THE DISTANCE BETWEEN DIFFERENT COMPONENTS OF THE UNIVERSAL TEICHMULLER SPACE**

WANG ZHE*

Abstract

The model of the universal Teichmuller space by the derivatives of logarithm is the union of infinite disconnected components. In this paper, it is proved that the distance between different components is 0, and the distance from the center of a component to every other component is 2.

 Keywords Universal Teichmuller space, Logarithmic derivative, Quasiconformal extension
 2000 MR Subject Classification 30F60

§1. Introduction

Let B_i denote the Banach spaces of functions ϕ which are analytic in the unit disk Δ with the norms

$$\|\phi\|_i = \sup_{z \in \Delta} \{ (1 - |z|^2)^i |\phi(z)| \} < \infty \qquad (i = 1, 2).$$

For f holomorphic in Δ , let

$$[f] = \frac{f''}{f'} \; ,$$

which is called the derivative of logarithm of f, and

$$S_f = \left(\frac{f''}{f'}\right)' - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{f''}{f'}\right)^2 \,,$$

which is called the Schwarzian derivative of f.

Let $T = \{S_f \mid f \text{ is conformal in the unit disk } \Delta \text{ with quasiconformal extension to the Riemann sphere } C\}$. It is well known that T is the Bers universal Teichmuller space.

Let $T_1 = \{[f] \mid S_f \in T, f(0) = 0, f'(0) = 1\}$. T_1 is an alternative model of the universal Teichmuller space introduced in [1, 2, 3]. Astala and Gehring [6] gave a complete description of the closure of T_1 , and Zhuravlev obtained an interesting result that T_1 is disconnected in the topology induced by the norm $\|\cdot\|_1$. He proved that $T_1 = L \cup (\bigcup_{\theta \in [0, 2\pi)} L_{\theta})$, where L and

Manuscript received June 23, 2004.

^{*}School of Mathematical Sciences, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, China.

 $E\text{-mail: wangzhe_hotmail@hotmail.com}$

^{**}Project supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.10271029).

 L_{θ} are connected components of T_1 with f bounded in Δ and $\lim_{z \to e^{i\theta}} f(z) = \infty$ respectively, and $L \cap (L_{\theta}) = \phi$ and $L_{\theta_1} \cap L_{\theta_2} = \phi$ when $\theta_1 \neq \theta_2$. Let

$$H_{\theta} = \frac{z}{1 - e^{-i\theta}z}.$$

Then $[H_{\theta}] \in L_{\theta}$. $[H_{\theta}]$ plays an important role in the description of T_1 (see [1]), and is used as the center of the component L_{θ} . Let id = z, $[id] \in L$ is used as the center of L. Chen and Wei [2] and Wei [3] obtained some interesting results on the components L and L_{θ} , such as the distance between the centers of different components is 4, the distance from $[H_{\theta}]$ to Lis 2 and the distance between different components is smaller than 1.

In this paper, we prove 4 theorems in Section 2 and Section 3. Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 imply that the distance between different components of T_1 is 0. And Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 imply that the distance from the center of one component to every other component is 2.

§2. The Distance Between Components

First we prove

Theorem 2.1. dist $(L_{\theta}, L) = 0$.

In order to prove Theorem 2.1, we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 2.1. (see [4]) f is conformal in the unit disk Δ with f(0) = 0, f'(0) = 1. If f satisfies $\operatorname{Re} f' > 0$. Then $[f] \in \operatorname{cl}(T_1)$.

Lemma 2.2. (see [5]) f is a univalent holomorphic function with f(0) = 0, f'(0) = 1. Let $||[f]||_1 = 2a$.

If a < 1, then $f \in H^{\infty}$. If a > 1, then $f \in H^p$ for any 0 .If <math>a = 1, then $f \in BMOA$.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Without loss of generality, we may assume $\theta = 0$. Let

$$f_{\mu} = \frac{1}{\mu} \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{\frac{\mu}{2}} - \frac{1}{\mu} \qquad (0 < \mu \le 2).$$
(2.1)

Then $f_{\mu}(0) = 0$, $f'_{\mu}(0) = 1$ and

$$[f_{\mu}] = \frac{\mu + 2z}{1 - z^2}.$$
(2.2)

It is easy to see that f_{μ} is analytic in Δ and $\lim_{z \to 1, z \in \Delta} f_{\mu}(z) = \infty$.

