Chin. Ann. Math. 31B(2), 2010, 191–200 DOI: 10.1007/s11401-008-0445-7

Chinese Annals of Mathematics, Series B

© The Editorial Office of CAM and Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2010

# The Tracial Rokhlin Property for Automorphisms on Non-simple $C^*$ -Algebras\*\*

Jiajie HUA\*

**Abstract** Let A be a unital AF-algebra (simple or non-simple) and let  $\alpha$  be an automorphism of A. Suppose that  $\alpha$  has certain Rokhlin property and A is  $\alpha$ -simple. Suppose also that there is an integer  $J \geq 1$  such that  $\alpha_{*0}^J = \mathrm{id}_{K_0(A)}$ . The author proves that  $A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}$  has tracial rank zero.

Keywords Rokhlin property, Tracial rank zero, AF-algebra 2000 MR Subject Classification 46L35, 46L55

## 1 Introduction

The Rokhlin property in ergodic theory was adopted to the context of von Neumann algebras by Connes [1]. It was adopted by Herman and Oeneanu [2] for UHF-algebras. Rørdam [13] and Kishimoto [6] introduced the Rokhlin property to a much more general context of  $C^*$ -algebras, then Osaka and Phillips studied integer group actions which satisfy certain type of Rokhlin property on some simple  $C^*$ -algebras (see [12]). More recently, Lin studied the Rokhlin property for automorphisms on simple  $C^*$ -algebras (see [10]).

Phillips proposed how to introduce appropriate Rokhlin property for automorphisms on non-simple  $C^*$ -algebras. In this paper, we attempt to introduce certain Rokhlin property for automorphisms on non-simple  $C^*$ -algebras; when  $C^*$ -algebra is simple, this Rokhlin property is weaker than the Rokhlin property in [10, 12]. If an integer group action of a  $C^*$ -algebra has this Rokhlin property, we can conclude that its crossed product is in the  $C^*$ -algebra class of tracial rank zero. In particular, these algebras all belong to the class known currently to be classifiable by K-theoretic invariants in the sense of the Elliott classification program. We hope that this case will lead us to more interesting in the Rokhlin property for automorphisms on non-simple  $C^*$ -algebras.

The organization of this paper is as follows. In Section 1, we briefly recall the notion of  $C^*$ -algebras, then we introduce certain Rokhlin property and discuss some property of crossed product  $A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}$  when an automorphism  $\alpha$  of a  $C^*$ -algebra A has the Rokhlin property. In Section 2, we show that if A is a unital AF-algebra, suppose that  $\alpha \in \operatorname{Aut}(A)$  has the tracial cyclic Rokhlin property and A is  $\alpha$ -simple, suppose also that there is an integer  $J \geq 1$  such that  $\alpha_{*0}^J = \operatorname{id}_{K_0(A)}$ . Then  $A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}$  has tracial rank zero.

Manuscript received November 10, 2008. Revised April 18, 2009. Published online February 2, 2010.

<sup>\*</sup>Department of Mathematics, East China Normal University, Shanghai 200241, China. E-mail: huajiajie2006@sina.com

<sup>\*\*</sup>Project supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 10771069, 10671068) and the Shanghai Priority Academic Discipline (No. B407).

## 2 The Tracial Rokhlin Property

We will use the following convention:

- (1) Let A be a  $C^*$ -algebra,  $a \in A$  be a positive element and  $p \in A$  be a projection. We write  $[p] \leq [a]$  if there is a projection  $q \in \overline{aAa}$  and a partial isometry  $v \in A$  such that  $v^*v = p$  and  $vv^* = q$ .
- (2) Let A be a  $C^*$ -algebra. We denote by  $\operatorname{Aut}(A)$  the automorphism group of A. If A is unital and  $u \in A$  is a unitary, we denote by  $\operatorname{ad} u$  the inner automorphism defined by  $\operatorname{ad} u(a) = u^* au$  for all  $a \in A$ .
- (3) Let  $x \in A$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\mathcal{F} \subset A$ . We write  $x \in_{\varepsilon} \mathcal{F}$ , if  $\operatorname{dist}(x, \mathcal{F}) < \varepsilon$ , or there is a  $y \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $||x y|| < \varepsilon$ .
- (4) Let A be a  $C^*$ -algebra and  $\alpha \in \operatorname{Aut}(A)$ . We say that A is  $\alpha$ -simple if A does not have any non-trivial  $\alpha$ -invariant closed two-sided ideals.
- (5) A unital  $C^*$ -algebra is said to have real rank zero, written RR(A) = 0, if the set of invertible self-adjoint elements is dense in self-adjoint elements of A. Note that every unital AF-algebra has real rank zero.
- (6) A unital  $C^*$ -algebra A has the (SP)-property if every non-zero hereditary  $C^*$ -subalgebra of A has a non-zero projection. Note that every  $C^*$ -algebra A with real rank zero has the (SP)-property.
- (7) Let T(A) be the tracial state space of a unital  $C^*$ -algebra A. It is a compact convex set.
- (8) We say that the order on projection over a unital  $C^*$ -algebra A is determined by traces, if for any two projections  $p, q \in A, \tau(p) < \tau(q)$  for all  $\tau \in T(A)$  implies that p is equivalent to a projection  $p' \leq q$ .
- **Definition 2.1** We denote by  $\mathcal{I}^{(0)}$  the class of all finite dimensional  $C^*$ -algebras, and denote by  $\mathcal{I}^{(k)}$  the class of all unital  $C^*$ -algebras which are unital hereditary  $C^*$ -subalgebras of  $C^*$ -algebras of the form  $C(X) \otimes F$ , where X is a k-dimensional finite CW complex and  $F \in \mathcal{I}^{(0)}$ .

We recall the definition of tracial topological rank of  $C^*$ -algebras.

