

THE $\bar{\partial}$ -PROBLEM FOR HOLOMORPHIC (0,2)-FORMS ON PSEUDOCONVEX DOMAINS IN SEPARABLE HILBERT SPACES AND D.F.N. SPACES***

J. LEE* K. H. SHON**

Abstract

This paper shows that the $\bar{\partial}$ -problem for holomorphic (0,2)-forms on Hilbert spaces is solvable on pseudoconvex open subsets. By using this result, the authors investigate the existence of the solution of the $\bar{\partial}$ -equation for holomorphic (0,2)-forms on pseudoconvex domains in D.F.N. spaces.

Keywords $\bar{\partial}$ -problem, Pseudoconvex domain, Nuclear operator, D.F.N. space

2000 MR Subject Classification 32W05, 46E50

Chinese Library Classification O175.25, O177.91

Document Code A

Article ID 0252-9599(2002)01-0067-08

§1. Introduction

L. Hörmander^[3] solved the $\bar{\partial}$ -problem by using the L^2 -estimates for partial differential operators in $\mathbf{C}^n$. J. Kajiwar^[4] studied infinite dimensional generalizations of the potential kernel. Concerning the $\bar{\partial}$ -problem in infinite dimensional spaces, P. Raboin^[11] investigated the $\bar{\partial}$ -equation for $C^\infty(0,1)$ -forms in arbitrary pseudoconvex open subsets of separable Hilbert spaces without growth condition. J. F. Colombeau and B. Perrot^[1] showed that a C^∞ solution u of $\bar{\partial}u = \omega$ can be obtained when ω is a closed C^∞ differential (0,1)-form on a arbitrary pseudoconvex domain of a D.F.N. space. On the other hand, S. Dineen^[2] showed that the $\bar{\partial}$ -problem is not solvable, for any domain in a locally convex space which does not admit a continuous norm. M. Nishihara^[8,9] studied on special infinite dimensional spaces, correlating the Levi problem with the $\bar{\partial}$ -problem in infinite dimensional space. R. L. Soraggi^[13] proved the existence of a C^∞ solution u of $\bar{\partial}u = \omega$ which is of uniform bounded type on E for a holomorphic (0,2)-form ω on a D.F.N. space E . In this paper, we show the existence of the solution of the $\bar{\partial}$ -equation for a holomorphic (0,2)-form f on a pseudoconvex domain Ω in a D.F.N. space E , using the results in [5,6,13,14] and following the argument of J. F. Colombeau and B. Perrot^[1].

Manuscript received July 25, 2000.

*Department of Mathematics Education, Andong National University, Andong 760-749, Korea.

**Department of Mathematics, Pusan National University, Pusan 609-735, Korea.

E-mail: khshon@hyowon.pusan.ac.kr

***The first author was supported by KOSEF postdoctoral fellowship 1998 and the second author was supported by the Brain Korea 21 Project, 1999.

§2. The $\bar{\partial}$ -Problem on Separable Hilbert Spaces

Let E and F be complex locally convex spaces. Let $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{R}}(E; F)$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{C}}(\bar{E}; F)$ be the vector spaces of continuous $\mathbf{R}$ -linear and antilinear mappings from E to F , respectively.

Definition 2.1. Let p and q be positive integers. $\Lambda^{(p,q)}(E)$ denotes the skew-symmetric subspace of a vector space $\mathcal{L}^{(p+q)}(\bar{E})$ of continuous p - $\mathbf{C}$ -linear and q -antilinear forms on E . Let Ω be an open subset of E . We denote by $C_{(p,q)}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ the linear space of all $C^{\infty}(p, q)$ -forms on Ω , equipped with the topology of uniform convergence on the compact subsets of E for the differential form and each derivative.

