

FRACTIONAL INTEGRATION ASSOCIATED TO HIGHER ORDER ELLIPTIC OPERATORS****

DENG DONGGAO* XU MING** YAN LIXIN***

Abstract

The authors prove the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev theorems for generalized fractional integrals $L^{-\alpha/2}$ for $0 < \alpha < n/m$, where L is a complex elliptic operator of arbitrary order $2m$ on \mathbb{R}^n .

Keywords Fractional integrals, Higher order elliptic operator, Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev theorem

2000 MR Subject Classification 42B20, 35J15

§ 1. Introduction

Let L be a homogeneous elliptic operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of order $2m$ in divergence form

$$L = (-1)^m \sum_{|\alpha|=|\beta|=m} \partial^\alpha (a_{\alpha,\beta} \partial^\beta), \quad (1.1)$$

where we assume $a_{\alpha,\beta} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{C})$ for all α, β . The operator L is associated to the following form $Q(f, g)$ defined on the Sobolev space $H^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by

$$Q(f, g) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{|\alpha|=|\beta|=m} a_{\alpha,\beta}(x) \partial^\beta f(x) \overline{\partial^\alpha g(x)} dx.$$

We assume that

$$Q(f, g) \leq \Lambda \|\nabla^m f\| \|\nabla^m g\| \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{Re} Q(f, f) \geq \lambda \|\nabla^m f\|_2^2 \quad (1.2)$$

for some $\lambda > 0$ and $\Lambda < +\infty$ independent of $f, g \in H^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Here $\nabla^m f = (\partial^\alpha f)_{|\alpha|=m}$ and $\|\nabla^m f\|_2 = \left(\sum_{|\alpha|=m} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\partial^\alpha f|^2 \right)^{1/2}$.

Manuscript received January 8, 2004.

*Department of Mathematics, Zhongshan University, Guangzhou 510275, China.

E-mail: stsddg@zsu.link.zsu.edu.cn

**Institute of Mathematics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100080, China.

E-mail: stxmin@163.com

***Department of Mathematics, Zhongshan University, Guangzhou 510275, China.

E-mail: mcsylx@zsu.edu.cn

****Project supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.10171111, No.10371734) and the Foundation of Advanced Research Center, Zhongshan University.

By the holomorphic functional calculus theory (see [11]), L has a unique fractional power $L^{-\alpha/2}$ for $0 < \alpha < n/m$, defined by

$$L^{-\alpha/2}(f)(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha/2)} \int_0^\infty e^{-tL}(f)(x) \frac{dt}{t^{-\alpha/2+1}}. \quad (1.3)$$

When $n \leq 2m$, we can follow the standard harmonic analysis as in [12, Chapter 5] to obtain the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev theorems that the operator $L^{-\alpha/2}$, $0 < \alpha < n/m$ is bounded from $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $1 < p < n/m\alpha$ and $1/q = 1/p - m\alpha/n$, since the semigroup e^{-tL} generated by L has a kernel $p_t(x, y)$ satisfying a Gaussian upper bound (see [2, pp.58–59]), that is,

$$|p_t(x, y)| \leq \frac{c}{t^{n/2m}} \exp\left\{-\beta\left(\frac{|x-y|}{t^{1/2m}}\right)^{\frac{2m}{2m-1}}\right\} \quad \text{for some } \beta > 0, \quad (1.4)$$

for all $t > 0$, and all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Unfortunately, if $n > 2m$, the operator L as in (1.1) generally fails to have a heat kernel (1.4) (see [6]), hence the method as in [12, Chapter 5] does not work in this case. Recently, by using an approach of Blunck and Kunstmann the first and third authors proved that when L is a second order elliptic operator, the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev theorems for $L^{-\alpha/2}$ are still true for $n > 2$. In this paper, we generalize the results in [8] to an arbitrary elliptic operator L of order $2m$ by using an idea of Hofmann and Martell. The method here is different from that in [8]. Precisely, we have

Theorem 1.1. *Let $n > 2m$ and $0 < \alpha < \frac{n}{m}$. We assume that $p_0 = \frac{2n}{n+2m}$, $p_1 = \left(\frac{n-2m}{2n} + \frac{m\alpha}{n}\right)^{-1}$ and $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{m\alpha}{n}$. Then*

