## Chinese Annals of Mathematics, Series B © The Editorial Office of CAM and Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2007

## Analytic Extension of Functions from Analytic Hilbert Spaces\*\*

## Kai WANG\*

**Abstract** Let M be an invariant subspace of  $H_v^2$ . It is shown that for each  $f \in M^{\perp}$ , f can be analytically extended across  $\partial \mathbb{B}_d \setminus \sigma(S_{z_1}, \dots, S_{z_d})$ .

Keywords Analytic Hilbert space, Spectrum, Analytic extension 2000 MR Subject Classification 47B35, 47B20

Let  $d \geq 1$  and

$$\mathbb{B}_d = \Big\{ z \in \mathbb{C}^d : |z|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^d |z_i|^2 < 1 \Big\}.$$

In this note, we mainly consider the reproducing kernel space  $H_v^2$  (v > 0) over  $\mathbb{B}_d$  with reproducing kernel

$$K^{v}_{\lambda}(z) = \frac{1}{(1 - \langle z, \lambda \rangle)^{v}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a^{(v)}_{n} \langle z, \lambda \rangle^{n},$$

where

$$\langle z, \lambda \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{d} z_i \overline{\lambda}_i$$
 and  $a_0^{(v)} = 1$ ,  $a_n^{(v)} = \frac{v(v+1)\cdots(v+n-1)}{n!}$  for  $n \ge 1$ .

When v = 1, the Hilbert space  $H_v^2$  is the Symmetric Fock space, which was deeply studied by Arveson [2]. When v = d, the space  $H_v^2$  is the usual Hardy space  $H^2(\mathbb{B}_d)$ , and when v = d + 1, it is the usual Bergman space  $L_a^2(\mathbb{B}_d)$  on the unit ball. We refer the reader to [4] for details.

Let M be an invariant subspace of  $H_v^2$ , that is,  $pM \subset M$  for any polynomial p. Let  $S_p = P_{M^{\perp}}M_p|_{M^{\perp}}$  be the compression operator on  $M^{\perp}$  for a polynomial p, where  $P_{M^{\perp}}$  is the orthogonal projection onto  $M^{\perp}$ . Those operators carry key information about the invariant subspace (see [1, 2, 9, 10, 12, 17]). We denote the Taylor spectrum (see [6, 16]) of the tuple  $\{S_{z_1}, \dots, S_{z_d}\}$  by  $\sigma(S_{z_1}, \dots, S_{z_d})$ , and write  $\{S_1, \dots, S_d\}$  for  $\{S_{z_1}, \dots, S_{z_d}\}$ .

The following notations are standard. For any ordered *d*-tuple of nonnegative integers  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d), z = (z_1 \dots, z_d) \in \mathbb{C}^d$ , write

$$|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_d, \quad \alpha! = \alpha_1! \cdots \alpha_d!, \quad z^{\alpha} = z_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots z_d^{\alpha_d}, \quad S^{\alpha} = S_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots S_d^{\alpha_d}.$$

Manuscript received December 2, 2005. Revised April 19, 2006. Published online April 30, 2007.

<sup>\*</sup>School of Mathematical Sciences, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, China.

E-mail: 031018009@fudan.edu.cn

<sup>\*\*</sup>Project supported by the Specialized Research Fund for the Doctoral Program of Higher Education.

**Theorem 1** Let M be an invariant subspace of  $H_v^2$ . Then for any  $f \in M^{\perp}$ , f can be analytically extended across  $\partial \mathbb{B}_d \setminus \sigma(S_1, \dots, S_d)$ .