From

$$S_{f_{\mu}} = \left(\frac{f_{\mu}''}{f_{\mu}'}\right)' - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{f_{\mu}''}{f_{\mu}'}\right)^2 = \left(\frac{\mu + 2z}{1 - z^2}\right)' - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\mu + 2z}{1 - z^2}\right)^2 = \frac{2 - \frac{1}{2}\mu^2}{(1 - z^2)^2},$$
(2.3)

we have

$$||S_{f_{\mu}}||_{2} = \sup_{z \in \Delta} \{ (1 - |z|^{2})^{2} |S_{f_{\mu}}| \} = 2 - \frac{1}{2}\mu^{2} < 2.$$

Let

$$f'_{\nu} = \frac{1}{(1-z^2)^{\nu}} \qquad (0 < \nu < 1).$$
(2.4)

Then

$$f_{\nu}'' = \frac{2\nu z}{(1-z^2)^{1+\nu}}, \qquad [f_{\nu}] = \frac{f_{\nu}''}{f_{\nu}'} = \frac{2\nu z}{1-z^2}.$$
 (2.5)

It is easy to know that

$$\operatorname{Re} f'_{\nu} = \operatorname{Re} \frac{1}{(1-z^2)^{\nu}} = \operatorname{Re} \frac{(1-\bar{z}^2)^{\nu}}{|1-z^2|^{2\nu}}.$$

Let $\bar{z}^2 = r(\cos \alpha + i \sin \alpha)$ (r > 0). Then

$$\operatorname{Re}(1-\bar{z}^{2})^{\nu} = \operatorname{Re}(1-r(\cos\alpha+i\sin\alpha))^{\nu}$$
$$= \operatorname{Re}\left(\binom{\nu}{0} + \binom{\nu}{1}(-r)(\cos\alpha+i\sin\alpha) + \dots + \binom{\nu}{n}(-r)^{n}(\cos n\alpha+i\sin n\alpha) + \dots\right)$$
$$= \binom{\nu}{0} + \binom{\nu}{1}(-r)\cos\alpha + \dots + \binom{\nu}{n}(-r)^{n}\cos n\alpha + \dots$$

From $0 < \nu < 1$ we have $\binom{\nu}{n}(-r)^n < 0$. Then

$$\binom{\nu}{0} + \binom{\nu}{1}(-r)\cos\alpha + \dots + \binom{\nu}{n}(-r)^n\cos n\alpha + \dots$$
$$> \binom{\nu}{0} + \binom{\nu}{1}(-r) + \dots + \binom{\nu}{n}(-r)^n + \dots$$
$$= (1-r)^{\nu} > 0.$$

So $\operatorname{Re}(1-\bar{z}^2)^{\nu} > 0$, and hence $\operatorname{Re} f'_{\nu} > 0$.

Applying Lemma 2.1, we see that $[f_{\nu}] \in cl(T_1)$,

$$\|[f_{\nu}]\|_{1} = \sup_{z \in \Delta} \left\{ \left| \frac{2\nu z}{1 - z^{2}} \right| (1 - |z|^{2}) \right\} = 2\nu < 2$$

Lemma 2.2 implies that $f \in H^{\infty}$, then $[f_{\nu}] \in cl(L)$. Hence

$$\operatorname{dist}(L, L_{\theta}) = \operatorname{dist}(L, L_{0}) \leq \lim_{\nu \to 1, \mu \to 0} \operatorname{dist}([f_{\nu}], [f_{\mu}]) = \lim_{\nu \to 1, \mu \to 0} (\mu + 2 - 2\nu) = 0,$$

which completes the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Next we prove

Theorem 2.2. dist $(L_{\theta_1}, L_{\theta_2}) = 0$ for $\theta_1 \neq \theta_2$.

In order to prove Theorem 2.2, we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 2.3. (see [2])

$$\sup_{z \in \Delta} \left\{ (1 - |z|^2) \left| \frac{1 - e^{-i\theta}}{(1 - e^{-i\theta}z)(1 - z)} \right| \right\} = 2.$$

Denote

$$[f_{\lambda}] = \frac{f_{\lambda}''}{f_{\lambda}'} = \frac{\lambda(1 - e^{-i\theta}) + (1 + e^{-i\theta}) - 2e^{-i\theta}z}{(1 - e^{-i\theta}z)(1 - z)} \qquad (-1 \le \lambda < 0, \ 0 < \lambda \le 1).$$
(2.6)

It is easy to know that

$$[f_{\lambda}] = \frac{2}{1-z} = [H_0] \qquad \text{when } \lambda = 1,$$

$$[f_{\lambda}] = \frac{2e^{-i\theta}}{1-e^{-i\theta}z} = [H_{\theta}] \qquad \text{when } \lambda = -1.$$

Lemma 2.4. $f_{\lambda}(z)$ is analytic in Δ .

