Chin. Ann. of Math.
21B: 2(2000),249-258.

Γ-CONVERGENCE OF INTEGRAL FUNCTIONALS DEPENDING ON VECTOR-VALUED FUNCTIONS OVER PARABOLIC DOMAINS**

JIAN HUAIYU*

Abstract

This paper studies Γ-convergence for a sequence of parabolic functionals,

$$F^\varepsilon(u) = \int_0^T \int_\Omega f(x/\varepsilon, t, \nabla u)dxdt \text{ as } \varepsilon \to 0,$$

where the integrand f is nonconvex, and periodic on the first variable. The author obtains the representation formula of the Γ-limit. The results in this paper support a conclusion which relates Γ-convergence of parabolic functionals to the associated gradient flows and confirms one of De Giorgi's conjectures partially.

Keywords Γ-convergence, Parabolic-minima, Nonconvex functionals, Parabolic equations
1991 MR Subject Classification 35B27, 49J45
Chinese Library Classification O175.26, O232 **Document Code** A
Article ID 0252-9599(2000)02-0249-10

§1. Introduction

We begin with the characterization of Γ-convergence in [1, 2].

Definition 1.1. *Let (X, τ) be a first countable topological space and $\{F^h\}_{h=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of functionals from X to $\bar{R} = R \cup \{-\infty, \infty\}$, $u \in X, \lambda \in \bar{R}$. We call*

$$\lambda = \Gamma(\tau) \lim_{h\to\infty} F^h(u)$$

if and only if for every sequence $\{u^h\}$ converging to u in (X, τ)

$$\lambda \le \liminf_{h\to\infty} F^h(u^h), \tag{1.1}$$

and there exists a sequence $\{u^h\}$ converging to u in (X, τ) such that

$$\lambda \ge \limsup_{h\to\infty} F^h(u^h). \tag{1.2}$$

Manuscript received June 23, 1998. Revised November 14, 1999.

*Department of Mathematical Sciences, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China.
E-mail: hjian@math.tsinghua.edu.cn.

**Project supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 19701018).

We call $\lambda = \Gamma(\tau)\lim\limits_{\varepsilon\to a} F^{\varepsilon}(u)$ *if and only if for every* $\varepsilon_h \to a$ $(h \to \infty)$

$$\lambda = \Gamma(\tau)\lim_{h\to\infty} F^{\varepsilon_h}(u).$$

Throughout this paper, we assume that Ω is a bounded open set in R^n. Let $p > 1$, $T > 0$, and m be a positive integer. Denote

$$\Omega_T = \Omega \times (0,T), \quad V_p(\Omega_T, m) = L^P([0,T], W^{1,p}(\Omega, R^m)),$$
$$V_p^0(\Omega_T, m) = L^p([0,T], W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, R^m)),$$
$$Du(x,t) = \nabla u(x,t) = \Big(\frac{\partial u^i(x,t)}{\partial x_j}\Big) \quad (1 \le i \le m, 1 \le j \le n)$$

for a vector valued function u.

Consider the fuctionals

$$F_1^{\varepsilon}(v,\Omega) = \int_{\Omega} f_1\Big(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}, Dv\Big)dx, \quad v \in W^{1,p}(\Omega, R^m) \ \ (\varepsilon \to 0^+) \tag{1.3}$$

and the corresponding parabolic functionals in the following form:

$$F^{\varepsilon}(u,\Omega_T) = \int_{\Omega_T} f\Big(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}, t, , Du\Big)dxdt, \quad u \in V_p(\Omega_T, m) \ \ (\varepsilon \to 0^+), \tag{1.4}$$

where $f\colon R^{n+1} \times R^{mn} \to R$ is a Caratheodory function satsfying

$$C_1|\lambda|^p \le f(x,t,\lambda) \le C_2(1 + |\lambda|^p) \tag{1.5}$$

for some positive constants $C_2 > C_1$.

In 1979, E. De Giorgi[3] conjectured that when a sequence of functionals, for instance, the one in (1.4) or in a more general form, converges in the sence of Γ-convergence to a limiting functional, the corresponding gradient flows will converge as well (maybe after an appropriate change of timescale). Also see [4, p.216] and [5, p.507].

In [6], the author proved the De Giorgi's conjecture for a rather wide kind of functionals. Thus, a natural question is under what conditions the functional sequence like (1.4) can be Γ-convergence.

The first result related to this question appeared in [7]. Because the integrands in [7] have the same scale for the variables x and t, the methods there can not be applied to functionals (1.4) whose integrands are anisotropic in x and t.

In this paper, we will cleverly combine the arguements in [8, 9, 10], all of which study the Γ-convergence of elliptic functionals like (1.3) with the weak-topology of $W^{1,p}(\Omega, R^m)$, to prove that the Γ-convergence holds for the functional (1.4) under Assumption (1.5) and a periodic hypothesis (see (1.8) below). For this purpose, we construct functionals as follows.