**Proof** First, we will show that  $\sigma(S_1, \dots, S_d) \subseteq \mathbb{B}_d$ . For given  $\mu$ ,  $|\mu| > 1$  and the function  $f_{\mu}(z) = \langle z, \mu \rangle - |\mu|^2$ , from the proof of Theorem 4.5 in [11], the multiplication operator  $M_{f_{\mu}}$  acting  $H_v^2$  is invertible with the inverse  $M_{1/f_{\mu}}$ . A simple analysis shows that  $S_{f_{\mu}}$  acting on  $M^{\perp}$  is invertible with the inverse  $S_{1/f_{\mu}}$  and hence  $0 \notin \sigma(S_{f_{\mu}})$ . Therefore, by the spectral mapping theorem (see [16, Theorem 4.8]),  $\mu \notin \sigma(S_1, \dots, S_d)$ . It follows that

$$\sigma(S_1,\cdots,S_d)\subseteq \mathbb{B}_d.$$

Letting I be the identity operator on  $M^{\perp}$ , by [16, Theorem 4.8], we have

$$\sigma\Big(I - \sum_{i=1}^d \lambda_i S_i^*\Big) = \Big\{1 - \sum_{i=1}^d \lambda_i \overline{z}_i : z \in \sigma(S_1, \cdots, S_d)\Big\}.$$

For  $\lambda \in \overline{\mathbb{B}}_d$ ,  $z \in \overline{\mathbb{B}}_d$ , we have

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(1-\sum_{i=1}^{d}\lambda_{i}\overline{z}_{i}\right)\geq 1-|\lambda||z|\geq 0,$$

and hence we get

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(1-\sum_{i=1}^{d}\lambda_{i}\overline{z}_{i}\right)>0, \text{ if } \lambda\in\mathbb{B}_{d} \text{ or } \lambda\neq z.$$

This implies that for any point  $\lambda \in \mathbb{B}_d \cup (\partial \mathbb{B}_d \setminus \sigma(S_1, \cdots, S_d))$ ,

$$\sigma\left(I - \sum_{i=1}^{d} \lambda_i S_i^*\right) \subseteq \{w \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re} w > 0\}.$$

Choose an open set U of  $\mathbb{C}^d$  satisfying  $\mathbb{B}_d \cup (\partial \mathbb{B}_d \setminus \sigma(S_1, \cdots, S_d)) \subseteq U$ , and

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(1-\sum_{i=1}^{d}\lambda_{i}\overline{z}_{i}\right)>0\quad\text{for each }\lambda\in U,\ z\in\sigma(S_{1},\cdots,S_{d}).$$

This means that for any  $\lambda \in U$ ,

$$\sigma\left(I - \sum_{i=1}^{d} \lambda_i S_i^*\right) \subseteq \{\operatorname{Re} w > 0 : w \in \mathbb{C}\}.$$

Since  $w^{-v}$  is analytic on the domain  $\{\operatorname{Re} w > 0 : w \in \mathbb{C}\}$ , we see that the operator value function

$$\lambda \to \left(I - \sum_{i=1}^d \lambda_i S_i^*\right)^{-v}$$

is analytic on U.

Furthermore, given any  $f \in M^{\perp}$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{B}_d$ , we have

$$\left\langle \left(I - \sum_{i=1}^{d} \lambda_i S_i^*\right)^{-v} f, 1 \right\rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n^{(v)} \sum_{|\alpha|=n} \frac{n!}{\alpha!} \lambda^{\alpha} \langle S^{*\alpha} f, 1 \rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n^{(v)} \sum_{|\alpha|=n} \frac{n!}{\alpha!} \lambda^{\alpha} \langle M_z^{*\alpha} f, 1 \rangle$$
$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n^{(v)} \sum_{|\alpha|=n} \frac{n!}{\alpha!} \lambda^{\alpha} \langle f, z^{\alpha} \rangle = \langle f, K_\lambda \rangle = f(\lambda).$$

Since

$$\left(I - \sum_{i=1}^{d} \lambda_i S_i^*\right)^{-\iota}$$

is analytic in U, it follows that the analytic function

$$f(\lambda) = \left\langle \left(I - \sum_{i=1}^{d} \lambda_i S_{z_i}^*\right)^{-v} f, 1 \right\rangle$$

has an analytic continuation across  $\partial \mathbb{B}_d \setminus \sigma(S_1, \cdots, S_d)$ . This leads to the desired result.

Using an easy argument, we obtain the following corollary from Theorem 1.