**Definition 2.2** (cf. [8]) Let A be a unital simple  $C^*$ -algebra. Then A is said to have tracial (topological) rank no more than k if for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , any finite subset  $\mathcal{F} \subset A$ , and any non-zero positive element  $a \in A$ , there exist a nonzero projection  $p \in A$  and a  $C^*$ -subalgebra  $B \in \mathcal{I}^{(k)}$  with  $1_B = p$  such that

- (1)  $||px xp|| < \varepsilon \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{F},$
- (2)  $pxp \in_{\varepsilon} B \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{F},$
- $(3) [1-p] \leq [a].$

If A has tracial rank no more than k, we will write  $\operatorname{TR}(A) \leq k$ . If furthermore,  $\operatorname{TR}(A) \nleq k-1$ , then we say  $\operatorname{TR}(A) = k$ .

**Definition 2.3** Let A be a unital  $C^*$ -algebra,  $\alpha \in \operatorname{Aut}(A)$ ,  $a \in A$  be a positive element, and  $p \in A$  be a projection. We say  $[p] \leq_{\alpha} [a]$  if there exist the mutually orthogonal projections  $p_i$ , the mutually orthogonal positive elements  $a_i$  and  $s_i \in \mathbb{Z}$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  such that  $p = \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i, \{a_i\}_{i=1}^n$  belong to the hereditary  $C^*$ -subalgebra generated by  $a_i$  and  $[\alpha^{s_i}(p_i)] \leq [a_i]$ ,  $i = \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i$ 

 $1, \cdots, n$ .

By this definition, we can compare nonzero positive elements with full positive elements by the action of  $\alpha$ .

**Example 2.1** Let  $A = A_0 \oplus A_0$ , where  $A_0$  is an infinite dimensional unital simple  $C^*$ -algebra with real rank zero, and let  $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(A)$  such that  $\alpha(a_0, b_0) = (b_0, a_0)$ , where  $a_0, b_0 \in A_0$ . Then for any non-zero projection  $q \in A$ , there exists a projection  $p = (p_1, p_2) \in A$ ,  $p_1 \neq 0$ ,  $p_2 \neq 0$  such that  $[p] \leq_{\alpha} [q]$ .

**Definition 2.4** Let A be a unital  $C^*$ -algebra and  $\alpha \in \operatorname{Aut}(A)$ . We say that  $\alpha$  has the tracial Rokhlin property if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , every nonzero positive element  $a \in A$ , every finite set  $\mathcal{F} \subset A$ ,  $\mathcal{F} = \{p_1, \dots, p_m, a_1, \dots, a_s\}$ , where  $\{p_i\}, i = 1, \dots, m$  are the mutually orthogonal projections, there are the mutually orthogonal projections  $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n \in A$  such that

- (1)  $\|\alpha(e_j) e_{j+1}\| < \varepsilon \text{ for } 1 \le j \le n-1,$
- (2)  $||e_j b b e_j|| < \varepsilon \text{ for } 1 \le j \le n \text{ and all } b \in \mathcal{F},$
- (3)  $||e_1p_je_1|| \ge 1 \varepsilon \text{ for } 1 \le j \le m$ ,
- (4) with  $e = \sum_{j=1}^{n} e_j$ ,  $[1 e] \le_{\alpha} [a]$ .

When A is a unital simple  $C^*$ -algebra, the tracial Rokhlin property of the above definition is weaker than the Rokhlin property as in [10, 12], we weak the condition (4) to only require that the positive element 1-e can be compared with the given positive element a by the action of  $\alpha$ .

We define a slightly stronger version of the tracial Rokhlin property.

**Definition 2.5** Let A be a unital  $C^*$ -algebra and let  $\alpha \in \operatorname{Aut}(A)$ . We say that  $\alpha$  has the tracial cyclic Rokhlin property if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , every nonzero positive element  $a \in A$ , every finite set  $\mathcal{F} \subset A$ ,  $\mathcal{F} = \{p_1, \dots, p_m, a_1, \dots, a_s\}$ , where  $\{p_i\}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m$  are the mutually orthogonal projections, there are the mutually orthogonal projections  $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n \in A$  such that

- (1)  $\|\alpha(e_j) e_{j+1}\| < \varepsilon \text{ for } 1 \le j \le n, \text{ where } e_{n+1} = e_1,$
- (2)  $||e_i b b e_i|| < \varepsilon$  for  $1 \le j \le n$  and all  $b \in \mathcal{F}$ ,
- (3)  $||e_1p_je_1|| \ge 1 \varepsilon \text{ for } 1 \le j \le m$ ,
- (4) with  $e = \sum_{j=1}^{n} e_j$ ,  $[1-e] \leq_{\alpha} [a]$ .

The only difference between the tracial Rokhlin property and the tracial cyclic Rokhlin property is that in condition (1), we require  $\|\alpha(e_n) - e_1\| < \varepsilon$ .

**Theorem 2.1** Let A be a unital  $C^*$ -algebra with real rank zero, and let  $\alpha \in \operatorname{Aut}(A)$  have the tracial Rokhlin property. Then A is  $\alpha$ -simple if and only if the crossed product  $A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}$  is simple.

**Proof** Let I be an  $\alpha$ -invariant norm closed two-sided ideal of A. Then, by [3, Lemma 1],  $I \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}$  is a norm closed two-sided ideal of  $A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}$ .

Conversely, let a be a positive element of the  $C^*$ -algebra A,  $\mathcal{F} = \{a_i; i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$  elements of A,  $s_i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . We prove that there exists a positive element

 $x \in A$  with ||x|| = 1 such that

$$||xax|| \ge ||a|| - \varepsilon$$
,  $||xa_i\alpha^{s_i}(x)|| \le \varepsilon$ ,  $||xa_i - a_ix|| < \varepsilon$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

Because A has real rank zero, let  $\varepsilon > 0$ , by [9, Theorem 3.2.5], there are mutually orthogonal projections  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m$  and positive real numbers  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_m$  such that  $\left\| a - \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i p_i \right\| < 1$ 

$$\frac{\varepsilon}{3}$$
. Let  $a_0 = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i p_i$ ,  $C = \max\{\|a_1\|, \|a_2\|, \cdots, \|a_n\|\}$ ,  $N = \max\{s_1, s_2, \cdots, s_n\}$  and  $\varepsilon_0 = \min\{\frac{\varepsilon}{3\|a_0\|}, \frac{\varepsilon}{(N+2)C}\}$ .

