

NOTE ON REGULAR D -OPTIMAL MATRICES**

LI QIAOLIANG*

Abstract

Let A be a $j \times d$ $(0, 1)$ matrix. It is known that if $j = 2k - 1$ is odd, then $\det(AA^T) \leq (j+1)((j+1)d/4j)^j$; if j is even, then $\det(AA^T) \leq (j+1)((j+2)d/4(j+1))^j$. A is called a regular D -optimal matrix if it satisfies the equality of the above bounds. In this note, it is proved that if $j = 2k - 1$ is odd, then A is a regular D -optimal matrix if and only if A is the adjacent matrix of a $(2k - 1, k, (j + 1)d/4j)$ -BIBD; if $j = 2k$ is even, then A is a regular D -optimal matrix if and only if A can be obtained from the adjacent matrix B of a $(2k + 1, k + 1, (j + 2)d/4(j + 1))$ -BIBD by deleting any one row from B . Three 21×42 regular D -optimal matrices, which were unknown in [11], are also provided.

Keywords Regular D -optimal matrices, Simplex, Weighing design

2000 MR Subject Classification 62K05, 05B05, 05B20, 15A15

Chinese Library Classification O187.2 **Document Code** A

Article ID 0252-9599(2003)02-0001-06

§1. Introduction

Let $M_{j,d}(0, 1)$ be the set of all $j \times d$ $(0, 1)$ matrices. The problem of finding the maximum value of $\det(AA^T)$ for all $A \in M_{j,d}(0, 1)$ has received considerable attention over the past decade primarily for its significance in finding a j -simplex of the maximum volume in the d -dimensional unit cube and in statistical design theory^[6,7].

The matrices $A \in M_{j,d}(0, 1)$ such that $\det(AA^T)$ is maximum are called D -optimal matrices. Few results are known for D -optimal matrices. In [10, 11], Neubauer, Watkins and Zeitlin proved that for $A \in M_{j,d}(0, 1)$, if $j = 2k - 1$ is odd, then $\det(AA^T) \leq (j+1)((j+1)d/4j)^j$; if $j = 2k$ is even, then $\det(AA^T) \leq (j+1)((j+2)d/4(j+1))^j$. They defined that a D -optimal matrix A is regular if it satisfies the equality of the above bounds. Some new infinitely families of regular D -optimal matrices are constructed by Hadamard matrices and supplementary difference sets^[11].

The purpose of this note is to show that if $j = 2k - 1$, then a matrix $A \in M_{j,d}(0, 1)$ is a regular D -optimal matrix if and only if A is the adjacent matrix of a $(2k - 1, k, (j + 1)d/4j)$ -BIBD (the definition of BIBD can be seen in Definition 2.2 below); if $j = 2k$, then a $(0, 1)$

Manuscript received January 29, 2002.

*Department of Mathematics, Hunan Normal University, Changsha, Hunan 410081, China
 and Center for Combinatorics, Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, China.

**Project supported by the Science Foundation of China for Postdoctor.

matrix $A \in M_{j,d}(0,1)$ is a regular D -optimal matrix if and only if A can be obtained from the adjacent matrix B of a $(2k+1, k+1, (j+2)d/4(j+1))$ -BIBD by deleting any one row from B . We also provide three 21×42 regular D -optimal matrices, which were unknown in [11].

§2. Preliminaries

We begin this section with some definitions on design theory and some relative results.

Definition 2.1. Let v, λ be positive integers and $K \subseteq N$ be a set of positive integers. A (v, K, λ) pairwise balanced design, denoted by (v, K, λ) -PBD, is a finite collection $\mathbf{B} = \{B_1, B_2, \dots, B_b\}$ of subsets of $X = \{1, 2, \dots, v\}$ such that

- (1) each pair of elements $i, j \in X$ occurs in exactly λ blocks in $\mathbf{B}$, and
- (2) for each $B_i \in \mathbf{B}$, $|B_i| \in K$.

Definition 2.2. Let v, k, λ be positive integers with $k < v$. A balanced incomplete block design, denoted by (v, k, λ) -BIBD, is a finite collection $\mathbf{B} = \{B_1, B_2, \dots, B_b\}$ of subsets of $\{1, 2, \dots, v\}$ such that

- (1) each B_j has cardinality k , and
- (2) each pair $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, v\}$ occurs in exactly λ subsets in $\mathbf{B}$.

Obviously, a BIBD is a special PBD.

It is an elementary result in block design theory that if $\mathcal{B} = (X, \mathbf{B})$ is a (v, k, λ) -BIBD, then each element $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, v\}$ occurs in the same number r of subsets in $\mathbf{B}$ and that $b = |\mathbf{B}|$ and r satisfies the conditions

$$\lambda(v-1) = r(k-1), \quad bk = vr. \quad (2.1)$$

Thus b and r are determined by the other three parameters v, k, λ of the design. We sometimes refer to a (v, k, λ) -BIBD as a (v, b, r, k, λ) -BIBD.

