

REAL TOMITA-TAKESAKI THEORY**

LI BINGREN*

Abstract

Tomita-Takesaki theory in the real case is considered. The author introduces the conception of a nondegenerate pair of closed subspaces in a real Hilbert space. Then a satisfactory real Tomita-Takesaki theory is obtained, and it seems to be a special result of the complex case.

Keywords Tomita-Takesaki theory, Non-degenerate pair, Unitary involution,
 Modular operator

1991 MR Subject Classification 46L10

Chinese Library Classification O177.1

Let M be a real Von Neumann algebra on a real Hilbert space H , and $\xi_0 \in H$ be cyclic-separating for M . Let $K = \overline{M_h \xi_0}$, and $L = \overline{M_k \xi_0}$. Clearly, $K \cap L = \{0\}$, and $(K \dot{+} L)$ is dense in H . Hence, we must study such a nondegenerate pair (K, L) . Many properties of (K, L) are similar to the complex case (§1). Then a satisfactory real Tomita-Takesaki theory is obtained (§2). For σ -finite real W^* -algebra M , we point out that $M_c^\varphi = M^\varphi \dot{+} iM^\varphi$ (§3) and etc.

Moreover, the real Tomita-Takesaki theory seems to be a special result of the complex case.

§1. Nondegenerate Pair of Closed Linear Subspaces

Definition 1.1. Let H be a real Hilbert space, K, L be two closed (real) linear subspaces of H . (K, L) is called a nondegenerate pair, if

$$K \cap L = \{0\}, \quad \text{and} \quad (K \dot{+} L) \quad \text{is dense in} \quad H.$$

Remark 1.1. In this case, (K^\perp, L^\perp) must be also nondegenerate, where K^\perp, L^\perp are the orthogonal parts of K, L in H respectively. Indeed, $K^\perp \cap L^\perp = (K \dot{+} L)^\perp = \{0\}$; and if $\langle \xi, K^\perp \dot{+} L^\perp \rangle = 0$ for some $\xi \in H$, then $\xi \in K \cap L = \{0\}$, i.e., $(K^\perp \dot{+} L^\perp)$ is also dense in H .

Definition 1.2. Let (K, L) be nondegenerate in H . Denote the projections from H onto K, L by p, q respectively, $a = p + q$, and let $p - q = jb$ be the polar decomposition.

Proposition 1.1. Keep the assumption and notations as in Definition 1.2.

(i) $0 \leq a \leq 2$, and $\{0, 2\}$ are not eigenvalues of a ;

Manuscript received November 30, 1998. Revised May 4, 1999.

*Institute of Mathematics, Academia Sinica, Beijing 100080, China.

**Project supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China and the Laboratory of Mathematics for Nonlinear Sciences at Fudan University.

(ii) $b = |p - q| \geq 0$; 0 is not an eigenvalue of b ; $b = a^{1/2}(2 - a)^{1/2}$; and b commutes with p, q, a, j respectively;

(iii) j is self-adjoint and unitary; $jp = (1 - q)j$, $, and $ja = (2 - a)j$.$

Proof. (i) Clearly, $0 \leq a \leq 2$. If $a\xi = 0$ for some $\xi \in H$, then

$$\langle a\xi, \xi \rangle = \|p\xi\|^2 + \|q\xi\|^2 = 0, \quad p\xi = q\xi = 0,$$

and $\xi \in K^\perp \cap L^\perp = \{0\}$, $\xi = 0$. Hence, 0 is not an eigenvalue of a . Since (K^\perp, L^\perp) is also nondegenerate, it follows that 0 is not an eigenvalue of $(1 - p) + (1 - q) = 2 - a$, i.e., 2 is not an eigenvalue of a .

(ii) Since $b^2 = (p - q)^2 = a(2 - a)$, it follows that $b = a^{1/2}(2 - a)^{1/2}$, and 0 is not an eigenvalue of b by (i). Moreover, b is a limit of a sequence in real polynomials of $(p - q)^2$. Thus, b commutes with p, q, a respectively. By $(p - q) = jb$ and $(p - q)^* = p - q$, so b commutes with j .

