

HOPF-JACOBSON RADICAL FOR COMODULE ALGEBRAS***

CAI CHUANREN* GUO GUANGQUAN**

Abstract

Let H be a Hopf algebra over a field k (not necessarily finite dimensional). In this paper the Hopf-Jacobson radical $J^H(A)$ of right H -comodule algebra A (not necessarily with identity) is studied. The relationships between $J^H(A)$ and the Jacobson radical of the smash product $A\#H^{*\text{rat}}$ are discussed. The density theorem is given for left (A, H) -Hopf simple module.

Keywords Comodule algebra, Hopf-Jacobson radical, Density theorem

1991 MR Subject Classification 16W30, 16N20

Chinese Library Classification O153.3

§1. Introduction

Fisher^[7] discussed the Hopf-Jacobson radical $\mathbf{J}_H(A)$ of the H -module algebra A , where H is an irreducible Hopf algebra. In [5], Cai generalized Fisher's result. He proved that $\mathbf{J}_H(A)\#H \subseteq \mathbf{J}(A\#H)$, where H is an arbitrary Hopf algebra, A an H -module algebra. A version of the Chevalley-Jacobson density theorem for H -module algebra was also proved by Cai^[5].

Dually, Liu Guilong^[8] defined and studied the Hopf-Jacobson radical of H -comodule algebra A . In this paper, we study further the Hopf-Jacobson radical of the H -comodule algebra A , and we give a version of the Chevalley-Jacobson density theorem for H -comodule algebra.

In Section 2, we discuss the right H -comodule algebra A (not necessarily with identity 1), and the smash product $A\#H^{*\text{rat}}$. We first show that $H^{*\text{rat}}$ is an essential left (right) ideal of H^* . Next, we show that $\tilde{A}\#H^{*\text{rat}}$ is an essential right ideal of $\tilde{A}\#H^*$, where A is a right H -comodule algebra. Finally, we show that M is a left (A, H) -Hopf simple module if and only if it is a left $A\#H^{*\text{rat}}$ -simple module.

In Section 3, we first study the Hopf-Jacobson radical of the right H -comodule algebra A . We discuss the relationships between $\mathbf{J}(A^{\text{co}H})$ and $\mathbf{J}(A\#H^{*\text{rat}})$, between $\mathbf{J}^H(A)$ and $\mathbf{J}(A\#H^{*\text{rat}})$ and between $\mathbf{J}^H(A)$ and $\mathbf{J}(A^{\text{co}H})$ respectively, where $\mathbf{J}(R)$ denotes the Jacobson radical of algebra R , $\mathbf{J}^H(A)$ is the Hopf-Jacobson radical of the right H -comodule algebra A . Next, we show that if $A\#H^{*\text{rat}}$ is a primitive algebra then $A\#H^*$ is a primitive algebra.

Manuscript received October 14, 1996. Revised October 13, 1998.

*Department of Mathematics, Yangzhou University, Yangzhou 225002, China.

**Department of Mathematics, Nanjing Teacher's College, Nanjing 210017, China.

***Project supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China.

In Section 4, we first show that $\text{Hom}_A(M, N)$ is a left H -module, and we point out that $\text{HOM}_A(M, N) = [\text{Hom}_A(M, N)]^{\text{rat}}$, where the definition of $\text{HOM}_A(M, N)$ was given by K. H. Ulbrich^[11]. Next, we give a version of the Chevalley-Jacobson density theorem for right H -comodule algebras.

Throughout this paper, H denotes a Hopf algebra over a field k (not necessarily finite dimensional), with comultiplication Δ , counit ε , and antipode $\mathbf{S}$. H^* denotes the dual algebra of H , and ε is an identity of H^* . f^l (resp. f^r) denotes the left (resp. right) integral space. In this paper, unless otherwise stated, we use the notation from [10], $\otimes$ means $\otimes_k$, Hom means Hom_k and we assume that $f^l \neq 0$ ($f^r \neq 0$). In this case, $H^{*\text{rat}}$ is dense in H^* by [1, p.433], and $\mathbf{S}$ is bijective by [1, Proposition 2]. Let $\bar{\mathbf{S}}$ denote the inverse of $\mathbf{S}$.

Let A be a right H -comodule algebra over the field k , $\rho_A : A \rightarrow A \otimes H$ the comodule structure map. Define $A^{\text{co}H} = \{a \in A \mid \rho_A(a) = a \otimes 1\}$, the subalgebra of coinvariant elements. If A is a k -algebra with 1, then $1 \in A^{\text{co}H}$. In fact, since A is a right H -comodule, A is a left H^* -module. For any $a, b \in A$, $h^* \in H^*$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (h^* \rightarrow a)b &= \sum a_{(0)}b\langle h^*, a_{(1)} \rangle \\ &= \sum a_{(0)}b_{(0)}\langle h^*, a_{(1)}b_{(1)}\mathbf{S}b_{(2)} \rangle = \sum (\mathbf{S}b_{(1)} \rightarrow h^*) \rightarrow ab_{(0)}, \\ (h^* \rightarrow 1)b &= \sum (\mathbf{S}b_{(1)} \rightarrow h^*) \rightarrow b_{(0)} = \sum b_{(0)}\langle h^*, b_{(1)}\mathbf{S}b_{(2)} \rangle \\ &= \sum b_{(0)}\varepsilon(b_{(1)})\langle h^*, 1 \rangle = b\langle h^*, 1 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\rho_A(1) = 1 \otimes 1$, $1 \in A^{\text{co}H}$. In this case, we say that A is a right H -comodule algebra with 1. If not, let $\tilde{A} = A \oplus k$ (direct sum of vector spaces), $\tilde{A}$ becomes an algebra with 1 by the usual way. Define

$$\rho_{\tilde{A}} : \tilde{A} \rightarrow \tilde{A} \otimes H, \quad \rho_{\tilde{A}}(a + n) = \rho_A(a) + n \otimes 1,$$

then $\tilde{A}$ becomes a right H -comodule algebra with 1. We write $\tilde{A} = A$ for right H -comodule algebra A with 1. In this paper, unless otherwise stated, A will denote a right H -comodule algebra, and we will not require that A has an identity 1.