Let $Y = (0,1)^n = \{0 < y_i < 1, i = 1,2,\cdots n\}$, $kY = (0,k)^n$, and $k_T = kY \times (0,T)$. For $\lambda \in R^{mn}$ and a.e. $t \in R$, define

$$\bar{f}(t,\lambda) = \inf_{k\in N} \inf\Big\{|kY|^{-1}\int_{kY} f(y,t,\lambda + D\phi(y,t))dy\colon \phi \in V_p^0(k_T, m)\Big\}, \tag{1.6}$$

here and below $|E| \overset{\text{def.}}{=} L^n(E)$ and L^k is used to denote the k-dimensional Lebesque measure.

Obviously (1.5) implies that $\bar{f}(t, Du)$ is nonnegative and measurable, so we can define the homogenized functional

$$F(u,\Omega_T) = \int_{\Omega_T} \bar{f}(t,Du)dxdt, \quad u \in V_p(\Omega_T, m). \tag{1.7}$$

The main result of this paper is the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *If hypotheses* (1.4) *and* (1.5) *are satisfied, and suppose*

$$f(y,t,\lambda) \text{ is } \bar{Y}\text{-periodic on the first variable } y, \tag{1.8}$$

then for every $T>0$ *and every bounded open set* $\Omega\subset R^n$ *with* $L^n(\partial\Omega)=0$,

$$\Gamma(\tau)\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}F^{\varepsilon}(u,\Omega_T)=F(u,\Omega_T),\forall u\in V_p(\Omega_T,m),$$

where τ *is taken as the sw-topology of* $V_p(\Omega_T,m)$. (*See the Definition* 1.2 *in* [6] *for the sw-topology.*)

The proof of this theorem will be given in Section 4.

§2. Preliminary Lemmas

We collect some properties of the Γ-limits in [1, 2] which are well-known but important for the coming arguements.

If the lim sup in (1.2) is replaced by lim inf , Definition 1.1 is turned to the definition of low Γ-limit. In this case, we denote it by $\lambda=\Gamma^-(\tau)\lim_{h\to\infty}F^h(u)$. Similarly, we have upper Γ-limit and denote it by $\lambda=\Gamma^+(\tau)\lim\limits_{h\to\infty}F^h(u)$.

Obviously, $\Gamma(\tau)\lim\limits_{h\to\infty}F^h(u)$ exists if and only if $\Gamma^+(\tau)\lim\limits_{h\to\infty}F^h(u)=\Gamma^-(\tau)\lim\limits_{h\to\infty}F^h(u)$.

Lemma 2.1. $F^-(u)=\Gamma^-(\tau)\lim\limits_{h\to\infty}F^h(u)$ *exists for every* $u\in X$, *and* $F^-(u)$ *is lower semicontinuous in* (X,τ). *If* $F(u)=\Gamma(\tau)\lim\limits_{h\to\infty}F^h(u)$ *exists for every* $u\in X$, *then F(u) is also lower semicontinuous in* (X,τ) .

Lemma 2.2. *For each sequence* $\{F^h\}$ *of functionals in* (X,τ), *there exists a subsequence* F^{h_k} *and* F^∞ *from X to* $\bar{R}$, *such that*

$$F^\infty(u)=\Gamma(\tau)\lim_{k\to\infty}F^{h_k}(u),\quad \forall u\in X.$$

Lemma 2.3. *Suppose that* $\lambda=\Gamma(\tau)\lim\limits_{\varepsilon\to 0}F^{\varepsilon}(u)$ *and* $\varepsilon_h\to 0$ $(h\to\infty)$. *Then*

$$\Gamma^-(\tau)\lim_{h\to\infty}F^{\varepsilon_h}(u)=\Gamma(\tau)\lim_{h\to\infty}F^{\varepsilon_h}(u)=\lambda.$$

Lemma 2.4. *Suppose that* $f\colon R\times R\to\bar{R}$. *Then there exists a function* $\delta\colon\varepsilon\to\delta(\varepsilon)$ *such that* $\varepsilon\to 0$ *implies* $\delta(\varepsilon)\to 0$ *and*

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon\to 0}f(\delta(\varepsilon),\varepsilon)\le\limsup_{\delta\to 0}\limsup_{\varepsilon\to 0}f(\delta,\varepsilon). \tag{2.1}$$

Moreover, the opposite inequality for low limits and the equality for limits hold true respectively.