Let $u \in C^1(\Omega; F)$ and let $u' : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{R}}(E; F)$ be its derivative. For $x, y \in \Omega$, we define an operator $[\bar{\partial}] : C^1(\Omega; F) \rightarrow C(\Omega; \mathcal{L}(\bar{E}; F))$ as follows:

$$[\bar{\partial}]u(x)(y) = \frac{1}{2}[u'(x)(y) + iu'(x)(iy)].$$

Let $\omega : \Omega \rightarrow \Lambda^{(p,q)}(E)$ be a $C^{\infty}(p, q)$ -form. We define, for each $x \in \Omega$ and $y_1, \dots, y_{p+q+1} \in E$,

$$\begin{aligned} & (\bar{\partial}\omega)(x)(y_1, \dots, y_{p+q+1}) \\ &= \frac{1}{p+q+1} \sum_{k=1}^{p+q+1} (-1)^{k+1} [\bar{\partial}]\omega(x)(y_k)(y_1, \dots, \hat{y}_k, \dots, y_{p+q+1}), \end{aligned}$$

where $\hat{y}_k$ indicates that y_k is omitted.

Then we know the fact that for $\omega \in C_{(p,q)}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and $x \in \Omega$, $(\bar{\partial}\omega)(x) \in \Lambda^{(p,q+1)}(E)$ and $[\bar{\partial}]\omega(x) \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{C}}(\bar{E}, \Lambda^{(p,q)}(E))$. Thus $(\bar{\partial}\omega)(x)$ is the skew-symmetric component of $[\bar{\partial}]\omega(x)$. Hence for a $C^{\infty}(p, q)$ form u on E , we write $[\bar{\partial}]u = \bar{\partial}u + G(u)$ where $G(u)(x)$ is the symmetric component of $[\bar{\partial}]u(x)$.

R. L. Soraggi noted that for a $(0, 1)$ -form u the antilinear component $[\bar{\partial}]u$ of u' consists of $\bar{\partial}u$ and a symmetric part $G(u)$. This leads to some problems when considering integral representations of cylindrical solutions, since they involve $[\bar{\partial}]$ but not $\bar{\partial}$.

This is the reason why we impose the holomorphicity assumption and restrict ourselves to this case for $(0, 2)$ forms. For further details we refer to [13] and [14]. No similar result is known for a $(0, q)$ form, $q \geq 3$, with holomorphic coefficients.

Definition 2.2.^[7] Let E and F be complex Banach spaces. Given $\omega : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\bar{E}; F)$, we say that $u : \Omega \rightarrow F$ is a weak solution of $[\bar{\partial}]u = \omega$ if for every fixed $z \in \Omega$ and $x \in E$, the mapping $g : \lambda \rightarrow u(z + \lambda x)$ is continuous on a disc $\Delta = \Delta(0, r) \subset \mathbf{C}$ and in the sense of distributions, i.e. for all fixed $z, x \in E$, the function g satisfies

$$\int_{\Delta} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \lambda}(\lambda) g(\lambda) d\lambda = - \int_{\Delta} \psi(\lambda) \omega(z + \lambda x)(x) d\lambda$$

for all $\psi \in C_0^{\infty}(\Delta)$.

Note that if $z, x \in E$ and $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$,

$$\frac{\partial g(\lambda)}{\partial \lambda} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} u(z + \lambda x) = [\bar{\partial}]u(z + \lambda x)(x).$$

As the first step to solve the $\bar{\partial}$ -problem on a D. F. N. space, we show the existence of the solution of the $\bar{\partial}$ -equation for a holomorphic $(0, 2)$ -form on a pseudoconvex domain of a separable Hilbert space. Let H be a separable Hilbert space and T be a nuclear injective self-adjoint operator on H (therefore T has a dense range). We denote by $H_T \subset H$ the

range of T , equipped with the scalar product $(Tx, Ty)_{H_T} = (x, y)_H$ for $x, y \in H$. Let G be a separable Hilbert space such that H is contained in G with injective nuclear map. Then from [10], there is an orthonormal basis $\{e_j, j \geq 1\}$ of H made of eigenvectors for T , i.e.

$T(e_j) = \lambda_j e_j$ with $\lambda_j \neq 0$ and $\lambda^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j^2 < \infty$ for $\lambda_j \in \mathbf{C}$. For $n \geq 1$, let

$$T_n : \mathbf{C}^n \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{j=1}^n \mathbf{C} \cdot e_j = H_n \subset H \subset G$$

be defined by

$$T_n(z_1, \dots, z_n) = \sum_{j=1}^n z_j \lambda_j e_j,$$

and we define the orthogonal projection from H onto H_n by $P_n(y) = \sum_{j=1}^n y_j e_j$ for $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n, \dots) \in H$.