Let M be a left A -module, right H -comodule. The module action will be denoted by $a \cdot m$ for $a \in A$, $m \in M$ and the H -comodule structure map is given by $\rho_M : M \rightarrow M \otimes H$. We write $\rho_M(m) = \sum m_{(0)} \otimes m_{(1)}$ where the $m_{(0)}$'s lie in M while the $m_{(1)}$'s lie in H . M is called a left (A, H) -Hopf module if

$$\rho_M(a \cdot m) = \sum (a \cdot m)_{(0)} \otimes (a \cdot m)_{(1)} = \sum (a_{(0)} \cdot m_{(0)}) \otimes (a_{(1)}m_{(1)}).$$

M is called a right (A, H) -Hopf module, if M is a right A -module, a right H -comodule and

$$\rho_M(m \cdot a) = \sum (m \cdot a)_{(0)} \otimes (m \cdot a)_{(1)} = \sum (m_{(0)} \cdot a_{(0)}) \otimes (m_{(1)}a_{(1)}).$$

§2. A , $H^{*\text{rat}}$ and $A \# H^{*\text{rat}}$

In [2], Beattie defined the smash product $A \# H^*$ for the right H -comodule algebra A with 1. As a matter of fact, the smash product $A \# H^*$ may be defined for any right H -comodule algebra A . As a vector space, $A \# H^*$ is $A \otimes H^*$. Elements $a \otimes h^*$ will be written as $a \# h^*$, $a \in A$, $h^* \in H^*$. The multiplication is defined by

$$(a \# h^*)(b \# g^*) = \sum ab_{(0)} \# (h^* \leftarrow b_{(1)})g^*, \quad a, b \in A, \quad h^*, g^* \in H^*.$$

Clearly $A\#H^* \subseteq \tilde{A}\#H$. We note that the map $\gamma : A \rightarrow A\#H^*$ given by $\gamma : a \mapsto a\#\varepsilon$ is injective, thus we may regard $A \subseteq A\#H^*$. In addition, we write

$$A\#H^{*\text{rat}} = \left\{ \sum_i a_i \# h_i^* \mid a_i \in A, h_i^* \in H^{*\text{rat}} \right\}.$$

Lemma 2.1. (1) A is a comodule ideal of $\tilde{A}$;

(2) $A\#H^{*\text{rat}}$ is an ideal of $\tilde{A}\#H^*$;

(3) $A\#H^*$ is an ideal of $\tilde{A}\#H^*$.

Proof. Straightforward.

Theorem 2.1. (1) $H^{*\text{rat}}$ is an essential right (left) ideal of H^* .

(2) $\tilde{A}\#H^{*\text{rat}}$ is an essential right ideal of $\tilde{A}\#H^*$.

Proof. (1) Let I be a nonzero right ideal of H^* and $0 \neq f^* \in I$. Then there is an $h \in H$ such that $\langle f^*, h \rangle \neq 0$. Since $(id \otimes \varepsilon)(\Delta h - h \otimes 1) = 0$, $\Delta h - h \otimes 1 \in H \otimes \ker \varepsilon$. Set $\Delta h = h \otimes 1 + \sum_{i=1}^m x_i \otimes y_i$, $y_i \in \ker \varepsilon$, $1 \leq i \leq m$, and $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m$ are linearly independent. It follows that $1, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m$ are linearly independent. Since $H^{*\text{rat}}$ is dense in H^* , there is a $g^* \in H^{*\text{rat}}$ such that $\langle g^*, 1 \rangle = 1$, $\langle g^*, y_i \rangle = 0$, $1 \leq i \leq m$. Hence

$$\langle f^* * g^*, h \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^m \langle f^*, x_i \rangle \langle g^*, y_i \rangle + \langle f^*, h \rangle \langle g^*, 1 \rangle = \langle f^*, h \rangle \langle g^*, 1 \rangle \neq 0.$$

Thus $0 \neq f^* * g^* \in I * H^{*\text{rat}} \subseteq I \cap H^{*\text{rat}}$ and $I \cap H^{*\text{rat}} \neq 0$. Therefore $H^{*\text{rat}}$ is an essential right ideal of H^* .

Similarly, we can prove that $H^{*\text{rat}}$ is an essential left ideal of H^* .

(2) Let I be a nonzero right ideal of $\tilde{A}\#H^*$ and $0 \neq \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \# h_i^* \in I$, where $h_1^*, h_2^*, \dots, h_n^*$ are linearly independent elements of H^* and $a_1 \neq 0$. Then there is an $h \in H$, such that $\langle h_1^*, h \rangle = 1$, $\langle h_i^*, h \rangle = 0$, $2 \leq i \leq n$. Similarly to (1), let $\Delta h = h \otimes 1 + \sum_{j=1}^m x_j \otimes y_j$ where $1, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m$ are linearly independent elements of H . Then there is a $g^* \in H^{*\text{rat}}$ such that $\langle g^*, 1 \rangle = 1$ and $\langle g^*, y_j \rangle = 0$, $1 \leq j \leq m$. Thus $\langle h_1^* * g^*, h \rangle = 1$, $\langle h_i^* * g^*, h \rangle = 0$, $2 \leq i \leq n$. And it will be seen that the $h_i^* * g^*$ cannot be linearly represented by $h_2^* * g^*, h_3^* * g^*, \dots, h_n^* * g^*$. Hence $(\sum a_i \# h_i^*)(1 \# g^*) = \sum a_i \# (h_i^* * g^*) \neq 0$ and $I \cap (\tilde{A}\#H^{*\text{rat}}) \neq 0$. This completes the proof.

Lemma 2.2. In $\tilde{A}\#H^*$,

(1) $A\#H^{*\text{rat}} = (1\#H^{*\text{rat}})(A\#\varepsilon)$; (2) $A\#H^* = (A\#\varepsilon)(1\#H^*)$.

Proof. (1) For any $a \in A$, $h^* \in H^*$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} a\#h^* &= \sum a_{(0)}\varepsilon(a_{(1)})\#h^* = \sum a_{(0)}\#[h^* \leftarrow \varepsilon(a_{(1)})1_H] \\ &= \sum a_{(0)}\#[(h^* \leftarrow (\bar{S}a_{(2)})) \leftarrow a_{(1)}] = \sum [1\#(h^* \leftarrow (\bar{S}a_{(1)}))](a_{(0)}\#\varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

(2) For any $a \in A$, $h^* \in H^*$, one can easily check that $a\#h^* = (a\#\varepsilon)(1\#h^*)$. Thus (2) holds.