From now on, we restrict ourselves to the sequence of functionals (1.4), or more general functionals

$$F^{\varepsilon}(u,\Omega\times(a,b))=\int_a^b\int_\Omega f\Big(\frac{x}{\varepsilon},t,Du\Big)dxdt\quad(\varepsilon\to 0^+). \tag{2.2}$$

We will fix $T>0$ and allow Ω and (a,b) to be arbitrary. Let $S=R^n\times(0,T)$, β_T be the σ -ring generated by the set $\{\Omega\times(a,b)\colon 0\le a<b\le T,\Omega\subset R^n$ are bounded open sets$\}$. Then (S,β_T,L^{n+1}) is a measure space. Let

$$V_{p,\mathrm{loc}}=L^p([0,T],W^{1,p}_{\mathrm{loc}}(R^n,R^m)). \tag{2.3}$$

Lemma 2.5. *Assume that* (1.4), (1.5) *and* (1.8) *are satisfied. Then for every sequence* $\varepsilon \to 0^+$, *there exist a subsequence* $\varepsilon_h \to 0^+ \quad (h \to 0)$ *and a family of* σ*-finite and* σ*-additive measures* $H(u, \Omega \times (a,b))$ *on* β_T, *such that for every* $u \in V_{p,\mathrm{loc}}$, *every finite interval* (a,b) *and every bounded open set* $\Omega \subset R^n$ *with* $L^n(\partial\Omega) = 0$,

$$\Gamma(\tau) \lim_{h\to\infty} F^{\varepsilon_h}(u, \Omega \times (a,b)) = H(u, \Omega \times (a,b)), \tag{2.4}$$

$$0 \le H(u, \Omega \times (a,b)) \le C \int_a^b \int_\Omega (1 + |Du|^p) dxdt, \tag{2.5}$$

where τ *is the sw-topology of* $V_p(\Omega \times (a,b))$.

Proof. We follow the proof of in [9, Theorem 3.1]. D is used to denote the algebra generated by all open cubes in R^{n+1} with rational vertices and E the class of all bounded open sets in R^{n+1}. Applying Lemma 2.2 and a diagonalization argument, we can find a sequence $\varepsilon_h \quad (h \to \infty)$ such that $\Gamma(\tau) \lim_{h\to\infty} F^{\varepsilon_h}(u, Q)$ exists for all $Q \in D$, i.e.,

$$H^-(u, Q) = H^+(u, Q), \quad \forall Q \in D,$$

where $H^-(u,Q) = \Gamma^-(\tau) \lim_{h\to\infty} F^{\varepsilon_h}(u,Q)$ and $H^+(u,Q) = \Gamma^+(\tau) \lim_{h\to\infty} F^{\varepsilon_h}(u,Q)$.

In the same way as in [9, pp.738–739], by Lemma B in [6], we can prove that H^- is (finitely) super-additive and H^+ is sub-additive over D. For $e \in E$, define

$$H(u,e) = \sup_{Q \subset\subset e} H^-(u,Q) = \sup_{Q \subset\subset e} H^+(u,Q), \quad Q \in D.$$

Then $H(u,e)$ is an increasing, inner regular and finitely additive set function. Therefore, the routine methods implies that (2.4) holds and $H(u, \Omega \times (a,b))$ can be extended to a σ-finite and σ-additive measure on β_T (see [11, Proposition 5.5 and Theorem 5.6]. From (2.4) and (1.5), the estimate (2.5) follows immediately.

§3. Γ-Lmits of Layered Affine Functions

Throughout this section, suppose that (1.4), (1.5) and (1.8) are satisfied. τ is used to denote the **sw**-topology of $V_p(\Omega_T, m)$. For simiplicity, $V_p(\Omega_T)$ denotes the space $V_p(\Omega_T, m)$. We intend to determine the Γ-limits of $F^\varepsilon(u, \Omega_T)$ for $u = \lambda(t)\cdot x + a(t)$ with $\lambda \in L^p([0,T], M(m \times n))$ and $a \in L^p([0,T], R^m)$, where we define the norm on $M(m \times n)$, the space of all real $m \times n$ matrices, as the same as on R^{mn}.

Lemma 3.1. *For each* $u_{\lambda,a} = \lambda(t) \cdot x + a(t)$ *with* $\lambda \in L^p([0,T], M(m,n))$ *and* $a \in L^p([0,T], R^m)$, *there exists a sequence of functions* $\{u^\varepsilon\} \subset V_p(\Omega_T)$ *satifying*

$$\{u^\varepsilon - u_{\lambda,a}\} \subset V_p^0(\Omega_T) \ \text{ and } \ u^\varepsilon \xrightarrow{\tau} u_{\lambda,a} \ \text{ in } \ V_p(\Omega_T) \ \text{ as } \ \varepsilon \to 0^+$$

such that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+} F^\varepsilon(u^\varepsilon, \Omega_T) = \int_{\Omega_T} \bar{f}(t,\lambda) dxdt = F(u_{\lambda,a}, \Omega_T),$$

where $\bar{f}(t,\lambda)$ *is given by* (1.6) *and* F *by* (1.7).