For a holomorphic $(0, 2)$ -form f on a pseudoconvex domain in a separable Hilbert space, we can obtain a C^∞ solution of the $\bar{\partial}$ -equation defined on $\mathbf{C}^n$, projecting f onto $\mathbf{C}^n$ and using the Hörmander's L^2 -estimates, where a symmetric part of $[\bar{\partial}]$ is non-identically zero. Then we can construct a good cylindrical solution g_n of which the symmetric component, corresponding to the first solution, is identically zero as follows.

Theorem 2.1.^[6] *Let Ω be a pseudoconvex open subset of G and let $f : \Omega \longrightarrow \Lambda^{(0,2)}(G)$ be a holomorphic $(0, 2)$ -form. Then there exists a $C^\infty(0, 1)$ -form $g_n : \Omega_n \longrightarrow \Lambda^{(0,1)}(\mathbf{C}^n)$ such that the symmetric part $G(g_n)$ is identically zero and $[\bar{\partial}]g_n = \bar{\partial}g_n = f_n$ for a holomorphic $(0, 2)$ -form f_n projected onto $\Omega_n = (T_n)^{-1}(\Omega \cap H_n)$.*

By using the solution obtained in Theorem 2.1, we can solve the $\bar{\partial}$ -problem on a pseudoconvex domain in a Hilbert space.

Theorem 2.2. *Let Ω be a pseudoconvex open subset of G and let $\omega : \Omega \longrightarrow \Lambda^{(0,2)}(G)$ be a holomorphic $(0, 2)$ -form which is bounded on the bounded subsets of Ω . Then there exists $u : \Omega \cap H_T \longrightarrow \Lambda^{(0,1)}(G)$ such that u is a $C^\infty(0, 1)$ -form, bounded on the bounded subsets of $\Omega \cap H_T$ and $\bar{\partial}u = \omega$ on $\Omega \cap H_T$.*

Proof. In terms of the orthogonal projection P_n from H onto H_n , put $S_n = P_n^{-1}(\Omega \cap H_n)$. Let $n \geq 2$. We define, for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ and $t \in \Omega$, $\dot{\omega}_{ij} : \Omega \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}$ by $\dot{\omega}_{ij}(t) = \omega(t)(e_i, e_j)$ and

$$\omega_n(x)(y^1, y^2) = \sum_{i < j}^n \dot{\omega}_{ij}(P_n x) \bar{y}_i^1 \bar{y}_j^2 = \omega(P_n x)[P_n y^1, P_n y^2] \quad (2.1)$$

for $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in S_n$ and $y^i = (y_1^i, \dots, y_n^i, \dots) \in H, i = 1, 2$, that is,

$$\omega_n(x) = \sum_{i < j}^n \dot{\omega}_{ij}(P_n x) d\bar{x}_i \wedge d\bar{x}_j = \omega(P_n x)(P_n, P_n).$$

Then, from the solution g_n in Theorem 2.1, let us define a cylindrical solution $u_n : S_n \longrightarrow \Lambda^{(0,1)}(H)$ for the holomorphic $(0, 2)$ -form ω_n on S_n . Since for $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbf{C}^n$, $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n, \dots) \in H$ and $0 \neq \lambda_j \in \mathbf{C}$, from the definitions of T_n and P_n , we get the following composition

$$T_n^{-1} \circ P_n(y) = T_n^{-1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n y_j e_j \right) = \left(\frac{y_1}{\lambda_1}, \dots, \frac{y_n}{\lambda_n} \right),$$

we can define u_n from g_n in Theorem 2.1 by

$$u_n(x)(y) = g_n(T_n^{-1} \circ P_n(x))(T_n^{-1} \circ P_n(y)) = g_n\left(\frac{x_1}{\lambda_1}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{\lambda_n}\right)\left(\frac{y_1}{\lambda_1}, \dots, \frac{y_n}{\lambda_n}\right)$$

for $x = \sum_{j=1}^n x_j e_j \in S_n$ and $y = \sum_{j=1}^\infty y_j e_j \in H$. Since g_n is C^∞ , u_n is a $C^\infty(0, 1)$ -form on S_n and

$$u_n(x) = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{\lambda_j} a_j\left(\frac{x_1}{\lambda_1}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{\lambda_n}\right) d\bar{x}_j \quad \text{if} \quad g_n(z) = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j(z) d\bar{z}_j.$$