**Corollary 1** Let M be an invariant subspace of  $H_v^2$ . Then

$$\bigcup_{f \in M^{\perp}} \{\lambda \in \partial \mathbb{B}_d : f \text{ is not analytic at } \lambda\} \subseteq \sigma(S_1, \cdots, S_d).$$

In the classical Hardy space  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ , by the elegant Beurling theorem, any invariant subspace M is generated by an inner function  $\eta$ . Arveson [1] showed that, in this case,  $\sigma(S) = Z(\eta)$  and  $\sigma_e(S) = Z_{\partial}(\eta)$ , where

$$Z(\eta) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{D} : \eta(\lambda) = 0\} \cup \{\lambda \in \mathbb{T} : \eta \text{ is not analytic at } \lambda\},\$$
$$Z_{\partial}(\eta) = Z(\eta) \cap \mathbb{T}.$$

In higher dimensions, one see a similar result from the above corollary. When the dimension d > 1, any inner functions  $\eta$  on  $\mathbb{B}_d$  is not analytic at any point in  $\partial \mathbb{B}_d$  (see [14]). Hence, the function  $P_{M^{\perp}}K_{\lambda} = (1 - \overline{\eta(\lambda)}\eta)K_{\lambda}$  is not analytic at any point in  $\partial \mathbb{B}_d$ . If  $M = [\eta]$  is an invariant subspace of  $H^2(\mathbb{B}_d)$  generated by  $\eta$ , by the above corollary, a simple argument shows that

$$\sigma(S_1, \cdots, S_d) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{B}_d : \eta(\lambda) = 0\} \cup \partial \mathbb{B}_d.$$

Another important type of invariant subspaces is that generated by polynomials (see [1, 4, 7, 8, 17]). For a polynomial p, let [p] denote the invariant subspace of  $H_v^2$  generated by p. Then  $S_p = 0$  since for any  $f_1, f_2 \in [p]^{\perp}$ ,

$$\langle S_p f_1, f_2 \rangle = \langle p f_1, f_2 \rangle = 0.$$

From  $S_p = p(S_1, \dots, S_d)$ , applying [16, Theorem 4.8] shows

$$\sigma(S_1,\cdots,S_d)\subseteq Z(p),$$

where  $Z(p) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^d : p(\lambda) = 0\}$ . Combing this fact with Theorem 1, we have

**Corollary 2** Let p be a polynomial. Then for each function  $f \in H_v^2$  and  $f \perp [p]$ , f can be analytically extended across  $\partial \mathbb{B}_d \setminus Z(p)$ .

In the case v = d,  $H_v^2$  is the usual Hardy space. It follows from Corollary 2 that  $f \in H^2(\mathbb{B}_d)$ has an analytic continuation across  $\partial \mathbb{B}_d \setminus Z(p)$  if  $f \perp [p]$ . The following example shows that there exists a function  $f \in [p]^{\perp}$  such that f can not be analytically extended across each point in  $\partial \mathbb{B}_d \setminus Z(p)$ .

**Example 1** Consider the invariant subspace [z - w] of the Hardy space  $H^2(\mathbb{B}_2)$ . It is easy to check  $[z - w]^{\perp} = \overline{\text{span}}\{(z + w)^n; n = 0, 1, \cdots, \}$ . Let B(z) be a Blaschke product satisfying that the closure of its zero set contains the unit circle. Then  $B(\frac{z+w}{\sqrt{2}})$  is in  $[z - w]^{\perp}$ , and it can not be analytically extended across each point in  $\{(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}e^{i\theta}, \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}e^{i\theta}); 0 \le \theta \le 2\pi\}$ .

We will show by the next example that in some cases, for any fixed point in  $\partial \mathbb{B}_d \cap \sigma(S_1, \cdots, S_d)$ , there is a function in  $M^{\perp}$  such that it can not be analytically extended across this point.