Proof. Fix $\delta \in (0,1)$, one can choose $k \in N$ and $\phi^\delta \in V_p^0(k_T, m)$ (see (1.6)) such that

$$\bar{f}(t, \lambda(t)) \le |kY|^{-1} \int_{kY} f(y, t, \lambda + D\phi^\delta) dy \le \bar{f}(t, \lambda(t)) + \delta. \tag{3.1}$$

We use E_η^* to denote the extension of $\eta\bar{Y}$ on the ηY-period, and let

$$\Omega_\eta^* = \{e \in E_\eta^*, e \subset \Omega\}, \quad E_\eta = \bigcup_{e\in E_\eta^*} e, \quad \Omega_\eta = \bigcup_{e\in\Omega_\eta^*} e.$$

Then $E_\eta = R^n$. As Ω is bounded, Ω_η^* is a finite set for each $\eta > 0$, and

$$\lim_{\eta\to 0^+} L^n(\Omega\backslash\Omega_\eta) = 0. \tag{3.2}$$

For every $t \in [0,T]$, extend $\phi^\delta(y,t)$ so that it is a kY-periodic function on the variable y. Then define

$$v^{\varepsilon,\delta}(x,t) = \begin{cases} u_{\lambda,a}(x,t) + \varepsilon\phi^\delta(\frac{x}{\varepsilon},t), & \Omega_{\varepsilon k}, \\ u_{\lambda,a}(x,t), & \Omega\backslash\Omega\varepsilon k. \end{cases} \tag{3.3}$$

It is easy to know that $v^{\varepsilon,\delta} \in V_p(\Omega_T)$, $v^{\varepsilon,\delta} - u_{\lambda,a} \in V_p^0(\Omega_T)$. For each $D \in \Omega_{\varepsilon k}$, by the periodicity of $g(y,t) = f(y,t,\lambda(t) + D\phi^\delta(y,t))$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{D\times(0,T)} f\Big(\frac{x}{\varepsilon},t,Dv^{\varepsilon,\delta}\Big)dxdt &= \int_0^T \Big[\varepsilon^n \int_{D/\varepsilon} f(y,t,\lambda(t)+D\phi^\delta(y,t)dy\Big]dt \\ &= L^n(D)\int_0^T dt|kY|^{-1}\int_{kY} f(y,t,\lambda(t)+D\phi^\delta)dy.\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Summing up the both sides for all $D \in \Omega_{\varepsilon k}$ and applying (3.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}L^n(\Omega_{\varepsilon k})\int_0^T \bar{f}(t,\lambda(t))dt &\le \int_0^T dt\int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon k}} f\Big(\frac{x}{\varepsilon},t,Dv^{\varepsilon,\delta}\Big)dx \\ &\le L^n(\Omega_{\varepsilon k})\int_0^T (\bar{f}(t,\lambda(t))+\delta)dt.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, it follows from (1.5) and (3.3) that

$$\begin{aligned}&L^n(\Omega_{\varepsilon k})\int_0^T \bar{f}(t,\lambda(t))dt \le \int_{\Omega_T} f\Big(\frac{x}{\varepsilon},t,Dv^{\varepsilon,\delta}\Big)dxdt \\ &\le L^n(\Omega_{\varepsilon k})\int_0^T (\bar{f}(t,\lambda(t))+\delta)dt + \int_0^T dt\int_{\Omega\backslash\Omega_{\varepsilon k}} f\Big(\frac{x}{\varepsilon},t,\lambda(t)\Big)dx \\ &\le L^n(\Omega_{\varepsilon k})\int_0^T (\bar{f}(t,\lambda(t))+\delta)dt + CL^n(\Omega\backslash\Omega_{\varepsilon k})\int_0^T (1+|\lambda|^p)dt.\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

By this estimate and (3.2), we see that

$$\lim_{\delta\to 0^+}\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+}\int_{\Omega_T} f\Big(\frac{x}{\varepsilon},t,Dv^{\varepsilon,\delta}\Big)dxdt = \int_{\Omega_T}\bar{f}(t,\lambda(t))dtdx. \tag{3.6}$$

Moreover, we have

$$\|v^{\varepsilon,\delta} - u_{\lambda,a}\|^p_{L^p(\Omega_T)} = \varepsilon^p \sum_{D\in\Omega_{\varepsilon k}} |D|\int_0^T dt|kY|^{-1}\int_{kY}|\phi^\delta|^p dy. \tag{3.7}$$

Applying (3.6), (3.7), and Lemma 2.4, one can find a sequence $\delta(\varepsilon) \to 0^+$ as $\varepsilon \to 0^+$ such that $\{u^\varepsilon = v^{\varepsilon,\delta(\varepsilon)} : \varepsilon > 0\}$ satisfy that

$$\{u^\varepsilon - u_{\lambda,a}\} \subset V_p^0(\Omega_T), \quad \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+}\|u^\varepsilon - u_{\lambda,a}\|^p_{L^p(\Omega_T)} = 0,$$
$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+} F^\varepsilon(u^\varepsilon,\Omega_T) = F(u_{\lambda,a},\Omega_T).$$