In Theorem 2.1, we could define a holomorphic $(0, 2)$ -form f_n on the pseudoconvex open set Ω_n in $\mathbf{C}^n$, as defining ω_n ,

$$f_n(z) = \sum_{i < j}^n \omega[T_n(z)](T_n e_i, T_n e_j) d\bar{z}_i \wedge d\bar{z}_j.$$

Then we can write for $z \in \mathbf{C}^n$ and $\eta_1, \eta_2 \in \mathbf{C}$,

$$f_n(z)(\eta_1, \eta_2) = \omega[T_n(z)](T_n(\eta_1), T_n(\eta_2)).$$

Thus we obtain by the definition of u_n and f_n and Theorem 2.1,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\partial} u_n(x)(y) &= \bar{\partial} g_n\left(\frac{x_1}{\lambda_1}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{\lambda_n}\right)\left(\frac{y_1}{\lambda_1}, \dots, \frac{y_n}{\lambda_n}\right) \\ &= f_n\left(\frac{x_1}{\lambda_1}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{\lambda_n}\right)\left(\frac{y_1}{\lambda_1}, \dots, \frac{y_n}{\lambda_n}\right) \\ &= \omega\left(T_n\left(\frac{x_1}{\lambda_1}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{\lambda_n}\right)\right)\left(T_n\left(\frac{y_1}{\lambda_1}, \dots, \frac{y_n}{\lambda_n}\right)\right) \\ &= \omega(P_n(x))(P_n(y)) = \omega_n(x)(y). \end{aligned}$$

Now, we look for estimates for u_n . The measures μ and μ_T denote the Gauss measure on H and the image by T , respectively. Then the following fact was proved in [12]: if $z_0 \in H_T$ then the translated measure $\mu_T(B - z_0)$ for each Borel set B of H is equivalent to μ_T with a density

$$\frac{d\mu_{T, z_0}}{d\mu_T}(x) = \rho_T(z_0, x) \quad \text{for } x \in H_T, \quad (2.2)$$

where

$$\rho_T(z_0, x) = \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}|z_0|_{H_T}^2 + \operatorname{Re}\langle T^{-1}z_0; T^{-1}x \rangle\right]. \quad (2.3)$$

Then we have for a continuous plurisubharmonic function φ , defining a plurisubharmonic weight $\tilde{\varphi}_n(z) = \varphi \circ T_n(z) + \frac{1}{2}\|z\|_{\mathbf{C}^n}^2$ in $\mathbf{C}^n$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_n(z)\|_{L^2(S_n, \bar{H}, \mu_T)}^2 &= \int_{S_n} \|u_n(z)\|_{\Lambda^{(0,1)}(H)}^2 e^{-\varphi \circ P_n(z)} d\mu_T \\ &= \int_{\Omega_n} \|g_n(z)\|_{\Lambda^{(0,1)}(\mathbf{C}^n)}^2 e^{-\tilde{\varphi}_n(z)} \frac{d\sigma_{2n}}{(2\pi)^n} \\ &\leq 4 \int_{\Omega_n} \|f_n(z)\|_{\Lambda^{(0,2)}(\mathbf{C}^n)}^2 e^{-\tilde{\varphi}_n(z)} \frac{d\sigma_{2n}}{(2\pi)^n} \\ &\leq 8M, \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

where $M = \lambda^4$ for $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ and $d\sigma_{2n}$ is the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbf{C}^n$. Hence, the cylindrical solution $u_n : S_n \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\bar{H})$ also has L^2 -estimate. Therefore, we get a $C_{(0,1)}^\infty$ -

solution u_n on S_n such that $\bar{\partial}u_n = \omega_n$ for a holomorphic $(0, 2)$ -form ω_n defined on S_n and u_n has L^2 -estimate in (2.4).