The incidence matrix $A = (a_{ij})$ of a (v, b, r, k, λ) -BIBD $\mathcal{B} = (X, \mathbf{B})$ is defined by

$$a_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i \in B_j, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is well known that a $(0,1)$ matrix A is the incidence matrix of a (v, b, r, k, λ) -BIBD if and only if the following holds

$$AA^T = (r - \lambda)I_v + \lambda J_v, \quad (2.2)$$

$$J_v A = k J_{v,b}, \quad (2.3)$$

where I_v denotes the identity matrix of order v and $J_{v,b}$ denotes $v \times b$ matrix with all its entries 1.

Now we mention the known upper bounds for $\det(AA^T)$ separated into the cases — j odd and j even.

Lemma 2.1.^[11] If $j = 2k - 1$ is odd and $A \in M_{j,d}(0,1)$, then

$$\det(AA^T) \leq (j+1) \left(\frac{(j+1)d}{4j} \right)^j.$$

Equality holds if and only if

(1) $AA^T = t(I + J)$, for some integer t and either of the following conditions are met:

(2a) each column of A contains exactly k ones

or

(2b) $t = (j + 1)d/4j$.

Lemma 2.2.^[11] If $j = 2k$ is even and $A \in M_{j,d}(0, 1)$, then

$$\det(AA^T) \leq (j + 1) \left(\frac{(j + 2)d}{4(j + 1)} \right)^j.$$

Equality holds if and only if

(1) $AA^T = t(I + J)$, for some integer t and either of the following conditions are met:

(2a) each column of A contains either k or $k + 1$ ones

or

(2b) $t = (j + 2)d/4(j + 1)$.

Lemma 2.3.^[11] (1) Assume that $j = 2k - 1$ is odd and $A \in M_{j,d}(0, 1)$ contains a column with fewer than k or more than k ones. Then

$$\det(AA^T) \leq \left(\frac{1}{j + 1} \right)^{j-1} \left(\frac{k^2 d - 1}{j} \right)^j. \quad (2.4)$$

(2) Assume that $j = 2k$ and $A \in M_{j,d}(0, 1)$ contains a column with fewer than k or more than $k + 1$ ones. then

$$\det(AA^T) \leq \left(\frac{1}{j + 1} \right)^{j-1} \left(\frac{k(k + 1)d - 2}{j} \right)^j \quad (2.5)$$

Lemma 2.4. Suppose $\mathcal{B} = (X, \mathbf{B})$ is a $(v, \{k, k + 1\}, \lambda)$ -PBD satisfying:

(1) each point of X occurs in exactly r blocks;

(2) there are r blocks of size k .

Then, by adding a new point y to X and to all size k blocks, we obtain a $(v + 1, k + 1, \lambda)$ -BIBD.

Proof. Let $x \in X$ and suppose that there are a_x and b_x blocks of size $k + 1$ and k respectively, containing x . It is obvious that

$$a_x + b_x = r. \quad (2.6)$$

Considering all the pairs containing x , we have

$$ka_x + (k - 1)b_x = \lambda(v - 1). \quad (2.7)$$

From (2.6) and (2.7), we have

$$b_x = rk - \lambda(v - 1). \quad (2.8)$$

Therefore, b_x is a constant number independent of x , and so is a_x .

Let $|\mathbf{B}| = b$. There are $b - r$ blocks of size $k + 1$. From size $k + 1$ blocks, we get $(b - r) \binom{k+1}{2}$ pairs. From size k blocks, we get $r \binom{k}{2}$ pairs. Hence we have

$$(b - r) \binom{k + 1}{2} + r \binom{k}{2} = \lambda \binom{v}{2}. \quad (2.9)$$

Since each point of X appears in exactly r blocks, then we get

$$rk + (b - r)(k + 1) = vr. \quad (2.10)$$

From (2.9) and (2.10), we get

$$rk = \lambda v. \quad (2.11)$$

Further from (8), we get

$$b_x = \lambda.$$

This means that each point $x \in X$ appears in λ blocks of size k . Thus for each $x \in X$, the pair $\{x, y\}$ occurs in exactly λ blocks. The conclusion then follows.