Lemma 2.3. (1) Let I be a nonzero right ideal of $A\#H^*$ with $I(A\#H^*) \neq 0$. Then

$$I \cap (A\#H^{*\text{rat}}) \neq 0.$$

(2) If I is a nonzero semiprime ideal of $A\#H^*$, then $I \cap (A\#H^{*\text{rat}}) \neq 0$.

Proof. (1) Since $A\#H^* \triangleleft \tilde{A}\#H^*$, $I(A\#H^*)$ is a nonzero right ideal of $\tilde{A}\#H^*$. By Theorem 2.1(2) we have $[I(A\#H^*)] \cap (\tilde{A}\#H^{*\text{rat}}) \neq 0$. Clearly $I(A\#H^*) \subseteq I$. Thus

$$I \cap (A\#H^{*\text{rat}}) = I \cap (\tilde{A}\#H^{*\text{rat}}) \neq 0.$$

(2) Since I is a semiprime ideal of $A\#H^*$ and $A\#H^* \triangleleft \tilde{A}\#H^*$, $I \triangleleft \tilde{A}\#H^*$. By Theorem 2.1(2) we have

$$I \cap (A\#H^{*\text{rat}}) = I \cap (\tilde{A}\#H^{*\text{rat}}) \neq 0.$$

Note that a left (resp. right) R -module M is called unital if $RM = M$ (resp. $MR = M$). An (A, H) -Hopf module M is called unital if it is a unital left A -module.

If M is a left (A, H) -Hopf module, then the right H -comodule structure induces naturally a left $H^{*\text{rat}}$ -module structure: $h^* \rightarrow m = \sum \langle h^*, m_{(1)} \rangle m_{(0)}$. Thus it induces naturally a left $A\#H^{*\text{rat}}$ -module structure: $(a\#h^*) \cdot m = a \cdot (h^* \rightarrow m)$, $m \in M$, $a \in A$, $h^* \in H^{*\text{rat}}$.

Set $(0 : A\#H^{*\text{rat}})_M = \{m \in M \mid A\#H^{*\text{rat}} \cdot m = 0\}$.

Theorem 2.2. (1) If M is a unital left (A, H) -Hopf module, then the left $A\#H^{*\text{rat}}$ -module induced above is unital.

(2) If M is a unital left $A\#H^{*\text{rat}}$ -module with $(0 : A\#H^{*\text{rat}})_M = 0$, then M becomes a unital left (A, H) -Hopf module and the induced left $A\#H^{*\text{rat}}$ -module structure coincides with the original one.

Proof. (1) Since $H^{*\text{rat}}$ is dense in H^* , by [3, Lemma 2.1 (2)], the left $H^{*\text{rat}}$ -module M is unital. Thus

$$(A\#H^{*\text{rat}}) \cdot M = A \cdot (H^{*\text{rat}} \rightarrow M) = A \cdot M = M.$$

(2) Since $(A\#H^{*\text{rat}}) \cdot M = M$, for any $m \in M$, there are $m_i \in M$, $x_i \in A\#H^{*\text{rat}}$ such that $m = \sum x_i \cdot m_i$. Since $A\#H^{*\text{rat}} \triangleleft \tilde{A}\#H^{*\text{rat}}$, for any $y \in \tilde{A}\#H^{*\text{rat}}$, define $y \cdot m = \sum (yx_i)m_i$. If $\sum x_i m_i = 0$, then

$$(A\#H^{*\text{rat}}) \left[\sum (yx_i)m_i \right] = [(A\#H^{*\text{rat}})y] \left(\sum x_i m_i \right) = 0,$$

hence $\sum (yx_i)m_i = 0$ and the action $y \cdot m$ is well-defined. Thus the $A\#H^{*\text{rat}}$ -module action on M is extended to an $\tilde{A}\#H^{*\text{rat}}$ -module action. By [3, Corollary 3.6 (1)], M becomes a left $(\tilde{A}, H)$ -Hopf module. Hence M is a left (A, H) -Hopf module, too. Furthermore,

$$A \cdot M = A \cdot (H^{*\text{rat}} \rightarrow M) = (A\#H^{*\text{rat}}) \cdot M = M.$$

Lemma 2.4. If M is a left (A, H) -Hopf module, then

(1) $(0 : M)_A = \{a \in A \mid a \cdot M = 0\}$ is a comodule ideal of A ;

(2) $(0 : A)_M = \{m \in M \mid A \cdot m = 0\}$ is an (A, H) -Hopf submodule of M .

Proof. (1) For any $a \in A$, $m \in M$, $h^* \in H^*$,

$$\begin{aligned} h^* \rightarrow (a \cdot m) &= \sum \langle h^*, a_{(1)}m_{(1)} \rangle a_{(0)} \cdot m_{(0)} \\ &= \sum \langle m_{(1)} \rightarrow h^*, a_{(1)} \rangle a_{(0)} \cdot m_{(0)} = \sum [(m_{(1)} \rightarrow h^*) \rightarrow a] \cdot m_{(0)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus for any $a \in (0 : M)_A$, $m \in M$, $h^* \in H^*$,

$$\begin{aligned} (h^* \rightarrow a) \cdot m &= \sum \varepsilon(m_{(1)})(h^* \rightarrow a) \cdot m_{(0)} = \sum [(\varepsilon(m_{(1)})1_H \rightarrow h^*) \rightarrow a] \cdot m_{(0)} \\ &= \sum [(m_{(1)} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}m_{(2)} \rightarrow h^*) \rightarrow a] \cdot m_{(0)} = \sum (\mathbf{S}m_{(1)} \rightarrow h^*) \rightarrow (a \cdot m_{(0)}) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $(0 : M)_A$ is a right H -comodule ideal of A .

(2) Let $m \in (0 : A)_M$, $a \in A$, $h \in H$,

$$\begin{aligned} a \cdot (h^* \rightarrow m) &= \sum \langle h^*, m_{(1)} \rangle a \cdot m_{(0)} = \sum \langle h^*, \varepsilon(a_{(1)}) m_{(1)} \rangle a_{(0)} \cdot m_{(0)} \\ &= \sum \langle h^* \leftarrow \bar{S}a_{(2)}, a_{(1)} m_{(1)} \rangle a_{(0)} \cdot m_{(0)} = \sum (h^* \leftarrow \bar{S}a_{(1)}) \rightarrow (a_{(0)} \cdot m) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $(0 : A)_M$ is a subcomodule of M and so it is an (A, H) -Hopf submodule of M .