From now on we construct a continuous weak solution u on $\Omega \cap H_T$. Let us observe the following : if $x \in \Omega \cap H$ and if $\delta(x, (\Omega \cap H)^c)$ denotes the distance in H between x and the complement of $\Omega \cap H$ in H , then

$$P_n \left[B \left(x, \frac{1}{2} \delta(x, (\Omega \cap H)^c) \right) \right] \subset \Omega$$

for n large enough, if $B(x, r)$ denotes the closed ball in H of center x and radius r . We take a dense sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ in Ω and we denote $B_{x_n} = B \left(x_n, \frac{1}{2} \delta(x_n, (\Omega \cap H)^c) \right)$. By (2.4), $\{u_n \exp(-\frac{1}{2} \varphi \circ P_n), n \geq 2\}$ is bounded in the space $L^2(H, \bar{H}_T, \mu_T)$ of square μ_T -Bochner integrable mappings from H into $\bar{H}_T$ endowed with the Hilbert structures given by

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int_H \left(g(x); f(x) \right)_{\bar{H}_T} d\mu_T,$$

where f and $g \in L^2(H, \bar{H}_T, \mu_T)$. Hence there exists a subsequence of the sequence

$$\{u_n e^{\frac{1}{2}(-\varphi \circ P_n)}, n \geq 2\},$$

which we still denote by $\{u_n e^{\frac{1}{2}(-\varphi \circ P_n)}, n \geq 2\}$, which is defined on B_{x_n} for n large enough and which, for every $n \in \mathbf{N}$, is weakly convergent in

$$L^2(B_{x_n}, \bar{H}_T, \mu_T) \quad \text{to } g_{B_{x_n}} \in L^2(B_{x_n}, \bar{H}_T, \mu_T).$$

We set

$$u_{B_{x_n}}(x) = g_{B_{x_n}}(x) e^{\frac{1}{2} \varphi(x)}.$$

Now, if $z_0 \in \Omega \cap H_T$, let $\varepsilon > 0$ be small enough so that $B(z_0, \varepsilon)$ is contained in some ball B_{x_n} . We denote by B the above ball B_{x_n} and by B_ε the ball $B(0, \varepsilon)$. Let $z_0 \in \Omega \cap H_T, e \in H_T$ and $x \in B_\varepsilon$. We define the following C^∞ function on the open unit disc $\Delta(0, 1)$ of $\mathbf{C}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta = \Delta(0, 1) &\longrightarrow \mathbf{C} \\ \lambda &\longmapsto (u_n(z_0 + \lambda x); e)_{H_T}. \end{aligned}$$

By the Cauchy integral formula for C^∞ functions on the disc $\bar{\Delta}(0, 1)$, we obtain for $\lambda \in \Delta$,

$$\begin{aligned} (u_n(z_0 + \lambda x); e) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\alpha|=1} (u_n(z_0 + \alpha x); e) \frac{d\alpha}{\alpha - \lambda} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \iint_{\bar{\Delta}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\alpha}} (u_n(z_0 + \alpha x); e) \frac{d\alpha \wedge d\bar{\alpha}}{\alpha - \lambda} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\alpha|=1} (u_n(z_0 + \alpha x); e) \frac{d\alpha}{\alpha - \lambda} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \iint_{\bar{\Delta}} [\bar{\partial}] u_n(z_0 + \alpha x)(x, e) \frac{d\alpha \wedge d\bar{\alpha}}{\alpha - \lambda} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\alpha|=1} (u_n(z_0 + \alpha x); e) \frac{d\alpha}{\alpha - \lambda} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \iint_{\bar{\Delta}} \omega(P_n(z_0 + \alpha x))(P_n x, P_n e) \frac{d\alpha \wedge d\bar{\alpha}}{\alpha - \lambda}. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $\lambda = 0$, by (2.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} (u_n(z_0); e) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} (u_n(z_0 + e^{i\theta}x); e) d\theta \\ &\quad + 2 \int_0^1 \left[\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \omega[P_n(z_0 + re^{i\theta}x)](P_nx, P_ne) d\theta \right] dr. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

