_2^2)$ and f is a smooth function with f(0) = 0. Set a cut-off function $\xi \in C_0^{\infty}(\phi^{-1}(\mathbb{B}^+_{2R\varepsilon}))$ with $\xi = 1$ on $\phi^{-1}(\mathbb{B}^+_{R\varepsilon})$ and $\|\nabla_g \xi\|_{L^{\infty}} = O(\frac{1}{R\varepsilon})$. Denote $\beta = G + \frac{1}{\pi} \ln r - A_p$ for any r > 0, where G is defined by (2.30). Let $R = \ln^2 \varepsilon$, then $R \to +\infty$ and $R\varepsilon \to 0$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$. We construct a blow-up sequence of functions

$$v_{\varepsilon} = \begin{cases} \left(c - \frac{1}{2\pi c} \ln \frac{\pi^2 x_1^2 + (\pi x_2 + \varepsilon)^2}{\varepsilon^2} + \frac{B}{c}\right) \circ \phi, & x \in \phi^{-1}(\mathbb{B}_{R\varepsilon}^+), \\ \frac{G - \xi\beta}{c}, & x \in \phi^{-1}(\mathbb{B}_{2R\varepsilon}^+ \backslash \mathbb{B}_{R\varepsilon}^+), \\ \frac{G}{c}, & x \in \Sigma \backslash \phi^{-1}(\mathbb{B}_{2R\varepsilon}^+) \end{cases}$$
(2.39)

for some constants B, c to be determined later, such that

$$\int_{\Sigma} (|\nabla_g v_{\varepsilon}|^2 + (v_{\varepsilon} - \overline{v}_{\varepsilon})^2) \mathrm{d}v_g - \alpha \int_{\partial \Sigma} (v_{\varepsilon} - \overline{v}_{\varepsilon})^2 \mathrm{d}s_g = 1$$
(2.40)

and $v_{\varepsilon} - \overline{v}_{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{H}$, where $\overline{v}_{\varepsilon} = \frac{\int_{\partial \Sigma} v_{\varepsilon} \mathrm{d}s_g}{\ell(\partial \Sigma)}$.

Note that $\int_{\partial \Sigma} G ds_g = 0$, one has $\overline{v}_{\varepsilon} = O(R\varepsilon \ln^2(R\varepsilon))$, and then

$$\begin{cases} \int_{\partial \Sigma} |v_{\varepsilon} - \overline{v}_{\varepsilon}|^2 \mathrm{d}s_g = \frac{\|G\|_{L^2(\partial \Sigma)}^2}{c^2} + O(R\varepsilon \ln^2(R\varepsilon)), \\ \int_{\Sigma} |v_{\varepsilon} - \overline{v}_{\varepsilon}|^2 \mathrm{d}v_g = \int_{\Sigma \setminus B_{R\varepsilon}(p)} \frac{G^2}{c^2} \mathrm{d}v_g + O(R\varepsilon \ln^2(R\varepsilon)). \end{cases}$$
(2.41)

A delicate calculation shows

$$\int_0^{\arcsin\frac{1}{\pi R}} \ln \sin \theta d\theta = \int_0^{\frac{1}{\pi R}} \frac{\ln t}{\sqrt{1-t^2}} dt \le C \int_0^{\frac{1}{\pi R}} \ln t dt = O\left(\frac{\ln R}{R}\right)$$
(2.42)

and

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\phi^{-1}(\mathbb{B}_{R\varepsilon}^{+})} |\nabla_{g} v_{\varepsilon}|^{2} \mathrm{d}v_{g} \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi^{2}c^{2}} \int_{Q(R)} |\nabla_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \ln(\pi^{2}x_{1}^{2} + \pi^{2}x_{2}^{2})|^{2} \mathrm{d}x_{1} \mathrm{d}x_{2} \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi^{2}c^{2}} \int_{\mathrm{arcsin}\frac{1}{\pi R}}^{\pi-\mathrm{arcsin}\frac{1}{\pi R}} \mathrm{d}\theta \int_{\frac{1}{\pi}\sin\theta}^{R} |\nabla_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \ln(\pi^{2}R^{2})|^{2} r \mathrm{d}r \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi^{2}c^{2}} \Big(\pi\ln(\pi R) + \int_{0}^{\pi}\ln\sin\theta \, \mathrm{d}\theta - 2 \int_{0}^{\mathrm{arcsin}\frac{1}{\pi R}} \ln\sin\theta \, \mathrm{d}\theta + O\Big(\frac{\ln R}{R}\Big)\Big) \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi c^{2}} \Big(\ln R + \ln\frac{\pi}{2} + O\Big(\frac{\ln R}{R}\Big)\Big), \end{split}$$
(2.43)