**Example 2** Fix  $\lambda_0 \in \partial \mathbb{B}_d$ , and let M be the invariant subspace of  $H_v^2$  defined by

$$M = \{ f \in H_v^2 : f(\xi \lambda_0) = 0, \text{ where } \xi \in \mathbb{C}, |\xi| < 1 \}.$$

Using the same argument as in Corollary 2, one can verify that

$$\sigma(S_1, \cdots, S_d) \subseteq \{\xi \lambda_0 : \xi \in \mathbb{C}, \ |\xi| \le 1\}$$

Applying Theorem 1 shows that f is analytic in  $\overline{\mathbb{B}}_d \setminus \{\xi \lambda_0 : \xi \in \mathbb{C}, |\xi| = 1\}$  for any  $f \in M^{\perp}$ .

It is not difficult to check that the set span{ $K_{\xi\lambda_0}$  :  $|\xi| < 1$ } is dense in  $M^{\perp}$ . From [11, Example 2], we have  $\langle z, \lambda_0 \rangle^n \perp \langle z, \lambda_0 \rangle^m$  if  $m \neq n$ . It follows that if  $\xi \to 0$ ,

$$\frac{K_{\xi\lambda_0}(z) - K_0(z)}{\overline{\xi}} = \frac{\frac{1}{(1 - \langle z, \xi\lambda_0 \rangle)^v} - 1}{\overline{\xi}} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^{(v)} \overline{\xi}^{n-1} \langle z, \lambda_0 \rangle^n \to a_1^{(v)} \langle z, \lambda_0 \rangle$$

in the norm of  $H_v^2$ . Hence  $\langle z, \lambda_0 \rangle \in M^{\perp}$ . Using the same argument, we have  $\langle z, \lambda_0 \rangle^n \in M^{\perp}$  for any n > 0. Since

$$K_{\xi\lambda_0}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n^{(v)} \overline{\xi}^n \langle z, \lambda_0 \rangle^n \in \overline{\operatorname{span}}\{1, \langle z, \lambda_0 \rangle, \langle z, \lambda_0 \rangle^2, \cdots\} \quad \text{for any } |\xi| < 1,$$

this means

$$M^{\perp} = \overline{\operatorname{span}}\{1, \langle z, \lambda_0 \rangle, \langle z, \lambda_0 \rangle^2, \cdots \}.$$

Below, we will show that there is  $G_{\xi_0} \in M^{\perp}$  such that  $G_{\xi_0}$  can not be analytically extended across the point  $\xi_0 \lambda_0$  for any  $\xi_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $|\xi_0| = 1$ . First, we calculate the norm of  $\langle z, \lambda_0 \rangle^n$ . Noticing

$$\|K_{\xi\lambda_0}\|^2 = K_{\xi\lambda_0}(\xi\lambda_0) = \frac{1}{(1-|\xi|^2)^v} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n^{(v)} |\xi|^{2n},$$
$$\|K_{\xi\lambda_0}\|^2 = \left\|\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n^{(v)} \xi^n \langle z, \lambda_0 \rangle^n\right\|^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\xi|^{2n} \|a_n^{(v)} \langle z, \lambda_0 \rangle^n\|^2,$$

and comparing the coefficients of  $|\xi|^{2n}$ , we get

$$\|\langle z, \lambda_0 \rangle^n \|^2 = \frac{1}{a_n^{(v)}}.$$

 $\operatorname{Set}$ 

$$g(w) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{w^n}{n \ln n}.$$

Then it is analytic in the unit disk  $\{|w| < 1\}$ , but it can not be analytically extended across the point w = 1. Let

$$G_{\xi_0}(z) = g(\langle z, \xi_0 \lambda_0 \rangle) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\langle z, \xi_0 \lambda_0 \rangle^n}{n \ln n}.$$

Since

$$a_n^{(v)} = \frac{v(v+1)\cdots(v+n-1)}{n!} > \frac{v}{n}$$
 for  $n > 1$ ,

we have

$$\left\|\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\langle z, \xi_0 \lambda_0 \rangle^n}{n \ln n}\right\|^2 = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_n^{(v)} n^2 \ln^2 n} < \frac{1}{v} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n \ln^2 n} < \infty.$$

This implies that  $G_{\xi_0} \in M_{\lambda_0}^{\perp}$  and it can not be extended across the point  $\xi_0 \lambda_0$ .