Apply the tracial Rokhlin property with N in place of n, with  $\varepsilon_0$  in place of  $\varepsilon$ . We can obtain  $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_N$ , such that

- (1)  $\|\alpha(e_j) e_{j+1}\| < \varepsilon_0 \text{ for } 1 \le j \le N 1,$
- (2)  $||e_j a_i a_i e_j|| < \varepsilon_0$  for  $1 \le j \le N$  and  $1 \le i \le n$ ,
- (3)  $||e_1p_je_1|| \ge 1 \varepsilon_0 \text{ for } 1 \le j \le m.$

Then 
$$||e_1 a_0 e_1|| = \left\| \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i e_1 p_i e_1 \right\| \ge ||\lambda_i e_1 p_i e_1|| \ge \lambda_i (1 - \varepsilon_0), \ i = 1, 2, \dots, m.$$

We get

$$||e_1 a_0 e_1|| \ge ||a_0|| (1 - \varepsilon_0) \ge ||a_0|| - \frac{\varepsilon}{3}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|e_{1}ae_{1}\| &= \|e_{1}a_{0}e_{1} + e_{1}ae_{1} - e_{1}a_{0}e_{1}\| \geq \|e_{1}a_{0}e_{1}\| - \|e_{1}ae_{1} - e_{1}a_{0}e_{1}\| \\ &\geq \|e_{1}a_{0}e_{1}\| - \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \geq \|a_{0}\| - \frac{\varepsilon}{3} - \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \geq \|a\| - \frac{\varepsilon}{3} - \frac{\varepsilon}{3} - \frac{\varepsilon}{3} = \|a\| - \varepsilon, \\ \|e_{1}a_{i}\alpha^{s_{i}}(e_{1})\| &= \|e_{1}a_{i}\alpha^{s_{i}}(e_{1}) - e_{1}a_{i}\alpha^{s_{i-1}}(e_{1}) + e_{1}a_{i}\alpha^{s_{i-1}}(e_{1}) \\ &- e_{1}a_{i}\alpha^{s_{i-2}}(e_{1}) + \dots + e_{1}a_{i}\alpha^{1}(e_{1})\| \\ &< \|e_{1}a_{i}\alpha^{1}(e_{1})\| + (s_{i} - 1)\varepsilon_{0}\|a_{i}\| \\ &< \|a_{i}e_{1}\alpha^{1}(e_{1})\| + s_{i}\varepsilon_{0}\|a_{i}\| < (s_{i} + 1)\varepsilon_{0}\|a_{i}\| < \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

So we get (\*). Applying this condition and noticing that A is  $\alpha$ -simple, we can complete the proof the same as [5, Theorem 3.1]. We omit the details.

Applying (\*) and the same proof of Theorem 4.2 in [4], we can get the following result.

**Theorem 2.2** Let A be a unital  $C^*$ -algebra with real rank zero and let  $\alpha \in \operatorname{Aut}(A)$  have the tracial Rokhlin property and A is  $\alpha$ -simple. Then any non-zero hereditary  $C^*$ -subalgebra of the crossed product  $A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}$  has a non-zero projection which is equivalent to a projection in A.

**Lemma 2.1** Let  $B = M_{r(1)} \oplus M_{r(2)} \oplus \cdots \oplus M_{r(l)}$  be a finite dimensional  $C^*$ -subalgebra of a unital  $C^*$ -algebra A, and let  $e_{i,j}^{(s)} \in B$  be a system of matrix units for  $M_{r(s)}$ ,  $s = 1, 2, \cdots, l$ . Then for any  $\delta > 0$ , there exists  $\sigma > 0$  satisfying the following: If  $\|pe_{i,i}^{(s)} - e_{i,i}^{(s)}p\| < \sigma$  and  $\|pe_{i,i}^{(s)}p\| > \frac{1}{2}$  for  $s = 1, 2, \cdots, l$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \cdots, r(s)$ , then there is a monomorphism  $\varphi : B \to pAp$  such that  $\|pbp - \varphi(b)\| < \delta \|b\|$  for all  $b \in B$ .

**Proof** It follows from the arguments in [9, Section 2.5] and [11, Proposition 2.3].

**Proposition 2.1** Let A be a unital  $C^*$ -algebra. Suppose that  $\alpha \in \operatorname{Aut}(A)$  is approximately inner and has the tracial Rokhlin property. If for any closed two-sided ideal I of  $C^*$ -algebra A,

there is an  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , here n only depends on I, such that  $K_0(A/I)$  is not n-divisible, then A is  $\alpha$ -simple.

**Proof** Suppose that A is not  $\alpha$ -simple, so there exists a closed two-sided ideal I of  $C^*$ algebra A such that  $\alpha(I) = I$ . By the hypothesis, there is an  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $K_0(A/I)$  is not n-divisible.

Let  $a \in I$  be a non-zero positive element, and  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ . There are the mutually orthogonal projections  $e_1, e_2, \cdots, e_n \in A$  such that

- (1)  $\|\alpha(e_j) e_{j+1}\| < \varepsilon \text{ for } 1 \le j \le n-1,$ (2) with  $e = \sum_{j=1}^{n} e_j$ ,  $[1-e] \le_{\alpha} [a]$ .

Because  $\alpha$  is approximately inner and by (1), we have  $[e_1] = [e_2] = \cdots = [e_n]$  in  $K_0(A)$ .

If  $p \in A$  is a projection such that  $[p] \leq [b]$ , where  $b \in I$  is a positive element, then there is a  $v \in A$  such that  $v^*v = p$  and  $vv^* \in \overline{bAb} \subset I$ . If  $\pi: A \to A/I$  denotes quotient map,  $\pi(v)\pi(v^*)=0$  in A/I,  $\pi(v)=0$  in A/I, then  $p\in I$ .