On the other hand, the coercive condition in (1.5) and (3.5) imply that $\{Du^\varepsilon\}$ is bounded in $L^p(\Omega_T, R^{mn})$. Thus, by Lemma B in [6], we obtain $u^\varepsilon \xrightarrow{\tau} u_{\lambda,a}$. This proves the desired result.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $u_{\lambda,a}(x,t) = \lambda(t)\cdot x + a(t)$ be the same as in Lemma* 3.1. *Then for each sequence $u^\varepsilon \xrightarrow{\tau} u_{\lambda,a}$ in $V_p(\Omega_T)$ $\quad(\varepsilon \to 0^+)$,*

$$\liminf_{\varepsilon\to 0^+} F^\varepsilon(u^\varepsilon, \Omega_T) \geq F(u_{\lambda,a}, \Omega_T) = \int_{\Omega_T} \bar{f}(t, \lambda(t))dtdx.$$

Proof. (1) Firstly, assume $u^\varepsilon \xrightarrow{\tau} u_{\lambda,a}$ and $u^\varepsilon - u_{\lambda,a} \in V_p^0(\Omega_T)$. As Ω is bounded, we find an open cube D whose sides are parallel to axes and whose center concides with the origin, such that $\bar{\Omega} \subset D$. The side length of D is denoted by 2d, and let

$$k_\varepsilon = \Big[\frac{2d}{\varepsilon}\Big] + 3, \qquad a_\varepsilon = \Big[-\frac{d}{\varepsilon}\Big],$$
$$x_\varepsilon = (a_\varepsilon, \cdots, a_\varepsilon) \in R^n, D_\varepsilon = \varepsilon(x_\varepsilon + k_\varepsilon Y),$$

where $[\kappa]$ denote the maximum integer not greater than κ. It is not difficult to get

$$D \subset D_\varepsilon, \quad \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+} L^n(D_\varepsilon) = L^n(D). \tag{3.8}$$

Let

$$Q = D\backslash\bar{\Omega}, \quad Q_T = Q \times (0,T). \tag{3.9}$$

Applying Lemma 3.1 to the open set Q, we can choose a sequence

$$v^\varepsilon \to u_{\lambda,a} \ \text{ sw } \ \text{in } \ V_p(Q_T), \quad v^\varepsilon - u_{\lambda,a} \in V_p^0(Q_T)$$

such that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+} F^\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon, Q_T) = \int_Q \bar{f}(t,\lambda)dxdt. \tag{3.10}$$

For fixed $t \in [0,T]$, define

$$\phi^\varepsilon(x,t) = \begin{cases} u^\varepsilon - u_{\lambda,a}, & x \in \bar{\Omega}, \\ v^\varepsilon - u_{\lambda,a}, & x \in D\backslash\bar{\Omega} = Q, \\ 0, & x \in D_\varepsilon\backslash D. \end{cases} \tag{3.11}$$

By the periodicity of $f(y,t,\lambda)$, using the variable transformation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{D_\varepsilon} f\Big(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}, t, \lambda + D\phi^\varepsilon(x,t)\Big)dx &= \varepsilon^n \int_{x_\varepsilon + k_\varepsilon Y} f(y,t,\lambda + D_x\phi^\varepsilon(\varepsilon y, t))dy \\ &= (k_\varepsilon\varepsilon)^n |k_\varepsilon Y|^{-1} \int_{k_\varepsilon Y} f(y,t,\lambda + D\psi^\varepsilon(y,t))dy,\end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

where $\psi^\varepsilon(y,t) = \varepsilon^{-1}\phi^\varepsilon\big(\varepsilon(y + x_\varepsilon), t\big)$. Obviously, (3.11) gives us $\psi^\varepsilon \in V_p^0\big((k_\varepsilon Y)\times(0,T)\big)$. Thus, we deduce from (3.12) and (1.6) that for each $t \in [0,T]$,

$$|D_\varepsilon|^{-1} \int_{D_\varepsilon} f\Big(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}, t, \lambda + D\phi^\varepsilon(x)\Big)dx = |k_\varepsilon Y|^{-1} \int_{K_\varepsilon Y} f(y,t,\lambda + D\psi^\varepsilon(y,t))dy \geq \bar{f}(t,\lambda).$$

Therefore

$$\int_0^T \int_{D_\varepsilon} f\Big(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}, t, \lambda + D\phi^\varepsilon\Big)dxdt \geq L^n(D_\varepsilon) \int_0^T \bar{f}(t,\lambda)dt.$$

On the other hand , by (1.5) and (3.8), we have

$$\liminf_{\varepsilon\to 0^+}\int_0^T dt\int_{D_\varepsilon} f\Big(\frac{x}{\varepsilon},t,\lambda+D\phi^\varepsilon\Big)dxdt=\liminf_{\varepsilon\to 0^+}\int_0^T dt\int_D f\Big(\frac{x}{\varepsilon},t,\lambda+D\phi^\varepsilon\Big)dx.$$