- (i) $L^{-\alpha/2}$ is bounded from $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $p_0 < p < p_1$;
- (ii) When $p = p_0$ and $q_0 = \left(\frac{1}{p_0} - \frac{m\alpha}{n}\right)^{-1}$, $L^{-\alpha/2}$ is of weak-type (p_0, q_0) , that is,

$$|\{x : |L^{-\alpha/2}f(x)| > \lambda\}| \leq C \left(\frac{\|f\|_{p_0}}{\lambda}\right)^{q_0}$$

for all $\lambda > 0$.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we prove some technical estimates that will be used in the sequel. The proof of Theorem 1.1 will be given in Section 3.

§ 2. Preliminaries

We are given an elliptic operator as in (1.1) with ellipticity constants λ and Λ in (1.2). The identity operator will be written as \mathcal{I} . For any two closed sets E and F of \mathbb{R}^n , we set $d = \text{dist}(E, F)$ as the distance between E and F . In this section, we prove some technical estimates, which will be used in the next section.

Firstly, Theorem 1.1 is true for $p = 2$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let L be as in (1.1). Assume that $n > 2m$ and $0 < \alpha \leq 1$. Then, there exists a positive constant C independent of f such that*

$$\|L^{-\alpha/2}f\|_{q_2} \leq C\|f\|_2, \quad \frac{1}{q_2} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{m\alpha}{n}.$$

Proof. This lemma is a direct result from [1, Theorem 1.5]. In fact, P. Auscher et al have proved $\|L^{-1/2}f\|_{\dot{H}^m(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \|\nabla^m L^{-1/2}f\|_2 \leq C\|f\|_2$. Note that $\|L^0f\|_2 = \|f\|_2$. A complex interpolation theorem in [10] implies the estimates $\|L^{-\alpha/2}\|_{\dot{H}^{m\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C\|f\|_2$ for any $0 < \alpha \leq 1$. By the classical embedding theorem $\dot{H}^{m\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L^{q_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $1/q_2 = 1/2 - m\alpha/n$, we have

$$\|L^{-\alpha/2}f\|_{q_2} \leq C\|L^{-\alpha/2}f\|_{\dot{H}^{m\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C\|f\|_2,$$

where C is a positive constant independent of f .

Lemma 2.2. *Let E and F be two closed sets of \mathbb{R}^n , and, $\text{supp } f \subset E$. Then*

- (i) $\|e^{-tL}f\|_{L^2(F)} + \|tLe^{-tL}f\|_{L^2(F)} \leq C\|f\|_{L^2(E)} \exp\left\{-c\left(\frac{d(E,F)}{t^{1/2m}}\right)^{\frac{2m}{2m-1}}\right\},$
- (ii) $\|\sqrt{t}\nabla^m e^{-tL}f\|_{L^2(F)} \leq C\|f\|_{L^2(E)} \exp\left\{-c\left(\frac{d(E,F)}{t^{1/2m}}\right)^{\frac{2m}{2m-1}}\right\},$

where $c > 0$ depends only on λ, Λ , and C on n, λ, Λ .

Proof. For its proof, we refer to [5, Theorem 8] for the details. See also [4, Theorem 1.2].

Lemma 2.3. *Let $\nu > \alpha$ be an integer. Let E and F be two closed sets of \mathbb{R}^n , and $\text{supp } f \subset E$. Then*

$$\|\sqrt{t}\nabla^m (L^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathcal{I} - e^{-tL})^\nu)^* f\|_{L^2(F)} \leq Ct^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left(\frac{d(E,F)}{t^{1/2m}}\right)^{-\frac{2m}{2m-1}(\nu+\frac{1}{2})} \|f\|_{L^2(E)},$$

where C depends only on λ, Λ .