where $Q(R) = \{(x_1, x_2) : x_1^2 + x_2^2 \le R^2, x_2 \ge \frac{1}{\pi}\}$. According to (2.37) and (2.39), one has

$$\int_{\Sigma\setminus\phi^{-1}(\mathbb{B}^+_{R\varepsilon})} |\nabla_g v_{\varepsilon}|^2 \mathrm{d}v_g$$

= $\frac{1}{c^2} \Big(A_p + \alpha \|G\|_{L^2(\partial\Sigma)}^2 - \frac{1}{\pi} \ln(R\varepsilon) - \int_{\Sigma\setminus B_{R\varepsilon}(p)} G^2 \mathrm{d}v_g + O(R\varepsilon \ln^2(R\varepsilon)) \Big).$ (2.44)

In view of (2.40)–(2.44), there holds

$$c^{2} = A_{p} + \frac{1}{\pi} \ln\left(\frac{\pi}{2\varepsilon}\right) + O\left(\frac{\ln R}{R}\right) + O(R\varepsilon \ln^{2}(R\varepsilon)).$$
(2.45)

Moreover, in order to assure that $v_{\varepsilon} \in W^{1,2}(\Sigma, g)$, we obtain

$$c^{2} - \frac{1}{2\pi}\ln(\pi^{2}R^{2}) + B + O\left(\frac{1}{R}\right) = -\frac{1}{\pi}\ln(R\varepsilon) + A_{p},$$

which is equivalent to

$$c^{2} = \frac{1}{\pi} \ln \pi - \frac{1}{\pi} \ln \varepsilon - B + A_{p} + O\left(\frac{1}{R}\right).$$
(2.46)

According to (2.45)–(2.46), one gets

$$B = \frac{1}{\pi} \ln 2 + O\left(\frac{\ln R}{R}\right) + O(R\varepsilon \ln^2(R\varepsilon)).$$

It follows that in $\partial \Sigma \cap B_{R\varepsilon}(p)$,

$$\pi(v_{\varepsilon} - \overline{v}_{\varepsilon})^2 \ge \ln(2\pi) + \pi A_p - \ln\left(\frac{\varepsilon^2 + \pi^2 x_1^2}{\varepsilon}\right) + O\left(\frac{\ln R}{R}\right) + O(R\varepsilon \ln^2(R\varepsilon)).$$

Hence

$$\int_{\partial\Sigma\cap\phi^{-1}(\mathbb{B}^+_{R\varepsilon})} \mathrm{e}^{\pi(v_{\varepsilon}-\overline{v}_{\varepsilon})^2} \,\mathrm{d}s_g \ge 2\pi \mathrm{e}^{\pi A_p} + O\Big(\frac{\ln R}{R}\Big) + O(R\varepsilon\ln^2(R\varepsilon)).$$
(2.47)

On the other hand, from the fact $e^t \ge t + 1$ for any t > 0 and (2.39), we get

$$\int_{\partial \Sigma \setminus \phi^{-1}(\mathbb{B}^+_{R_{\varepsilon}})} e^{\pi (v_{\varepsilon} - \overline{v}_{\varepsilon})^2} ds_g \ge \int_{\partial \Sigma \setminus \phi^{-1}(\mathbb{B}^+_{R_{\varepsilon}})} (1 + \pi (v_{\varepsilon} - \overline{v}_{\varepsilon})^2) ds_g$$
$$\ge \ell(\partial \Sigma) + \frac{\pi \|G\|_{L^2(\partial \Sigma)}^2}{c^2} + O(R\varepsilon \ln^2(R\varepsilon)). \tag{2.48}$$

From (2.47)–(2.48) and $R = \ln^2 \varepsilon$, there holds

$$\int_{\partial \Sigma} e^{\pi (v_{\varepsilon} - \overline{v}_{\varepsilon})^2} ds_g > \ell(\partial \Sigma) + 2\pi e^{\pi A_p}$$
(2.49)

for sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$. The contradiction between (2.31) and (2.49) indicates that c_{ε} must be bounded. Then Theorem 1.1 follows.