§3. Main Results

Theorem 3.1. *Let $j = 2k - 1$ be an odd integer and $A \in M_{j,d}$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) *A is a regular D-optimal matrix, i.e., $\det(AA^T) = (j+1)((j+1)d/4j)^j$.*
- (2) *A is the adjacent matrix of a $(2k-1, k, (j+1)d/4j)$ -BIBD.*

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) : A is the adjacent matrix of a $(2k-1, k, (j+1)d/4j)$ -BIBD. By (2), $AA^T = (j+1)d/4j(I_j + J_j)$, it follows that $\det(AA^T) = (j+1)((j+1)d/4j)^j$, i.e., A is a regular D -optimal matrix.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1) : Now we assume that A is a regular D -optimal matrix, i.e., $\det(AA^T) = (j+1)((j+1)d/4j)^j$. By Lemma 2.1, we have

$$AA^T = t(I + J) \text{ for some positive integer } t.$$

We prove that each column of A contains exactly k ones and that $t = (j+1)d/4j$.

First we prove that each column of A contains exactly k ones.

Suppose, on the contrary, A contains a column with fewer than k ones or more than k ones. Then by Lemma 2.3,

$$\det(AA^T) \leq \left(\frac{1}{j+1}\right)^{j-1} \left(\frac{k^2d-1}{j}\right)^j.$$

Since,

$$\begin{aligned} & (j+1) \left(\frac{(j+1)d}{4j}\right)^j - \left(\frac{1}{j+1}\right)^{j-1} \left(\frac{k^2d-1}{j}\right)^j \\ &= \frac{(j+1)^{(j+1)} d^j (j+1)^{(j-1)} - (k^2d-1)^j 4^j}{(4j)^j (j+1)^{j-1}} \\ &= \frac{(j+1)^{2j} d^j - ((\frac{j+1}{2})^2 d - 1)^j 4^j}{4^j j^j (j+1)^{j-1}} \\ &> \frac{(j+1)^{2j} d^j - ((\frac{j+1}{2})^2 d)^j 4^j}{4^j j^j (j+1)^{j-1}} \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

$\det(AA^T) < (j+1)((j+1)d/4j)^j$. This contradicts the assumption that A is a regular D -optimal matrix. Thus each column of A contains exactly k ones. By (2.2) and (2.3), A is an adjacent matrix of some $(2k-1, k, t)$ -BIBD with $r = 2t$.

Now we prove that $t = (j+1)d/4j$. By (2.1), we have $2t(2k-1) = dk$. It follows that

$$2t = \frac{dk}{2k-1} = \frac{d(j+1)}{2j}$$

Thus $t = d(j+1)/4j$. So A is the adjacent matrix of a $(2k-1, k, (j+1)d/4j)$ -BIBD and Theorem 3.1 is now proved.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $j = 2k$ be even and $A \in M_{j,d}(0,1)$. Then the following statements are equivalent*

- (1) A is a regular D -optimal matrix, i.e., $\det(AA^T) = (j+1)((j+2)d/4(j+1))^j$.
- (2) A is the adjacent matrix of a $(j, \{k, k+1\}, (j+2)d/4(j+1))$ -PBD, say $\mathcal{B} = (X, \mathbf{B})$, which satisfies the following conditions:
 - (2a) each point of X appears in exactly $(j+2)d/2(j+1)$ blocks. and
 - (2b) there are $(j+2)d/2(j+1)$ blocks of size k .
- (3) A is a matrix obtained from the adjacent matrix B of a $(2k+1, k+1, (j+2)d/4(j+1))$ -BIBD by deleting any one row from B .

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2): Assume that A is a regular D -optimal matrix, i.e., $\det(AA^T) = (j+1)((j+2)d/4(j+1))^j$. By Lemma 2.2,

$$AA^T = t(I_j + J_j) \text{ for some positive integer } t$$

In order to prove that A is the adjacent matrix of a $(j, \{k, k+1\}, (j+2)d/4(j+1))$ -PBD which satisfies the condition (2a) and (2b), we first prove that each column of A contains exactly k or $k+1$ ones.

Suppose, on the contrary, that A contains a column with fewer than k or more than $k+1$ ones. By Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\det(AA^T) \leq \left(\frac{1}{j+1}\right)^{j-1} \left(\frac{k(k+1)d-2}{j}\right)^j.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} & (j+1) \left(\frac{(j+2)d}{4(j+1)}\right)^j - \left(\frac{1}{j+1}\right)^{j-1} \left(\frac{k(k+1)d-2}{j}\right)^j \\ &= \frac{j^j(j+2)^j d^j - \left(\frac{j(j+2)}{4}d-2\right)^j 4^j}{4^j(j+1)^{j-1}j^j} \\ &> \frac{j^j(j+2)^j d^j - (j(j+2))^j d^j}{4^j(j+1)^{j-1}j^j} \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

$\det(AA^T) < (j+1)((j+2)d/4(j+1))^j$. This contradicts the assumption that A is a regular D -optimal matrix. Thus each column of A contains either k or $k+1$ ones.