Now, we integrate (2.5) in $x \in B_\varepsilon$ with respect to the measure μ_T and apply Fubini's theorem to the second integral. By applying the rotation invariance of some integrals with respect to μ_T , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_T(B_\varepsilon)(u_n(z_0); e) &= \int_{z_0+B_\varepsilon} (u_n(x); e) \rho_T(z_0, x) d\mu_T \\ &\quad + 2 \int_0^1 \int_{B_\varepsilon} \omega[P_n(z_0 + rx)](P_nx, P_ne) d\mu_T dr. \end{aligned}$$

Since for $x \in \Omega \cap H_T$, $e \in H_T$ and ρ_T in (2.2) and (2.3)

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{z_0+B_\varepsilon} ([u_B(x) - u_n(x)]; e)_{H_T} \rho_T(z_0, x) d\mu_T \\ &= \int_{z_0+B_\varepsilon} (u_n(x); \rho_T(z_0, x)e) \left[e^{-\frac{1}{2}[\varphi \circ P_n(x) - \varphi(x)]} - 1 \right] d\mu_T \\ &\quad - \int_{z_0+B_\varepsilon} (u_n(x)e^{-\frac{1}{2}\varphi \circ P_n(x)} - u_B(x)e^{-\frac{1}{2}\varphi(x)}; e \cdot \rho_T(z_0, x)e^{\frac{1}{2}\varphi(x)}) d\mu_T \end{aligned}$$

and the first and second parts in the integration tend to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_T(B_\varepsilon)(u_n(z_0); e) &= \int_{z_0+B_\varepsilon} (u_B(x); e) \rho_T(z_0, x) d\mu_T \\ &\quad + 2 \int_0^1 \int_{B_\varepsilon} \omega(z_0 + rx)(x, e) d\mu_T dr. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\{u_n(z_0); n \geq 2\} \subset \mathcal{L}(\bar{H}_T)$ for each fixed $z_0 \in \Omega \cap H_T$, and $\{u_n(z_0)(e), n \geq 2\}$ is a convergent sequence in $\mathbf{C}$ for every $e \in H_T$. By applying an extended version of Banach theorem we have, for all $z_0 \in \Omega \cap H_T$,

$$u_n(z_0) \rightarrow u(z_0) \in \mathcal{L}(\bar{H}_T).$$

Then it follows from [13] that u is bounded on the balls of $\Omega \cap H_T$ and u is a weak solution to the $\bar{\partial}$ -problem. By [7] the solution u is C^∞ on $\Omega \cap H_T$ and so satisfies all conditions of Theorem 2.2. This completes the proof.

§3. The $\bar{\partial}$ -Problem on D.F.N. Spaces

We apply the results about the $\bar{\partial}$ -problem on Hilbert spaces to show the existence of the solution for the $\bar{\partial}$ -equation on D.F.N. spaces.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $H_0 \subset H_1 \subset H_2$ be separable, complex Hilbert spaces with nuclear injections. Let Ω be a pseudoconvex open subset of H_2 and let $\omega : \Omega \rightarrow \Lambda^{(0,2)}(H_2)$ be a holomorphic $(0,2)$ -form on Ω . Then there exists a $C^\infty(0,1)$ -form $u : \Omega \cap H_0 \rightarrow \Lambda^{(0,1)}(H_0)$ such that $\bar{\partial}u = \omega$ on $\Omega \cap H_0$.*

Proof. By using Theorem 2.2 and following an argument of J. F. Colombeau and B. Perrot^[1], we can prove this lemma.