(iii) Clearly, $j^* = j$. Since 0 is not an eigenvalue of b , it follows that $H = \overline{bH} = \overline{(p - q)H}$. Hence, j is also unitary. By

$$bjp = jbp = (p - q)p = (1 - q)(p - q) = b(1 - q)j,$$

we can see $jp = (1 - q)j$. Similarly, $jq = (1 - p)j$. Further,

$$ja = (1 - q)j + (1 - p)j = (2 - a)j.$$

The proof is completed.

Definition 1.3. Let (K, L) be nondegenerate in H . The operator j as above is called the unitary involution with respect to (K, L) . The operator $\delta = (2 - a)a^{-1} = a^{-1}(2 - a)$ is called the modular operator with respect to (K, L) . Clearly, δ is unbounded, invertible, non-negative and self-adjoint.

Proposition 1.2. Let (K, L) be nondegenerate in H , and δ be the modular operator. Then for each almost everywhere finite, real valued, measurable function f on \mathbb{R} , we have

$$jf(\delta)j = f(\delta^{-1}).$$

Proof. By $ja = 2 - a$, and $j\delta j = \delta^{-1}$, the conclusion is obvious.

Definition 1.4. Let (K, L) be nondegenerate in H , and keep above notations. Let $s(\xi + \eta) = \xi - \eta, \forall \xi \in K, \eta \in L, \mathcal{D}(s) = K \dot{+} L$.

Proposition 1.3. Let (K, L) be nondegenerate in H .

(i) s is a linear closed operator on H with a dense domain; $\mathcal{D}(s^*) = K^\perp \dot{+} L^\perp, s^*(\xi + \eta) = -\xi + \eta, \forall \xi \in K^\perp, \eta \in L^\perp$, and $jsj = s^*$;

(ii) $s = j\delta^{1/2}, s^* = j\delta^{-1/2}$ are the polar decompositions of s, s^* respectively. In particular, $\mathcal{D}(\delta^{1/2}) = \mathcal{D}(s) = K \dot{+} L$.

Proof. (i) Let $\{\xi_n\} \subset K$ and $\{\eta_n\} \subset L$ be such that

$$\xi_n + \eta_n \rightarrow x \quad \text{and} \quad \xi_n - \eta_n \rightarrow y.$$

Then we have $\xi \in K$ and $\eta \in L$ such that

$$\xi_n \rightarrow \xi \quad \text{and} \quad \eta_n \rightarrow \eta.$$

Hence $x = \xi + \eta$ and $y = \xi - \eta = sx$. This means that the operator s is closed. Clearly,

$$\langle sx, y \rangle = \langle x, s^*y \rangle, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{D}(s), y \in \mathcal{D}(s^*).$$

Hence $s^* \subset$ the adjoint of s . Now, if $y \in \mathcal{D}$ (the adjoint of s), then there is a z such that

$$\langle \xi - \eta, y \rangle = \langle \xi + \eta, z \rangle, \quad \forall \xi \in K, \eta \in L.$$

Taking $\eta = 0$, we can see $y - z = 2\xi' \in K^\perp$; taking $\xi = 0$, then we have $y + z = 2\eta' \in L^\perp$. Therefore

$$y = \xi' + \eta' \in K^\perp \dot{+} L^\perp, \quad z = -\xi' + \eta' = s^*y,$$

i.e., s^* = the adjoint of s .

By $jp = (1 - q)j$ and $, we have$

$$jK = L^\perp, \quad jL = K^\perp,$$

and $jL^\perp = K, jK^\perp = L$. Thus, $jsj = s^*$.

(ii) Let $\xi' \in K^\perp, \eta' \in L^\perp$. Then

$$(p - q)(\xi' + \eta') = p\eta' - q\xi' = (p + q)(-\xi' + \eta) = as^*(\xi' + \eta').$$

Hence, $as^* \subset (p - q) = jb = bj$. Now by $js^*j = s$, we have

$$ajs = as^*j \subset b = a^{1/2}(2 - a)^{1/2}.$$

Further, $js \subset \delta^{1/2} = a^{-1/2}(2 - a)^{1/2}$. But js and $\delta^{1/2}$ are both self-adjoint on H , so $js = \delta^{1/2}$, and

$$s = j\delta^{1/2}, \quad s^* = \delta^{1/2}j = j\delta^{-1/2}.$$

Since $s^*s = j\delta^{-1/2}j\delta^{1/2} = \delta$, it follows that $s = j\delta^{1/2}$ and $s^* = j\delta^{-1/2}$ are the polar decompositions. The proof is completed.