The proof of Lemma 2.3 is based on the following lemma, whose proof is similar to that of [9, Lemma 2.3]. We omit the details here.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $\{A_t\}_{t>0}$ and $\{B_t\}_{t>0}$ be two families of operators. Assume that for all closed sets E, F , for all f such that, $\text{supp } f \subset E$ and for all $t > 0$, we have the following estimate*

$$\|A_t f\|_{L^2(F)} + \|B_t f\|_{L^2(F)} \leq C\|f\|_{L^2(E)} \exp\left\{-c\left(\frac{d(E,F)}{t^{1/2m}}\right)^{\frac{2m}{2m-1}}\right\}.$$

Then, for all $t, s > 0$, we have

$$\|A_t B_s f\|_{L^2(F)} \leq \|f\|_{L^2(E)} \exp\left\{-c\left(\frac{d(E,F)}{\max\{t,s\}^{1/2m}}\right)^{\frac{2m}{2m-1}}\right\}.$$

Proof of Lemma 2.3. We follow an idea of [9] to prove this lemma. Note that $L^{-\alpha/2}$ as in (1.3) can be rewritten as

$$L^{-\alpha/2}(f)(x) = C_{\nu,\alpha} \int_0^\infty e^{-(\nu+2)sL}(f)(x) \frac{ds}{s^{-\alpha/2+1}}.$$

This gives

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla^m (L^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathcal{I} - e^{-tL})^\nu)^*(f) &= C_{\nu,\alpha} \int_0^\infty \nabla^m (e^{-(\nu+2)sL}(\mathcal{I} - e^{-tL})^\nu)^*(f) \frac{ds}{s^{-\alpha/2+1}} \\ &= C_{\nu,\alpha} \left(\int_0^t \cdots + \int_t^\infty \cdots \right) = C_{\nu,\alpha} (\mathbf{I}_t + \mathbf{II}_t). \end{aligned}$$

For the first one, using the following formula

$$(\mathcal{I} - e^{-tL})^\nu = \sum_{k=0}^\nu C_\nu^k (-1)^k e^{-ktL} = \sum_{k=0}^\nu C_{k,\nu} e^{-ktL},$$

we obtain

$$\mathbf{I}_t = \sum_{k=0}^\nu C_{k,\nu} \int_0^t \nabla^m e^{-(\nu+2)sL^*} e^{-ktL^*}(f) \frac{ds}{s^{-\alpha/2+1}} = \sum_{k=0}^\nu C_{k,\nu} \mathbf{I}_{t,k}.$$

By Lemma 2.2 for L^* ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{I}_{t,0}\|_{L^2(F)} &\leq \int_0^t \|\nabla^m e^{-(\nu+2)sL^*}(f)\|_{L^2(E)} \frac{ds}{s^{-\alpha/2+1}} \\ &\leq \int_0^t \|(s^{\frac{1}{2}} \nabla^m e^{-sL^*}) \circ (e^{-\nu sL^*}) \circ (e^{-sL^*})(f)\|_{L^2(F)} s^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}} \frac{ds}{s} \\ &\leq C \|f\|_{L^2(E)} \int_0^t s^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}} \exp\left\{-c\left(\frac{d(E,F)}{s^{1/2m}}\right)^{\frac{2m}{2m-1}}\right\} \frac{ds}{s} \\ &\leq C \|f\|_{L^2(E)} t^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}} \int_1^\infty s^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}} \exp\left\{-c\left(\frac{d(E,F)}{t^{1/2m}}\right)^{\frac{2m}{2m-1}} \cdot s^{\frac{1}{2m-1}}\right\} \frac{ds}{s} \\ &\leq C t^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}} \left(\frac{d(E,F)}{t^{1/2m}}\right)^{-\frac{2m}{2m-1}(\nu+\frac{1}{2})} \|f\|_{L^2(E)}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, fix $1 \leq k \leq \nu$. Then by Lemmas 2.2 and 2.4,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{I}_{t,k}\|_{L^2(F)} &\leq \int_0^t \|\nabla^m e^{-(\nu+2)sL^*} e^{-ktL^*}(f)\|_{L^2(E)} \frac{ds}{s^{-\alpha/2+1}} \\ &\leq \int_0^t \left\| \left(\sqrt{\frac{kt}{2}} \nabla^m e^{-\frac{kt}{2}L^*} \right) \circ (e^{-(\nu+2)sL^*}) \circ (e^{-\frac{kt}{2}L^*})(f) \right\|_{L^2(F)} \sqrt{\frac{2}{kt}} s^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \frac{ds}{s} \\ &\leq C t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|f\|_{L^2(E)} \int_0^t s^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \exp\left\{-c\left(\frac{d(E,F)}{\max\{kt, s\}^{1/2m}}\right)^{\frac{2m}{2m-1}}\right\} \frac{ds}{s} \\ &\leq C t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|f\|_{L^2(E)} \exp\left\{-c\left(\frac{d(E,F)}{t^{1/2m}}\right)^{\frac{2m}{2m-1}}\right\} \int_0^t s^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \frac{ds}{s} \\ &\leq C t^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}} \left(\frac{d(E,F)}{t^{1/2m}}\right)^{-\frac{2m}{2m-1}(\nu+\frac{1}{2})} \|f\|_{L^2(E)}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the following inequality of [9]:

$$\left\| \frac{s}{t} (e^{-sL^*} - e^{-(s+t)L^*}) g \right\|_{L^2(F)} \leq C \exp \left\{ -c \left(\frac{d(E, F)}{t^{1/2m}} \right)^{\frac{2m}{2m-1}} \right\} \|g\|_{L^2(E)},$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Pi_t\|_{L^2(F)} &\leq C \int_t^\infty \|(\sqrt{s} \nabla^m e^{-sL^*}) \circ (e^{-sL^*} - e^{-(s+t)L^*})^\nu \circ (e^{-sL^*}) f\|_{L^2(F)} s^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}} \frac{ds}{s} \\ &\leq C \|f\|_{L^2(E)} \int_t^\infty \exp \left\{ -c \left(\frac{d(E, F)}{s^{1/2m}} \right)^{\frac{2m}{2m-1}} \right\} \left(\frac{t}{s} \right)^\nu s^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}} \frac{ds}{s} \\ &\leq C \|f\|_{L^2(E)} t^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}} \int_0^1 \exp \left\{ -c \left(\frac{sd(E, F)}{t^{1/2m}} \right)^{\frac{2m}{2m-1}} \right\} s^{\nu-\alpha+\frac{1}{2}} \frac{ds}{s} \\ &\leq C \|f\|_{L^2(E)} t^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}} \left(\frac{d(E, F)}{t^{1/2m}} \right)^{-\frac{2m}{2m-1}(\nu+\frac{1}{2})} \int_0^\infty e^{-s} s^{\nu-\alpha+\frac{1}{2}} \frac{ds}{s} \\ &\leq C t^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}} \left(\frac{d(E, F)}{t^{1/2m}} \right)^{-\frac{2m}{2m-1}(\nu+\frac{1}{2})} \|f\|_{L^2(E)}, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof of Lemma 2.3 by collecting the estimates of I_t and Π_t .

§ 3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

It suffices to prove Theorem 1.1 in the case $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ since for $1 < \alpha < n/m$, the proof of this theorem is obtained by using the formula $L^{-(\beta+\gamma)/2} = L^{-\beta/2} \cdot L^{-\gamma/2}$. Recall that

$$p_0 = \frac{2n}{n+2m}, \quad q_0 = \left(\frac{1}{p_0} - \frac{m\alpha}{n} \right)^{-1}, \quad q_2 = \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{m\alpha}{n} \right)^{-1}.$$

We will prove that the operator $L^{-\alpha/2}$ is of weak type (p_0, q_0) . Applying Lemma 2.1 and Marcinkiewicz interpolation theorem, we obtain that $L^{-\alpha/2}$ is bounded from $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where $p_0 < p < 2$ and $1/q = 1/p - m\alpha/n$. Then by a standard duality argument we see that $L^{-\alpha/2}$ maps $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ boundly for all $2 < p < ((n-2m)/2n + m\alpha/n)^{-1}$ and $1/q = 1/p - m\alpha/n$.

We begin to prove that $L^{-\alpha/2}$ is of weak-type (p_0, q_0) , that is,

$$|\{x : |L^{-\alpha/2} f(x)| > \lambda\}| \leq C \left(\frac{\|f\|_{p_0}}{\lambda} \right)^{q_0} \quad (3.1)$$

for all $\lambda > 0$.