Proposition 3.1.^[1] *Let E and F be two separable Hilbert spaces with a compact inclusion mapping from F to E . Let Ω be a pseudoconvex open subset of E with $\Omega \cap F \neq \emptyset$. Then the restriction mapping $\mathbf{H}(\Omega) \longrightarrow \mathbf{H}(\Omega \cap F)$ has dense range.*

Lemma 3.2. *Let E and F be two separable Hilbert spaces with a compact inclusion mapping from F to E . Let Ω be a pseudoconvex open subset of E with $\Omega \cap F \neq \emptyset$ and K be a compact subset of $\Omega \cap F$. If $\varepsilon > 0$ is given, for any holomorphic $(0, 1)$ -form h in $\Omega \cap F$, then there is a holomorphic $(0, 1)$ -form $\tilde{h}$ in Ω such that $\|\tilde{h} - h\|_K \leq \varepsilon$.*

Proof. For holomorphic functions $h_j : \Omega \cap F \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}$, we can define $h : \Omega \cap F \longrightarrow \Lambda^{(0,1)}(F)$ by $h(z) = \sum_{j=1}^n h_j(z) d\bar{z}_j$ for $z \in \Omega \cap F$. Then, by Proposition 3.1, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist $\tilde{h}_j \in \mathbf{H}(\Omega)$ such that $|\tilde{h}_j - h_j|_K \leq \varepsilon$. Hence we obtain a holomorphic $(0, 1)$ -form $\tilde{h} : \Omega \longrightarrow \Lambda^{(0,1)}(E)$ such that $\tilde{h}(z) = \sum_{j=1}^n \tilde{h}_j(z) d\bar{z}_j$ for $z \in \Omega$. Then we have $\|\tilde{h} - h\|_K \leq \varepsilon$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let E be a D.F.N. space and Ω be a pseudoconvex domain in E . Let $f : \Omega \longrightarrow \Lambda^{(0,2)}(E)$ be a holomorphic $(0, 2)$ -form. Then there exists a $C^\infty(0, 1)$ -form g on Ω such that $\bar{\partial}g = f$.*

Proof. Since E is a nuclear Silva space, it is the inductive limit of an increasing sequence of Hilbert spaces E_n with a nuclear injection $E_n \rightarrow E_{n+1}$ for every n . Then there exists an increasing exhaustive sequence of compact subsets K_n of Ω , where we may assume that K_n is compact in E_n . We set $\Omega(n) = \Omega \cap E_n$.

Now we consider the restriction of f to $\Omega \cap E_{n+1}$. From Theorem 2.2, there exists a $C^\infty(0, 1)$ -form u_n on $\Omega(n) \subset E_n$ such that $\bar{\partial}u_n = f$ on $\Omega(n)$. In order to start an induction we set $g_2 = u_2$; then $u_3 - g_2$ is defined and is a $C^\infty(0, 1)$ -form on $\Omega(2)$. Since

$$\bar{\partial}(u_3 - g_2) = \bar{\partial}u_3 - \bar{\partial}g_2 = \bar{\partial}u_3 - \bar{\partial}u_2 = 0$$

on $\Omega(2)$, $u_3 - g_2$ is a holomorphic $(0, 1)$ -form on $\Omega(2)$. From Lemma 3.2, this holomorphic $(0, 1)$ -form may be approximated uniformly on K_2 by holomorphic $(0, 1)$ -forms on $\Omega \cap E_3$. Therefore, there is a holomorphic $(0, 1)$ -form h_2 in $\Omega \cap E_3$ such that

$$\sup_{x \in K_2} |u_3(x) - g_2(x) - h_2(x)| \leq \frac{1}{2^2}.$$

If we set $g_3 = u_3 - h_2$, we have

$$\begin{cases} g_3 \text{ is a } C^\infty(0, 1)\text{-form on } \Omega(3) = \Omega \cap E_3, \\ \bar{\partial}g_3 = f \text{ on } \Omega(3) \text{ (since } \bar{\partial}h_2 = 0), \\ \sup_{x \in K_2} |g_3(x) - g_2(x)| \leq \frac{1}{2^2}. \end{cases}$$

By an induction we obtain a sequence (g_n) of $C^\infty(0, 1)$ -forms on $\Omega(n)$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \bar{\partial}g_n = f \text{ on } \Omega(n), \\ \sup_{x \in K_{n-1}} |g_n(x) - g_{n-1}(x)| \leq \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n-1}. \end{cases}$$