Proposition 1.4. *Let (K, L) be a pair of closed linear subspaces in a real Hilbert space H . Then (K, L) is nondegenerate, if and only if the closed real linear subspace $(K \dot{+} iL)$ of the complex Hilbert space $H_c = (H \dot{+} iH)$ is nondegenerate (see [1, Definition 8.1.1]).*

Proof. Let (K, L) be nondegenerate. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (K \dot{+} iL) \cap i(K \dot{+} iL) &= (K \dot{+} iL) \cap (L \dot{+} iK) = (K \cap L) \dot{+} i(K \cap L) = \{0\}, \\ (K \dot{+} iL) \dot{+} i(K \dot{+} iL) &= (K \dot{+} iL) \dot{+} (L \dot{+} iK) = (K \dot{+} L) \dot{+} i(K \dot{+} L) \end{aligned}$$

will be dense in H_c , i.e., $(K \dot{+} iL)$ is nondegenerate in H_c .

Similarly, if $(K \dot{+} iL)$ is nondegenerate in H_c , then (K, L) is also degenerate in H . The proof is completed.

Definition 1.5. *Let (K, L) be nongenerate in H . Denote the projections from $(H_c)_r$ onto $(K \dot{+} iL), i(K \dot{+} iL) = L \dot{+} iK$ by P, Q respectively, where $(H_c)_r = (H_c, Re\langle, \rangle)$ is a real Hilbert space.*

Clearly, $(H_c)_r \cong H \oplus H$, and under this unitary equivalence, $P \cong p \oplus q$ and $Q \cong q \oplus p$.

Further, let $A = P + Q$, and $P - Q = JB$ be the polar decomposition in $(H_c)_r$.

Proposition 1.5. *Let (K, L) be nondegenerate in H , and keep the notations of Definition 1.5.*

- (i) $Pi = iQ, Qi = iP$ in H_c ;
- (ii) $0 \leq A \leq 2$ in H_c , and $\{0, 2\}$ are not eigenvalues of A ;
- (iii) $B \geq 0$ in H_c , $B = A^{1/2}(2 - A)^{-1/2}$, 0 is not an eigenvalue of B , and B commutes with P, Q, A, J respectively;

(iv) J is self-adjoint and unitary in $(H_c)_r$, $Ji = -iJ$ in H_c , $\langle Jx, y \rangle = \langle Jy, x \rangle$, $\forall x, y \in H_c$, and

$$JP = (1 - Q)J, \quad JQ = (1 - P)J, \quad JA = (2 - A)J.$$

Proof. From Proposition 1.4 and [1, Lemma 8.1.2], it is obvious. Moreover, we can also get the proof from Proposition 1.1.

Definition 1.6. Let (K, L) be nondegenerate in H , and keep the notations of Definition 1.5. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta &= A^{-1}(2 - A) = (2 - A)A^{-1}, \\ S((\xi + \eta) + i(\xi' + \eta')) &= (\xi - \eta) + i(-\xi' + \eta'), \quad \forall \xi, \xi' \in K, \eta, \eta' \in L, \\ \mathcal{D}(S) &= (K \dot{+} L) \dot{+} i(K \dot{+} L), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} S^+((\xi + \eta) + i(\xi' + \eta')) &= (-\xi + \eta) + i(\xi' - \eta'), \quad \forall \xi, \xi' \in K, \eta, \eta' \in L, \\ \mathcal{D}(S^+) &= (K^\perp \dot{+} L^\perp) \dot{+} i(K^\perp \dot{+} L^\perp). \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 1.6. Let (K, L) be nondegenerate in H , and keep all notations as above.