Proof. If $\lambda > \frac{1}{2}$, then

$$\|[f_{\lambda}] - [H_0]\|_1 = \sup_{z \in \Delta} \left\{ (1 - |z|^2) \left| \frac{(1 - \lambda)(1 - e^{-i\theta})}{(1 - e^{-i\theta}z)(1 - z)} \right| \right\} = 2(1 - \lambda) < 1.$$
(2.7)

Hence $[f_{\lambda}] \in L_0$ and f_{λ} is analytic in Δ .

If $\lambda < -\frac{1}{2}$, then $\|[f_{\lambda}] - [H_{\theta}]\|_1 < 1$. Hence $[f_{\lambda}] \in L_{\theta}$ and f_{λ} is analytic in Δ . Let $g'_{\lambda}(z) = (1-z)^2 f'_{\lambda}(z)$. Then

$$[g_{\lambda}] = \frac{(\lambda - 1)(1 - e^{-i\theta})}{(1 - e^{-i\theta}z)(1 - z)}.$$

Let $g_{\lambda}(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n z^n$, $b_1 = 1$. By comparing coefficient of z^{n-2} , we obtain

$$n(n-1)b_n = (\lambda - 1)[b_1(1 - e^{-(n-1)i\theta}) + 2b_2(1 - e^{-(n-2)i\theta}) + \cdots + (n-1)b_{n-1}(1 - e^{-i\theta})].$$

If $|\lambda| \leq \frac{1}{2}$, then

$$n(n-1)|b_n| \le \frac{3}{2}2(|b_1|+2|b_2|+\cdots+(n-1)|b_{n-1}|).$$

It follows from $b_1 = 1$ that $|b_n| \leq n$. So g_{λ} is analytic in Δ and hence f_{λ} is analytic in Δ . This completes the proof of Lemma 2.4.

Proof of Theorem 2.2. Without loss of generality, we may assume $\theta_1 = 0$, $\theta_2 = \theta$ ($0 < \theta < 2\pi$).

$$S_{f_{\lambda}} = \left(\frac{f_{\lambda}''}{f_{\lambda}'}\right)' - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{f_{\lambda}''}{f_{\lambda}'}\right)^2 = \frac{1}{2} \frac{(1-\lambda^2)(1-e^{-i\theta}z)^2}{(1-e^{-i\theta}z)^2(1-z)^2}.$$
(2.8)

Then

$$\|S_{f_{\lambda}}\|_{2} = \sup_{z \in \Delta} \{(1 - |z|^{2})^{2} |S_{f_{\lambda}}|\} = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \lambda^{2}) \cdot 2^{2} < 2 \qquad (-1 \le \lambda < 0, 0 < \lambda \le 1).$$

Hence, f_{λ} is conformal in the unit disk Δ with quasiconformal extension to the Riemann sphere C. And we obtain that if $0 < \lambda \leq 1$ then $[f_{\lambda}] \in L_0$ and if $-1 \leq \lambda < 0$ then $[f_{\lambda}] \in L_{\theta}$. From

$$\lim_{\lambda \to 0} \|[f_{\lambda}] - [f_{-\lambda}]\|_1 = \lim_{\lambda \to 0} 4\lambda = 0,$$

we have $dist(L_0, L_\theta) = 0$.

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.2.

§3. The Distance Between a Component and the Center of Every Other Component

Theorem 3.1. dist([id], L_{θ}) = 2.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume $\theta = 0$. From the proof of Theorem 2.1 we have $[f_{\mu}] \in L_0$ and

$$\|[f_{\mu}] - [\mathrm{id}]\|_{1} = \sup_{z \in \Delta} \left\{ (1 - |z|^{2}) \left| \frac{\mu + 2z}{1 - z^{2}} \right| \right\} = 2 + \mu.$$
(3.1)

Then

$$\lim_{\mu \to 0} \|[f_{\mu}] - [\mathrm{id}]\|_1 = 2$$

Hence dist([id], L_0) ≤ 2 .