Theorem 2.3. *M is a left (A, H) -Hopf simple module if and only if left $A\#H^{*\text{rat}}$ -module M is simple, i.e. M has no nontrivial $A\#H^{*\text{rat}}$ -submodules and $A\#H^{*\text{rat}} \cdot M \neq 0$.*

Proof. Let M be a left (A, H) -Hopf simple module, then M is a left $(\tilde{A}, H)$ -Hopf simple module. By [3, Proposition 3.5 (1) and Corollary 3.6 (1)] the left $\tilde{A}\#H^{*\text{rat}}$ -module M is a simple module. By Theorem 2.2(1), $(A\#H^{*\text{rat}}) \cdot M = M$. If N is a proper submodule of the left $A\#H^{*\text{rat}}$ -module M , then $(A\#H^{*\text{rat}}) \cdot N$ is a proper submodule of $\tilde{A}\#H^{*\text{rat}}$ -module M . Thus $(A\#H^{*\text{rat}}) \cdot N = 0$. Since $A \cdot N = A \cdot (H^{*\text{rat}} \rightarrow N) = (A\#H^{*\text{rat}})N = 0$, $N \subseteq (0 : A)_M = 0$. Hence the left $A\#H^{*\text{rat}}$ -module M is simple.

Conversely, let M be a left $A\#H^{*\text{rat}}$ -simple module. By Theorem 2.2(2), M becomes a left (A, H) -Hopf module and $A \cdot M = M$. It is clear that a left (A, H) -Hopf submodule of M is also a left $A\#H^{*\text{rat}}$ -submodule of M . Thus the left (A, H) -Hopf module M is simple.

§3. The Hopf-Jacobson Radical

Definition 3.1. *Let M be a left (A, H) -Hopf simple module. The $(0 : M)_A$ is said to be an (A, H) -Hopf primitive ideal of A . A is called an (A, H) -Hopf primitive algebra if there is an (A, H) -Hopf simple module M such that $(0 : M)_A = 0$. $\bigcap (0 : M)_A$ (M runs over all (A, H) -Hopf simple modules) is called the Hopf-Jacobson radical of A . We write $\mathbf{J}^H(A)$ for it.*

Theorem 3.1. (1) $\mathbf{J}^H(A)$ is a comodule ideal of A ;

(2) $\mathbf{J}^H(A)\#H^{*\text{rat}} \subseteq \mathbf{J}(A\#H^{*\text{rat}})$;

(3) $\mathbf{J}^H(A) = \{a \in A \mid a\#h^* \in \mathbf{J}(A\#H^{*\text{rat}}), \text{ for all } h^* \in H^{*\text{rat}}\}$;

(4) If H is a finite dimensional Hopf algebra, then $\mathbf{J}^H(A) = \mathbf{J}(A\#H^*) \cap A$.

Proof. (1) It is easy to check that the intersection of any set of comodule ideals of A is a comodule ideal. By Lemma 2.4, (1) is proved.

(2) Let M be a left $A\#H^{*\text{rat}}$ -simple module. Then M is a left (A, H) -Hopf simple module by Theorem 2.3. Therefore for any $a \in \mathbf{J}^H(A)$ and for all $h^* \in H^{*\text{rat}}$,

$$(a\#h^*) \cdot M = a \cdot (h^* \rightarrow M) \subseteq a \cdot M = 0$$

and so $a\#h^*$ is contained in $\mathbf{J}(A\#H^{*\text{rat}})$.

(3) Let $a \in A$ and suppose $a\#h^* \in \mathbf{J}(A\#H^{*\text{rat}})$ for all $h^* \in H^{*\text{rat}}$. Let N be a left (A, H) -Hopf simple module. Then N is a left $A\#H^{*\text{rat}}$ -simple module and annihilated by $a\#h^*$. Thus

$$a \cdot N = a \cdot (H^{*\text{rat}} \rightarrow N) = (a\#H^{*\text{rat}}) \cdot N = 0,$$

and so $a \in \mathbf{J}^H(A)$.

(4) When H is a finite dimensional Hopf algebra, $H^* = H^{*\text{rat}}$. The result follows immediately from (3).

Theorem 3.2. $\mathbf{J}^H(A)$ is the largest right H -comodule ideal I of A with $I\#H^{*\text{rat}} \subseteq \mathbf{J}(A\#H^{*\text{rat}})$.

Proof. It follows from Theorem 3.1(3).

Lemma 3.1. (1) If $a\#\varepsilon$ is invertible in $\tilde{A}\#H^*$, then a is also invertible in $\tilde{A}$;

(2) If $a \in \tilde{A}^{\text{co}H}$ is invertible in $\tilde{A}$, then a is also invertible in $\tilde{A}^{\text{co}H}$;

(3) $\mathbf{J}(A\#H^*) \cap A \subseteq \mathbf{J}(A)$; (4) $\mathbf{J}(A) \cap A^{\text{co}H} \subseteq \mathbf{J}(A^{\text{co}H})$.

Proof. (1) Let $a\#\varepsilon$ have an inverse $\sum b_i\#h_i^*$, where h_i^* 's are linearly independent and $h_1^* = \varepsilon$. Then $(a\#\varepsilon)(\sum b_i\#h_i^*) = \sum ab_i\#h_i^* = 1\#\varepsilon$. Thus $ab_1 = 1$ and $(a\#\varepsilon)(b_1\#\varepsilon) = 1\#\varepsilon$. This shows that $\sum b_i\#h_i^* = b_1\#\varepsilon$ and b_1 is the inverse of a .

(2) Let $b = a^{-1}$ with $a \in \tilde{A}^{\text{co}H}$ in $\tilde{A}$. Then $b \otimes 1_H = (b \otimes 1)\rho_{\tilde{A}}(ab) = (b \otimes 1)\rho_{\tilde{A}}(a)\rho_{\tilde{A}}(b) = (ba \otimes 1)\rho_{\tilde{A}}(b) = \rho_{\tilde{A}}(b)$, and hence $b \in \tilde{A}^{\text{co}H}$.