(i) Δ is a unbounded, invertible, (complex) linear, non-negative, self-adjoint operator on H_c , and

$$Jf(\Delta)J = f(\Delta^{-1}),$$

\forall almost everywhere finite, real valued, measurable function f on \mathbb{R} ;

(ii) S and S^+ are two conjugate linear closed operators on H_c with dense domain; S^+ is the adjoint operator of S on $(H_c)_r$, $JSJ = S^+$; $S = J\Delta^{1/2}$, $S^+ = J\Delta^{-1/2}$ are the polar decompositions on $(H_c)_r$; and

$$\mathcal{D}(\Delta^{1/2}) = \mathcal{D}(S) = (K \dot{+} L) \dot{+} i(K \dot{+} L).$$

Proof. From Proposition 1.4 and [1, Lemma 8.1.3, Lemma 8.1.4], it is obvious. Moreover, we can also get the proof from Propositions 1.2 and 1.3.

Theorem 1.1. Let (K, L) be nondegenerate in H . Then $\{\Delta^{it} | t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is the unique one-parameter strongly continuous group of unitary operators on H_c , such that $\{\Delta^{it} | t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ satisfies the KMS condition relative to $(K \dot{+} iL)$ (see [1, Definition 8.1.7]) and

$$\Delta^{it}(K \dot{+} iL) = (K \dot{+} iL), \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Moreover, $J\Delta^{it}J = \Delta^{it}$, $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. It is obvious from Proposition 1.4, [1, Theorem 8.1.3 and Lemma 8.1.3].

§2. Real Tomita-Takesaki Theory

Let M be a real Von Neumann (VN) algebra on a real Hilbert space H , and $\xi_0 \in H$ be a cyclic-separating vector for M . We have following facts.

(1) ξ_0 is also a cyclic-separating vector for the (complex) VN algebra $M_c = M \dot{+} iM$ on the (complex) Hilbert space $H_c = H \dot{+} iH$.

(2) $(M_c)_h = M_h \dot{+} iM_k$, where $(M_c)_h, M_h$ are self-adjoint parts of M_c, M respectively, and M_k is the skew self-adjoint part of M .

Indeed, if $(a + ib) \in (M_c)_h$, where $a, b \in M$, then

$$a^* - ib^* = (a + ib)^* = a + ib.$$

Hence, $a^* = a \in M_h$ and $b^* = -b \in M_k$.

(3) Let $K = \overline{M_h \xi_0}$, $L = \overline{M_k \xi_0}$. Then (K, L) is nondegenerate in H .

Indeed, let $h' \in M'_h$, $k \in M_k$. Then

$$\langle h' \xi_0, k \xi_0 \rangle = -\langle k \xi_0, h' \xi_0 \rangle = -\langle h' \xi_0, k \xi_0 \rangle = 0,$$

i.e., $M'_h \xi_0 \perp M_k \xi_0$ in H . Similarly, $M'_k \xi_0 \perp M_h \xi_0$ in H . Thus

$$M' \xi_0 \subset (M_h \xi_0)^\perp + (M_k \xi_0)^\perp \subset (\overline{M_h \xi_0} \cap \overline{M_k \xi_0})^\perp = (K \cap L)^\perp.$$

Since ξ_0 is also cyclic for M' , it follows that $K \cap L = \{0\}$. On the other hand, $M \xi_0 \subset (K \dot{+} L)$ and ξ_0 is cyclic for M . Hence, $(K \dot{+} L)$ is dense in H . Therefore, (K, L) is nondegenerate in H .

Proposition 2.1. *Keep all assumptions and notations in the above and §1. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} q \xi_0 &= Q \xi_0 = 0; \\ P \xi_0 &= p \xi_0 = A \xi_0 = a \xi_0 = J \xi_0 \\ &= j \xi_0 = B \xi_0 = b \xi_0 = \xi_0; \\ \Delta^{it} \xi_0 &= \xi_0, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}; \\ M \xi_0 &\subset \mathcal{D}(\delta^{1/2}); \end{aligned}$$

and the operator s on H is the closure of operator $x \xi_0 \rightarrow x^* \xi_0 (\forall x \in M)$.

Proof. We can get the conclusions on $P, Q, A, B, \Delta^{it} (t \in \mathbb{R})$ by [1, Proposition 8.2.2]. From the relations between P, Q, A, B, J and p, q, a, b, j , then we get other conclusions. Moreover, we can give a direct proof of the conclusions on p, q, a, b, j and s .

Theorem 2.1. *Keep all assumptions and notations in the above and §1. Then*

$$J M_c J = M'_c, \quad j M j = M', \quad \Delta^{it} M_c \Delta^{-it} = M_c, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Proof. By [1, Theorem 8.2.7], we just need to prove $j M j = M'$.