3 Higher Order Eigenvalue Cases

In this section, we will prove Theorem 1.3 involving higher order eigenvalues through blowup analysis. Let k be a positive integer and $E_k(\partial \Sigma)$ be defined by (1.10). Denote the dimension of $E_k(\partial \Sigma)$ by s_k . From [4, Theorem 9.31], it is known that s_k is a finite constant only depending on k. Then we can find a set of normal orthogonal basis $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^{s_k} \in C^{\infty}(\overline{\Sigma})$ of $E_k(\partial \Sigma)$ satisfying

$$\int_{\partial \Sigma} e_i ds_g = 0,$$

$$\Delta_g e_i + e_i = 0 \quad \text{in } \Sigma,$$

$$\frac{\partial e_i}{\partial \mathbf{n}} = \lambda_{k_0} (\partial \Sigma) e_i \quad \text{on } \partial \Sigma,$$
(3.1)

where $k_0 \leq k$ is a positive integer.

3.1 Blow-up analysis

Let $\lambda_{k+1}(\partial \Sigma)$ and S be defined by (1.9) and (1.12), respectively. In view of Lemma 2.1 and (3.1), we have the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1 Let $0 \le \alpha < \lambda_{k+1}(\partial \Sigma)$ be fixed. For any $0 < \varepsilon < \pi$, the supremum

$$\sup_{u\in\mathcal{S}}\int_{\partial\Sigma}\mathrm{e}^{(\pi-\varepsilon)u^2}\mathrm{d}s_g$$

is attained by some function $u_{\varepsilon} \in S \cap C^{\infty}(\overline{\Sigma})$. Moreover, the Euler-Lagrange equation of u_{ε} is

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_g u_{\varepsilon} + u_{\varepsilon} = 0 & \text{in } \Sigma, \\ \frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial \mathbf{n}} = \frac{1}{\lambda_{\varepsilon}} u_{\varepsilon} \mathrm{e}^{(\pi - \varepsilon) u_{\varepsilon}^2} + \alpha u_{\varepsilon} - \frac{\mu_{\varepsilon}}{\lambda_{\varepsilon}} - \sum_{i=1}^{s_k} \frac{\beta_{\varepsilon,i}}{\lambda_{\varepsilon}} e_i & \text{on } \partial \Sigma, \\ \lambda_{\varepsilon} = \int_{\partial \Sigma} u_{\varepsilon}^2 \mathrm{e}^{(\pi - \varepsilon) u_{\varepsilon}^2} \mathrm{d} s_g, & \\ \mu_{\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{\ell(\partial \Sigma)} \Big(\int_{\partial \Sigma} u_{\varepsilon} \mathrm{e}^{(\pi - \varepsilon) u_{\varepsilon}^2} \mathrm{d} s_g - \lambda_{\varepsilon} \int_{\Sigma} u_{\varepsilon} \mathrm{d} v_g \Big), \\ \beta_{\varepsilon,i} = \int_{\partial \Sigma} u_{\varepsilon} \mathrm{e}^{(\pi - \varepsilon) u_{\varepsilon}^2} e_i \mathrm{d} s_g. \end{cases}$$
(3.2)

Without loss of generality, we set $c_{\varepsilon} = |u_{\varepsilon}(x_{\varepsilon})| = \max_{\overline{\Sigma}} |u_{\varepsilon}|$. We first assume that c_{ε} is bounded, which together with elliptic estimates completes the proof of Theorem 1.3. In the remainder of Section 3, we assume

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} c_{\varepsilon} = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} u_{\varepsilon}(x_{\varepsilon}) = +\infty$$

and $x_{\varepsilon} \to p$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$. Applying maximum principle to (3.2), we have $p \in \partial \Sigma$. Similar to Lemma 4, we get the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2 There hold $c_{\varepsilon}u_{\varepsilon} \rightharpoonup G$ weakly in $W^{1,q}(\Sigma, g)$ ($\forall 1 < q < 2$), $c_{\varepsilon}u_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow G$ strongly in $L^2(\partial \Sigma, g)$ and $c_{\varepsilon}u_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow G$ in $C^1_{\text{loc}}(\overline{\Sigma} \setminus \{p\})$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, where G is a Green function satisfying