(3) Let $a \in \mathbf{J}(\tilde{A}\#H^*) \cap \tilde{A}$. Then $1 + a$ is invertible in $\tilde{A}\#H^*$. This implies that $1 + a$ is invertible in $\tilde{A}$. Thus $\mathbf{J}(\tilde{A}\#H^*) \cap \tilde{A}$ is a quasi-regular ideal of $\tilde{A}$, and we have $\mathbf{J}(\tilde{A}\#H^*) \cap \tilde{A} \subseteq \mathbf{J}(\tilde{A})$. Thus

$$\mathbf{J}(A\#H^*) \cap A \subseteq \mathbf{J}(\tilde{A}\#H^*) \cap \tilde{A} \cap A \subseteq \mathbf{J}(\tilde{A}) \cap A = \mathbf{J}(A).$$

(4) By (2), $\mathbf{J}(\tilde{A}) \cap \tilde{A}^{\text{co}H} \subseteq \mathbf{J}(\tilde{A}^{\text{co}H})$. Thus

$$\mathbf{J}(A) \cap A^{\text{co}H} \subseteq \mathbf{J}(\tilde{A}) \cap \tilde{A}^{\text{co}H} \cap A^{\text{co}H} \subseteq \mathbf{J}(\tilde{A}^{\text{co}H}) \cap A^{\text{co}H} = \mathbf{J}(A^{\text{co}H}).$$

Proposition 3.1. If I is a comodule ideal and $I \subseteq \mathbf{J}(A)$, then $I \subseteq \mathbf{J}^H(A)$.

Proof. Let M be a left (A, H) -Hopf simple module. Suppose N is a subcomodule of M generated by one element. Then N is finite dimensional. Clearly, $A \cdot N$ is an (A, H) -Hopf submodule of M . Since M is simple, $(0 : A)_M = 0$ and $A \cdot N = M$. Thus M is a finitely generated left A -module. Let V be a maximal A -submodule of M , then M/V is a left A -simple module. If I is a right H -comodule ideal of A and $I \subseteq \mathbf{J}(A)$, then $I \cdot M \subseteq V \neq M$ and $I \cdot M$ is an (A, H) -Hopf submodule of M . By the simplicity of M , we have $I \cdot M = 0$. Thus $I \subseteq \mathbf{J}^H(A)$.

Theorem 3.3. (1) If $\mathbf{J}(A)$ is a comodule ideal of A , then $\mathbf{J}(A) \subseteq \mathbf{J}^H(A)$;

(2) $\mathbf{J}(A\#H^{\text{rat}}) \cap A^{\text{co}H} \subseteq \mathbf{J}(A^{\text{co}H})$;

If H is a finite dimensional Hopf algebra, then

(3) $\mathbf{J}^H(A) \subseteq \mathbf{J}(A)$;

(4) $\mathbf{J}^H(A)$ is the largest one of comodule ideals which are contained in $\mathbf{J}(A)$;

(5) $\mathbf{J}^H(A) \cap A^{\text{co}H} \subseteq \mathbf{J}(A^{\text{co}H})$.

Proof. (1) Follows immediately from Proposition 3.1.

(2) By Lemma 3.1(3), (4), we have

$$\mathbf{J}(A\#H^{\text{rat}}) \cap A^{\text{co}H} \subseteq \mathbf{J}(A\#H^*) \cap A \cap A^{\text{co}H} \subseteq \mathbf{J}(A) \cap A^{\text{co}H} \subseteq \mathbf{J}(A^{\text{co}H}).$$

(3) By Theorem 3.1(4) and Lemma 3.1(3), we have

$$\mathbf{J}^H(A) = \mathbf{J}(A\#H^*) \cap A \subseteq \mathbf{J}(A).$$

(4) By Theorem 3.1(1), $\mathbf{J}^H(A)$ is a comodule ideal of A . The result follows immediately from (3) and Proposition 3.1.

(5) It follows immediately from (3) and Lemma 3.1(4).

Theorem 3.4. If $A^{\text{co}H}$ is a direct summand of the right $A^{\text{co}H}$ -module A as a submodule, then $\mathbf{J}^H(A) \cap A^{\text{co}H} \subseteq \mathbf{J}(A^{\text{co}H})$.

Proof. First consider the special case of a right H -comodule algebra A with identity

1. Let N be a left $A^{\text{co}H}$ -simple module. Since the right $A^{\text{co}H}$ -module exact sequence:

$0 \longrightarrow A^{\text{co}H} \longrightarrow A$ is split, the sequence: $0 \longrightarrow A^{\text{co}H} \otimes_{A^{\text{co}H}} N \longrightarrow A \otimes_{A^{\text{co}H}} N$ is exact. Write $M = A \otimes_{A^{\text{co}H}} N$, then $N \cong A^{\text{co}H} \otimes_{A^{\text{co}H}} N$ is a submodule of the left $A^{\text{co}H}$ -module M and $M = A \cdot N$. $M = A \otimes_{A^{\text{co}H}} N$ becomes naturally a left (A, H) -Hopf module with the comodule structure map given by $a \otimes n \longmapsto \sum (a_{(0)} \otimes n) \otimes a_{(1)}$, where $\rho_A(a) = \sum a_{(0)} \otimes a_{(1)}$.

Let V be a Hopf submodule of M maximal with respect to $N \cap V = 0$. We claim that V is a maximal (A, H) -Hopf submodule of M . If not, there exists a left (A, H) -Hopf submodule W of M with $V \subsetneq W \subsetneq M$. Then $W \cap N \neq 0$, hence there is an $x \in W \cap N$ such that $A^{\text{co}H} \cdot x = N$. Thus $A \cdot x = M$ and $W = M$. That is, V is maximal. Thus M/V is an (A, H) -Hopf simple module, and $\mathbf{J}^H(A) \cdot (M/V) = 0$. We obtain $\mathbf{J}^H(A) \cdot M \subseteq V$ and hence $[\mathbf{J}^H(A) \cap A^{\text{co}H}] \cdot N \subseteq N \cap V = 0$. Thus $\mathbf{J}^H(A) \cap A^{\text{co}H} \subseteq \mathbf{J}(A^{\text{co}H})$.