For every $x \in \Omega$, there is some n large enough such that $x \in K_n \subset \Omega(n)$. Thus $g_n(x)$ is defined for n large enough and

$$|g_n(x) - g_{n-1}(x)| \leq \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n-1}$$

for n large enough. We set

$$g(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_n(x).$$

We notice that $\bar{\partial}(g_{n+k} - g_n) = 0$ on $\Omega(n)$, thus $g_{n+k} - g_n$ is a holomorphic $(0, 1)$ -form in $\Omega(n)$. When $k \rightarrow \infty$, $(g_{n+k} - g_n)$ converges to holomorphic $(0, 1)$ -form $g - g_n$ in $\Omega(n)$ since every compact subset of $\Omega(n)$ is contained in K_l , for some l large enough. Therefore $g = (g - g_n) + g_n$ is a $C^\infty(0, 1)$ -form on $\Omega(n)$. Since this holds for any n , g is a $C^\infty(0, 1)$ -form on Ω . Furthermore, $g - g_n$ is a holomorphic $(0, 1)$ -form in $\Omega(n)$ and $\bar{\partial}g_n = f$ on $\Omega(n)$, hence $\bar{\partial}g = f$ on $\Omega(n)$ for any n , i.e., $\bar{\partial}g = f$ on Ω .

REFERENCES

- [1] Colombeau, J. F. & Perrot, B., L'équation $\bar{\partial}$ dans les ouverts pseudo-convexes des espaces D. F. N. [J], *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, **110** (1982), 15–26.
- [2] Dineen, S., Cousin's first problem on certain locally convex topological vector spaces [J], **48:1**(1976), *An. Acad. Brasil Ciénc*, 11–12.
- [3] Hörmander, L., Introduction to complex analysis in several variables [M], North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1990.
- [4] Kajiwara, J. & Li, L., Reproducing kernels for infinite dimensional domains [A], Proceedings of the Fifth International Colloquium on Differential Equations [C], VSP (Utrecht) (1994), 153–162.
- [5] Lee, J. & Shon, K. H., The $\bar{\partial}$ -problem related to finite and infinite dimensional complex spaces [J], *Korean Journal of Math. Sci.*, **4**(1997), 177–182.
- [6] Lee, J. & Shon, K. H., The $\bar{\partial}$ -equation in $\mathbf{C}^n$ for holomorphic $(0, 2)$ -forms defined on infinite dimensional spaces [J], **62:2**(1998), *Par East J. of Math. Sci.*, 241–250.
- [7] Mazét, P., Un théorème d'hypoellipticité pour l'opérateur $\bar{\partial}$ sur les espaces de Banach [J], **292:1**(1981), *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I. Math.*, 31–33.
- [8] Nishihara, M., On the indicator of growth of entire functions of exponential type in infinite dimensional spaces and the Levi problem in infinite dimensional projective spaces [J], *Portugaliae Math.* **52**(1995), 61–94.
- [9] Nishihara, M., Kajiwara, J., Li, L. & Yoshida, M., On the Levi problem for holomorphic mappings of Riemann domains over infinite dimensional spaces into a complex Lie group [J], **26:2**(1994), *Res. Bull. Fukuoka Inst. Tech.*, 151–161.
- [10] Pietsch, A., Nuclear locally convex spaces [M], Erg. der Math. 66, Springer Verlag, 1972.
- [11] Raboin, P., The $\bar{\partial}$ equation on a Hilbert space and some applications on infinite dimensional vector spaces [A], Advances in Holomorphy [C], J. A. Barroso ed., North-Holland Math. Studies **34** (1979), 713–734.
- [12] Skorohod, A. V., Integration in Hilbert spaces [M], Erg. der Math. 79, Springer Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg and New York, 1974.
- [13] Soraggi, R. L., The $\bar{\partial}$ -problem for a $(0, 2)$ -form in a D.F.N. Space [J], **98:2**(1991), *J. Functional Analysis*, 380–403.
- [14] Soraggi, R. L., The symmetric anti-linear component of the derivative of the canonical solution of the $\bar{\partial}$ -operator [J], **93A:1**(1993), *Proc. R. Ir. Acad.*, 111–122.