Let us write M for the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function. We use a version of Calderón-Zygmund decomposition for $f(x)^{p_0}$ at height β^{p_0} , where

$$\beta = \|f\|_{p_0} \left(\frac{\|f\|_{p_0}}{\lambda} \right)^{-\frac{q_0}{p_0}}.$$

See [7, p.247]. Then, there exists a collection of pairwise disjoint cubes $\{Q_j\}$ such that

$$\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : M(f^{p_0})^{\frac{1}{p_0}} > \beta\} = \bigcup_j Q_j$$

and they satisfy the following property

$$\beta \leq \left(\frac{1}{|Q_j|} \int_{Q_j} |f(x)|^{p_0} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p_0}} \leq C\beta.$$

One writes $f = g + b = g + \sum_j b_j$, where

$$\begin{aligned} g(x) &= f(x)\chi_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \bigcup_j Q_j} + \sum_j P_{Q_j}(f)(x)\chi_{Q_j}(x), \\ b_j(x) &= (f(x) - P_{Q_j}(f)(x))\chi_{Q_j}(x), \end{aligned}$$

where $P_{Q_j}(f)(x)$ is a polynomial with order $m-1$ with the properties

$$\int_{Q_j} (f(x) - P_{Q_j}(f)(x))x^\alpha dx = 0$$

for $0 \leq |\alpha| \leq m-1$, and for any $x \in Q_j$,

$$|P_{Q_j}(f)(x)| \leq C \frac{1}{|Q_j|} \int_{Q_j} |f(y)| dy.$$

The standard arguments yield $0 \leq g(x) \leq c\beta$ for almost every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Besides, for $0 \leq |\alpha| \leq m-1$,

$$\int_{Q_j} b_j(x)x^\alpha dx = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \|b_j\|_{p_0} \leq C\beta|Q_j|^{1/p_0}. \quad (3.2)$$

For each j , we write $t_j = l(Q_j)^{2m}$, where $l(Q_j)$ stands for the side length of the cube Q_j . We then decompose $\sum_j b_j = h_1 + h_2$, where

$$h_1 = \sum_j (\mathcal{I} - (\mathcal{I} - e^{-t_j L})^\nu) b_j \quad \text{and} \quad h_2 = \sum_j (\mathcal{I} - e^{-t_j L})^\nu b_j.$$

Here ν will be chosen later. One writes

$$|\{x : |L^{-\alpha/2} f(x)| > 3\lambda\}| \leq |\{x : |L^{-\alpha/2} g(x)| > \lambda\}| + \sum_{k=1}^2 |\{x : |L^{-\alpha/2} h_k(x)| > \lambda\}|.$$

Since the operator $L^{-\alpha/2}$ is bounded from $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^{q_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |L^{-\alpha/2}(g)(y)|^{q_2} dy &\leq C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |g(y)|^2 dy \right)^{q_2/2} \\ &\leq C \left(\int_{\bigcup_j Q_j} |g(y)|^2 dy \right)^{q_2/2} + C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \bigcup_j Q_j} |g(y)|^2 dy \right)^{q_2/2} \\ &\leq C\beta^{q_2} \left(\sum_j |Q_j| \right)^{q_2/2} + C\beta^{(2-p_0)q_2/2} \|f\|_{p_0}^{p_0 q_2/2} \\ &\leq C \|f\|_{p_0}^{q_2} \left(\frac{\|f\|_{p_0}}{\lambda} \right)^{(q_0/2 - q_0/p_0)q_2}. \end{aligned}$$

Noting that $1/q_0 = 1/p_0 - m\alpha/n$ and $1/q_2 = 1/2 - m\alpha/n$, we have $q_0 = [1 + (1/2 - 1/p_0)q_0]q_2$. This leads to

$$\begin{aligned} |\{x : |L^{-\alpha/2}g(x)| > \lambda\}| &\leq \lambda^{-q_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |L^{-\alpha/2}(g)(x)|^{q_2} dx \\ &\leq C \left(\frac{\|f\|_{p_0}}{\lambda} \right)^{[1 + (1/2 - 1/p_0)q_0]q_2} \\ &\leq C \left(\frac{\|f\|_{p_0}}{\lambda} \right)^{q_0}. \end{aligned}$$

We now estimate the second term, i.e. the term involving $h_1 = \sum_j (\mathcal{I} - (\mathcal{I} - e^{-t_j L})^\nu) b_j$. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\{x : |L^{-\alpha/2}h_1(x)| > \lambda\}| &\leq \lambda^{-q_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |L^{-\alpha/2}(h_1)(x)|^{q_2} dx \\ &\leq C \lambda^{-q_2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \sum_j (\mathcal{I} - (\mathcal{I} - e^{-t_j L})^\nu) b_j \right|^2 dx \right)^{q_2/2} \\ &\leq C \lambda^{-q_2} \sum_{k=1}^\nu \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \sum_j e^{-kt_j L} b_j \right|^2 dx \right)^{q_2/2}. \end{aligned}$$