In (2),  $[1-e] \leq_{\alpha} [a]$ . By the definition of  $\leq_{\alpha}$ ,  $a \in I$  and the discussion above, we have  $1 - e \in I$ , so  $\pi(1 - e) = 0$ , [1 - e] = 0 in  $K_0(A/I)$ , then  $n[e_1] = [1]$  in  $K_0(A/I)$ . This contradicts that  $K_0(A/I)$  is not *n*-divisible.

#### 3 Main Results

In the proof of Theorem 3.2, we first prove  $TR(A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}) \leq 1$ , then use the following Lemma 3.1 to prove  $RR(A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ . The following lemma is similar to [12, Lemma 2.5].

**Lemma 3.1** Let A be a unital  $C^*$ -algebra with real rank zero, and let  $\alpha \in Aut(A)$  have the tracial Rokhlin property. Suppose that A is  $\alpha$ -simple and the order on projection over  $A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}$ is determined by traces. Let  $\iota: A \to A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}$  be the inclusion map. Then for every finite set  $F \subset A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}$ , every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , every nonzero positive element  $z \in A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}$ , and every sufficiently large  $n \in N$  (depending on  $F, \varepsilon$  and z), there exist a projection  $e \in A \subset A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}$ , a unital subalgebra  $D \subset e(A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z})e$ , a projection  $p \in D$ , a projection  $f \in A$ , and an isomorphism  $\varphi: M_n \otimes fAf \to D$ , such that

- (1) with  $(e_{i,k})$  being the standard system of matrix units for  $M_n$ , we have  $\varphi(e_{1,1} \otimes a) = \iota(a)$ for all  $a \in fAf$  and  $\varphi(e_{k,k} \otimes 1) \in \iota(A)$  for  $1 \leq k \leq n$ ,
  - (2) with  $(e_{j,k})$  as in (1), we have  $\|\varphi(e_{j,j}\otimes a)-\alpha^{j-1}(\iota(a))\|\leq \varepsilon \|a\|$  for all  $a\in fAf$ ,
- (3) for every  $a \in F$ , there exist  $b_1, b_2 \in D$  such that  $\|pa b_1\| < \varepsilon, \|ap b_2\| < \varepsilon$ , and  $||b_1||, ||b_2|| \le ||a||,$ 
  - (4) there is an  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\frac{2m}{n} < \varepsilon$  and  $p = \sum_{j=m+1}^{n-m} \varphi(e_{j,j} \otimes 1)$ ,
- (5) the projection 1-p is Murray-von Neumann equivalent in  $A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}$  to a projection in the hereditary subalgebra of  $A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}$  generated by z and  $\tau(1-p) < \varepsilon$  for all  $\tau \in T(A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z})$ .

**Proof** Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $F \subset A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}$  be a finite set, and let  $z \in A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}$  be a nonzero positive element.

Let u be a standard unitary in the crossed product  $A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}$ . We regard A as a subalgebra of  $A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}$  in the usual way. Choose  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for every  $x \in F$  there are  $a_l \in A$  for  $-m \le l \le m$  such that  $\left\|x - \sum_{l=-m}^{m} a_l u^l\right\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ . For each  $x \in F$ , choose one such expression,

and let  $S \subset A$  be a finite set which contains all the coefficients used for all elements of F. Let  $M = 1 + \sup_{x \in S} ||a||$ .

Since  $A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}$  has (SP)-property and is simple, by Theorems 2.2 and 2.1, we can use [9, Lemma 3.5.7] to find nonzero orthogonal Murray-von Neumann equivalent projections  $g_0, g_1, \dots, g_{2m} \in z(A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z})z$ .

Since  $A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}$  is simple,  $g_0$  is a nonzero projection, and the tracial state space  $T(A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z})$  of  $A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}$  is weak-\* compact, we have  $\delta = \inf_{\tau \in T(A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z})} \tau(g_0) > 0$ . Now let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  be any integer such that  $n > \max(\frac{1}{\delta}, (N+2)(2m+1), \frac{4m}{\varepsilon})$ .

Set  $\varepsilon_0 = \frac{\varepsilon}{10(2m+1)n^2M}$ .

Choose  $\varepsilon_1 > 0$  so small that whenever  $e_1, e_2, \cdots, e_n$  are mutually orthogonal projections in a unital  $C^*$ -algebra B and  $u \in B$  is a unitary such that  $\|ue_ju^* - e_{j+1}\| < \varepsilon_1$  for  $1 \le j \le n$ , then there is a unitary  $v \in B$  such that  $\|v - u\| < \varepsilon_0$  and  $ve_jv^* = e_{j+1}$  for  $1 \le j \le n$ . We can use [9, Lemma 3.5.7] to find nonzero orthogonal Murray-von Neumann equivalent projections  $h_1, h_2, \cdots, h_{n+2} \in g_0(A \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z})g_0$  which are Murray-von Neumann equivalent in  $A \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}$ . Further use Theorem 2.2 to find a nonzero projection  $q \in A$  which is Murray-von Neumann equivalent in  $A \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}$  to a projection in  $h_1(A \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z})h_1$ .

Apply the tracial Rokhlin property with n-1 in place of n, with  $\min(1, \varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_1)$  in place of  $\varepsilon$ , with S in place of F, and with q in place of x. Recall the resulting projections  $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n$ , and let  $e = \sum_{j=1}^n e_j$ ,  $[1-e] \leq_{\alpha} [q]$ . Apply the choice of  $\varepsilon_1$  to these projections and the standard unitary u, and obtain a unitary  $v \in A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}$  as in the previous paragraph.