This yields

$$\liminf_{\varepsilon\to 0^+}F^\varepsilon\big(u_{\lambda,a}+\phi^\varepsilon,D\times(0,T)\big)\geq\int_0^T\int_D\bar f(t,\lambda)dxdt.$$

Combing this estimate, (3.9), (3.10) with (3.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\liminf_{\varepsilon\to 0^+}F^\varepsilon(u^\varepsilon,\Omega_T)&=\liminf_{\varepsilon\to 0^+}[F^\varepsilon(u_{\lambda,a}+\phi^\varepsilon,D\times(0,T))-F^\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon,Q\times(0,T))]\\&\geq\int_0^T\int_D\bar f(t,\lambda)dxdt-\int_0^T\int_Q\bar f(t,\lambda)dxdt\\&=\int_{\Omega_T}\bar f(t,\lambda)dxdt.\end{aligned}$$

(2) In order to remove the restriction $u^\varepsilon-u_{\lambda,a}\in V_p^0(\Omega_T)$, it is sufficient to apply the De Giorgi's arguements and the result of the case (1). See [11] or [8, p.197] for the details.

Definition 3.1. *Let $\{\Omega_i: i=1,2,\cdots,h\}$ be a finite partition of Ω into open sets (except for a set of measure zero), $\lambda_i\in L^p([0,T],M(m,n))$, $a_i\in L^p([0,T],R^m)$. We call the function*

$$W(x,t)=\begin{cases}\lambda_i(t)\cdot x+a_i(t), & x\in\Omega_i,\\ 0, & x\in\Omega\backslash\bigcup\limits_{i=1}^h\Omega_i,\end{cases}$$

an L^p -layered affine function on Ω_T.

Summing up Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 (observing that Ω may be arbitrary there), Lemmas 2.5 and 2.3, we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose that Ω is a bounded open set in R^n with $L^n(\partial\Omega)=0$, $H(u,\Omega_T)$ is given by Lemma* 2.5. *Then*

$$\Gamma(\tau)\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+}F^\varepsilon(w,\Omega_T)=\int_{\Omega_T}\bar f(t,Dw)dxdt=H(w,\Omega_T)$$

for any w, an L^p-layered affine function on Ω_T.

§4. A Proof of Theorem 1.2

In this section, we suppose that all the hypotheses of Theorem 1.2 are satisfied. Applying the same arguement as in [9, Section 5], we can prove that for almost $t\in[0,T]$, $\bar f(t,\lambda)$ is convex if $n=2$; and convex with respect to each column vector if $n>2$. This implies that

Lemma 4.1. *For a.e. $t\in[0,T]$, $\bar f(t,\lambda)$ is continuous in $M(m,n)$.*

Lemma 4.2. *Suppose $v\in V_p(\Omega_T)$ $(1<p<\infty)$. Then there exists a sequence of L^p-layered affine functions*

$$v^k(x,t)=\begin{cases}\lambda_i^k(t)\cdot x+a_i^k(t), & x\in\Omega_i,\\ 0, & x\in\Omega\backslash\bigcup\limits_{i=1}^{h_k}\Omega_i,\end{cases}$$

such that $\|v-v^k\|_{V_p(\Omega_T)}\longrightarrow 0$ as $k\to\infty$.

Proof. (1) Suppose $1 < p \le 2$. Fix $v \in V_p(\Omega_T)$. For any $\varepsilon > 0$ we can choose $u \in V_2(\Omega_T)$ such that

$$\|u - v\|_{V_p(\Omega_T)} < \varepsilon. \tag{4.1}$$

Because $H \overset{\text{def.}}{=} W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ is a Hilbert space, one can assume that $\{\psi_l\}_{l=1}^{\infty}$ is its complete orthonormal basis. Let $C_l(t) = \langle u(t,\cdot), \psi_l\rangle_H$. Then $C_l(t) \in L^2[0,T]$, and for a.e. $t \in [0,T]$,

$$I_k(t) = \Big\|u - \sum_{l=1}^{k} C_l\psi_l(x)\Big\|_H \longrightarrow 0 \quad (k \to \infty).$$

Thus the dominated convergence theorem implies that for some integer k,

$$\Big\|u - \sum_{l=1}^{k} C_l\psi_l\Big\|_{V_p(\Omega_T)} \le \varepsilon. \tag{4.2}$$

It is well known that there exist piecewise affine functions $\omega_l(x)$ in Ω such that

$$\max_{1\le l\le k} \|\psi_l - \omega_l\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} \le \varepsilon\Big(1 + \sum_{l=1}^{k} \|C_l\|^{-1}_{L^p(\Omega)}\Big).$$

Let $v^\varepsilon(x,t) = \sum\limits_{l=1}^{k} C_l(t)\omega_l(x)$. Then

$$\Big\|v^\varepsilon - \sum_{l=1}^{k} C_l\psi_l\Big\|_{V_p(\Omega_T)} \le C(p)\varepsilon. \tag{4.3}$$

Combing (4.1), (4.2) with (4.3), we get $\|v - v^\varepsilon\|_{V_p(\Omega_T)} \le C(m,n,p)\varepsilon$. Observing that each v^ε can be written as a layered function on Ω_T, we have completed the proof.