We fix $1 \leq k \leq \nu$. Then

$$\left\| \sum_j e^{-kt_j L} b_j \right\|_2 = \sup_h \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_j e^{-kt_j L} b_j(x) h(x) dx \right|,$$

where the supremum is taken over all functions $h \in L^2$ with $\|h\|_{L^2} = 1$. For each j , we set

$$S(0, j) = 2Q_j; \quad S(l, j) = 2^{l+1}Q_j \setminus 2^l Q_j, \quad l = 1, 2, \dots,$$

and $h_{(l,j)}(x) = h(x) \chi_{S(l,j)}(x)$. In this way, by (3.2)

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \sum_j e^{-kt_j L} b_j \right\|_2 \\ &= \sup_h \left| \sum_j \sum_{l=1}^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-kt_j L} b_j(x) h_{(l,j)}(x) dx \right| \\ &= \sup_h \left| \sum_j \sum_{l=1}^\infty \int_{Q_j} b_j(x) ((e^{-kt_j L})^* h_{(l,j)}(x) - P_{Q_j}((e^{-kt_j L})^* h_{(l,j)})) dx \right| \\ &\leq C \sup_h \beta \sum_j \sum_{l=1}^\infty |Q_j|^{\frac{1}{p_0}} \| (e^{-kt_j L})^* h_{(l,j)}(x) - P_{Q_j}((e^{-kt_j L})^* h_{(l,j)}) \|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2m}}(Q_j)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $P_Q(f)$ is a polynomial with order $m - 1$ satisfying the Sobolev-Poincaré inequality.

See [13, Chapter 4]. Now we are going to use the following Sobolev-Poincaré inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|((e^{-kt_j L})^* h_{(l,j)}(x) - P_{Q_j}((e^{-kt_j L})^* h_{(l,j)}))\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2m}}(Q_j)} \\ & \leq C \|\nabla^m (e^{-kt_j L})^* h_{(l,j)}\|_{L^2(Q_j)} \\ & \leq C t_j^{-1/2} \exp\left\{-c\left(\frac{\text{dist}(S(l,j), Q_j)}{(kt_j)^{1/2m}}\right)^{\frac{2m}{2m-1}}\right\} \|h_{(l,j)}\|_{L^2(S(l,j))}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that for $l \geq 1$, we get $\text{dist}(S(l,j), Q_j) \geq 2^{l-2}l(Q_j)$ and $\frac{1}{p_0} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{m}{n}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_j e^{-kt_j L} b_j(x) h(x) dx \right| \\ & \leq C\beta \sum_j \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} |Q_j|^{\frac{1}{p_0}} t_j^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp\{-c2^{\frac{2m}{2m-1}l}\} \|h\|_{L^2(S(l,j))} \\ & \leq C\beta \sum_j \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} |Q_j|^{\frac{1}{p_0}} l(Q_j)^{-m} \exp\{-c2^{\frac{2m}{2m-1}l}\} |2^{l+1}Q_j|^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{1}{|2^{l+1}Q_j|} \int_{2^{l+1}Q_j} |h(y)|^2 dy \right)^{1/2} \\ & \leq C\beta \sum_j |Q_j| \text{ess inf}_{y \in Q_j} M(|h|^2)(y)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \exp\{-c2^{\frac{2m}{2m-1}l}\} 2^{\frac{ln}{2}} \\ & \leq C\beta \int_{\bigcup_j Q_j} M(|h|^2)(y)^{\frac{1}{2}} dx \\ & \leq C\beta \left| \bigcup_j Q_j \right|^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

which yields $\|h_1\|_2 \leq C\beta(\|f\|_{p_0}/\lambda)^{q_0/2}$, and then

$$|\{x : |L^{-\alpha/2} h_1(x)| > \lambda\}| \leq C \left(\frac{\|f\|_{p_0}}{\lambda} \right)^{[1+(1/2-1/p_0)q_0]q_2} \leq C \left(\frac{\|f\|_{p_0}}{\lambda} \right)^{q_0}. \quad (3.3)$$