We can get Conditions (1)–(4) by the same proof of Lemma 2.5 in [12]. We omit them. It remains to verify Condition (5) of the conclusion. We have

$$1 - p = 1 - e + \sum_{j=1}^{m} e_j + \sum_{j=n-m+1}^{n} e_j.$$

By construction, we have  $[1-e] \leq_{\alpha} [h_1] \leq [g_0]$ . Now let  $\tau$  be any tracial state on  $A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $\tau(e_j) = \tau(e_1)$  for all j, whence  $\tau(e_j) \leq \frac{1}{n}$ . The inequality  $n > \frac{1}{\delta} \geq \frac{1}{\tau(g_0)}$  therefore implies  $\tau(e_j) < \tau(g_0)$ . Since all  $g_j$  are Murray-von Neumann equivalent, it follows that for any tracial state  $\tau$  on  $A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}$ , we have  $\tau(e_j) < \tau(g_j)$  and  $\tau(e_{n-j}) < \tau(g_{m+j})$  for  $1 \leq j \leq m$ . So the order on projection over  $A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}$  is determined by traces implies that  $e_j \leq g_j$  and  $e_{n-j} \leq g_{m+j}$  in  $A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}$  for  $1 \leq j \leq m$ . Thus  $[1-p] \leq_{\alpha} \left[\sum_{j=0}^{2m} g_j\right]$  which is a projection in the hereditary subalgebra  $\overline{z(A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z})z}$ .

$$\tau(1-p) = \tau(1-e) + \tau\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} e_j + \sum_{j=n-m+1}^{n} e_j\right) \le \frac{1}{2m(n+2)} + \frac{2m}{n} < \varepsilon.$$

This is Condition (5) of the conclusion.

**Theorem 3.1** Let A be a unital  $C^*$ -algebra with real rank zero, and let  $\alpha \in \operatorname{Aut}(A)$  have the tracial Rokhlin property. Suppose that A is  $\alpha$ -simple and the order on projection over  $A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}$  is determined by traces. Then  $A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}$  has real rank zero.

**Proof** By applying Lemma 3.1 and the same proof of Theorem 4.5 in [12], we get the theorem.

**Theorem 3.2** Let A be a unital AF-algebra. Suppose that  $\alpha \in \operatorname{Aut}(A)$  has the tracial cyclic Rokhlin property and A is  $\alpha$ -simple. Suppose also that there is an integer  $J \geq 1$  such that  $\alpha_{*0}^J = \operatorname{id}_{K_0(A)}$ . Then  $\operatorname{TR}(A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ .

**Proof** By Theorem 2.1,  $A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}$  is a unital simple  $C^*$ -algebra.

Let  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$  and  $\mathcal{F} \subset A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}$  be a finite set. To simplify notation, without loss of generality, we may assume  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_0 \cup \{u\}$ , where  $\mathcal{F}_0 \subset A$  is a finite subset of the unit ball which contains  $1_A$  and u is a unitary which implements  $\alpha$ , i.e.,  $\alpha(a) = u^*au$  for all  $a \in A$ . Choose an integer k which is a multiple of J such that  $\frac{2\pi}{k-2} < \frac{\varepsilon}{16}$ . Put  $\mathcal{F}_1 = \mathcal{F}_0 \cup \{u^i a(u^*)^i : a \in \mathcal{F}_0, -k \le i \le k\}$ .

Fix  $b_0 \in (A \rtimes \mathbb{Z})_+ \setminus \{0\}$ . It follows from Theorem 2.2 that there is a nonzero projection  $r_0 \in A$  which is equivalent to a nonzero projection in the hereditary  $C^*$ -subalgebra generated by  $b_0$ .

Let  $\delta = \frac{\varepsilon}{16k^2}$ . Since A is a unital AF-algebra, denoted by  $A = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} A_m$ , where  $A_m$  is a finite-dimensional  $C^*$ -algebra for  $m=1,2,\cdots$ , there is a lager enough  $m\in\mathbb{N}$  such that  $b\in_{\delta}A_m$  for all  $b\in\mathcal{F}_1$  and  $1_A\in A_m$ . Let  $A_m=M_{r(1)}\oplus M_{r(2)}\oplus M_{r(l)}$ . Note  $[(u^k)^*eu^k]=[e]$  in  $K_0(A)$  for all projection  $e\in A_m$ . By [9, Theorem 3.4.6], there exists a unitary  $w\in U(A)$  such that  $w^*(u^k)^*bu^kw=b$  for all  $b\in A_m$ . Because A is an AF-algebra,  $w\in U_0(A)$ . By [10, Lemma 2.6], we have the unitaries  $w_i,\ i=1,2,\cdots,k-1$  associated with finite dimensional  $C^*$ -subalgebra  $A_m$  such that  $w=w_1w_2\cdots w_{k-1},\ \|w_i-1\|\le \frac{\pi}{k-2}$ . Since  $b\in_{\delta}A_m$  for all  $b\in\mathcal{F}_1$ , there is an  $a(b)\in A_m$  such that  $\|a(b)-a\|<\delta$ . Let  $e_{ij}^{(s)}$  be a system of matrix units for  $M_{r(s)}$   $(s=1,2,\cdots,l,\ i,j=1,2\cdots r(s))$ , and let  $\mathcal{G}_0=\{a(b)\ |\ b\in\mathcal{F}_1\}\cup\{e_{ij}^{(s)}\ |\ s=1,2,\cdots,l,\ i,j=1,2\cdots r(s)\}$ .

Define  $\mathcal{F}_2 = \{u^i b u^{-i} : b \in \mathcal{G}_0, -k \le i \le k\}$  and let  $w_k = 1$ .

$$\mathcal{F}_3 = \{(w_{i_1}w_{i_1+1}\cdots w_i)a(w_{i_2}w_{i_2+1}\cdots w_i)^*: a\in\mathcal{F}_1\cup\mathcal{F}_2, \ 1\leq i, i_1, i_2\leq k, \ i_1\leq i, \ i_2\leq i\}.$$

Note that  $w, w_i \in \mathcal{F}_3, i = 1, 2, \dots, k-1$ .