(2) Suppose $2 < p < \infty$. Applying Sobolev embedding theorem we can find an integer k, $\frac{k-1}{n} \ge \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}$, such that $H_1 \overset{\text{def.}}{=} W^{k,2}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow W^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Given $v \in V_p(\Omega_T)$, for $\varepsilon > 0$, one can find $u \in L^p([0,T], W^{k,2}(\Omega))$ such that

$$\|u - v\|_{V_p(\Omega_T)} < \varepsilon. \tag{4.4}$$

Let $\{\psi_l\}_{l=1}^{\infty}$ be the complete orthonormal basis of the Hilbert space H_1. Then

$$C_l(t) \overset{\text{def.}}{=} \langle u(\cdot,t), \psi_l\rangle_{H_1} \in L^p[0,T].$$

The remaining part is entirely the same as the case (1).

Now we are in a position to prove Theorem 1.1. We will use the idea of [9, pp.750–751]. For $u \in V_p(\Omega_T)$, we can extend u so that $u \in V_{p,\mathrm{loc}}$ (recall (2.3)). From Lemma 4.2, choose a sequence of L^p-layered functions $\omega^k(x,t)$, such that

$$\|u - \omega^k\|_{V_p(\Omega_T)} \longrightarrow 0 \quad (k \to \infty). \tag{4.5}$$

By taking a subsequence, one can assume that $D\omega^k \to Du$ almost everywhere on Ω_T and

$$\bar f(t, D\omega^k) \longrightarrow \bar f(t, Du) \quad \text{a.e in } \Omega_T$$

by virtue of the continuity of $\bar f(t,\cdot)$ (see Lemma 4.1).

We deduce, from the absolute continuity of $\int |Du|^p dxdt$, Egoroff theorem, Theorem 3.1 and inequality (2.5), that

$$\liminf_{k\to\infty} \int_{\Omega_T} \bar f(t, D\omega^k)dxdt \le \int_{\Omega_T} \bar f(t, Du)dxdt.$$

Therfore, by the semi-continuity of $H(u,\Omega_T)$ (see Lemma 2.1),

$$H(u,\Omega_T)\le\liminf_{k\to\infty}H(\omega^k,\Omega_T)=\liminf_{k\to\infty}\int_{\Omega_T}\bar f(t,D\omega^k)dxdt\le\int_{\Omega_T}\bar f(t,Du)dxdt. \tag{4.6}$$

On the other hand, according to Lemma 2.5 and Lebesgue-Nikodym theorem (see [12, §3 of Chapter 3]), we have

$$H(u,\Omega_T)=\int_{\Omega_T}h(x,t)dxdt \tag{4.7}$$

for some $h\in L^1_{\rm loc}(R^n\times(0,T))$ and all $\Omega_T=\Omega\times(0,T)$. By approximation argument, one can easily prove that for a.e $(x,t)\in\Omega_T$, there exists $r_k\to0^+$ such that

$$\frac{u(x+r_k(y-x),t)-u(x,t)}{r_k}\xrightarrow{\tau}Du(x,t)\cdot(y-x)\quad\text{ in }\ V_p(B(x,1)\times(0,T)). \tag{4.8}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}&\Big|\int_0^T h(x,t)dt-\int_0^T|B(x,r_k)|^{-1}\int_{B(x,r_k)}h(y,t)dydt\Big|\\ &\le|B(x,r_k)|^{-1}\int_{B(x,r_k)}\Big|\int_0^T[h(x,t)-h(y,t)]dt\Big|dy,\\ &\int_0^T h(y,t)dt\in L^1_{\rm loc}(R^n),\end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\int_0^T h(x,t)dt=\lim_{k\to\infty}\int_0^T|B(x,r_k)|^{-1}\int_{B(x,r_k)}h(y,t)dydt\ \text{ for a.e. }\ x\in\Omega. \tag{4.9}$$

Fix k and x, set $r=r_k,\ \ B_r=B(x,r),\ \ B_{r,T}=B_r\times(0,T)$. By (4.7) and Lemma 2.5, we can find a sequence $u^h\xrightarrow{\tau}u$ in $V_p(B_{r,T})(h\to0)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_0^T|B_r|^{-1}\int_{B_r}h(y,t)dydt=|B_r|^{-1}H(u,B_{r,T})\\ &=\lim_{h\to\infty}\int_0^T|B_r|^{-1}\int_{B_r}f\Big(\frac{y+\varepsilon_hk_h}{\varepsilon_h},t,Du^h\Big)dydt,\quad\Big(k_h\overset{\rm def.}{=}\Big[\frac{x(r-1)}{\varepsilon_h}\Big]\Big)\\ &\ge\liminf_{h\to\infty}\int_0^T|B_{\frac r2}|^{-1}\int_{B_{\frac r2}}f\Big(\frac{y+x(r-1)}{\varepsilon_h},t,Du^h(y+a_h,t)\Big)dydt\\ &\qquad\Big(\text{note that }a_h\overset{\rm def.}{=}x(r-1)-\varepsilon_hk_h\to0^+\Big)\\ &=\liminf_{h\to\infty}\int_0^T|B_{\frac12}|^{-1}\int_{B_{\frac12}}f\Big(\frac{ry}{\varepsilon_h},t,D\big(u^h(x+r(y-x)+a_h,t)-u(x,t)\big)r^{-1}\Big)dydt.\end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