If A doesn't contain an identity, then the right $\tilde{A}^{\text{co}H}$ -submodule $\tilde{A}^{\text{co}H}$ is also a direct summand of $\tilde{A}$. By Theorem 3.1(3), $\mathbf{J}^H(A) \subseteq \mathbf{J}^H(\tilde{A})$. Hence

$$\mathbf{J}^H(A) \cap A^{\text{co}H} \subseteq \mathbf{J}^H(\tilde{A}) \cap \tilde{A}^{\text{co}H} \cap A^{\text{co}H} \subseteq \mathbf{J}(\tilde{A}^{\text{co}H}) \cap A^{\text{co}H} = \mathbf{J}(A^{\text{co}H}).$$

Corollary 3.1. *If H is cosemisimple, then $\mathbf{J}^H(A) \cap A^{\text{co}H} \subseteq \mathbf{J}(A^{\text{co}H})$.*

Proof. By [4, Lemma 1.3 (1)], $A^{\text{co}H} = \varepsilon_e \rightarrow A$, where ε_e is an idempotent in H^* . It is easy to check that $A = (\varepsilon_e \rightarrow A) \oplus [(\varepsilon - \varepsilon_e) \rightarrow A]$. This shows that $A^{\text{co}H}$ is a direct summand of the right $A^{\text{co}H}$ -module A . The corollary follows by Theorem 3.4.

Theorem 3.5. *If α is a hereditary radical, then*

- (1) *If A is a right H -comodule algebra, then $\tilde{A} \# H^{*\text{rat}}$ is α -semisimple if and only if $\tilde{A} \# H^*$ is α -semisimple;*
- (2) *If α is a supernilpotent radical, then $A \# H^{*\text{rat}}$ is α -semisimple if and only if $A \# H^*$ is α -semisimple.*

Proof. (1) Since α is hereditary and $\tilde{A} \# H^{*\text{rat}} \triangleleft \tilde{A} \# H^*$,

$$\alpha(\tilde{A} \# H^{*\text{rat}}) = \alpha(\tilde{A} \# H^*) \cap (\tilde{A} \# H^{*\text{rat}}).$$

By Theorem 2.1, $\alpha(\tilde{A} \# H^{*\text{rat}}) = 0$ if and only if $\alpha(\tilde{A} \# H^*) = 0$. This completes the proof.

(2) If α is a supernilpotent radical, then $\alpha(A \# H^*)$ is a semiprime ideal of $A \# H^*$. By Lemma 2.3(2), $\alpha(A \# H^{*\text{rat}}) = \alpha(A \# H^*) \cap (A \# H^{*\text{rat}}) = 0$ if and only if $\alpha(A \# H^*) = 0$.

Lemma 3.2. (1) *If M is an $A \# H^{*\text{rat}}$ -simple module, then M is an $A \# H^*$ -simple module.*

(2) *If M is a faithful left $A \# H^{*\text{rat}}$ -simple module, then M is a faithful left $A \# H^*$ -module.*

Proof. (1) Since M is an $A \# H^{*\text{rat}}$ -simple module, $(A \# H^{*\text{rat}})M = M$. Thus M is a left $A \# H^*$ -module. Since every $A \# H^*$ -submodule of M is an $A \# H^{*\text{rat}}$ -submodule, M is an $A \# H^*$ -simple module.

(2) Let $I = (0 : M)_{A \# H^*}$, then I is a prime ideal of $A \# H^*$. If $I \neq 0$, then by Lemma 2.3(2), $(0 : M)_{A \# H^{*\text{rat}}} = I \cap (A \# H^{*\text{rat}}) \neq 0$. But this is contrary to the $A \# H^{*\text{rat}}$ -faithfulness of M .

Theorem 3.6. *If $A \# H^{*\text{rat}}$ is a primitive algebra, then $A \# H^*$ is primitive.*

Proof. It follows immediately from Lemma 3.2.

§4. The Density Theorem of Comodule Algebras

Let M, N be left (A, H) -Hopf modules, φ be a k linear map from M to N , ρ_M and ρ_N be the comodule structure maps of M, N respectively. If φ is a left A -module map and a

right H -comodule map, then φ is called an (A, H) -Hopf map. Clearly, if φ is an (A, H) -Hopf map, then $\text{Im}(\varphi)$ is an (A, H) -Hopf submodule of N .

Define $\text{Hom}_A^H(M, N)$ to be the set of all (A, H) -Hopf maps. If $M = N$, then write $\text{End}_A^H(M)$ for $\text{Hom}_A^H(M, M)$.

For any $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_A(M, N)$, $m \in M$, the action φ on m is written as $(m)\varphi$, $(\rho_N \circ \varphi)(m) = \rho_N[(m)\varphi]$ and $[(\varphi \otimes \text{id}) \circ \rho_M](m) = \sum (m_{(0)})\varphi \otimes m_{(1)}$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let M, N be left (A, H) -Hopf modules, then $\text{Hom}_A(M, N)$ is a left H^* -module via:*

$$(m)(h^* \cdot \varphi) = \sum [(m_{(0)})\varphi]_{(0)} \langle h^*, (\bar{\mathbf{S}}m_{(1)})[(m_{(0)})\varphi]_{(1)} \rangle,$$

where $h^* \in H^*$, $m \in M$, $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_A(M, N)$.

Proof. First, for any $h^* \in H^*$, $a \in A$, $m \in M$, $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_A(M, N)$,

$$\begin{aligned} (am)(h^* \cdot \varphi) &= \sum \{[(am)_{(0)}]\varphi\}_{(0)} \langle h^*, \bar{\mathbf{S}}(am)_{(1)} \{[(am)_{(0)}]\varphi\}_{(1)} \rangle \\ &= \sum [(a_{(0)}m_{(0)})\varphi]_{(0)} \langle h^*, \bar{\mathbf{S}}(a_{(1)}m_{(1)})[(a_{(0)}m_{(0)})\varphi]_{(1)} \rangle \\ &= \sum a_{(0)}[(m_{(0)})\varphi]_{(0)} \langle h^*, (\bar{\mathbf{S}}m_{(1)})(\bar{\mathbf{S}}a_{(2)})a_{(1)}[(m_{(0)})\varphi]_{(1)} \rangle \\ &= \sum a[(m_{(0)})\varphi]_{(0)} \langle h^*, (\bar{\mathbf{S}}m_{(1)})[(m_{(0)})\varphi]_{(1)} \rangle \\ &= a[(m)(h^* \cdot \varphi)]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $h^* \cdot \varphi \in \text{Hom}_A(M, N)$. It is clear that the action $h^* \cdot \varphi$ is linear with respect to h^* and φ .