Since  $\alpha$  has the tracial cyclic Rokhlin property,  $e_{i,j}^{(s)} \in A_m$  is a system of matrix units for  $M_{r(s)}$ ,  $s = 1, 2, \dots, l$ , let  $\sigma > 0$  be associated with  $A_m$  and  $\delta$  in Lemma 2.1, and let  $\eta = \min\{\delta, \sigma\}$ . Then there exist projections  $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_k \in A$  such that

- (1)  $\|\alpha(e_i) e_{i+1}\| < \frac{\eta}{k}$  for  $1 \le i \le k$ ,  $e_{k+1} = e_1$ ,
- (2)  $||e_i a a e_i|| < \frac{\eta}{k}$  for  $a \in \mathcal{F}_3$ ,
- (3)  $||e_1e_{jj}^{(s)}e_1|| \ge 1 \frac{\eta}{k}$  for  $s = 1, 2, \dots, l, \ j = 1, 2, \dots, r(s),$
- (4)  $\left[1 \sum_{i=1}^{k} e_i\right] \le_{\alpha} [r_0].$

Set  $p = \sum_{i=1}^{k} e_i$ . From (1) above, one can estimate

$$||up - pu|| = \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{k} ue_{i+1} - \sum_{i=1}^{k} e_i u \right\| \le \sum_{i=1}^{k} ||ue_{i+1} - e_i u|| = \sum_{i=1}^{k} ||ue_{i+1} - u\alpha(e_i)|| < \eta.$$

By (1) above, one can see that there is a unitary  $v \in A$  such that  $||v-1|| < \frac{2\eta}{k}$  and  $v^*u^*e_iuv = e_{i+1}, i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . Set  $u_1 = uv$ . Then  $u_1^*e_iu_1 = e_{i+1}, i = 1, 2, \dots, k$  and  $e_{k+1} = e_1$ . In particular,  $u_1^ke_1 = e_1u_1^k$ . For any  $a \in \mathcal{F}_3 \cap A_m$ , since  $w \in \mathcal{F}_3$ ,

$$e_1 w^* e_1 (u_1^k)^* e_1 a e_1 u_1^k e_1 w e_1 \approx \frac{3\eta}{k} e_1 a e_1.$$

By (2) and (3) above, it follows from Lemma 2.1 that there is a monomorphism  $\varphi: A_m \to e_1 A e_1$  such that  $\|\varphi(a) - e_1 a e_1\| < \delta \|a\|$  for all  $a \in A_m$ .

By applying [10, Lemma 2.9], we obtain unitaries  $x, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{k-1} \in U_0(e_1Ae_1)$  such that  $||x - e_1we_1|| < \delta$ ,  $||x_i - e_1w_ie_1|| < \delta$ ,  $x = x_1x_2 \cdots x_{k-1}$  and  $x^*(u_1^k)^*au_1^kx = a$  for all  $a \in \varphi(A_m)$ . Let  $Z = \sum_{i=1}^k e_i u_1^{k+1-i} x_i (u_1^{k-i})^* + (1-p)u_1$ . Define  $B = \varphi(A_m)$ . Then

$$||Z - u_1|| \le \max_i \{||x_i - e_1||\} \le \max_i \{||x_i - e_1 w_i e_1|| + ||e_1 w_i e_1 - e_1||\} < \delta + \frac{\eta}{k} + \frac{\pi}{k-2},$$

 $(Z^k)^*bZ^k=b$  for all  $b\in B$  and

$$(Z^i)^* e_1 Z^i \le e_{i+1}, \quad Z^i = u_1^k (x_1 x_2 \cdots x_i) (u_1^{k-i})^*, \quad i = 1, 2, \cdots, k, \ e_{k+1} = e_1.$$

Write  $B=C_1\oplus C_2\oplus \cdots C_N$ , let  $\{c_{is}^{(j)}\}$  be the matrix units for  $C_j$ ,  $j=1,2,\cdots,N$ , where  $C_j=M_{R(j)}$  and put  $q=1_B$ . Define  $D_0=B\bigoplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{k-1} Z^{i*}BZ^i$ , and denote by  $D_1$  the  $C^*$ -subalgebra generated by B and  $c_{ss}^{(j)}Z^i$ ,  $s=1,2,\cdots,R(j)$ ,  $j=1,2,\cdots,N$  and  $i=0,1,2,\cdots,k-1$ . Then  $D_1\cong B\otimes M_k$  and  $D_1\supset D_0$ .

Define  $q_{ss}^{(j)} = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} Z^{i*} c_{ss}^{(j)} Z^i$ ,  $q^{(j)} = \sum_{s=1}^{R(j)} q_{ss}^{(j)}$  and  $Q = \sum_{j=1}^{N} q^{(j)} = 1_{D_1}$ . Note  $Q = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (Z^i)^* q Z^i$  and

$$q_{ss}^{(j)}Z = \Big(\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} Z^{i*}c_{ss}^{(j)}Z^{i}\Big)Z = Z\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (Z^{i+1})^*c_{ss}^{(j)}Z^{i+1} = Z\Big(\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} Z^{i*}c_{ss}^{(j)}Z^{i} + c_{ss}^{(j)}\Big) = Zq_{ss}^{(j)}.$$

It follows from [10, Lemma 2.11] that  $c_{11}^{(j)}, c_{11}^{(j)}Z^i$  and  $c_{11}^{(j)}Z^kc_{11}^{(j)}$  generate a  $C^*$ -subalgebra which is isomorphic to  $C(X_j) \otimes M_k$  for some compact subset  $X_j \subset S^1$ . Moreover,  $q_{ss}^{(j)}Zq_{ss}^{(j)}$  is in the  $C^*$ -subalgebra. Let D be the  $C^*$ -subalgebra generated by  $D_1$  and  $c_{11}^{(j)}Z^kc_{11}^{(j)}$ . Then  $D \cong \bigoplus_{j=1}^N C(X_j) \otimes B \otimes M_k$ . It follows that  $q^{(j)}$  and Q commutes with Z. Therefore  $QZQ \in D$ . Thus,

$$||Qu - uQ|| \le ||Qu - Qu_1|| + ||Qu_1 - QZ|| + ||ZQ - u_1Q|| + ||u_1Q - uQ||$$

$$< \frac{4\eta}{k} + 2\delta + \frac{2\pi}{k - 2} < \varepsilon.$$

From  $QZQ \in D$ , we also have  $QuQ \in_{\varepsilon} D$ .