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_g G + G = \delta_p & \text{in } \Sigma, \\ \frac{\partial G}{\partial \mathbf{n}} = \alpha G - \frac{1}{\ell(\partial \Sigma)} - \sum_{i=1}^{s_k} e_i e_i(p) & \text{on } \partial \Sigma \setminus \{p\}, \\ \int_{\partial \Sigma} G \mathrm{d} s_g = 0. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, G near p can be decomposed into

$$G = -\frac{1}{\pi}\ln r + A_p + O(r), \qquad (3.3)$$

where r = dist(x, p) and A_p is a constant depending only on α, p and (Σ, g) . Analogous to Lemma 2.5, using the capacity estimate, we derive an upper bound of the supremum (1.13):

$$\sup_{u \in \mathcal{S}} \int_{\partial \Sigma} e^{\pi u^2} ds_g \le \ell(\partial \Sigma) + 2\pi e^{\pi A_p}.$$
(3.4)

3.2 Existence result

We always assume that $c_{\varepsilon} \to +\infty$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$. Take an isothermal coordinate system (U, ϕ) near p such that $\phi(p) = 0$, ϕ maps U to \mathbb{R}^2_+ , and $\phi(U \cap \partial \Sigma) \subset \partial \mathbb{R}^2_+$. In such coordinates, the metric g has the representation $g = e^{2f}(dx_1^2 + dx_2^2)$ and f is a smooth function with f(0) = 0. Set a cut-off function $\xi \in C_0^{\infty}(\phi^{-1}(\mathbb{B}^+_{2R\varepsilon}))$ with $\xi = 1$ on $\phi^{-1}(\mathbb{B}^+_{R\varepsilon})$ and $\|\nabla_g \xi\|_{L^{\infty}} = O(\frac{1}{R\varepsilon})$. Denote $\beta = G + \frac{1}{\pi} \ln r - A_p$, where G is defined by (3.3). Let $R = \ln^2 \varepsilon$, then $R \to +\infty$ and $R\varepsilon \to 0$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$. We construct a blow-up sequence of functions

$$v_{\varepsilon} = \begin{cases} \left(c - \frac{1}{2\pi c} \ln \frac{\pi^2 x_1^2 + (\pi x_2 + \varepsilon)^2}{\varepsilon^2} + \frac{B}{c}\right) \circ \phi, & x \in \phi^{-1}(\mathbb{B}_{R\varepsilon}^+), \\ \frac{G - \xi\beta}{c}, & x \in \phi^{-1}(\mathbb{B}_{2R\varepsilon}^+ \backslash \mathbb{B}_{R\varepsilon}^+), \\ \frac{G}{c}, & x \in \Sigma \backslash \phi^{-1}(\mathbb{B}_{2R\varepsilon}^+) \end{cases}$$

for some constants B, c to be determined later, such that

$$\int_{\Sigma} (|\nabla_g v_{\varepsilon}|^2 + (v_{\varepsilon} - \overline{v}_{\varepsilon})^2) \mathrm{d}v_g - \alpha \int_{\partial \Sigma} (v_{\varepsilon} - \overline{v}_{\varepsilon})^2 \mathrm{d}s_g = 1$$

and $v_{\varepsilon} - \overline{v}_{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{S}$, where $\overline{v}_{\varepsilon} = \frac{\int_{\partial \Sigma} v_{\varepsilon} ds_g}{\ell(\partial \Sigma)}$. Analogous to Subsection 2.4, we determine the constants

$$B = \frac{1}{\pi} \ln 2 + O\left(\frac{\ln R}{R}\right) + O(R\varepsilon \ln^2(R\varepsilon))$$

and

$$c^{2} = A_{p} + \frac{1}{\pi} \ln\left(\frac{\pi}{2\varepsilon}\right) + O\left(\frac{\ln R}{R}\right) + O(R\varepsilon \ln^{2}(R\varepsilon))$$

Then we get

$$\int_{\partial \Sigma} e^{\pi (v_{\varepsilon} - \overline{v}_{\varepsilon})^2} ds_g \ge 2\pi e^{\pi A_p} + \ell(\partial \Sigma) + \frac{\pi \|G\|_{L^2(\partial \Sigma)}^2}{c^2} + O(R\varepsilon \ln^2(R\varepsilon)) + O\left(\frac{\ln R}{R}\right).$$
(3.5)