We turn to the estimation of the third term, i.e. the term involving h_2 . Denote $Q_j^* = 2Q_j$ and $E = \left(\bigcup_j Q_j^* \right)$. Let $D_j = L^{-\alpha/2}(\mathcal{I} - e^{-t_j L})^\nu b_j$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} |\{x : |L^{-\alpha/2} h_2(x)| > \lambda\}| & \leq \sum_j |Q_j^*| + \lambda^{-2} \int_{\left(\bigcup_j Q_j^*\right)^c} |L^{-\alpha/2}(h_2)(x)|^2 dx \\ & \leq C \left(\frac{\|f\|_{p_0}}{\lambda} \right)^{q_0} + \lambda^{-2} \int_{\left(\bigcup_j Q_j^*\right)^c} |L^{-\alpha/2}(h_2)(x)|^2 dx \\ & \leq C \left(\frac{\|f\|_{p_0}}{\lambda} \right)^{q_0} + \lambda^{-2} \left(\sup_h \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_j D_j b_j(y) h(y) dy \right| \right)^2, \end{aligned}$$

where the supremum is taken over all functions $h \in L^2(E^*)$ with $\|h\|_{L^2(E^*)} = 1$. By (3.2), we have

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_j D_j b_j(y) h(y) dy \right| \leq C \sum_j \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \|b_j\|_{L^{p_0}(Q_j)} \|D_j^* h_{(l,j)}(y) - P_{Q_j}(D_j^* h_{(l,j)})\|_{L^{p'_0}(Q_j)},$$

where $P_Q(f)$ is a polynomial with order $m - 1$ satisfying the Sobolev-Poincaré inequality. By Sobolev-Poincaré inequality again and Lemma 2.3,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|D_j^* h_{(l,j)}(y) - P_{Q_j}(D_j^* h_{(l,j)})\|_{L^{p'_0}(Q_j)} \\
& \leq \|\nabla^m D_j^* h_{(l,j)}\|_{L^2(S(l,j))} \\
& \leq C t_j^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}} \left(\frac{\text{dist}(S(l,j), Q_j)}{t_j^{1/2m}} \right)^{-\frac{2m}{2m-1}(\nu+\frac{1}{2})} \|h_{(l,j)}\|_{L^2(S(l,j))} \\
& \leq C t_j^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}} 2^{-l[\frac{2m}{2m-1}(\nu+\frac{1}{2})]} \|h_{(l,j)}\|_{L^2(S(l,j))}.
\end{aligned}$$

We choose ν sufficiently large such that

$$\left[\frac{2m}{(2m-1)} \left(\nu + \frac{1}{2} \right) - \frac{n}{2} \right] > 0,$$

and then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_j D_j b_j(x) h(x) dx \right| \\
& \leq C \beta \sum_j \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} |Q_j|^{\frac{1}{p_0}} t_j^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}} 2^{-l[\frac{2m}{2m-1}(\nu+\frac{1}{2})]} \|h_{(l,j)}\|_{L^2(S(l,j))} \\
& \leq C \beta \sum_j \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} |Q_j|^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{m\alpha}{n}} |2^{l+1} Q_j|^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{1}{|2^{l+1} Q_j|} \int_{2^{l+1} Q_j} |h(y)|^2 dy \right)^{1/2} 2^{-l[\frac{2m}{2m-1}(\nu+\frac{1}{2})]} \\
& \leq C \beta \sum_j |Q_j|^{\frac{m\alpha}{n}} |Q_j|^{\text{ess} \inf_{y \in Q_j} M(|h|^2)(y)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} 2^{-l[\frac{2m}{2m-1}(\nu+\frac{1}{2}) - \frac{n}{2}]} \\
& \leq C \beta \left(\sum_j |Q_j| \right)^{\frac{m\alpha}{n} + \frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