For  $b \in \mathcal{F}_0$ , we compute

$$(Z^{i})^{*}q(Z^{i})b = (Z^{i})^{*}qu_{1}^{k}(x_{1}x_{2}\cdots x_{i})(u_{1}^{k-i})^{*}b$$

$$\approx_{k\delta+2\eta} (Z^{i})^{*}qu_{1}^{k}(w_{1}w_{2}\cdots w_{i})(u^{k-i})^{*}b$$

$$= (Z^{i})^{*}qu_{1}^{k}(w_{1}w_{2}\cdots w_{i})(u^{k-i})^{*}bu^{k-i}(w_{1}w_{2}\cdots w_{i})^{*}(u_{1}^{k})^{*}$$

$$\cdot [u^{k-i}(w_{1}w_{2}\cdots w_{i})^{*}(u_{1}^{k})^{*}]^{*}.$$

Let  $c_i = (u^{k-i})^*bu^{k-i}$ . Then  $c_i \in \mathcal{F}_1$ . There is an  $a_i \in \mathcal{G}_0 \subset A_m$  such that  $||c_i - a_i|| < \delta$ . Since  $(w_1w_2 \cdots w_i)\mathcal{F}_1(w_1w_2 \cdots w_i)^* \subset \mathcal{F}_3$ , we have

$$(Z^{i})^{*}qu_{1}^{k}(w_{1}w_{2}\cdots w_{i})(u^{k-i})^{*}bu^{k-i}(w_{1}w_{2}\cdots w_{i})^{*}(u_{1}^{k})^{*}[u^{k-i}(w_{1}w_{2}\cdots w_{i})^{*}(u_{1}^{k})^{*}]^{*}$$

$$= (Z^{i})^{*}qu_{1}^{k}(w_{1}w_{2}\cdots w_{i})c_{i}(w_{1}w_{2}\cdots w_{i})^{*}(u_{1}^{k})^{*}[u^{k-i}(w_{1}w_{2}\cdots w_{i})^{*}(u_{1}^{k})^{*}]^{*}$$

$$\approx \delta(Z^{i})^{*}qu_{1}^{k}(w_{1}w_{2}\cdots w_{i})a_{i}(w_{1}w_{2}\cdots w_{i})^{*}(u_{1}^{k})^{*}[u^{k-i}(w_{1}w_{2}\cdots w_{i})^{*}(u_{1}^{k})^{*}]^{*}$$

$$\approx \delta(Z^{i})^{*}e_{1}u_{1}^{k}(w_{1}w_{2}\cdots w_{i})a_{i}(w_{1}w_{2}\cdots w_{i})^{*}(u_{1}^{k})^{*}[u^{k-i}(w_{1}w_{2}\cdots w_{i})^{*}(u_{1}^{k})^{*}]^{*}$$

$$\approx \frac{\eta}{k}(Z^{i})^{*}u_{1}^{k}(w_{1}w_{2}\cdots w_{i})a_{i}(w_{1}w_{2}\cdots w_{i})^{*}(u_{1}^{k})^{*}e_{1}[u^{k-i}(w_{1}w_{2}\cdots w_{i})^{*}(u_{1}^{k})^{*}]^{*}$$

$$\approx \delta(Z^{i})^{*}u_{1}^{k}(w_{1}w_{2}\cdots w_{i})c_{i}(w_{1}w_{2}\cdots w_{i})^{*}(u_{1}^{k})^{*}q[u^{k-i}(w_{1}w_{2}\cdots w_{i})^{*}(u_{1}^{k})^{*}]^{*}$$

$$\approx \delta(Z^{i})^{*}u_{1}^{k}(w_{1}w_{2}\cdots w_{i})(u^{k-i})^{*}bu^{k-i}(w_{1}w_{2}\cdots w_{i})^{*}(u^{k})^{*}q[u^{k-i}(w_{1}w_{2}\cdots w_{i})^{*}(u^{k})^{*}]^{*}$$

$$\approx \delta(Z^{i})^{*}u_{1}^{k}(w_{1}w_{2}\cdots w_{i})(u^{k-i})^{*}u_{1}^{k}(w_{1}w_{2}\cdots w_{i})^{*}u_{1}^{k}(w_{1}w_{2}\cdots w_{i})^{*}u_{1}^{k}(w_{1}w_{2}\cdots w_{i})^{*}u_{1}^{k}(w_{1}w_{2}\cdots w_{i})^{*}u_{1}^{k}(w_{1}w_{2}\cdots w_{i})^{*}u_{1}^{k}(w_{1}w_{2}\cdots w_{i})^{*}u_{1}^{k}(w_{1}w_{2}\cdots w_{i})^{*}u_{1}^{k}(w_{1}w_{2}\cdots w_{i})^{*}u_{1}^{k}(w_{1}w_{2}\cdots w_{i})^{*}u_{1}^{k}(w_{1}w_{2}\cdots w_{i})^{*}u_{1}$$

Hence

$$\|(Z^{i})^{*}qZ^{i}b - b(Z^{i})^{*}qZ^{i}\| < 2(k\delta + 2\eta + \delta + \delta) + \frac{\eta}{k} < \frac{\varepsilon}{k}, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, k - 1.$$

Therefore, for  $b \in \mathcal{F}_0$ ,  $\|Qb - bQ\| < k \cdot (\frac{\varepsilon}{k}) = \varepsilon$ . It follows that  $\|Qa - aQ\| < \varepsilon$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{F}$ . For any  $b \in \mathcal{F}_0$ , a same estimation shows

$$||qZ^{i}b(Z^{i})^{*}q - qu_{1}^{k}(w_{1}w_{2}\cdots w_{i})(u^{k-i})^{*}bu^{k-i}(w_{1}w_{2}\cdots w_{i})^{*}(u_{1}^{k})^{*}q|| < 2k\delta + 4\eta.$$

However,  $qu_1^k(w_1w_2\cdots w_i)(u^{k-i})^*bu^{k-i}(w_1w_2\cdots w_i)^*(u_1^k)^*q\in_{\delta+2\delta+\frac{4\eta}{k}}B$ . It follows that, for  $b\in\mathcal{F}_0$ ,

$$(Z^i)^*qZ^ib(Z^i)^*qZ^i\in_{\frac{\varepsilon}{k}}(Z^i)^*BZ^i,\quad i=0,1,\cdots,k-1.$$

We obtain  $QbQ \in_{\varepsilon} D_1 \subset D$  and then  $QaQ \in_{\varepsilon} D$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{F}$ .