Setting

$$v_{\varepsilon}^* = (v_{\varepsilon} - \overline{v}_{\varepsilon}) - \sum_{i=1}^{s_k} e_i \int_{\partial \Sigma} (v_{\varepsilon} - \overline{v}_{\varepsilon}) e_i \, \mathrm{d}s_g \in E_k^{\perp},$$

one gets $\int_{\partial \Sigma} v_{\varepsilon}^* \, \mathrm{d}s_g = 0$ and

$$\int_{\Sigma} (|\nabla_g v_{\varepsilon}^*|^2 + (v_{\varepsilon}^*)^2) \mathrm{d}v_g - \alpha \int_{\partial \Sigma} (v_{\varepsilon}^*)^2 \mathrm{d}s_g = 1 + O\left(\frac{1}{R^2}\right).$$

It is easy to verify $V_{\varepsilon} = v_{\varepsilon}^* / \|v_{\varepsilon}^*\|_{1,\alpha}^2 \in \mathcal{S}$. In view of (3.5) and $R = \ln^2 \varepsilon$, we have

$$\int_{\partial \Sigma} e^{\pi V_{\varepsilon}^{2}} ds_{g} \geq \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{R^{2}}\right)\right) \int_{\partial \Sigma} e^{\pi (v_{\varepsilon} - \overline{v}_{\varepsilon})^{2}} ds_{g}$$

$$\geq 2\pi e^{\pi A_{p}} + \ell(\partial \Sigma) + \frac{\pi \|G\|_{L^{2}(\partial \Sigma)}^{2}}{c^{2}} + O(R\varepsilon \ln^{2}(R\varepsilon)) + O\left(\frac{\ln R}{R}\right)$$

$$> 2\pi e^{\pi A_{p}} + \ell(\partial \Sigma)$$
(3.6)

for sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$. The contradiction between (3.4) and (3.6) indicates that the assumption of $\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} c_{\varepsilon} = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} u_{\varepsilon}(x_{\varepsilon}) = +\infty$ is not true. Then c_{ε} must be bounded and Theorem 1.3 follows from the elliptic estimate.

References

- Adimurthi and Druet, O., Blow-up analysis in dimension 2 and a sharp form of Trudinger-Moser inequality, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, 29, 2004, 295–322.
- [2] Adimurthi and Struwe, M., Global compactness properties of semilinear elliptic equation with critical exponential growth, J. Funct. Anal., 175, 2000, 125–167.
- [3] Aubin, T., Sur la function exponentielle, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B, 270, 1970, A1514–A1516.
- Brezis, H., Functional Analysis, Sobolev Spaces and Partial Differential Equations, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2011.
- [5] Carleson, L. and Chang, S., On the existence of an extremal function for an inequality of J. Moser, Bull. Sci. Math., 110, 1986, 113–127.
- [6] Cherrier, P., Une inégalité de Sobolev sur les variétés Riemanniennes, Bull. Sci. Math., 103, 1979, 353–374.
- [7] de Souza, M. and do Ó, J., A sharp Trudinger-Moser type inequality in ℝ², Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 366, 2014, 4513–4549.
- [8] Ding, W., Jost, J., Li, J. and Wang, G., The differential equation $\Delta u = 8\pi 8\pi h e^u$ on a compact Riemann surface, Asian J. Math., 1, 1997, 230–248.
- do Ó, J. and de Souza, M., Trudinger-Moser inequality on the whole plane and extremal functions, Commun. Contemp. Math., 18(5), 2016, 1550054, 32 pp.
- [10] Fang, Y. and Zhang, M., On a class of Kazdan-Warner equations, Turkish J. Math., 42, 2018, 2400–2416.
- [11] Flucher, M., Extremal functions for the trudinger-moser inequality in 2 dimensions, Comment. Math. Helv., 67, 1992, 471–497.
- [12] Fontana, L., Sharp borderline Sobolev inequalities on compact Riemannian manifolds, Comment. Math. Helv., 68, 1993, 415–454.
- [13] Li, Y., Moser-Trudinger inequality on compact Riemannian manifolds of dimension two, J. Partial Differential Equations, 14, 2001, 163–192.
- [14] Li, Y., Extremal functions for the Moser-Trudinger inequalities on compact Riemannian manifolds, Sci. China Ser. A, 48, 2005, 618–648.
- [15] Li, Y. and Liu, P., Moser-Trudinger inequality on the boundary of compact Riemannian surface, Math. Z., 250, 2005, 363–386.
- [16] Li, Y. and Zhu, M., Uniqueness theorems through the method of moving spheres, Duke Math. J., 80, 1995, 383–417.
- [17] Lin, K., Extremal functions for Moser's inequality, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 348, 1996, 2663–2671.
- [18] Liu, P., A Moser-Trudinger type inequality and blow-up analysis on compact Riemannian surface, Doctoral thesis, Max-Plank Institute, Germany, 2005.
- [19] Mancini, G. and Martinazzi, L., Extremals for fractional Moser-Trudinger inequalities in dimension 1 via harmonic extensions and commutator estimates, Adv. Nonlinear Stud., 20, 2020, 599–632.
- [20] Moser, J., A sharp form of an inequality by N. Trudinger, Indiana Univ. Math. J., 20, 1970/71, 1077–1092.
- [21] Nguyen, V., Improved Moser-Trudinger inequality for functions with mean value zero in \mathbb{R}^n and its extremal functions, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **163**, 2017, 127–145.