The same arguments as in (3.3) give

$$\begin{aligned}
|\{x : |L^{-\alpha/2} h_2(x)| > \lambda\}| & \leq C \left(\frac{\|f\|_{p_0}}{\lambda} \right)^{q_0} + \lambda^{-2} \int_{(\bigcup_j Q_j^*)^c} |L^{-\alpha/2}(h_2)(x)|^2 dx \\
& \leq C \left(\frac{\|f\|_{p_0}}{\lambda} \right)^{q_0} + C \lambda^{-2} \left[\beta \left(\sum_j |Q_j| \right)^{\frac{m\alpha}{n} + \frac{1}{2}} \right]^2 \\
& \leq C \left(\frac{\|f\|_{p_0}}{\lambda} \right)^{q_0} + C \left(\frac{\|f\|_{p_0}}{\lambda} \right)^{[2+2q_0(\frac{m\alpha}{n} - \frac{1}{p_0}) + q_0]} \\
& \leq C \left(\frac{\|f\|_{p_0}}{\lambda} \right)^{q_0}
\end{aligned}$$

since $q_0 = \left(\frac{1}{p_0} - \frac{m\alpha}{n} \right)^{-1}$.

Hence, we have obtained (3.1), and then the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Remark 3.1. Consider complex bounded measurable coefficients $a_{\alpha\beta}$ on \mathbb{R}^n such that the form $Q(f, g) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{|\alpha|, |\beta| \leq m} a_{\alpha, \beta}(x) \partial^\beta f(x) \overline{\partial^\alpha g(x)} dx$ satisfies

$$Q(f, g) \leq \Lambda \| \nabla^m f \| \| \nabla^m g \| + k' \| f \|_2 \| g \|_2,$$

the Gårding inequality, and

$$\operatorname{Re} Q(f, f) \geq \lambda \| \nabla^m f \|_2^2 - k \| f \|_2^2$$

for some $\lambda > 0$, $k, k' \geq 0$ and $\Lambda < +\infty$ independent of $f, g \in H^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We define an inhomogeneous elliptic operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of order $2m$ in divergence form by

$$L = \sum_{|\alpha|, |\beta| \leq m} (-1)^\alpha \partial^\alpha (a_{\alpha, \beta} \partial^\beta). \quad (3.4)$$

For the above operator L , we have similar results as in Lemma 2.2 (see [3, Remark 3.1]). So, Theorem 1.1 is true for the fractional integrals $L^{-\alpha/2}$ of the operator L as in (3.4). For the proof, we omit its details here.

References

- [1] Auscher, P., Hofmann, S., McIntosh, A. & Tchamitchian, P., The kato square root problem for higher order elliptic operators and systems on \mathbb{R}^n , *J. Evol. Equ.*, **1**(2001), 361–385.
- [2] Auscher, P. & Tchamitchian, P., Square root problem for divergence operators and related topics, *Asterisque*, **249**, Soc. Math. France, 1998.
- [3] Blunck, S. & Kunstmann, P. C., Calderón-Zygmund theory for non-integral operators and H^∞ functional calculus, *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.*, **19**:3(2003), 919–942 .
- [4] Blunck, S. & Kunstmann, P. C., Weak type (p, p) estimates for Riesz transform, *Math. Z.*, **247**(2004), 137–148.
- [5] Davies, E. B., Uniformly elliptic operators with measurable coefficients, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **132**(1995), 141–169.
- [6] Davies, E. B., Limits on L^p regularity of self-adjoint elliptic operators, *J. Diff. Eq.*, **135**(1997), 83–102.
- [7] Deng, D. G. & Han, Y. S., *Theory of H^p Spaces*, Beijing University Press, 1992.
- [8] Deng, D. G. & Yan, L. X., Fractional integration associated with second order divergence operators on \mathbb{R}^n , *Sci. in China, Series A*, **46**(2003), 355–363.
- [9] Hofmann, S. & Martell, J. M., L^p bounds for Riesz transforms and square roots associated to second order elliptic operators, *Pub. Mat.*, **47**(2003), 497–515.
- [10] Lions, J. L., Espaces d'interpolation et domaines de puissances fractionnaires, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, **14**(1962), 233–241.
- [11] McIntosh, A., Operators which have an H_∞ -calculus, in *Proc. Centre Math. Analysis*, Vol. 14, Miniconference on Operator Theory and Partial Differential Equations, A. N. U., Canberra, 1986, 210–231.
- [12] Stein, E. M., *Singular Integrals and Differentiability of Functions*, Princeton University Press, 1970.
- [13] Ziemer, W. P., *Weakly Differentiable Functions*, Springer-Verlag World Publishing Corp, GTM 120.