Because  $\left[1-\sum_{i=1}^k e_i\right]=[1-p]\leq_{\alpha}[r_0]$  in A, there exist the mutually orthogonal projections  $p_i$ , the mutually orthogonal positive elements  $a_i$  and  $s_i\in\mathbb{Z}$  for  $i=1,2,\cdots,n$  such that  $p=\sum_{i=1}^n p_i,\{a_i\}_{i=1}^n$  belong to the hereditary  $C^*$ -subalgebra generated by  $r_0$ , and  $\left[\alpha^{s_i}(p_i)\right]\leq \left[a_i\right]$ ,  $i=1,\cdots,n$ . Because  $\left[\alpha^{s_i}(p_i)\right]=\left[u^{s_i}p_i(u^{s_i})^*\right]=\left[p_i\right]$  in  $A\rtimes_{\alpha}\mathbb{Z}$ , we obtain  $\left[1-\sum_{i=1}^k e_i\right]\leq \left[r_0\right]$  in  $A\rtimes_{\alpha}\mathbb{Z}$ .

By computation we can get

$$[1-Q] \le \left[1 - \sum_{i=1}^{k} e_i\right] \le [r_0] \le [b_0].$$

So  $TR(A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}) \leq 1$ . The order on projection over  $A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}$  is determined by traces by [9, Theorem 3.7.2].

By applying Theorem 3.1, we have  $RR(A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ . By [10, Lemma 3.2], we conclude  $TR(A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ .

Corollary 3.1 Let A be a unital AF-algebra. Suppose that  $\alpha \in \operatorname{Aut}(A)$  has the tracial cyclic Rokhlin property and A is  $\alpha$ -simple. Suppose also that there is an integer  $J \geq 1$  such that  $\alpha_{*0}^J = \operatorname{id}_{K_0(A)}$ . Then the restriction map is a bijection from the tracial states of  $A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}$  to the  $\alpha$ -invariant tracial states of A.

**Proof** Since A has real rank zero and  $A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}$  also has real rank zero by Theorem 3.2, the corollary follows from [7, Proposition 2.2].

**Example 3.1** Let  $A = A_0 \oplus A_0$ , where  $A_0$  is an infinite dimensional unital simple AF-algebra. Let  $\beta \in \text{Aut}(A_0)$  be an approximately inner automorphism of  $A_0$  and have the traical cyclic Rokhlin property as in [10]. Define  $\alpha \in \text{Aut} A$  by  $\alpha(a, b) = (\beta(b), \beta(a))$ .

Obviously, A is  $\alpha$ -simple. Because  $\beta$  is an approximately inner automorphism of  $A_0$ , therefore  $\beta_{*0} = \mathrm{id}_{K_0(A_0)}$ , then we have  $\alpha_{*0}^2 = \mathrm{id}_{K_0(A)}$ .

Because  $\beta$  is an approximately inner automorphism of  $A_0$  and has the traical cyclic Rokhlin property as in [10], furthermore by applying [10, Lemma 2.8], it is easy to verify that  $\alpha$  has the traical cyclic Rokhlin property in this paper.

So  $(A, \alpha)$  satisfies the conditions of Theorem 3.2, then we have  $TR(A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ .

**Acknowledgement** The author is grateful to Professor Huaxin Lin and Professor Yifeng Xue for their helpful comments.

### References

- [1] Connes, A., Outer conjugcy class of automorphisms of factors, Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup., 8, 1975, 383–420.
- [2] Herman, R. and Ocneanu, A., Stability for integer actions on UHF-C\*-algebras, J. Funct. Anal., 59, 1984, 132–144.
- [3] Jang, S. and Lee, S., Simplicity of crossed products of C\*-algebras, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 118(3), 1993, 823–826.
- [4] Jeong, J. and Osaka, H., Extremally rich C\*-crossed products and the cancellation property, J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A, 64, 1998, 285–301.
- [5] Kishimoto, A., Outer automorphisms and reduced crossed products of simple C\*-algebra, Comm. Math. Phys., 81, 1981, 429–435.
- [6] Kishimoto, A., The Rohlin property for shifts on UHF-algebras and automorphisms of Cuntz algebras, J. Funct. Anal., 140, 1996, 100–123.
- [7] Kishimoto, A., Automorphisms of AT-algebras with the Rohlin property, Operator Theory, 40, 1998, 277-294.
- [8] Lin, H., Tracial topological ranks of  $C^*$ -algebra,  $Proc.\ London\ Math.\ Soc.$ , 83, 2001, 199–234.
- [9] Lin, H., An Introduction to the Classification of Amenable C\*-Algebra, World Scientific, Singapore, 2001.
- [10] Lin, H., The Rokhlin property for automorphisms on simple C\*-Algebras, Operator Theory, Operator Algebras, and Applications, Contemp. Math., Vol. 414, A. M. S., Providence, RI, 2006, 189–215.
- [11] Lin, H., Tracially Quasidiagonal Extensions, Canad. Math. Bull., 46(3), 2003, 388–399.
- [12] Osaka, H. and Phillips, N. C., Stable and real rank for crossed products by automorphisms with the tracial Rokhlin property, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems, 26(5), 2006, 1579–1621.
- [13] Rørdam, M., Classification of certain infinte simple C\*-algebras, J. Funct. Anal., 131, 1995, 415-458.