If $a, b \in M, \xi, \eta \in H$, then

$$\begin{aligned} J(a + ib)J(\xi + i\eta) &= J(a + ib)(j\xi - ij\eta) \\ &= J[(aj\xi + bj\eta) + i(bj\xi - aj\eta)] \\ &= (jaj\xi + jbj\eta) + i(jaj\eta - jbj\xi) \\ &= (jaj - jbj)(\xi + i\eta), \end{aligned}$$

i.e., $J(a + ib)J = jaj - jbj$. Thus

$$M' \dot{+} iM' = M'_c = J M_c J = J M J + i J M J = j M j \dot{+} i j M j,$$

i.e., $j M j = M'$. The proof is completed.

Remark 2.1. From $\Delta^{it} M_c \Delta^{-it} = M_c, \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$, we can define a one-parameter $*$ automorphism group $\{\sigma_t(\cdot) = \Delta^{it} \cdot \Delta^{-it} | t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ of M_c . But we do not have $\sigma_t(M) \subset M, \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$. On the other hand, it is obvious that

$$\overline{\sigma_t(x)} = \sigma_{-t}(x), \quad \forall x \in M, \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

where “ $-$ ” is defined by the decomposition $B(H_c) = B(H) \dot{+} iB(H)$.

Remark 2.2. Of course, for M_c we have the result of [1, Theorem 8.2.10].

§3. The Case of a σ -Finite Real W^* -Algebra

Let M be a σ -finite real W^* -algebra. Clearly, M is σ -finite, if and only if $M_c = M \dot{+} iM$ is σ -finite.

If φ is a faithful normal real state on M , then so is φ_c on M_c . Indeed, let $x, y \in M$ be such that

$$0 = \varphi_c((x + iy)^*(x + iy)) = \varphi(x^*x) + \varphi(y^*y) + i[\varphi(x^*y - y^*x)].$$

Then $\varphi(x^*x) = \varphi(y^*y) = 0$, and $x = y = 0$.

Using above φ , we get a cyclic faithful $*$ representation $\{\pi_\varphi, H_\varphi, \xi_\varphi\}$. Clearly, ξ_φ is also separating for $\pi_\varphi(M)$. Then by §2, we have one-parameter $*$ automorphism group $\{\sigma_t^\varphi | t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ of M_c , which satisfies the KMS condition with respect to φ_c , and φ_c is invariant about $\{\sigma_t^\varphi | t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ (see [1, §8.3]).

Proposition 3.1. *Let M be a σ -finite real W^* -algebra, $M_c = M \dot{+} iM$, and φ be a faithful normal real state on M . Then*

$$M_c^\varphi = M^\varphi \dot{+} iM^\varphi,$$

where $M_c^\varphi = \{x \in M_c | \sigma_t^\varphi(x) = x, \forall t \in \mathbb{R}\}$, and

$$M^\varphi = \{a \in M | \sigma_t^\varphi(a) = a, \forall t \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

Proof. By [1, Proposition 8.3.2],

$$\begin{aligned} (a + ib) &\in M_c^\varphi \quad (a, b \in M) \\ \iff \varphi_c((a + ib)(c + id) - (c + id)(a + ib)) &= 0, \quad \forall c, d \in M \\ \iff \varphi_c((a + ib)c - c(a + ib)) &= 0, \quad \forall c \in M \\ \iff \varphi(ac - ca) = \varphi(bc - cb) &= 0, \quad \forall c \in M \\ \iff a, b \in M^\varphi, \end{aligned}$$

i.e., $M_c^\varphi = M^\varphi \dot{+} iM^\varphi$. The proof is completed.

Remark 3.1. For M_c , we also have other results of [1, §8.3].

Acknowledgement. The author gratefully acknowledges Professor Chen Xiaoman and Professor Hong Jiaying for their hospitality during his stay (July to August, 1998) in the Institute of Mathematics, Fudan University.

REFERENCES

- [1] Li Bingren, Introduction to operator algebras, World Sci., Singapore, 1992.
- [2] Rieffel, M. A. & Van Daele, A., A bounded operator approach to Tomita-Takesaki theory, *Pacific J. Math.*, **69**(1977), 187–221.