- [22] Nguyen, V., Improved Moser-Trudinger type inequalities in the hyperbolic space Hⁿ, Nonlinear Anal., 168, 2018, 67–80.
- [23] Osgood, B., Phillips, R. and Sarnak, P., Extremals of determinants of Laplacians, J. Funct. Anal., 80, 1988, 148–211.
- [24] Peetre, J., Espaces d'interpolation et théorème de Soboleff, Ann. Inst. Fourier, 16, 1966, 279–317.
- [25] Pohožaev, S., The Sobolev embedding in the special case pl = n, Proceedings of the Technical Scientific Conference on Advances of Scientific Research 1964–1965, Math. Sections, Moscov. Energet. Inst., 1965, 158–170.
- [26] Tintarev, C., Trudinger-Moser inequality with remainder terms, J. Funct. Anal., 266, 2014, 55–66.
- [27] Trudinger, N., On embeddings into Orlicz spaces and some applications, J. Math. Mech., 17, 1967, 473– 484.
- [28] Yang, Y., Moser-Trudinger trace inequalities on a compact Riemannian surface with boundary, Pacific J. Math., 227, 2006, 177–200.
- [29] Yang, Y., A sharp form of trace Moser-Trudinger inequality on compact Riemannian surface with boundary, Math. Z., 255, 2007, 373–392.
- [30] Yang, Y., Extremal functions for Trudinger-Moser inequalities of Adimurthi-Druet type in dimension two, J. Diff. Eq., 258, 2015, 3161–3193.
- [31] Yang, Y. and Zhou, J., Blow-up analysis involving isothermal coordinates on the boundary of compact Riemann surface, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 504(2), 2021, No. 125440, 39 pp.
- [32] Yudovich, V., Some estimates connected with integral operators and with solutions of elliptic equations, Sov. Math. Docl., 2, 1961, 746–749.
- [33] Zhang, M., On extremals for the Trudinger-Moser inequality with vanishing weight in the N-dimensional unit ball, Math. Inequal. Appl., 23, 2020, 699–711.
- [34] Zhang, M., Extremals for a Trudinger-Moser inequality with a vanishing weight in the unit disk, Anal. Math., 46, 2020, 639–654.
- [35] Zhang, M., Extremal functions for a class of trace Trudinger-Moser inequalities on a compact Riemann surface with smooth boundary, Commun. Pure Appl. Anal., 20, 2021, 1721–1735.
- [36] Zhang, M., A Trudinger-Moser inequality with mean value zero on a compact Riemann surface with boundary, Math. Inequal. Appl., 24, 2021, 775–791.
- [37] Zhang, M., A Trudinger-Moser inequality involving L^p-norm on a closed riemann surface, Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.), 37, 2021, 538–550.
- [38] Zhu, J., Improved Moser-Trudinger inequality involving L^p norm in *n* dimensions, *Adv. Nonlinear Stud.*, **14**, 2014, 273–293.
- [39] Zhu, X., A generalized Trudinger-Moser inequality on a compact Riemannian surface with conical singularities, Sci. China Math., 62, 2019, 699–718.