Wei [3] obtained dist([id], L_0) ≥ 2 . Then we get dist([id], L_0) = 2. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1.

Theorem 3.2. dist $([H_{\theta_1}], L_{\theta_2}) = 2$ for $\theta_1 \neq \theta_2$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume $\theta_1 = 0$, $\theta_2 = \theta$ ($0 < \theta < 2\pi$). First, we prove that dist($[H_0], L_{\theta}$) ≤ 2 ($\theta \neq 0$).

From the proof of Theorem 2.2, we have $[f_{\lambda}] \in L_{\theta}, -1 \leq \lambda < 0$.

$$dist([H_0], L_{\theta}) \leq \lim_{\lambda \to 0} ||[f_{\lambda}] - [H_0]||_1$$

=
$$\lim_{\lambda \to 0} \left(\sup_{z \in \Delta} \left\{ (1 - |z|^2) \left| \frac{(\lambda - 1)(1 - e^{-i\theta})}{(1 - e^{-i\theta}z)(1 - z)} \right| \right\} \right)$$

=
$$\lim_{\lambda \to 0} 2|\lambda - 1| = 2.$$

Hence dist $([H_0], L_{\theta}) \leq 2$.

Next, we proceed to prove that $dist([H_0], L_{\theta}) \ge 2 \ (\theta \ne 0)$. Let $dist([H_0], L_{\theta}) = k'$. If k' < 2, then we can find $[f] \in L_{\theta}$ such that

dist
$$([f], [H_0]) = ||[f] - [H_0]||_1 \le k = \frac{2+k'}{2} < 2$$

Let $g'(z) = (1-z)^2 f'(z)$. Then $||[g]||_1 = ||[f] - [H_0]||_1 \le k$. Hence

$$\left|\frac{g''(z)}{g'(z)}\right| \le \frac{k}{1-|z|^2}.$$
(3.2)

By integration, we have

$$|g'(z)| \le \left(\frac{1+|z|}{1-|z|}\right)^{\frac{k}{2}}, \qquad |f'(z)(1-z)^2| \le \left(\frac{1+|z|}{1-|z|}\right)^{\frac{k}{2}}.$$

Then

$$|f'(te^{i\theta})| \le \left(\frac{1+t}{1-t}\right)^{\frac{k}{2}} \cdot \frac{1}{|1-te^{i\theta}|^2},\tag{3.3}$$

and

$$\begin{split} |f(e^{i\theta})| &= \Big| \int_0^{e^{i\theta}} f'(z) dz \Big| = \Big| \int_0^1 f'(te^{i\theta}) dt \Big| \le \int_0^1 |f'(te^{i\theta})| dt \\ &\le \frac{1}{|1 - te^{i\theta}|^2} \int_0^1 \Big(\frac{1 + t}{1 - t}\Big)^{\frac{k}{2}} dt < +\infty. \end{split}$$

This contradicts $[f] \in L_{\theta}$.

Hence dist $(L_{\theta}, [H_0]) = k' \ge 2$. Then we obtain dist $([H_0], L_{\theta}) = 2$.

Acknowledgement. The author would like to thank Professor Chen Jixiu for his guidance during the preparation of this paper.

References

- [1] Zhuravlev, I. V., Model of the universal Teichmuller space, Sib. Math. J., 27(1986), 691–697.
- [2] Chen, J. X. & Wei, H. B., Some geometric properties on a model of universal Teichmuller spaces, Chin. Ann. Math., 18B:3(1997), 309–314.
- [3] Wei, H. B., On the components of the universal Teichmuller space T_1 , Chin. Ann. Math., **25A**:4(2004), 425–428.
- [4] Stephen, D. C., The inclusion of classical families in the closure of the universal Teichmuller space, Michigan. Math. J., 39(1992), 189–199.
- [5] Yong, C. K. & Toshiyuki, S., Growth and coefficient estimates for uniformly locally univalent functions on the unit disk, *Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics*, **32**:1(2002), 179–200.
- [6] Astala, K. & Gehring, F. W., Injectivity, the BMO norm and the universal Teichmuller space, J. Anal. Math., 46(1986), 16–57
- [7] Ahlfors, L. V., Lectures on Quasiconformal Mappings, Van Nostrand, NJ, 1966.