Next, for any $h^*, g^* \in H^*$, $m \in M$,

$$\begin{aligned} (m)[g^* \cdot (h^* \cdot \varphi)] &= \sum [(m_{(0)})(h^* \cdot \varphi)]_{(0)} \langle g^*, (\bar{\mathbf{S}}m_{(1)})[(m_{(0)})(h^* \cdot \varphi)]_{(1)} \rangle \\ &= \sum [(m_{(0)})\varphi]_{(0)} \langle h^*, (\bar{\mathbf{S}}m_{(1)})[(m_{(0)})\varphi]_{(2)} \rangle \langle g^*, (\bar{\mathbf{S}}m_{(2)})[(m_{(0)})\varphi]_{(1)} \rangle \\ &= \sum [(m_{(0)})\varphi]_{(0)} \langle g^* * h^*, (\bar{\mathbf{S}}m_{(1)})[(m_{(0)})\varphi]_{(1)} \rangle \\ &= (m)[(g^* * h^*) \cdot \varphi]. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that the action of H^* on $\text{Hom}_A(M, N)$ is associative. Thus $\text{Hom}_A(M, N)$ is a left H^* -module.

The left H^* -module $\text{Hom}_A(M, N)$ has a unique maximal rational submodule

$$\text{Hom}_A(M, N)^{\text{rat}} = \theta^{-1}(\text{Im } \mu),$$

where $\theta : \text{Hom}_A(M, N) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(H^*, \text{Hom}_A(M, N))$ by $\theta(\varphi)(h^*) = h^* \cdot \varphi$,

$$\mu : \text{Hom}_A(M, N) \otimes H \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(H^*, \text{Hom}_A(M, N))$$

by $\mu(\varphi \otimes h)(h^*) = \langle h^*, h \rangle \varphi$. We write $[\text{Hom}_A(M, N)]^{\text{rat}} = \text{HOM}_A(M, N)$.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_A(M, N)$. Then $\varphi \in \text{HOM}_A(M, N)$ if and only if there is a $\sum \varphi_{(0)} \otimes \varphi_{(1)} \in \text{Hom}_A(M, N) \otimes H$ such that*

$$\sum [(m_{(0)})\varphi]_{(0)} \otimes (\bar{\mathbf{S}}m_{(1)})[(m_{(0)})\varphi]_{(1)} = \sum (m)\varphi_{(0)} \otimes \varphi_{(1)}, \quad \text{for any } m \in M.$$

In that case, $\theta(\varphi) = \sum \varphi_{(0)} \otimes \varphi_{(1)}$ and

$$\sum (m_{(0)})\varphi_{(0)} \otimes m_{(1)}\varphi_{(1)} = \sum [(m)\varphi]_{(0)} \otimes [(m)\varphi]_{(1)},$$

where we regard μ as an embedding.

Proof. $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_A(M, N) \iff$ there is a $\sum \varphi_{(0)} \otimes \varphi_{(1)} \in \text{Hom}_A(M, N) \otimes H$ such that $\theta(\varphi) = \sum \varphi_{(0)} \otimes \varphi_{(1)} \iff h^* \cdot \varphi = \sum \varphi_{(0)} \langle h^*, \varphi_{(1)} \rangle$ for all $h^* \in H^*$; i.e.

$$\sum [(m_{(0)})\varphi]_{(0)} \langle h^*, (\bar{S}m_{(1)})[(m_{(0)})\varphi]_{(1)} \rangle = \sum (m)\varphi_{(0)} \langle h^*, \varphi_{(1)} \rangle$$

for all $m \in M$, $h^* \in H^*$; this is equivalent to that

$$\sum [(m_{(0)})\varphi]_{(0)} \otimes (\bar{S}m_{(1)})[(m_{(0)})\varphi]_{(1)} = \sum (m)\varphi_{(0)} \otimes \varphi_{(1)}$$

holds for all $m \in M$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \sum [(m_{(0)})\varphi]_{(0)} \otimes (\bar{S}m_{(1)})[(m_{(0)})\varphi]_{(1)} &= \sum (m)\varphi_{(0)} \otimes \varphi_{(1)}, \\ \sum (m_{(0)})\varphi_{(0)} \otimes m_{(1)}\varphi_{(1)} &= \sum [(m_{(0)})\varphi]_{(0)} \otimes m_{(2)}(\bar{S}m_{(1)})[(m_{(0)})\varphi]_{(1)} \\ &= \sum [(m)\varphi]_{(0)} \otimes [(m)\varphi]_{(1)}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.

Remark 4.1. If M, N are right (A, H) -Hopf modules, we can similarly show that $\text{Hom}_A(M, N)$ is a left H^* -module. And when A has an identity 1, $[\text{Hom}_A(M, N)]^{\text{rat}}$ is just $\text{Hom}_A(M, N)$ in [11].

Proposition 4.1. Let M be a left (A, H) -Hopf module, then

- (1) $\text{END}_A(M)$ is a right H -comodule algebra;
- (2) M is a right $(\text{END}_A(M), H)$ -Hopf module;
- (3) $[\text{END}_A(M)]^{\text{co}H} = \text{End}_A^H(M)$;
- (4) When left A -module M is unital, we have $[\text{END}_A(M)]^{\text{co}H} = \text{End}_{A\#H^{*\text{rat}}}(M)$.