Thus A is the adjacent matrix of a $(j, \{k, k+1\}, t)$ -PBD. Let the PBD be $\mathcal{B} = (X, \mathbf{B})$. Since $AA^T = t(I_j + J_j)$, each point in X appears in exactly $2t$ blocks.

Now we determine the parameter t and show that there are $(j+2)d/2(j+1)$ blocks of size k .

Assume that there are m blocks in $\mathbf{B}$ of size k . From the blocks of size k , we get $m\binom{k}{2}$ pairs, and from the blocks of size $k+1$, we get $(d-m)\binom{k+1}{2}$ pairs. So

$$\begin{aligned} mk + (d-m)(k+1) &= 2tj, \\ m\binom{k}{2} + (d-m)\binom{k+1}{2} &= t\binom{j}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $t = (j+2)d/4(j+1)$ and $m = (j+2)d/2(j+1)$. Thus A is the adjacent matrix of a $(j, \{k, k+1\}, (j+2)d/4(j+1))$ -PBD which satisfies the conditions (2a) and (2b).

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3): By Lemma 2.4.

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (1): A is a matrix obtained from the adjacent matrix, say $\mathcal{B}$, of a $(j+1, k+1, (j+2)d/4(j+1))$ -BIBD, then $BB^T = (j+2)d/4(j+1)(I_{j+1} + J_{j+1})$. It follows that $AA^T = (j+2)d/4(j+1)(I_j + J_j)$. Thus $\det(AA^T) = (j+1)((j+2)d/4(j+1))^j$, i.e., A is a regular D -optimal matrix. Theorem 3.2 is now proved.

Now we give three D -optimal 21×42 optimal matrices, which were unknown for the authors in [11, p.115]. In [3], the authors gave three $(21, 42, 20, 10, 9)$ -BIBD. The initial blocks of three solutions, are given by

$$\begin{aligned} D_1 : \quad A_1 &= (0, 1, 2, 4, 5, 8, 9, 12, 14, 20), \\ B_1 &= (0, 5, 8, 10, 12, 14, 15, 18, 19, 20), \\ D_2 : \quad A_2 &= (0, 1, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 10, 17, 18), \\ B_2 &= B_1; \\ D_3 : \quad A_3 &= (0, 1, 2, 4, 6, 7, 9, 10, 17, 18), \\ B_3 &= (0, 4, 5, 7, 11, 13, 14, 15, 16, 19). \end{aligned}$$

The supplementary design of the three BIBDs are $(21, 42, 22, 11, 11)$ -BIBDs. By Theorem 3.1, we get three 21×42 regular D -optimal matrices.

Acknowledgement. The author wishes to thank Prof. L. Zhu for providing him the proof of Lemma 2.4.

REFERENCES

- [1] Abel, R. & Greig, M., BIBDs with small block size, in: The CRC Handbook of Combinatorial Designs, C. J. Colbourn and J. H. Dinitz, CRC Press, Boca Raton 1996.
- [2] Beth, T., Jungnickel, D. & Lenz, H., Design theory, Cambridge University Press, London 1986.
- [3] Bhat-Nayak, V., Wirmani-Prasad, A., Note: Three New Dicyclic solutions of $(21, 42, 20, 10, 9)$ -designs, *J. Combin. Theory*, **40A**(1985), 427–428.
- [4] Craigen, R., Hadamard matrices and designs, in: The CRC Handbook of Combinatorial Designs, C. J. Colbourn and J. H. Dinitz, CRC Press, Boca Raton 1996.
- [5] Hall, M., Combinatorial theory, Blaisdell Publishing Company 1967.
- [6] Hotelling, H., Some improvements in weighing and other experiment techniques, *Annals of math. Stat.*, **15**(1944), 297–306.
- [7] Hudelson, M., Klee, V., & Larman, D., Largest j -simplices in d -cubes: Some relatives of the Hadamard maximum determinant problems, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **241-243**(1996), 519–598.
- [8] Mathon, R., Rosa, A., $2-(v, k, \lambda)$ designs of small order, in: The CRC Handbook of Combinatorial Designs, C. J. Colbourn and J. H. Dinitz, CRC Press, Boca Raton 1996.
- [9] Neubauer, M. & Radcliffe, A. J., The maximum determinant of (± 1) matrices, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **257**(1997), 289–306.
- [10] Neubauer, M., Watkins, W., & Zeitlin, J., Maximum j -simplices in the real d -dimensional unit cube, *J. Combin. Theory*, **80A**(1997), 1–12.
- [11] Neubauer, M., Watkins, W. & Zeitlin, J., Notes on D -optimal designs, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **280**(1998), 109–127.
- [12] Zhu, L., Some recent developments of BIBDs and related designs, *Discrete Math.*, **123**(1993), 189–214.