Let $u_{r,x}(y,t)=r^{-1}[u(x+r(y-x),t)-u(x,t)]$. Obviously

$$r^{-1}[u^h(x+r(y-x)+a_h,t)-u(x,t)]\xrightarrow{\tau}r^{-1}u_{r,x}\text{ in }\ V_p(B_{\frac12,T})\ \text{ as }h\to\infty.$$

Let

$$\delta_h=r^{-1}\varepsilon_h,\quad a=|B_{\frac12}|^{-1},\quad F^-(u,Q)=\Gamma^-(\tau)\lim_{h\to\infty}F^{\delta_h}(u,Q).$$

By (4.10), Lemmas 2.1 and 2.3, (4.8) and Theorem 3.1 in that order, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{k\to\infty}\int_0^T |B(x,r_k)|^{-1}\int_{B(x,r_k)} h(y,t)dydt\\
&\geq a\cdot\liminf_{k\to\infty} F^-(u_{r_k,x},B_{\frac{1}{2},T})\\
&\geq a\cdot F^-(Du(x,t)(y-x),B_{\frac{1}{2},T})\\
&= a\int_0^T\int_{B(x,\frac{1}{2})}\bar{f}(t,Du(x,t)dtdy\\
&= \int_0^T \bar{f}(t,Du(x,t))dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Combing this estimate with (4.9), we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega_T} h(x,t)dxdt \geq \int_{\Omega_T}\bar{f}(x,Du)dxdt,$$

which together with (4.7) implies the opposite inequality of (4.6). Hence

$$H(u,\Omega_T)=\int_{\Omega_T}\bar{f}(t,Du)dxdt,\quad \forall u\in V_p(\Omega_T).$$

Observing that

$$F(u,\Omega_T)=\int_{\Omega_T}\bar{f}(t,Du)dxdt$$

is independent of $\{\varepsilon_h\}$, we have completed the proof of Theorem 1.1 by Lemma 2.5.

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank Professors Xiao Shutie, Su Ning and Song Binheng for their helpful discussions during the preparation of this paper. The author also thanks Professor J. M. Ball for helpful suggestions and Professors Ding Weiyu and Hsiao Ling for their encouragements.

References

[1] Attouch, H., Variational convergence for functions and operators [M], Appl. Math. Series, Pitman, 1984.
[2] Dal Maso, G., An introduction to Γ-convergence [M], Boston Basel, Birkhäuser, 1993.
[3] De Giorgi, E., New problems in Γ-convergence and G-convergence in free boundary problems [C], Proc. of seminar held in Pavia, September-October 1979, Ist. Naz. Alt. Mat. Francesco Severi, Vol. II, 183–194, Rome, 1980.
[4] Bronsard, L.& Kohn, R. V., Motion by mean curvature as the singular limit of Ginzburg-Landau Dynamics [J], *J. Differ. Eqn.,* **90**(1991), 211–237.
[5] Owen, N. C., Rubinstein, J. & Sternberg, P., Minimizers and gradient flows for singularly perturbed bi-stable potentials with a Dirichlet condition [J], *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. Ser.A,* **429**(1990), 505–532.
[6] Jian, H. Y., A relation between Γ-convergence of functionals and their associated gradient flows [J], *Science in China,* **A42**(1999), 133–139.
[7] Jian, H. Y., Homogenization problems of parabolic minima [J], *Acta Math. Appl. Sinica,* **12**(1996), 318–327.
[8] Müller, S., Homogenization of noncovex integral functionals and elastic materials [J], *Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal.,* **99**(1987), 187–212.
[9] Weinan, E., A class of homogenization problems in the calculus of variations [J], *Commu. Pure Appl. Math.,* **44**(1991), 733–759.
[10] Jian, H. Y., Γ-convergence of noncoercive functionals in vector-valued space $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ [J] (in Chinese), *Science in China Ser. A,* **24:**3(1994), 233–240.
[11] De Giorgi, E. & Letta, G., Une notion general de convergence faible pour des fontions crotssantes d'ensemble [J], *Ann Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa CI Sci.,* **4**(1977), 61–99.
[12] Yosida, K., Functional analysis [M], 5th ed, Springer-Verlag, 1975.