Proof. (1) By definition, $\text{END}_A(M)$ is a right H -comodule. Next, let $\varphi, \psi \in \text{END}_A(M)$. Then $\theta(\varphi) = \sum \varphi_{(0)} \otimes \varphi_{(1)}$, $\theta(\psi) = \sum \psi_{(0)} \otimes \psi_{(1)}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum [(m_{(0)})(\varphi\psi)]_{(0)} \otimes \bar{S}m_{(1)}[(m_{(0)})(\varphi\psi)]_{(1)} \\ &= \sum [(m_{(0)})\varphi]_{(0)}\psi_{(0)} \otimes \bar{S}m_{(1)}[(m_{(0)})\varphi]_{(1)}\psi_{(1)} \\ &= \sum [(m_{(0)})\varphi_{(0)}]\psi_{(0)} \otimes \bar{S}m_{(2)}(m_{(1)}\varphi_{(1)})\psi_{(1)} \\ &= \sum (m)(\varphi_{(0)}\psi_{(0)}) \otimes \varphi_{(1)}\psi_{(1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\varphi, \psi \in \text{END}_A(M)$ and $\theta(\varphi\psi) = \sum \varphi_{(0)}\psi_{(0)} \otimes \varphi_{(1)}\psi_{(1)}$ by Lemma 4.2.

(2) By Lemma 4.2, we have

$$\sum [(m)\varphi]_{(0)} \otimes [(m)\varphi]_{(1)} = \sum (m_{(0)})\varphi_{(0)} \otimes m_{(1)}\varphi_{(1)}.$$

Thus (2) holds.

- (3) $\varphi \in [\text{END}_A(M)]^{\text{co}H} \iff \varphi \otimes 1 = \sum \varphi_{(0)} \otimes \varphi_{(1)} \in \text{End}_A(M) \otimes H$
 $\iff \sum (m_{(0)})\varphi_{(0)} \otimes m_{(1)}\varphi_{(1)} = \sum (m_{(0)})\varphi \otimes m_{(1)}$ for all $m \in M$
 $\iff \sum [(m)\varphi]_{(0)} \otimes [(m)\varphi]_{(1)} = \sum (m_{(0)})\varphi \otimes m_{(1)}$ for all $m \in M$ by Lemma 4.2.

Thus $\varphi \in [\text{END}_A(M)]^{\text{co}H}$ if and only if $\varphi \in \text{End}_A^H(M)$.

(4) If φ is an (A, H) -Hopf module map of M , φ is a left A -module map and left H^* -module map as well. Thus φ is an $A\#H^{*\text{rat}}$ -module map.

Now, let φ be a left $A\#H^{*\text{rat}}$ -module map. For any $m \in M$, there is an $h^* \in H^{*\text{rat}}$ such that $h^* \rightarrow m = m$ and $h \rightarrow [(m)\varphi] = (m)\varphi$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} (a \cdot m)\varphi &= [a \cdot (h^* \rightarrow m)]\varphi = [(a \# h^*) \cdot m]\varphi \\ &= (a \# h^*) \cdot [(m)\varphi] = a \cdot \{h^* \rightarrow [(m)\varphi]\} = a \cdot [(m)\varphi], \end{aligned}$$

φ is a left A -module map. Since M is unital as a left $A\#H^{*\text{rat}}$ -module, there are $x_i \in A\#H^{*\text{rat}}$, $m_i \in M$ such that $m = \sum_i x_i \cdot m_i$. Thus for any $g^* \in H^*$,

$$\begin{aligned}(g^* \rightarrow m)\varphi &= \sum_i \{[(1 \# g^*)x_i] \cdot m_i\}\varphi = \sum_i [(1 \# g^*)x_i] \cdot [(m_i)\varphi] \\ &= g^* \rightarrow [(\sum_i x_i \cdot m_i)\varphi] = g^* \rightarrow [(m)\varphi].\end{aligned}$$

Hence φ is also a left H^* -module map, and so it is a right (A, H) -Hopf module map. This, together with (3), completes the proof.

Theorem 4.1. (*A version of the density theorem for comodule algebra*) Let M be a left (A, H) -Hopf simple module and $D = \text{End}_A^H(M)$. Then

- (1) D is a division algebra over k ;
- (2) $M^{\text{co}H} = \{m \in M \mid \rho_M(m) = m \otimes 1\}$ is a vector space over D ;
- (3) Suppose $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ are D -linearly independent in $M^{\text{co}H}$, then for any $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n \in M$, there exists an $a \in A$ such that $a \cdot x_i = y_i$, $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Proof. The proofs of (1) and (2) are direct.

(3) By Proposition 4.1, $D \cong \text{End}_{A\#H^{*\text{rat}}}(M)$ is a k -division algebra. By [6, Theorem 19.22], there exists a $\sum_j a_j \# h_j^* \in A\#H^{*\text{rat}}$ such that $\sum_j (a_j \# h_j^*) \cdot x_i = y_i$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. Since $x_i \in M^{\text{co}H}$, we have

$$\sum_j (a_j \# h_j^*)x_i = \sum_j a_j \cdot (h_j^* \rightarrow x_i) = \sum_j a_j \langle h_j^*, 1 \rangle x_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

Let $a = \sum_j \langle h_j^*, 1 \rangle a_j$, then $a \cdot x_i = y_i$, $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Acknowledgement. The authors thank the referee for his helpful comments and suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] Allen, H. P. & Trushin, D., A generalized Frobenius structure for coalgebras with application to character theory, *J. Algebra*, **62**(1980), 430–449.
- [2] Beattie, M., Strongly inner actions, coactions, and duality theorem, *TSUKUBA. J. Math.*, **16**:2(1992), 279–293.
- [3] Cai Chuanren & Chen Huixiang, Coalgebras, smash products, and Hopf modules, *J. Algebra*, **167**(1994), 85–99.
- [4] Chen Huixiang & Cai Chuanren, Hopf algebra coactions, *Comm. in Algebra*, **22**:1(1994), 253–267.
- [5] Cai Chuanren & Sun Jianhua, Hopf-Jacobson radical of Hopf module algebras, *Chinese Science Bulletin*, **41**:8(1996), 621–625.
- [6] Faith, C., *Algebra (II)*, Ring theory, New York, 1976.
- [7] Fisher, J. R., A Jacobson radical for Hopf module algebras, *J. Algebra*, **34**(1975), 217–231.
- [8] Liu Guilong, Hopf algebras coaction on algebra, *Natural Science Journal, Jilin University*, **101**(1992), 6–10.
- [9] Radford, D. E., Finiteness conditions for a Hopf algebra with a nonzero integral, *J. Algebra*, **46**(1997), 189–195.
- [10] Sweedler, M. E., *Hopf algebras*, Benjamin. New York, 1969.
- [11] Ulbrich, K. H., Smash products and comodules of linear maps, *TSUKUBA. J. Math.*, **14**:2(1990), 371–378.