In the case  $d = 1, v = 2, H_v^2$  is the classical Bergman space  $L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$ . As in the situation of the classical Hardy space over the unit disk, Bergman inner functions play an important role in the study of invariant subspaces of the Bergman space  $L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$  (see [5, 13, 3] and references therein). A function  $\Phi$  in  $L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$  is called a Bergman inner function if

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} (|\Phi(z)|^2 - 1) z^n dA = 0$$

for all nonnegative integers n, where dA is the normalized area measure. There are a number of references concerning analytic extension of Bergman inner functions. We refer the reader to [5, 13, 15] and the references therein for detailed results on this problem. Notice that if  $\Phi$  is a Bergman inner function, then  $\Phi \perp [z\Phi]$ . Set

$$Z(\Phi) = \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda| = 1, \lim_{|z| < 1, \ z \to \lambda} \Phi(z) = 0 \right\} \cup \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda| < 1, \ \Phi(\lambda) = 0 \}.$$

Then by Hedenmalm [12, Theorem 1.3], the operator S (acting on  $[z\Phi]^{\perp}$ ) has spectrum

$$\sigma(S) = Z(\Phi) \cup \{0\}.$$

As an application of Theorem 1, we come to a well-known result that  $\Phi$  can be analytically extended across  $\partial \mathbb{D} \setminus Z(\Phi)$  (see the above mentioned references).

**Acknowledgement** The author would like to thank Professor Kunyu Guo for his suggestions and numerous stimulating discussions.

## References

- [1] Arveson, W., Subalgebras of C\*-algebras, Acta Math., 123, 1969, 141–224.
- [2] Arveson, W., Subalgebras of C\*-algebras III: Multivariable operator theory, Acta Math., 181, 1998, 159– 228.
- [3] Aleman, A., Richter, S. and Sundberg, C., Beurling's theorem for the Bergman space, Acta Math., 177, 1996, 275–310.
- Chen, X. and Guo, K., Analytic Hilbert Modules, π-Chapman & Hall/CRC Reserarch Notes in Mathematics, 433, 2003.
- [5] Duren, P. and Schuster, A., Bergman Spaces, Math. Surveys and Monographs, 100, A.M.S., Providence, RI, 2004.
- [6] Eschmeier, J. and Putinar, M., Spectral Decompositions and Analytic Sheaves, London Mathematical Society Monographs New Series, 10, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1996.
- [7] Guo, K., Characteristic spaces and rigidity for analytic Hilbert modules, J. Funct. Anal., 163, 1999, 133–151.
- [8] Guo, K., Equivalence of Hardy submodules generated by polynomials, J. Funct. Anal., 178, 2000, 343–371.
- [9] Guo, K., Defect operator for submodules of  $H_d^2$ , J. Reine Angew. Math., 573, 2004, 181–209.
- [10] Guo, K., Defect operator, defect functions and defect indices for analytic submodules, J. Funct. Anal., 213, 2004, 380–411.
- [11] Guo, K., Hu, J. and Xu, X., Toeplitz algebras, subnormal tuples and rigidity on reproducing modules, J. Funct. Anal., 210, 2004, 214–247.
- [12] Hedenmalm, H., Spectral properites of invariant subspaces in the Bergman space, J. Funct. Anal., 115, 1993, 441–448.
- [13] Hedenmalm, H., Korenblum, B. and Zhu, K., Theory of Bergman Spaces, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2000.
- [14] Rudin, W., Function Theory in the Unit Ball of  $C^n$ , Springer Verlag, New York, 1980.
- [15] Sundberg, C., Analytic continuability of Bergman inner functions, Michigan Math. J., 44, 1997, 399-407.
- [16] Taylor, J., The analytic functional calculus for several commuting operators, Acta Math., 125, 1970, 1–38.
- [17] Yang, R., The Berger-Shaw theorem in the Hardy module over the bidisk, J. Oper. Theory., 42, 1999, 379–404.